Centro de Física Teórica de Partículas
Instituto Superior Técnico
Universidade de Lisboa

The Reduced 2HDM

Gregory Patellis

M.A. May Pech, M. Mondragon, GP, G. Zoupanos

Mon Repos, Corfu

27 August 2024

Motivation

The ad hoc Yukawa and Higgs sectors of the Standard Model induce ~ 20 free parameters. How can they be related to the gauge sector in a more *fundamental* level?

The straightforward way to induce relations among parameters is to add more symmetries.

$\rightarrow$ i.e. GUTs.

Another approach is to look for renormalization group invariant (RGI) relations among couplings at the GUT scale that hold up to the Planck scale.

$\rightarrow$ less free parameters $\rightarrow$ more predictive theories

Reduction of Couplings Basics

An RGI expression among couplings

$$\mathcal{F}(g_1, \ldots, g_A) = 0$$

must satisfy the pde

$$\mu \frac{d\mathcal{F}}{d\mu} = \sum_{a=1}^{A} \beta_a \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial g_a} = 0$$

Assumption: there are $A - 1$ independent $\mathcal{F}s$ among A couplings.

Finding them is equivalent to solve the ode

$$\beta_g \left(\frac{dg_a}{dg} \right) = \beta_a, \qquad a = 1, \ldots, A-1$$

where g is considered the *primary coupling*.

The above equations are called *reduction equations (RE)*.

Zimmermann (1985)

However, the general solutions of the REs have integration constants.

$\rightarrow$ We just traded an integration constant for each coupling $\rightarrow$ we have not reduced the freedom of the parameter space.

$\rightarrow$ Assume power series solutions to the REs (which preserve perturbative renormalizability):

$$g_a = \sum_n \rho_a^{(n)} \, g^{2n(+1)}$$

For some models this *complete reduction* can prove to be too restrictive $\rightarrow$ use fewer $\mathcal{F}s$ as RGI constraints (*partial reduction*).

RoC Applications

- Standard Model
  - $\rightarrow$ $m_t \sim 98$ GeV
  - $\rightarrow$ $m_h \sim 65$ GeV — *Kubo, Sibold, Zimmermann (1984); (1985)*

- Non-Supersymmetric SM Extensions
  - Two Higgs Doublet Models
  - Three Higgs Doublet Models
  - SM + Vector-like Quarks
  - SM + Asymptotically Safe Gravity

- Supersymmetric SM Extensions
  - Reduced MSSM — *Mondragon, Tracas, Zoupanos (2014)*

- Finite Unified Theories
  - Reduced Minimal $N = 1$ $SU(5)$ — *Kubo, Mondragon, Zoupanos (1994)*
  - All-loop Finite $N = 1$ $SU(5)$ — *Heinemeyer, Mondragon, Zoupanos (2008)*
  - Two-loop Finite $N = 1$ $SU(3)^3$ — *Ma, Mondragon, Zoupanos (2004)*

Heinemeyer, Mondragon, GP, Tracas, Zoupanos (2020)

Heinemeyer, Kalinowski, Kotlarski, Mondragon, GP, Tracas, Zoupanos (2021)

The 2HDM

$$V_h = m_{11}^2 \Phi_1^\dagger \Phi_1 + m_{22}^2 \Phi_2^\dagger \Phi_2 - \left(m_{12}^2 \Phi_1^\dagger \Phi_2 + \text{h.c.} \right)$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2}\lambda_1 \left(\Phi_1^\dagger \Phi_1\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_2 \left(\Phi_2^\dagger \Phi_2\right)^2 + \lambda_3 \left(\Phi_1^\dagger \Phi_1\right)\left(\Phi_2^\dagger \Phi_2\right) + \lambda_4 \left(\Phi_1^\dagger \Phi_2\right)\left(\Phi_2^\dagger \Phi_1\right)$$
$$+ \left[\frac{1}{2}\lambda_5 \left(\Phi_1^\dagger \Phi_2\right)^2 + \lambda_6 \left(\Phi_1^\dagger \Phi_1\right)\left(\Phi_1^\dagger \Phi_2\right) + \lambda_7 \left(\Phi_2^\dagger \Phi_2\right)\left(\Phi_1^\dagger \Phi_2\right) + \text{h.c.} \right]$$

$\rightarrow$ We choose all parameters to be real

$\rightarrow$ We choose to work with the Type-II scenario ($u_R^i \rightarrow \Phi_2, \;\; d_R^i, e_R^i \rightarrow \Phi_1$):

- $\lambda_6 = \lambda_7$ to avoid tree-level FCNCs

and we need

- $\lambda_4 < 0$ to conserve the electric charge and
- $\lambda_1 > 0, \;\; \lambda_2 > 0$ and $\sqrt{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} + \lambda_3 + \lambda_4 - |\lambda_5| > 0$ for the potential to be bounded from below.

