

SIXTH AEGEAN SUMMER SCHOOL NAXOS
QUANTUM GRAVITY AND QUANTUM COSMOLOGY

Spherically Symmetric Solutions in
Covariant Horava-Lifshitz Gravity

J. Alexandre and P. Pasipoularides,
Spherically symmetric solutions in Covariant
Horava-Lifshitz Gravity, Phys. Rev. D 83
(2011) 084030 [arXiv:1010.3634 [hep-th]].

Horava-Lifshitz Gravity

- i. It is a **power counting renormalizable**, higher order gravity model.
- ii. In order to achieve perturbative renormalizability (and keep time derivatives up to second in order to achieve unitarity of the model) we have **to sacrifice the standard 4D diffeomorphism** of General Relativity.
- iii. The UV behavior of the model is governed by a **Lifshitz fixed point**, which is characterized by an **anisotropy between Space and time** coordinates.
- iv. In the **IR limit** General Relativity should be recovered.

Anisotropic Scaling

$$x \rightarrow bx, \quad t \rightarrow b^z t$$

Z=dynamical critical exponent

$$[x] = -1, \quad [t] = -z$$

Anisotropic Scaling

- $z=1$ corresponds to Gaussian fixed point (General Relativity).
- $z \neq 1$ corresponds to a Lifshitz fixed point.
 1. $3+1$ HL Gravity $\rightarrow z=3$ the model is renormalizable.
 2. $3+1$ HL Gravity, $z < 3$ nonrenormalizable.
 3. $3+1$ HL Gravity, $z > 3$ superrenormalizable

ADM decomposition of the metric

$$ds^2 = -N^2 c^2 dt^2 + g_{ij} (dx^i + N^i dt) (dx^j + N^j dt)$$

$$g_{ij}(t, \mathbf{x}^k) = \text{3d metric}$$

$$N(t, \mathbf{x}^k) = \text{lapse function}$$

$$N_i(t, \mathbf{x}^k) = \text{Shift function}$$

$$[N] = 0, \quad [N_i] = z - 1, \quad [c] = \left[\frac{dx_i}{dt} \right] = z - 1$$

Foliation-preserving
diffeomorphism \longrightarrow Diff(M,F)

$$\delta t = f(t), \quad \delta x^i = \zeta^i(t, x^k)$$

$$\delta g_{ij} = \partial_i \xi^k g_{ik} + \partial_j \xi^k g_{ik} + \xi^k \partial_k g_{ij} + f \dot{g}_{ij}$$

$$\delta N_i = \partial_i \xi^j N_j + \xi^j \partial_j N_i + \xi^j g_{ij} + \dot{f} N_i + f \dot{N}_i$$

$$\delta N = \partial_j \xi^j N + \dot{f} N + f \dot{N}$$

The action

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi Gc} \int dt dx^d \sqrt{g} N (T - V(g_{ij}))$$

Kinetic term

Potential term

$$K_{ij} = \frac{1}{2N} (\dot{g}_{ij} - \nabla_i N_j - \nabla_j N_i), \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3$$

Extrinsic curvature

$$T = K_{ij} K^{ij} - \lambda (g_{ij} K^{ij})^2$$

λ is a new running coupling

In GR $\lambda=1$ due to 4D diffeomorphism

The potential term

$$V = V_{z=0} + V_{z=1} + V_{z=2} + V_{z=3}$$

Relevant deformations

UV Lifshitz fixed point

$$V_{z=0} + V_{z=1} = V_{\text{IR}} = -c^2(\mathbf{R} - 2\Lambda)$$

$$V_{z=2} = -\alpha_1 \mathbf{R}^2 - \alpha_2 \mathbf{R}_{ij} \mathbf{R}^{ij}, \quad [a_i] = 2, \quad i = 1, 2$$

The potential term

$$V_{z=3} = -\beta_1 R^3 - \beta_2 R R_{ij} R^{ij} - \beta_3 R_i^j R_j^k R_k^i - \\ - \beta_4 R \nabla^2 R - \beta_5 \nabla_i R_{jk} \nabla^i R^{jk}$$

$$[\beta_i] = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, 5$$

Detailed balance action

$$V = E^{ij} G_{ijkl} E^{kl}, \quad E^{ij} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}} \frac{\delta W}{\delta g_{ij}}$$

