FFLO States in Holographic Superconductors

Lefteris Papantonopoulos

Work in progress with J. Alsup and S. Siopsis, first results in [arXiv:1208.4582 [hep-th]] Dedicated to the memory of Petros Skamagoulis



Department of Physics National Technical University of Athens

XVIII European Workshop on String Theory Corfu, September 2012 1 Introduction

PFLO states

Holographic FFLO states

Geometrical generation of holographic FFLO states

5 Conclusions

The application of the AdS/CFT correspondence to condense matter physics has developed into one of the most productive topics of string theory.

Holographic principle: understanding strongly coupled phenomena of condensed matter physics by studying their weakly coupled gravity duals.

Applications to:

- Conventional and unconventional superfluids and superconductors
 [S. A. Hartnoll, C. P. Herzog, and G. T. Horowitz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 031601 (2008)]
- Fermi liquids

[S. Bhattacharyya, V. E. Hubeny, S. Minwalla and M. Rangamani, JHEP 0802, 045 (2008)]

• Quantum phase transitions

[M. Cubrovic, J. Zaanen and K. Schalm, Science 325, 439 (2009)]

In cuprates and iron pnictides which are high-Tc superconductors it was found that competing orders coexist indicating that there is a breaking of the lattice symmetries. This breaking introduces inhomogeneities.

Spatially inhomogeneous phases appear in:

- Models with spontaneous modulation of the electronic charge (CDW) and spin density (SDW), below a critical temperature T_c.
 [A. Aperis, P. Kotetes, E. Papantonopoulos, G. Siopsis, P. Skamagoulis and G. Varelogiannis, Phys. Lett. B **702**, 181 (2011)]
 [R. Flauger, E. Pajer and S. Papanikolaou, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 064009 (2011)]
- Strong magnetic field induces inhomogeneous structures in holographic superconductors.

[T. Albash and C. V. Johnson, JHEP 0809, 121 (2008), [arXiv:0804.3466 [hep-th]]]

• Spatially modulated phases were generated in five-dimensional Einstein-Maxwell theory with a Chern-Simons term.

[K. Maeda, M. Natsuume and T. Okamura, Phys. Rev. D 81, 026002 (2010), [arXiv:0910.4475 [hep-th]]]

 Inhomogeneous structures were also investigated in holographic superconductors including domain wall like defects.

[V. Keranen, E. Keski-Vakkuri, S. Nowling and K. P. Yogendran, Phys. Rev. D 80, 121901 (2009) [arXiv:0906.5217 [hep-th]]]

Holographic principle: Homogeneous superfuilds

- The gravity sector consists of a system with a black hole and a charged scalar field, in which the black hole admits scalar hair at temperature smaller than a critical temperature, while there is no scalar hair at larger temperatures.
- This breaking of the Abelian U(1) symmetry corresponds in the boundary theory to a scalar operator which condenses at a critical temperature proportional to the charged density of the scalar potential.
- Fluctuations of the vector potential below the critical temperature give the frequency dependent conductivity in the boundary theory.

Holographic principle: Inhomogeneous superfuilds

- Introduce a modulated chemical potential which is translated into a modulated boundary value for the electrostatic potential in the AdS black hole gravity background.
- From an Einstein-Maxwell scalar system solutions can be obtained, which below a critical temperature show that the system undergoes a phase transition and a condensate can develop with a non vanishing modulation. Depending on what symmetries are broken, the modulated condensate corresponds to ordered states like CDW or SDW in the boundary.

Appearance of FFLO states

* Modulated order parameters appear as competing phases with normal superconducting phases in superconductor-ferromagnetic (S/F) systems.

* Strong magnetic field, coupled to the spins of the conduction electrons, gives rise to a separation of the Fermi surfaces corresponding to electrons with opposite spins. If the separation is too high, the pairing is destroyed and there is a transition from the superconducting state to the normal one (paramagnetic effect).