A first Attempt

→ Reduction on dimensionless parameters, m_{11}^2, m_{22}^2 and m_{12}^2 remain free, will be fixed to give m_A

→ Partial *1-loop* reduction on the g_3 - y_t - λ_i space, g_3 is the primary coupling

→ g_2, g_1 *switched off*, will be added as corrections to the reduction process

$$\beta_3 \equiv \mathcal{D} g_3 = -7 g_3^3 \quad , \quad \beta_2 \equiv \mathcal{D} g_2 = -3 g_2^3 \quad , \quad \beta_1 \equiv \mathcal{D} g_1 = 7 g_1^3$$

$$\beta_t \; = \; \beta_{t_0} + \beta_{t_c} = \left(\frac{9}{2} y_t^2 - 8 g_3^2\right) y_t + \left(-\frac{9}{4} g_2^2 - \frac{17}{12} g_1^2\right) y_t$$

$$\beta_{\lambda_i} \; = \; \beta_{\lambda_{i0}} + \beta_{\lambda_{ic}}$$

$$\beta_{\lambda_1} \; = 12\lambda_1^2 + 4\lambda_3^3 + 4\lambda_3\lambda_4 + 2\lambda_4^2 + 2\lambda_5^2 + 24\lambda_6^2 + \frac{3}{4}(3g_2^4 + g_1^4 + 2g_2^2 g_1^2) - 3\lambda_1(3g_2^2 + g_1^2)$$

$$\beta_{\lambda_2} \; = 12\lambda_2^2 + 4\lambda_3^3 + 4\lambda_3\lambda_4 + 2\lambda_4^2 + 2\lambda_5^2 + 24\lambda_7^2 + 12\lambda_2\lambda_t^2 - 12\lambda_t^4 + \frac{3}{4}(3g_2^4 + g_1^4 + 2g_2^2 g_1^2) - \ldots$$

$$\beta_{\lambda_3} \; = \ldots$$

where $\mathcal{D} = 16\pi^2 \mu (d/d\mu)$, $\lambda_t = y_t \sin\beta$ and y_b, y_τ are considered negligible

Reducing the parameters w.r.t. g_3, the power series solutions are:

$$y_t = p_t g_3 \quad , \quad \lambda_i = p_i g_3^2$$

Substituting the solutions into the REs:

$$\beta_3 \frac{dy_t}{dg_3} = \beta_{t_0} \quad , \quad \beta_3 \frac{d\lambda_i}{dg_3} = \beta_{\lambda_{i0}}$$

we get sets of p_i, p_t that depend on $\sin\beta$ and are RGI.

$$\rightarrow \; m_t \sim \sin\beta \; 105 \text{ GeV}$$

Switching on g_2 and g_1, we have solutions of the form:

$$y_t = p_t g_3 + q_t g_2 + r_t g_1 \quad , \quad \lambda_i = p_i g_3^2 + q_i g_2^2 + r_i g_1^2$$

where p_t, p_i are known from the above procedure and now the full REs will be

$$\beta_3 \frac{dy_t}{dg_3} = \beta_t \quad , \quad \beta_3 \frac{d\lambda_i}{dg_3} = \beta_{\lambda_i}$$

Solving them requires the conditions

$$\mathcal{D}(q_a g_2) \sim 0 \quad , \quad \mathcal{D}(r_a g_1) \sim 0 \quad , \qquad a = t, 1, \ldots, 7$$

They hold for $\mu \geq 10^7$ GeV and are not RGI $\quad \rightarrow \quad$ X

Realistic Approach - Reduction at a Boundary Scale

May Pech, M. Mondragon, GP, G. Zoupanos (2023)

Main Idea:

→ Solve the REs at a specific scale M_{bdry}

→ Above M_{bdry} a covering theory is assumed that makes the solutions RGI

→ Use solutions as BCs to run the usual 2HDM RGEs from M_{bdry} to M_{EW}

About the boundary scale:

- Solutions wrt g_2, g_1 demand $M_{bdry} \geq 10^7$ GeV
- g_2, g_1 are treated as corrections, should not be comparable to g_3 at the boundary scale. This demands $M_{bdry} < 10^8$ GeV

$$\rightarrow M_{bdry} \sim 10^7 \text{ GeV}$$

This is the scale that New Physics appear.

We can now reduce our system using only the following input:

$$g_i(M_{EW}) \quad , \quad m_A$$

First we focus on the g_3 - y_t reduction, as it can be performed independently from the λ_i's and we switch off $g_{1,2}$. We find

$$y_t = 0.471 g_3$$

Now, switching on the two remaining gauge couplings, we solve the full REs at M_{bdry}, using their respective values $g_{1,2}(M_{bdry})$:

$$y_t = 0.471 g_3 - 0.119 g_2 + 1.228 g_1$$

Choosing the appropriate value for $\tan\beta$:

$$\tan\beta = 2.2 \pm 0.5$$

we obtain a pole top mass that satisfies the most recent experimental limits:

$$m_t = (172.69 \pm 0.30) \text{ GeV}$$ ✓

allowing for a 1 GeV theoretical uncertainty due to higher order contributions and the absence of y_b, y_τ

Now we can repeat the procedure for the full system g_3 - y_t - λ_i.

We choose $m_{11}^2, m_{22}^2, m_{12}^2$ values appropriately, in order for the CP odd Higgs scalar mass to be:

$$m_A = 800 \text{ GeV}$$

Out of all the possible reduction solutions, we look for those that satisfy the light Higgs mass experimental limits:

$$m_h^{exp} = (125.25 \pm 0.17) \text{ GeV}$$

where we estimate our theoretical calculations to have a 10 GeV uncertainty due to threshold corrections and higher order contributions

There are viable sets of solutions ✓

Conclusions & Outlook

- Partial RoC on the Type-II 2HDM at a boundary scale M_{bdry}
- Input parameters: $g_i(M_{EW})$, m_A
- Top quark and light Higgs boson masses obtained within limits
- Prediction for $\tan\beta \sim 2.2$
- Predicted scale of New Physics: $M_{bdry} \sim 10^7$ GeV

Next:

- 2-loop analysis
- 2HDM with complex parameters
- realistic description of spontaneous CP violation with minimal input
- natural selection of one of the six symmetries of the Higgs potential
- apply on *3HDM* - more predictive reduction