

SEARCHES FOR SUSY AT

Corfu 2017 - Workshop on SM & Beyond September 7, 2017

Lade l'acc

Outline

- LHC playground
- Easy SUSY
- Pulling all the stops (and sbottoms too!)
- Ms. SUSY and Mr. HIGGS
- Weak SUSY
- Shining light on SUSY
- Decompressing
- Conclusions

N.B.: I'll focus on most recent results (many were published in the past 3 months!). Will skip many of the slides in this talk due to a lack of time, but leave them in for future reference.

The LHC Performance



2016 Data Taking

- About 40/fb has been delivered by the LHC in 2016, exceeding the integrated luminosity accumulated in all years before 2016 and expectations
- Thank you, the LHC, for a spectacular year!
- Most of the results in this talk are based on full 2016 data set



Slid



The 2017 Run Progress

- ◆ LHC reached peak luminosity of 1.7 x 10³⁴ cm⁻²s⁻¹
 - Scaled down to the design luminosity recently due to a problem with one dipole
 - CMS has installed new, 4-layer pixel detector
 - A bit of toothing pain, but taking data efficiently now
 - About half of last year data already on tape with about 10 weeks to go

CMS Peak Luminosity Per Day, pp, 2017, $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV

CMS Integrated Luminosity, pp, 2017, $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV







Three Miracles of SUSY



Elegant solution to the hierarchy problem (i.e., why the Higgs boson mass is not found at the Planck scale)

Gauge unification





Dark matter candidate with the right abundance



Four Pillars of SUSY Searches

 Signatures
Kinematic optimization

 Background determination

Interpretation





0





Greg Landsberg - SUSY Searches at CMS - Corfu 2017

9

Slide

Natural SUSY

- If SUSY is natural, we should find it soon:
 - And we most likely will find it by observing 3rd generation SUSY particles first
- Requires shifting of the SUSY search paradigm: going for the third generation partners, push gluino reach, and look for EW boson partners





Natural SUSY

- If SUSY is natural, we should find it soon:
 - And we most likely will find it by observing 3rd generation SUSY particles first
- Requires shifting of the SUSY search paradigm: going for the third generation partners, push gluino reach, and look for EW boson partners

Papucci, Ruderman, Weiler arXiv:1110.6926





natural SUSY



decoupled SUSY



Natural SUSY

- If SUSY is natural, we should find it soon:
 - And we most likely will find it by observing 3rd generation SUSY particles first
- Requires shifting of the SUSY search paradigm: going for the third generation partners, push gluino reach, and look for EW boson partners



9





SUSY Kinematics

- Look for pair-produced particles that cascade-decade with invisible particle emission
 - Generally can cluster all visible products in each hemisphere to form "pseudojets", resulting in a dijet + ME_T topology
- How to optimize the search to reduce backgrounds and at the same time retain information about characteristic SUSY masses?
 - CMS explored a number of different kinematic variables to optimize SUSY searches





SUSY Searches at CMS - Corfu 2017

Slide

The M_{T2} Variable

Lesters & Summers, hep-ph/9906349 MT2: "stransverse mass" - A/[r generalization of the transverse mas n gase of a T pair of invisible particles $\overline{ME7}$ For a simplified case of fit extra jets and zero masses for V_2 visible and invisible syste $M_{T2} \stackrel{0}{=} \frac{qqin}{p_T^{c1} + p_T^{c2} = p_T} \left[\max_{M_{T2}} m_T^{(1)}, m_T^{(2)} \right]$ $(M_{T2})^2 \simeq 2 p_{\tau}^{vis(1)} p_{\tau}^{vis(2)} (1 + cos\phi_{12})$ LM4 200 800 • $M_{T2} \sim ME_T$ for symmetric **Greg Landsberg Signal** 600 SUSY-like topologies 800 400 50 M_{T2} kills QCD ba 600 200 very efficiently: 400 800 200 400 600 • $M_{T2} \sim 0$ for dije PFMET 200 Background • $M_{T2} < ME_T$ in c 200 600 400 800 50 100 mismeasured c PFMET PFMET



More M_{T2}-like Variables

 Co-transverse mass M_{CT} [Tovey, arXiv:0802.2879; Polesello, Tovey, arXiv:0910.0174]