* A a new state could be formed, close to the transition line. This state, known as the FFLO state, has the feature of exhibiting an order parameter, which is not a constant, but has a space variation.

[P. Fulde and R. A. Ferrell, Phys. Rev. 135, A550 (1964)]

[A. I. Larkin and Y. N. Ovchinnikov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 47, 1136 (1964) [Sov. Phys. JETP 20, 762 (1965)]]

* The space modulation arises because the electron pair has nonzero total momentum, and it leads to the possibility of a nonuniform or anisotropic ground state, breaking translational and rotational symmetries.

FFLO states



Figure: The (qualitative) phase diagram of holographic superfluids. At zero temperature, a quantum critical point is found for velocities below a critical value, ξ_c where $\xi = A_x/\mu$ is the superfluid velocity. Above ξ_c the system enters a more anisotropic phase.

(This figure appears in D. Arean, M. Bertolini, C. Krishnan and T. Prochazka, JHEP 1109, 131 (2011)

Generalized Ginzburg-Landau expansion: FFLO states

In the standard Ginzburg-Landau functional

$$F = a|\psi|^2 + \gamma |\overrightarrow{\nabla}\psi|^2 + \frac{b}{2}|\psi|^4$$

where ψ is the superconducting order parameter, the coefficient a vanishes at the transition temperature T_c . At $T < T_c$, the coefficient a is negative and the minimum of F occurs for a uniform superconducting state with $|\psi|^2 = -a/b$.

In the case of the paramagnetic effect all the coefficients in the F functional will be proportional to the magnetic field B. Then the coefficient γ changes its sign at a point in the (B,T) phase diagram indicating that the minimum of the functional does not correspond to a uniform state, and a spatial variation of the order parameter decreases the energy of the system.

To describe such a situation it is necessary to add a higher order derivative term in the expansion of F:

$$F_G = a(B,T)|\psi|^2 + \gamma(B,T)|\overrightarrow{\nabla}\psi|^2 + \frac{\eta(B,T)}{2}|\overrightarrow{\nabla}^2\psi|^2 + \frac{b(B,T)}{2}|\psi|^4$$

(See the review A. I. Buzdin, Rev. Mod. Phys. 77, 935 (2005))

Attemps to generate gravity duals of FFLO states

 \ast A theory resulting from a consistent truncation of low energy type IIB string theory was considered with action

$$S_{IIB} = \int d^{5}x \sqrt{-g} \left[R - \frac{L^{2}}{3} F_{ab} F^{ab} + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{2L}{3}\right)^{3} \epsilon^{abcde} F_{ab} F_{cd} A_{e} + \frac{1}{2} \left((\partial_{a}\psi)^{2} + \sinh^{2}\psi (\partial_{a}\theta - 2A_{a})^{2} - \frac{6}{L^{2}} \cosh^{2}\left(\frac{\psi}{2}\right) (5 - \cosh\psi) \right) \right]$$

were the scalar was splitted into a phase and its modulus in the form $\psi e^{i\theta}$. The Abelian gauge field A was dual to an R-symmetry in the boundary field theory and the scalar field has R-charge R = 2.

They analyzed the theory and they found that when the superfluid velocity $\xi = A_{x,0}/\mu$ becomes too large the anisotropy becomes too strong to be washed out in the IR. They conjectured that this behaviour may be connected with anisotropic FFLO phase.