De Witt metric

Super potential

$$G_{ijkl} = \frac{1}{2} (g^{ik} g^{jl} + g^{il} g^{jk}) - \lambda g^{ij} g^{kl}$$

$$E^{ij} = -\frac{1}{w^2} C_{ij} - \frac{\mu}{2} \left(R^{ij} - \frac{1}{2} R g^{ij} + \Lambda_w g^{ij} \right)$$

Cotton tensor

IR LIMIT

$$\lambda \rightarrow 1, \quad \alpha_i \rightarrow 0, \quad \beta_i \rightarrow 0, \quad \Lambda = 0$$

$$x^0 = ct, \quad [x^0] = -1 \quad \mathbf{R}^{(4)} = \mathbf{K}_{ij} \mathbf{K}^{ij} - \mathbf{K}^2 + R^{(3)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \frac{1}{16\pi G c} \int dt d^3x \sqrt{g^{(3)}} \mathbf{N} \left(\mathbf{K}_{ij} \mathbf{K}^{ij} - \lambda \mathbf{K}^2 + c^2 \left(R^{(3)} - 2\Lambda \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int dx^0 d^3x \sqrt{g^{(4)}} \mathbf{R}^{(4)} \quad \text{General Relativity} \end{aligned}$$

Projectable and Non-Projectable HL Gravity

- In Non-Projectable version the Lapse function is allowed to be a function of space and time coordinates both.
- In Projectable version the Lapse function is only a function of the time coordinate.

Possible problems in HL Gravity

- **The RG behavior in the IR has not been studied.**
- **Problems in the canonical structure** (only for Non-projectable version)
- **Ghosts** (there are no ghosts if $\lambda \geq 1$)
- **Classical Instabilities** (they set constraints to RG flow)
- **Strong coupling problem**

Strong Coupling Problem

$$\mathbf{N} = 1, \quad \mathbf{N}_i = \partial_i \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{n}_i, \quad g_{ij} = (1 + 2\varphi)\delta_{ij} + h_{ij}$$

Scalar Graviton

Tensor Graviton

The couplings between the Scalar Graviton φ and the Tensor Graviton \mathbf{h}_{ij} blows up in the IR limit $\lambda \rightarrow 1$.

This prevent us from recovering general relativity in the IR

Covariant HL Gravity by Horava and Melby-Tomson

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi G_C} \int dt dx^d \sqrt{g} \left\{ N(t) \left[T - V + v \Theta_{ij} (2K_{ij} + \nabla_i \nabla_j v) \right] - A(R - 2\Omega) \right\}$$

$$T = K_{ij} K^{ij} - \lambda K^2$$

Projectability Condition

$$\Theta^{ij} = R^{ij} - \frac{1}{2} R g^{ij} + \Omega g^{ij}$$

The above action contains two additional auxiliary non-dynamical space-time fields:

- 1) the **potential** $A(\mathbf{x}, t)$, and
- 2) the **prepotential** $v(\mathbf{x}, t)$

Extended Gauge Symmetry

$$\text{diff}(M, F) \times U(1)$$

$$\delta_\alpha N(t) = 0, \quad \delta_\alpha g_{ij} = 0 \quad \text{New Gauge Symmetry}$$

$$\delta_\alpha N_i(x, t) = N \nabla_i \alpha$$

$$\delta_\alpha A = \dot{\alpha} - N^i \nabla_i \alpha, \quad \delta_\alpha v = \alpha$$

Indeed the new Gauge symmetry eliminates the scalar graviton, hence Covariant HL Gravity avoids strong coupling problems. However, this new symmetry can not forced λ to be equal to one (as it shown by DaSilva), so λ remains a running coupling constant.

