

Pre-NQ-manifolds and derived brackets in generalized geometry and double field theory

Andreas Deser¹, Christian Sämann²
arXiv: 1611.02772

¹Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Torino

² Department of Mathematics, Heriot-Watt University, Edinburgh

18. Sept. 2017
Corfu Summer Institute

Contents

Motivation: What is double field theory?

Questions

(Pre)-NQ-manifolds and derived brackets

Different brackets from the same principle

 Courant bracket

 C-bracket

Outlook + Questions

Motivation: What is double field theory?

Canonical momenta and winding

- ▶ Sigma model $X : \Sigma \rightarrow M = T^d$

$$S = \int_{\Sigma} h^{\alpha\beta} \partial_{\alpha} X^i \partial_{\beta} X^j G_{ij} d\mu_{\Sigma} + \int_{\Sigma} X^* B ,$$

where $h \in \Gamma(\otimes^2 T^* \Sigma)$, $G \in \Gamma(\otimes^2 TM)$, $B \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 T^* M)$.

- ▶ Classical solutions to e.o.m. (take *closed* string $\Sigma = \mathbb{R} \times S^1$)

$$X_R^i = x_{0R}^i + \alpha_0^i (\tau - \sigma) + i \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{1}{n} \alpha_n^i e^{-in(\tau - \sigma)} , \quad X_L^i = \dots ,$$

$$\alpha_0^i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} G^{ij} \left(p_j - (G_{jk} + B_{jk}) w^k \right) ,$$

- ▶ p_k : Canonical momentum zero modes
- ▶ w^k : *Winding* zero modes, $w^k := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \partial_{\sigma} X^k d\sigma$.

Motivation: What is double field theory?

Two sets of coordinates

- ▶ Two sets of momenta in $\alpha_0^i \rightarrow$ differential operators:

$$p_k \simeq \frac{1}{i} \partial_k, \quad w^k \simeq \frac{1}{i} \tilde{\partial}^k.$$

- ▶ Level matching $L_0 - \bar{L}_0$, with $L_0 = \frac{1}{2} \alpha_0^i G_{ij} \alpha_0^j + N - 1$ gives

$$N - \bar{N} = \partial_i \tilde{\partial}^i$$

- ▶ Want: If two fields obey the constraint, then also their product.
Thus choose a subset, which also has:

$$\partial_k \phi \tilde{\partial}^k \psi + \tilde{\partial}^k \phi \partial_k \psi = 0,$$

for all elements ϕ, ψ of the subset.

Motivation: What is double field theory?

$O(d, d)$ -transformations, generalized tangent bundle, Gualtieri, Hitchin

Observation 1: The strong constraint is given by

$$\eta^{MN} \partial_M \phi \partial_N \psi = 0, \quad \eta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

And stays the same if we apply a constant transformation that leaves η invariant:

$$A^t \eta A = \eta \quad \text{i.e.} \quad A \in O(d, d; \mathbb{R})$$

Observation 2: This is the structure group of the generalized tangent bundle, locally isomorphic to $TM \oplus T^*M$:

- ▶ Sections: $TM \oplus T^*M \ni s = s^i \partial_i + s_i dx^i$.
- ▶ Fundamental rep of $O(d, d)$: $s^M := (s^i, s_i)$.
- ▶ Bilinear pairing η : $\langle s, t \rangle = s^i t_i + s_i t^i = \eta_{MN} s^M t^N$.

Motivation: What is double field theory?

Action of DFT, C-bracket, Hohm, Hull, Zwiebach

Observation 3:

$$S_{DFT} = \int d^{2D}x e^{-2d} \left(\frac{1}{8} \mathcal{H}_{MN} \partial^M \mathcal{H}_{KL} \partial^N \mathcal{H}^{KL} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{H}_{MN} \partial^M \mathcal{H}_{KL} \partial^L \mathcal{H}^{KN} - 2 \partial^M d \partial^N \mathcal{H}_{MN} + 4 \mathcal{H}_{MN} \partial^M d \partial^N d \right).$$

Properties:

- ▶ The action has a global $O(d, d; \mathbb{R})$ -symmetry, and a gauge symmetry given by applying the **generalized Lie derivative**:

$$(\delta_X \mathcal{H})_{MN} := X^P \partial_P \mathcal{H}_{MN} + (\partial_M X^P - \partial^P X_M) \mathcal{H}_{PN} + (\partial_N X^P - \partial^P X_N) \mathcal{H}_{MP}.$$

- ▶ The commutator of two such transformations gives the **C-bracket**:

$$[V, W]_C^M := V^K \partial_K W^M - W^K \partial_K V^M - \frac{1}{2} \left(V^K \partial^M W_K - W^K \partial^M V_K \right).$$

Questions

- ▶ What is the geometric meaning of double fields, such as $d(x, \tilde{x})$, $V^M(x, \tilde{x})$, $\mathcal{H}_{MN}(x, \tilde{x})$? At least locally?
- ▶ Is there an algebraic way to understand the C-bracket?
- ▶ How does this apply to the Lie- and Courant bracket?