[D. Arean, M. Bertolini, C. Krishnan and T. Prochazka, JHEP 1109, 131 (2011)]]

FFLO states

 $\ensuremath{\,\times\,}$ A s-wave unbalanced unconventional superconductor in 2+1 dimensions was considered with action

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa_4^2} \int dx^4 \sqrt{-g} \left[\mathcal{R} + \frac{6}{L^2} - \frac{1}{4} F_{ab} F^{ab} - \frac{1}{4} Y_{ab} Y^{ab} - V(|\psi|) - |\partial \psi - iqA\psi|^2 \right]$$

The Maxwell field A_a (resp. B_a) with field strength F = dA (resp. Y = dB) is the holographic dual of the $U(1)_A$ "charge" (resp. $U(1)_B$ "spin") current of the 2+1 dimensional field theory. The following ansatz for the fields was considered

$$\psi = \psi(r), \quad A_a dx^a = \phi(r) dt, \quad B_a dx^a = v(r) dt$$

and the vector fields at the boundary were given by

$$\begin{split} \phi(r) &= \mu - \frac{\rho}{r} + \dots \quad \text{as} \quad r \to \infty \,, \\ v(r) &= \delta \mu - \frac{\delta \rho}{r} + \dots \quad \text{as} \quad r \to \infty \end{split}$$

They analyzed the theory but no evidence for a FFLO state was found.

[F. Bigazzi, A. L. Cotrone, D. Musso, N. P. Fokeeva and D. Seminara, JHEP 1202, 078 (2012) [arXiv:1111.6601 [hep-th]]

Holographic FFLO states

Consider the action

$$S = \int d^{4}x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{R + 6/L^{2}}{16\pi G} - \frac{1}{4} F_{AB} F^{AB} - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{F}_{AB} \mathcal{F}^{AB} \right]$$

where $F_{AB} = \partial_A A_B - \partial_B A_A$, $\mathcal{F}_{AB} = \partial_A \mathcal{A}_B - \partial_B \mathcal{A}_A$ are the field strengths of the U(1) potentials A_A and \mathcal{A}_A , respectively.

The Einstein-Maxwell equations admit a solution which is a four-dimensional AdS black hole of two U(1) charges,

$$ds^{2} = \frac{1}{z^{2}} \left[-h(z)dt^{2} + \frac{dz^{2}}{h(z)} + dx^{2} + dy^{2} \right]$$

with the horizon radius set at z = 1.

The two sets of Maxwell equations admit solutions of the form, respectively,

$$A_t = \mu (1 - z)$$
, $A_z = A_x = A_y = 0$

and

$$\mathcal{A}_y = \mathcal{B}x \ , \ \ \mathcal{A}_t = \mathcal{A}_x = \mathcal{A}_z = 0$$

with corresponding field strengths having non-vanishing components for an electric and a magnetic field in the z-direction, respectively,

$$F_{tz} = -F_{zt} = \mu$$
 , $\mathcal{F}_{xy} = -\mathcal{F}_{yx} = \mathcal{B}$

Then from the Einstein equations we obtain

$$h(z) = 1 - \left(1 + \frac{\mathcal{B}^2 + \mu^2}{4}\right)z^3 + \frac{\mathcal{B}^2 + \mu^2}{4}z^4$$

The Hawking temperature is

$$T = -\frac{h'(1)}{4\pi} = \frac{3}{4\pi} \left[1 - \frac{\mathcal{B}^2 + \mu^2}{12} \right]$$

We now consider a scalar field ϕ , of mass m, and $U(1)^2$ charge (q, 0), with the action

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\left| D_A \phi \right|^2 - m^2 \left| \phi \right|^2 \right]$$

where $D_A = \partial_A + iqA_A$.

The asymptotic behavior (as $z \rightarrow 0$) of the scalar field is

$$\phi \sim z^{\Delta}$$
, $\Delta(\Delta - 3) = m^2$

For a given mass, there are, in general, two choices of Δ ,

$$\Delta = \Delta_{\pm} = \frac{3}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{9}{4} + m^2}$$

leading to two distinct physical systems.