Spherically Symmetric Solutions for $\lambda=1$

$$ds^2 = -N^2(t) c^2 dt^2 + \frac{1}{f(r)} (dr + n(r)dt)^2 + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2)$$

Nonzero radial shift function

$$A = A(r), \quad v(r) = 0$$

U(1) Gauge Fixing

Equations of motion

Constant of integration

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta A} = 0 \Rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{(3)} = 0 \Rightarrow f(\mathbf{r}) = 1 - \frac{2B}{r}$$

Momentum constraint

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta \mathbf{n}} = 0 \Rightarrow f'(\mathbf{r})\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r}) = 0 \Rightarrow f(\mathbf{r}) = 1 \text{ or } \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r}) = 0$$

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta f} = 0 \Rightarrow A' + \frac{A}{2r} \left(1 - \frac{1}{f} \right) + 4 \frac{fn(\sqrt{rn})'}{N\sqrt{r}} = 0V$$

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta N} = 0 \Rightarrow \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{r^2}{\sqrt{f(\mathbf{r})}} (\mathbf{T} + \mathbf{V}) dr = 0$$

Hamiltonian Constraint

Two Classes of Solutions

1. Solutions with nonzero radial shift function $n(r)$ and $f(r)=1$, $B=0$.
2. Solutions with zero radial shift function $n(r)=0$ and $f(r)=1-2B/r$, B different from zero.

We will examine these cases separately

First class of solutions: Non zero radial shift function $n(r)$ (and $f(r)=1$)

$$n^2(r) = \frac{\tilde{C}_M}{r} - \frac{1}{2} A(r) - \frac{1}{2r} \int_0^{+\infty} A(\rho) d\rho$$

The choice of $A(r)$ is arbitrary, but it should satisfy the Hamiltonian Constraint

$$\int_0^{+\infty} A(\rho) d\rho = 0$$

The minimal choice $A(r)=0$

$$n(r) = \pm \sqrt{\frac{\tilde{C}_M}{r}}, \quad \tilde{C}_M = 2GMc^2$$

$$ds^2 = -c^2 dt_{PG}^2 + \left(dr \pm \sqrt{\frac{2GMc^2}{r}} dt_{PG} \right)^2 + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2(\theta) d\phi^2)$$

 Schwarzschild metric expressed in Painleve-Gullstrand coordinates

The Newtonian potential is recovered by the Nonzero radial shift function

$$\phi_{NP}(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{n(r)^2}{2c^2} = -\frac{GM}{r}$$

Solutions with nonzero $A(r)$: two cases

- I. $A(r)$ determines the sub-leading behavior of the radial shift function $n(r)$.
 - II. $A(r)$ determines the leading behavior of the radial shift function $n(r)$.
- Solar system tests require:

$$A(r) \approx \frac{C_A}{r^b}, \quad r \rightarrow \infty, \quad b \geq 3 \text{ (Case I)}, \quad b \approx 1 \text{ (Case II)}$$

J. Greenwald, V. H. Satheeshkumar and A. Wang, 'Black holes, compact objects and solar system tests in non-relativistic general covariant theory of gravity,' arXiv:1010.3794 [hep-th].

Case I: Solutions with nonzero A and $\tilde{C}_M \neq 0$

$$n^2(r) = \frac{2GMc^2}{r} - \frac{1}{2}A(r) - \frac{1}{2r} \int_0^{+\infty} A(\rho) d\rho$$

Sub-leading asymptotic behavior

$$A(r) = \frac{C_A}{1+r^{b_1}} (1 - \gamma_3 r^{b_2}), \quad b_1 \geq 3, \quad b_1 - b_2 \geq 3, \quad b_2 > -1$$

$$\gamma_3 = \frac{\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{b_1} + \frac{\pi b_2}{b_1}\right)}{\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{b_1}\right)}$$

$$\int_0^{+\infty} A(\rho) d\rho = 0$$

Hamiltonian constraint

Case II: Solutions with nonzero A and $\tilde{C}_M = 0$

$$n^2(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{1}{2}A(\mathbf{r}) - \frac{1}{2r} \int_0^{+\infty} A(\rho) d\rho \quad A(\mathbf{r}) \approx \frac{C_A}{r^b}, \quad r \rightarrow \infty, \quad b \approx 1$$