What is a derived bracket?

Motivation: An easy calculation...

Given a manifold M , consider $T[1]M$ with local coordinates (x^μ, ξ^μ) . Its shifted cotangent bundle $T^*[1]T[1]M$ locally has $(x^\mu, \xi^\mu, \zeta_\mu, p_\mu)$ of degree $(0, 1, 0, 1)$ and is Poisson:

$$\{p_\mu, x^\nu\} = \delta_\mu^\nu \quad \{\zeta_\mu, \xi^\nu\} = \delta_\mu^\nu.$$

In general, consider $T^*[n]T[1]M$ (Vinogradov algebroid of degree n). Let us call a degree $(n-1)$ object a *extended vector field*. E.g. above $X = X^\mu \zeta_\mu$.

What is a derived bracket?

Motivation: An easy calculation...

Let's take the operator $Q = \xi^\mu p_\mu$, and vector fields $X = X^\mu \zeta_\mu$, $Y = Y^\nu \zeta_\nu$, then we can do the following exercise:

$$\begin{aligned}\left\{ \left\{ Q, X \right\}, Y \right\} &= \left\{ \left\{ \xi^\mu p_\mu, X^\nu \zeta_\nu \right\}, Y^\rho \zeta_\rho \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \xi^\mu \partial_\mu X^\nu \zeta_\nu + X^\nu p_\nu, Y^\rho \zeta_\rho \right\} \\ &= -Y^\rho \partial_\rho X^\nu \zeta_\nu + X^\rho \partial_\rho Y^\nu \zeta_\nu \\ &= [X, Y]_{\text{Lie}}^\nu \zeta_\nu .\end{aligned}$$

We say, that the Lie bracket is a **derived bracket** (due to **Kosmann-Schwarzbach, Roytenberg, Voronov**).

The Courant bracket as derived bracket

Roytenberg, Weinstein

For a manifold M , take now $T^*[2]T[1]M$. Locally, coordinates are $(x^\mu, \xi^\mu, \zeta_\mu, p_\mu)$ of degrees 0, 1, 1, 2. We get

- ▶ $Q = \xi^\mu p_\mu$ squares to zero.
- ▶ Generalized vectors are degree 1 objects, i.e. $V = X^\mu \zeta_\mu + \alpha_\mu \xi^\mu$,
 $W = Y^\mu \zeta_\mu + \beta_\mu \xi^\mu$.
- ▶ Q on functions gives the de Rham differential.
- ▶ The derived bracket, i.e. $\{\{Q, V\}, W\} - V \leftrightarrow W$ results in

$$[X, Y]^\mu \zeta_\mu + (L_X \beta - L_Y \alpha - \frac{1}{2} d(\iota_X \beta - \iota_Y \alpha))_\mu \xi^\mu$$

i.e. we get the Courant bracket.

So we recover generalized geometry on a Courant algebroid.

More interesting: C-bracket as derived bracket

New result: Interpretation of the C-bracket

We take the same setting as before, but instead of M as base, we take T^*M , i.e. we take $T^*[2]T[1](T^*M)$. Local coordinates are now $(x^M, \xi^M, \zeta_M, p_M)$ of degree $(0, 1, 1, 2)$.

Problem: We now have too many “vectors”. We solve this by defining

$$\theta^M := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\xi^M + \eta^{MN}\zeta_N) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta^M := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\xi^M - \eta^{MN}\zeta_N),$$

and taking only θ^M as degree-1 coordinates. Taking

$$\{\theta^M, \theta^N\} = \eta^{MN},$$

we get a pre-NQ-manifold (no time to explain... \mathcal{Q} gives a sh Lie algebra).