As we lower the temperature, an instability arises and the system undergoes a second-order phase transition with the black hole developing hair. This occurs at a critical temparture T_c which is found by solving the scalar wave equation in the above background,

$$\partial_z^2 \phi + \left[\frac{h'}{h} - \frac{2}{z}\right] \partial_z \phi + \frac{1}{h} \nabla_2^2 \phi - \frac{1}{h} \left[\frac{m^2}{z^2} - q^2 \frac{A_t^2}{h}\right] \phi = 0$$

Although the above wave equation possesses (x,y)-dependent solutions, the symmetric solution dominates and the hair that forms has no (x,y) dependence. To see this, let us introduce x-dependence and consider a static scalar field of the form

$$\phi(z, x, y) = \psi(z)e^{iQx}$$

The wave equation becomes

$$\psi'' + \left[\frac{h'}{h} - \frac{2}{z}\right]\psi' - \frac{Q^2}{h}\psi - \frac{1}{h}\left[\frac{m^2}{z^2} - q^2\frac{A_t^2}{h}\right]\psi = 0$$

There is a scaling symmetry

$$\begin{array}{ll} z & \rightarrow \lambda z \ , \ x \rightarrow \lambda x \ , \ \ Q \rightarrow Q/\lambda \ , \\ \mu & \rightarrow \mu/\lambda \ , \ \ \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}/\lambda^2 \ , \ \ T \rightarrow T/\lambda \end{array}$$

so we work only with scale-invariant quantities, such as T/μ , \mathcal{B}/μ^2 , Q/μ , etc. It is convenient to introduce the scale-invariant parameter

$$\beta = \frac{\sqrt{\mathcal{B}}}{q\mu}$$

to describe the effect of the magnetic field \mathcal{B} of the second U(1).

Holographic FFLO states

The system is defined uniquely by specifying the parameters q and Δ . Then the critical temperature at which the second-order phase transition occurs is,

$$\frac{T_c}{\mu} = \frac{T}{\mu_c} = \frac{3}{4\pi\mu_c} \left[1 - \frac{\mu_c^2 (1 + q^4 \beta^4 \mu_c^2)}{12} \right]$$

For Q = 0, we recover the homogeneous solution. As we increase β , the temperature decreases. For a given $\beta > 0$, the black hole is of the Reissner-Nordström form with effective chemical potential

$$\mu_{\rm eff}^2 = \mu_c^2 (1 + q^4 \beta^4 \mu_c^2)$$

The scalar wave equation is the same as its counterpart in a Reissner-Nordström background, but with effective charge

$$q_{\rm eff}^2 = \frac{q^2}{1 + q^4 \beta^4 \mu_c^2}$$

so that $q_{\rm eff}\mu_{\rm eff}=q\mu_c.$

An instability occurs for all values of q_{eff} , including $q_{\text{eff}} = 0$, if $\Delta \leq \Delta_*$, where $\Delta_* = \Delta_+$ for $m^2 = -\frac{3}{2}$, or explicitly,

$$\Delta_* = \frac{3 + \sqrt{3}}{2} \approx 2.366$$

For $\Delta \leq \Delta_*$, β can increase indefinitely. The critical temperature has a minimum value and as $\beta \to \infty$, T_c diverges.

For $\Delta>\Delta_*$, $q_{\rm eff}$ has a minimum value at which the critical temperature vanishes and the black hole attains extremality. This is found by considering the limit of the near horizon region. One obtains

$$q_{\text{eff}} \ge q_{\min}$$
, $q_{\min}^2 = \frac{3 + 2\Delta(\Delta - 3)}{4}$

At the minimum ($T_c=0$), $\mu_{\rm eff}^2=12$, and β attains its maximum value,

$$\beta \le \beta_{\max}$$
, $\beta_{\max}^4 = \frac{1}{12q_{\min}^2} \left(\frac{1}{q_{\min}^2} - \frac{1}{q^2}\right)$

This limit is reminiscent of the Chandrasekhar and Clogston limit in a S/F system, in which a ferromagnet at T=0 cannot remain a superconductor with a uniform condensate.