- $M_{CT}^2(v_1, v_2) \equiv [E_T(v_1) + E_T(v_2)]^2 [\mathbf{p_T}(v_1) \mathbf{p_T}(v_2)]^2$ where v_1 and v_2 are visible decay products of the two decay chains
- Has an endpoint related to the mass of the decaying pair-produced states (X): $M_X^2 M_{inv}^2$

 M_X

• For the tt background with lost leptons, using b-jets as visible particles $M_{CT} = \sqrt{2p_T^{b1}p_T^{b2}[1 + \cos(\Delta\phi_{bb})]}$ and taking into account $M_X = M_t$ and M_{inv} , so the endpoint is at the top quark mass





• Minimizes c.o.m. energy of the event within constraints

15

Slide

300

zor Variables



Easy SUSY



H_T+MH_T Search

- Classical search in all-hadronic final states requiring at least two jets and significant H_T, MH_T > 300 GeV
 - Categorization into 174 search regions (SRs):
 - $N_j = 2, 3-4, 5-6, 7-8, \ge 9$
 - $N_b = 0, 1, 2, \ge 3$
 - 10 (H_T,MH_T) regions (8 for $N_j \ge 7$
 - Δφ >0.5 (j₁, j₂) or >0.3 (j₃, j₄) (opening angle between the MH_T vector and the jet momentum)



- Also 10 aggregate larger search regions for easier reinterpretation
- Backgrounds determined mostly from control samples in data, augmented with simulations

100



Data/Background Prediction

Data agree well with the background predictions



CMS Collaboration arXiv:1704.07781



Data/Background Prediction

Data agree well with the background predictions





Gluino-Mediated Production





Direct Squark Production





M_{T2} Search

Similar to earlier MT2 analyses

- Bin in N_j , N_b , H_T , and M_{T2}
- Includes a monojet category (M_{T2} is not defined, so a simple selection is used) and five H_T multijet categories
- $\Delta \phi > 0.5$ (j₁, ..., j₄) or >0.3 (opening angle between the ME_T vector and the jet momentum
- Main backgrounds are lost lepton from W+jets and top quark events, irreducible Z(vv)+jets, and multijet
 - Estimated from control regions in data, augmented with MC simulations



Background Predictions

Data/background expectation agreement in some of





Greg Landsberg - SUSY Searches at CMS - Corfu 2017

24

Slide

Gluino-Mediated Production

Gluino-mediated sbottom, stop, and squark production limits



Direct Squark Production

Limits on direct stop, spottom, and squark production



SUSY Searches at CMS - Corfu 2017

Greg Landsberg -

25





All-Hadronic Summary: Gluinos

https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CMSPublic/PhysicsResultsSUS#Moriond 2017_36_fb_1



N.B. No significant improvement from the use of "designer variables" because of large cross section and very fine binning (limit-oriented analyses) - can be remedied by using "aggregate search regions" in case an excess is observed



2

Slide

All-Hadronic Summary: Gluinos

https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CMSPublic/PhysicsResultsSUS#Moriond 2017 36 fb 1



N.B. No significant improvement from the use of "designer variables" because of large cross section and very fine binning (limit-oriented analyses) - can be remedied by using "aggregate search regions" in case an excess is observed



All-Hadronic Summary: Squarks

https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CMSPublic/PhysicsResultsSUS#Moriond 2017 36 fb 1



N.B. Here "designer variables" help a bit, particularly for lightgeneration squarks (SUS-16-032 also uses them, see later)

28

Searches for Third Generation

00.95



Pulling all the Stops

 Direct stop production offers several search channels, which go beyond all-hadronic searches and that rely on special techniques, e.g., top quark reconstruction in a resolved and boosted cases

- All-hadronic searches with top quark reconstruction
- All-hadronic searches targeting compressed spectra
- Also generic leptonic analyses that do not attempt to reconstruct top quarks in the decay chains, yet are highly optimized for top squarks
 - Single-lepton search for gluino-mediated and direct stop production
 - Same-sign dilepton search



Third Generation SMS

- Third-generation decays in natural SUSY are rather simple
- The kinematics is determined by just a few mass splittings
 - Most of other 100+ MSSM parameters are typically of little relevance, which simplifies the searches and interpretation a lot
- Hence, move from cMSSM, pMSSM, etc framework to SMS (Simplified Model Spectra) - simple Feynman diagrams capturing most relevant aspects of a particular process
- For example, for direct squark pair productions, relevant transitions and possible mass hierarchies are relatively few:



31



Corfu 2017

All-Hadronic Search

 Targets both compressed and non-compressed scenarios by binning in the number of (b) jets and ME_T, and reconstructing both boosted (merged) and resolved W and t candidates (high-ΔM) or ISR jet boost and number of SV (low-ΔM)


$All_{\tilde{t}_1} - Hadropic_{\tilde{t}_1} Search (contdut)$

- Low $-\Delta M$ regions are sensitive to 4-body and P CMS Collaboration chargino-mediated decays \overline{b}
- Sensitivity to FCNC decays comes from search regions with no b jets or secondary vertices



e E E



All-Hadronic Search w/ t Tagger

pp $\rightarrow \tilde{t} \tilde{t}, \tilde{t} \rightarrow t \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ NLO+NLL exclusion

- Developed a sophisticated top quark tagged capable of optimal reconstruction merged and resolved topologies
- Uses M_{T2} (or H_T), ME_T, and number of (b) jets to define 83 search regions **CMS** Preliminary 35.9 fb⁻¹ (13 TeV) 800 10^{2}
- Sensitivity similar to the other all-hadronic search



34



Single-Lepton Stop Search

- Targets both neutralino- and chargino-mediated decays of the top squark, resulting in the same final state
- Require an e or μ , 2 or more jets (with 1 or more b-tagged) and ME_T > 250 GeV, and M_T > 150 GeV
- After these selections, the main background is from top quark pair production in the dilepton channel, with a lost lepton









Single-Lepton Results



No excess seen in any of the

m₇[GeV]

35.9 fb⁻¹ (13 TeV)

 $pp \rightarrow \tilde{t} \ \bar{\tilde{t}}, \tilde{t} \rightarrow t \ \tilde{\chi}^0$, NLO+NLL exclusion

upper limit on cross section [pb]

section [pb]

upper limit on cross

10

10

CMS

800



Single-Lepton Results



m_∼[GeV]

35.9 fb⁻¹ (13 TeV)

upper limit on cross section [pb]

cross section [pb]

Ы

upper limit

CMS

800



2017

OS Dilepton S



- Search for direct stop pair production in the dilepton final states, ee, eµ, µµ
 - Two MT_2 variables (*ll* and *blbl*) are used to control the top quark background and define 12 signal regions





Top Squark Summary

 Direct top squark searches are fairly optimized for this particular SUSY signature and also explore 3and 4-body decays, as well as FCNC ones



8000

Beyond the Low-Hanging Fruit

CMS

Single-Lepton Search w/ Merged Jets







RPV SUSY w/ Single Lepton

- Search for RPV gluino decay $\tilde{g} \to t\bar{t} \to tbs$ driven by the $\lambda^{"^{332}}$ coupling
- ◆ Also uses M_J as the sensitive variable; bins in number of (b) jets



OS Dileptons

CMS Collaboration SUS-16-034

- Classic channel where SUSY could result in an "edge" in dilepton mass
 - Some excitement from CMS Run 1 result (and ATLAS Run 1 on-Z excess)
 - Flavor-symmetric backgrounds estimated from eµ sample







Multilepton Searches

 Physics backgrounds from simulation (WZ/ZZ sample is normalized to data in a control region); misidentified-lepton backgrounds from data



CMS Collaboration SUS-16-041





Search for Stopped Particles

 Search for long-lived gluino top squarks stopped in the and decaying out of sync w crossings in the CMS calori

Sensitive to 13 orders of ma in lifetime





46



variables

Clean-Shaved

• Exploring clean $H(\gamma\gamma)$ decay mode for

CMS 35.9 fb⁻¹ (13 TeV) $pp \rightarrow \widetilde{b}\widetilde{b}, \widetilde{b} \rightarrow b \ \widetilde{\chi}_{2}^{0} \rightarrow bH \ \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}$ \blacksquare Observed ± 1 σ_{theory} m ₀ - m ₀ = 130 GeV Expected ± 1 $\sigma_{experiment}$ NLO+NLL exclusion 300 New search for Higgs bosons in SUSY dec 200 $\widetilde{\chi}_2^0$ M^{\cdot} 100 R 250 350 400 450 500 600 300 550 m_c [GéV] $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ 35.9 fb⁻¹ (13 TeV) CMS $pp \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_{i}^{0,\pm} \widetilde{\chi}_{i}^{0,\pm} \rightarrow \ \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} + X_{\text{soft}}; \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow H \ \widetilde{G} \ (100\%)$