More interesting: C-bracket as derived bracket

New result: Interpretation of the C-bracket

With this we get the following results:

- ▶ $\{Q, f\} = \theta^M \partial_M f$, i.e. the de Rham on the doubled space.
- ▶ For vectors $X = X_M \theta^M$, $Y = Y_M \theta^M$ we get, using $\eta^{MN} X_M \partial_N = X^N \partial_N$, the derived bracket $\{\{Q, X\}, Y\} - X \leftrightarrow Y$ gives

$$(X^M \partial_M Y_K - Y^M \partial_M X_K - \frac{1}{2}(Y^M \partial_K X_M - X^M \partial_K Y_M)) \theta^K,$$

i.e. the C-bracket of double field theory.

Outlook + Questions

- ▶ We gave a unified algebraic view on Lie-, Courant- and C-brackets.
- ▶ Everything was local. Global analysis? Gerbes, groupoids... What is the global description of double field theory? T-duality?
- ▶ For higher Vinogradov algebroids $\mathcal{V}_n(M)$, degree $n - 1$ -objects are

$$X = X^\mu \zeta_\mu + X_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{n-1}} \xi^{\mu_1} \dots \xi^{\mu_{n-1}},$$

i.e. sections of $TM \oplus \wedge^{n-1} T^*M$. For $n = 3$, we get the easiest case of *exceptional generalized geometry*, where the U-duality group is $SL(5, \mathbb{R})$. How about the other exceptional tangent bundles?

- ▶ What are torsion and Riemann tensors in exceptional generalized geometries? Do they have a meaning in Poisson geometry on certain Vinogradov algebroids?
- ▶ Quantization: If we can write the brackets in terms of Poisson brackets, we can do deformation quantization!

Outlook + Appendices

(Pre)-NQ-manifolds and derived brackets: Important definitions

Definition 1.

A **symplectic pre-NQ-manifold of \mathbb{N} -degree n** is an \mathbb{N} -graded manifold \mathcal{M} , together with symplectic form ω of degree n and a vector field Q of degree 1, satisfying $L_Q\omega = 0$.

Examples

An important class where in addition $Q^2 = 0$, are the **Vinogradov Lie n -algebroids**:

$$\mathcal{V}_n(M) := T^*[n]T[1]M .$$

They have the following properties:

- ▶ Local coordinates $(x^\mu, \xi^\mu, \zeta_\mu, p_\mu)$ of degrees 0, 1, $n-1$, n .
- ▶ Symplectic form $\omega = dx^\mu \wedge dp_\mu + d\xi^\mu \wedge d\zeta_\mu$
- ▶ Nilpotent vector field Q with Hamiltonian $\mathcal{Q} = \xi^\mu p_\mu$, i.e. $\{\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}\} = 0$.

Constructing the brackets

Getzler, Fiorenza, Manetti

Let \mathcal{M} be a symplectic pre- NQ -manifold. Functions on the body M are degree-0 objects, i.e. $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathcal{M})$. We choose as analogue of vector fields degree $(n-1)$ -objects $X \in C_{n-1}^\infty(\mathcal{M})$, call them **extended vector fields**. Then define n -ary brackets by

$$\mu_1(V) = \begin{cases} \{Q, V\}, & \text{if } V \text{ has degree } 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\mu_2(V, W) = \frac{1}{2}(\{\delta V, W\} - \{\delta W, V\})$$

$$\mu_3(V, W, U) = -\frac{1}{12}(\{\{\delta V, W\}, U\} \pm \dots)$$

...

$$\text{where } \delta V := \begin{cases} \{Q, V\}, & \text{if } V \text{ has degree } n-1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Conditions for L_∞ -structure

If $Q^2 = 0$, the above brackets form an L_∞ structure. In our case we want to investigate conditions that this is also true, especially for $n = 2$, where we found the following

Theorem 1.

Consider the subset of $C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ consisting of functions and extended vector fields, i.e. $C_0^\infty(\mathcal{M}) \oplus C_1^\infty(\mathcal{M})$. If the Poisson brackets and the maps μ_i close on this subset, the latter is an L_∞ -algebra if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}\{Q^2 f, g\} + \{Q^2 g, f\} &= 0, \\ \{Q^2 X, f\} + \{Q^2 f, X\} &= 0, \\ \{\{Q^2 X, Y\}, Z\}_{[X, Y, Z]} &= 0,\end{aligned}$$

for all functions f, g and extended vector fields X, Y, Z . The notation $Q^2 f$ means $\{Q, \{Q, f\}\}$ and the subscript $[X, Y, Z]$ means the alternating sum over X, Y, Z .