[B. S. Chandrasekhar, Appl. Phys. Lett. 1, 7 (1962). A. M. Clogston, Phys. Rev. Lett. 9, 266 (1962)]

In the inhomogeneous case $(Q \neq 0)$, the above argument still holds with the replacement $m^2 \rightarrow m^2 + Q^2$. The effect of this modification is to increase the minimum effective charge to

$$q_{\min}^2 = \frac{3 + 2\Delta(\Delta - 3) + 2Q^2}{4}$$

and thus decrease the maximum value of β .

We always obtain a critical temperature which is lower than the corresponding critical temperature (for same β) in the homogeneous case (Q = 0).

Now let us add a magnetic interaction term to the action,

$$S_{\rm int} = \xi \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} |\mathcal{F}^{AB}\partial_B\phi|^2$$

The wave equation is modified to

$$\psi^{\prime\prime} + \left[\frac{h^{\prime}}{h} - \frac{2}{z}\right]\psi^{\prime} - \frac{Q^2}{h}\left[1 - \xi\mathcal{B}^2 z^4\right]\psi$$
$$-\frac{1}{h}\left[\frac{m^2}{z^2} - q^2\frac{A_t^2}{h}\right]\psi = 0$$

Holographic FFLO states

Evidently, if we set Q = 0, the effect of the interaction term (1) disappears, therefore the homogeneous solution is unaltered. For $Q \neq 0$, we obtain modified solutions. The behavior is shown in figure 4. The figure also displays the effect of Q on β_{\max} (1) for $\xi = 0$.



Figure: The critical temperature vs. the magnetic field numerically calculated with q = 10 and $\Delta = 5/2$. The dotted lines are calculated with $\xi = 0$ while the solid use $\xi = .10$. Starting from the top, on the vertical axis, the lines are $\frac{Q^2}{(q\mu)^2} = 0$, .05, .10, .15, .25, and .35.

The interaction term alters the near horizon limit of the theory so that $m^2 \rightarrow m^2 + Q^2 \left(1 - \xi q^4 \beta^4 \mu_c^4\right)$.

The modifications are most pronounced for large β leading to temperatures which are higher than the critical temperature of the corresponding homogeneous solution.



Figure: The top line on the left-hand side of the graph corresponds to the homogeneous solution, with lines $\frac{Q^2}{(q\mu)^2} = .15, .35$ below. The critical temperature of the homogeneous solution is found to decrease below the inhomogeneous lines for large β . We used q = 10, $\Delta = 5/2$, and $\xi = .10$.

Geometrical generation of holographic FFLO states

To generate the gravity dual of a FFLO state there must be a direct coupling of the magnetic field to the scalar field which condenses.

Why not generate this coupling geometrically?

Consider again the action

$$S = \int d^{4}x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{R + 6/L^{2}}{16\pi G} - \frac{1}{4} F_{AB} F^{AB} - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{F}_{AB} \mathcal{F}^{AB} \right]$$

The Maxwell equations have as solutions

$$A_t = \mu (1 - z)$$
, $A_z = A_x = A_y = 0$

and

$$\mathcal{A}_t = \delta \mu (1-z) , \quad \mathcal{A}_z = \mathcal{A}_x = \mathcal{A}_y = 0$$

with corresponding field strengths having non-vanishing components for electric fields in the z-direction, respectively,

$$F_{tz} = -F_{zt} = \mu \quad , \qquad \mathcal{F}_{tz} = -\mathcal{F}_{zt} = \delta\mu$$

Then from the Einstein equations we obtain

$$h(z) = 1 - \left(1 + \frac{\mu^2 + \delta\mu^2}{4}\right)z^3 + \frac{\mu^2 + \delta\mu^2}{4}z^4$$

The Hawking temperature is

$$T = -\frac{h'(1)}{4\pi} = \frac{3}{4\pi} \left[1 - \frac{\mu^2 + \delta\mu^2}{12} \right]$$

In the limit $\mu, \delta \mu \rightarrow 0$ we recover the Schwarzschild black hole.