one of the Higgs bosons







Weak SUSY



EW Production: Multileptons







ad

0

limit

per

 10^{-1}

10⁻²

10⁻³

5%



SUSY: Electroweak Production

Variety of channels and signatures, including the decays via WZ/WH





SUSY: Electroweak Production

Variety of channels and signatures, including the decays via WZ/WH



https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CMSPublic/PhysicsResultsSUS#Summer Conferences 2017 36 fb 1

SUSY w/ Photons





Search for Strong GMSB SUSY

• One or two photons, (b) jets, leptons, and ME_T in the final state • S_T^{γ} as a sensitive variable: ME_T + Σp_T^{γ}



54



Search for Strong GMSB SUSY

• One or two photons, (b) jets, leptons, and ME_T in the final state • S_T^{γ} as a sensitive variable: ME_T+ Σp_T^{γ}



Compressed SUSY

Search for 4-body Stop Decays

- For small mass splittings between stop and neutralino, expect 4-body decays via virtual chargino and W boson
- Require at least one soft lepton ($30 > p_T > 3.5-5$ GeV) and a hard ISR jet to aid the efficiency and triggering
- Background is dominated by diboson and W+jets, ^b production and determined using control regions in data

Also sensitive to chargino-mediated store





SUSY Searches at CMS - Corfu 2017 **Greg Landsberg**



SUSY Searches at CMS - Corfu 2017 **Greg Landsberg**





SUSY Searches at CMS - Corfu 2017

Greg Landsberg

58

Slide

Compressed Stop/Sbc



• Dedicated all-hadronic analysis with charm tagging and the use of M_{CT} variable: $M_{CT}^2(j_1, j_2) = 2p_T(j_1)p_T(j_2)(1 + \cos \Delta \phi(j_1, j_2))$



bin



CMS Collaboration arXiv:1707.07274



Supersymmetry or Supercemetry?

Summary of all recent results:



Only a selection of available mass limits. Probe *up to* the quoted mass limit for $m_{LSP} \approx 0$ GeV unless stated otherwise



Read the Fine Print!

- Much of the natural SUSY parameter space has been probed
- Yet, keep in mind that:
 - Searches typically assume 100% branching fraction in a particular channel'
 - Many searches assume mass degeneracy between various SUSY particles, e.g. squarks
 - Interpretation is simplified via SMS
- Important to read the fine print!

09



Read the Fine Print!

- Much of the natural SUSY parameter space has been probed
- Yet, keep in mind that:
 - Searches typically assume 100% branching fraction in a particular channel'
 - Many searches assume mass degeneracy between various SUSY particles, e.g. squarks
 - Interpretation is simplified via SMS
- Important to read the fine print!





Paradigm Shift

- Having found no SUSY so far in "standard" channels (strong production, large mass splittings), the searches shifted in the following directions
 - Search for SUSY in compressed spectrum scenarios (e.g, stop nearly degenerate with top quark + neutralino masses)
 - Use ISR as an important tool to boost compressed system
 - Search for EW production of SUSY particles
 - First sensitivity for Higgsino pair production in Run 1; now rapidly increasing the reach
 - Search for SUSY via Higgs boson in decay chains
 Just started to be sensitive
 - VBF SUSY production
 - Not yet sensitive but a powerful tool for the future


New Paradigms and New Tools

These new paradigms require new tools:

- Soft-lepton triggers
- Jet substructure techniques
- Ever increasing use of ISR as a tag
- Charm tagging
- Use of "designer" kinematic variables
- Optimal top quark reconstruction
- These tools are common between SUSY and many other searches, leading to significant crosspollination spreading across the search fields and also now being used in precision measurements



Conclusions

- Number of SUSY searches performed in Run 2, some using novel techniques
- Generally exceed Run 1 sensitivity across the board
- Focus on natural SUSY, with or without compressed spectrum
- So far, no exciting signs have been seen, but the quest continues
- Doubling of the data set expected this year and future data will allow us to cover more parameter space and start probing EW SUSY production in variety of channels, including VBF
- Stay tuned we are not done yet!

The Hunt is Going on!