For b suitably closely to unity ($b \sim 1$) this class of solutions may pass solar system tests

$$A(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{C_A}{1+r^b} (r - \gamma_2), \quad b > 1$$

$$\gamma_2 = \frac{\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{b+1}\right)}{\sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{b+1}\right)}$$

$$\int_0^{+\infty} A(\rho) d\rho = 0$$

Hamiltonian constraint

Second class of solutions: Zero radial shift function

$$N = 1, \quad n(r) = 0, \quad f(r) = 1 - \frac{B}{r} \quad A(r) = A_{\text{IR}}(r) + A_{\text{UV}}(r)$$

$$A_{\text{IR}}(r) = c^2 \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - 2x} \right), \quad x = \frac{B}{r}, \quad B = GM$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\text{UV}}(r) = & - \left(\frac{\alpha_2}{5M^2} - \frac{4\beta_3}{77M^4} + \frac{12\beta_5}{77M^4} \right) \sqrt{1 - 2x} \\ & - \frac{\alpha_2}{5M^2} \left(-2 + 2x + x^2 + x^3 \right) + \frac{2\beta_2}{M^4} x^6 \\ & + \frac{\beta_3}{11M^4} \left(-\frac{7}{4} + \frac{7}{4}x + \frac{2}{7}x^2 + \frac{2}{7}x^3 + \frac{5}{14}x^4 + \frac{x^5}{2} + 75x^6 \right) \\ & - \frac{3\beta_5}{11M^4} \left(-\frac{7}{4} + \frac{7}{4}x + \frac{2}{7}x^2 + \frac{2}{7}x^3 + \frac{5}{14}x^4 + \frac{x^5}{2} + 20x^6 \right) \end{aligned}$$

The potential interpretation of A

The U(1) symmetry is promoted to a spacetime symmetry in the IR

$$t' = t + \frac{\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}, t)}{c^2}, \quad \mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{x}, \quad \varepsilon(\mathbf{x}, t) = \frac{\alpha(\mathbf{x}, t)}{N}$$

$$N'_i = N_i + N^2 \nabla_i \varepsilon, \quad A' = A + \varepsilon \dot{N} + \dot{\varepsilon} N - N N_i \nabla_i \varepsilon$$

$$ds_{eff}^2 = -c^2 \left(N^2 - \frac{N_i N^i - 2A_{IR} N}{c^2} \right) dt^2 + 2N_i dx^i dt + g_{ij} dx^i dx^j$$

Newtonian potential

$$\varphi_N = \frac{N_i N^i - 2NA_{IR}}{2c^2} = -\frac{A_{IR}}{2c^2} = -\frac{GM}{r} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{GM}{r}\right)^2$$

Hamiltonian Constraint

$$\int_L^{+\infty} dr \frac{r^2}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{2M}{r}}} V(r) = 0, \quad L \geq 2M$$

$$V(r) = \frac{6\alpha_2 M^2}{r^6} - \frac{6\beta_3 M^3}{r^9} - \frac{90\beta_5 M^2}{r^9} (r - 2M)$$

Blows up for $r=0$

In order to satisfy the Hamiltonian constraint in the second Class of solutions we have to introduce lower limit L for the radial coordinate r . However, the physical meaning of L is not clear.

Conclusions

- We have examined the most general case of spherically symmetric vacuum solutions in the framework of covariant HL gravity for $\lambda=1$.
- Solutions can be separated to two classes i) solutions with nonzero radial shift and ii) solutions with zero radial shift function .
- In the case i) Schwarzschild geometry is recovered in the IR but there is an arbitrariness in the choice of the non dynamical field A , which should satisfy the Hamiltonian constraint.
- In the case ii) we need the additional assumption of Horava and Melby-Tomson for the $U(1)$ as a space-time symmetry, in order to recover Schwarzschild geometry. Also there are serious problems when we try to satisfy the Hamiltonian constraint.

Topics for feature investigation

- **Spherically symmetric solutions, Newton's Law and IR limit $\lambda \rightarrow 1$, in Covariant Horava Lifshitz Gravity.** [Jean Alexandre](#), [Pavlos Pasipoularides](#), . Aug 2011. e-Print: [arXiv:1108.1348](#) [hep-th].
- Spherically symmetric solutions for non-projectable covariant HL gravity.
- **U(1) symmetry and elimination of spin-0 gravitons in Horava-Lifshitz gravity without the projectability condition.** [Tao Zhu](#), [Qiang Wu](#), [Anzhong Wang](#), [Fuwen Shu](#), . Aug 2011. [Temporary entry](#). e-Print: [arXiv:1108.1237](#) [hep-th]