We now consider a scalar field ϕ , of mass m, and $U(1)^2$ charge (q, 0), coupled to the Einstein tensor. The action is

$$S = \int d^{4}x \sqrt{-g} \left[\left(g^{AB} + \xi G^{AB} \right) (D_{A}\phi)^{*} D_{B}\phi - m^{2} |\phi|^{2} \right]$$

where $D_A = \partial_A + iqA_A$ and G_{AB} is the Einstein tensor.

For $\xi = 0$ the analysis goes through as before.

Now let us consider the effect of the coupling to the Einstein tensor by setting $\xi \neq 0$

The wave equation is modified to

$$\begin{split} \psi'' + \left[\frac{h'}{h} + \frac{f'_{+}}{f_{+}} - \frac{2}{z}\right]\psi' - \frac{\tau}{h}\frac{f_{-}}{f_{+}}\psi \\ -\frac{1}{h}\left[\frac{m^{2}}{z^{2}f_{+}} - q^{2}\frac{A_{t}^{2}}{h}\right]\psi &= 0 \end{split}$$

where

$$f_{\pm} = 1 + \xi \left[-3 \pm \frac{\mu^2 + \delta \mu^2}{4} z^4 \right]$$

The boundary behavior is altered. As $z \to 0,$ we obtain $\phi \sim z^{\Delta},$ where

$$\Delta = \Delta_{\pm} = \frac{3}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{9}{4} + \frac{m^2}{1 - 3\xi}}$$



Figure: The critical temperature vs. the magnetic field numerically calculated with q = 10 and $\Delta = 5/2$. The dotted lines are calculated with $\xi = 0$ while the solid use $\xi = .10$. Starting from the top, on the vertical axis, the lines are $\frac{Q^2}{(q\mu)^2} = 0$, .05, .10, .15, .25, and .35.

The coupling to the Einstein tensor alters the near horizon limit of the theory so that

$$m^2 \to \frac{m^2 + \tau f_-(1)}{f_+(1)}$$

The minimum effective charge is found by setting T = 0. Then $\mu_{\text{eff}}^2 = \mu^2 + \delta \mu^2 = 12$, and $f_{\pm}(1) = 1 + \xi(-3 \pm 3)$. We deduce

$$q_{\min}^2 = \frac{3 + 2\Delta(\Delta - 3) + 2(1 - 6\xi)\tau}{4}$$

 $\xi > \frac{1}{6}$

For

the minimum charge, we get the maximum value of β , compared to the value in the homogeneous case ($\tau = 0$).

Thus, there is a neighborhood near zero temperature in which the inhomogeneous solution has higher critical temperature than the homogeneous one.



Figure: The top line on the left-hand side of the graph corresponds to the homogeneous solution, with lines $\frac{Q^2}{(q\mu)^2} = .15, .35$ below. The critical temperature of the homogeneous solution is found to decrease below the inhomogeneous lines for large β . We used q = 10, $\Delta = 5/2$, and $\xi = .10$.

• With an interaction term:

- Introduce two gauge fields one electric one magnetic, acting on spins
- Introduce inhomogeneities. Temperature always lower than the homogeneous case
- Introduce interaction term between magnetic field and scalar field. Temperature of inhomogeneous case higher than the homogeneous case. Generation of FFLO states.

• With a derivative coupling:

- Introduce two gauge fields, the second one with unbalanced chemical potentia
- Introduce inhomogeneities. Temperature always lower than the homogeneous case
- Introduce the derivative coupling. Temperature of inhomogeneous case higher than the homogeneous case. Generation of FFLO states.

- With an interaction term:
 - Introduce two gauge fields one electric one magnetic, acting on spins
 - Introduce inhomogeneities. Temperature always lower than the homogeneous case
 - Introduce interaction term between magnetic field and scalar field. Temperature of inhomogeneous case higher than the homogeneous case. Generation of FFLO states.
- With a derivative coupling:
 - Introduce two gauge fields, the second one with unbalanced chemical potentia
 - Introduce inhomogeneities. Temperature always lower than the homogeneous case
 - Introduce the derivative coupling. Temperature of inhomogeneous case higher than the homogeneous case. Generation of FFLO states.

- With an interaction term:
 - Introduce two gauge fields one electric one magnetic, acting on spins
 - Introduce inhomogeneities. Temperature always lower than the homogeneous case
 - Introduce interaction term between magnetic field and scalar field. Temperature of inhomogeneous case higher than the homogeneous case. Generation of FFLO states.
- With a derivative coupling:
 - Introduce two gauge fields, the second one with unbalanced chemical potentia
 - Introduce inhomogeneities. Temperature always lower than the homogeneous case
 - Introduce the derivative coupling. Temperature of inhomogeneous case higher than the homogeneous case. Generation of FFLO states.

- With an interaction term:
 - · Introduce two gauge fields one electric one magnetic, acting on spins
 - Introduce inhomogeneities. Temperature always lower than the homogeneous case
 - Introduce interaction term between magnetic field and scalar field. Temperature of inhomogeneous case higher than the homogeneous case. Generation of FFLO states.

• With a derivative coupling:

- Introduce two gauge fields, the second one with unbalanced chemical potentia
- Introduce inhomogeneities. Temperature always lower than the homogeneous case
- Introduce the derivative coupling. Temperature of inhomogeneous case higher than the homogeneous case. Generation of FFLO states.

- With an interaction term:
 - Introduce two gauge fields one electric one magnetic, acting on spins
 - Introduce inhomogeneities. Temperature always lower than the homogeneous case
 - Introduce interaction term between magnetic field and scalar field. Temperature of inhomogeneous case higher than the homogeneous case. Generation of FFLO states.

• With a derivative coupling:

- Introduce two gauge fields, the second one with unbalanced chemical potentia
- Introduce inhomogeneities. Temperature always lower than the homogeneous case
- Introduce the derivative coupling. Temperature of inhomogeneous case higher than the homogeneous case. Generation of FFLO states.

- With an interaction term:
 - · Introduce two gauge fields one electric one magnetic, acting on spins
 - Introduce inhomogeneities. Temperature always lower than the homogeneous case
 - Introduce interaction term between magnetic field and scalar field. Temperature of inhomogeneous case higher than the homogeneous case. Generation of FFLO states.
- With a derivative coupling:
 - Introduce two gauge fields, the second one with unbalanced chemical potential
 - Introduce inhomogeneities. Temperature always lower than the homogeneous case
 - Introduce the derivative coupling. Temperature of inhomogeneous case higher than the homogeneous case. Generation of FFLO states.

- With an interaction term:
 - Introduce two gauge fields one electric one magnetic, acting on spins
 - Introduce inhomogeneities. Temperature always lower than the homogeneous case
 - Introduce interaction term between magnetic field and scalar field. Temperature of inhomogeneous case higher than the homogeneous case. Generation of FFLO states.
- With a derivative coupling:
 - Introduce two gauge fields, the second one with unbalanced chemical potential
 - Introduce inhomogeneities. Temperature always lower than the homogeneous case
 - Introduce the derivative coupling. Temperature of inhomogeneous case higher than the homogeneous case. Generation of FFLO states.

- With an interaction term:
 - Introduce two gauge fields one electric one magnetic, acting on spins
 - Introduce inhomogeneities. Temperature always lower than the homogeneous case
 - Introduce interaction term between magnetic field and scalar field. Temperature of inhomogeneous case higher than the homogeneous case. Generation of FFLO states.
- With a derivative coupling:
 - Introduce two gauge fields, the second one with unbalanced chemical potential
 - Introduce inhomogeneities. Temperature always lower than the homogeneous case
 - Introduce the derivative coupling. Temperature of inhomogeneous case higher than the homogeneous case. Generation of FFLO states.