

INVISIBLE TRACES OF CONFORMAL SYMMETRY BREAKING

Maciej Kierkla

Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw,

in collaboration with Alexandros Karam, Bogumiła Świeżewska.



















Thermaltunnelling

- Decay rate is given as: $\Gamma(T)\simeq T^4\left(rac{S_3}{2\pi T}
 ight)^{rac{3}{2}}e^{-S_3/T}$
- The action of the field configuration

$$\left(2\pi T\right)$$

$$S_3 = 4\pi \int r^2 \, \mathrm{d}r \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\varphi}{\mathrm{d}r}\right)^2 + V_{\mathrm{eff}}(\varphi, T)\right]$$



Cosmologicalphasetransitions

• Strength of the transition

$$\alpha \sim \frac{\Delta V}{\rho_{\rm rad}(T_p)}$$

- Average bubble radius R_* or time scale $\,eta\sim R_*^{-1}$
- Bubble wall velocity $\, v_w$







C. D. Carone, R. Ramos, arXiv:1307.8428, T. Hambye, A. Strumia, D. Teresi, arXiv:1306.2329

Classical conformal symmetry

All masses generated via Coleman-Weinberg mechanism SU(2)cSM

Higgs portal

Hierarchy problem alleviated

$$V_{\text{tree}} = \frac{1}{4} \left(\lambda_1 h^4 + \lambda_2 h^2 \phi^2 + \lambda_3 \phi^4 \right)$$

Classical conformal symmetry

All masses generated via Coleman-Weinberg mechanism

SU(2)cSM

Higgs portal

Perturbative and stable up to the Planck scale

Vector DM candidate, gauge boson X

Exhibits supercooling

 $V_{\text{tree}} = \frac{1}{4} \left(\lambda_1 h^4 + \lambda_2 h^2 \phi^2 + \lambda_3 \phi^4 \right)$

Hierarchy problem alleviated

C. D. Carone, R. Ramos, *arXiv:1307.8428,* T. Hambye, A. Strumia, arXiv:1306.2329

Tunneling scenario in SU(2)cSM



Tunnelling occurs only in the new scalar direction!

Coleman-Weinberg Mechanism

Example: massless scalar electrodynamics.



$$V_{CW} = \frac{\lambda}{\underbrace{4!}_{V_{\text{tree}}}} \phi^4$$

Coleman-Weinberg Mechanism

Example: massless scalar electrodynamics.



Introducing: supercooling



Features:

- phase transition happens at temperatures significantly below EW scale,
- thermally produced barrier lasts till *T*= 0,
- Induces strong Gravitational Wave signal.





One can also use an approximation:

But not this one $\frac{S_3}{T} \simeq 140$ $\frac{\Gamma(T_n)}{H(T_n)^4} \simeq 1 \Rightarrow \frac{S_3}{T_n} = 4 \log\left(\frac{T_n}{H(T_n)}\right)$





One can also use an approximation:

$$\frac{\Gamma(T_n)}{H(T_n)^4} \simeq 1 \Rightarrow \frac{S_3}{T_n} = 4 \log\left(\frac{T_n}{H(T_n)}\right)$$

• Percolation temperature



Probability of point still in false vacuum is $P=e^{-I\left(T\right)}$, where

 $I(\overline{T})~~{
m is}$ the volume converted into true vacuum

Then we solve for condition:

 $I(T_p) \simeq 0.34$





One can also use an approximation:

$$\frac{\Gamma(T_n)}{H(T_n)^4} \simeq 1 \Rightarrow \frac{S_3}{T_n} = 4 \log\left(\frac{T_n}{H(T_n)}\right)$$

Percolation temperature



Probability of point still in false vacuum is $P=e^{-I\left(T\right)}$, where

 $I(T) \, \mathop{\,\rm is\,the\,volume\,converted\,into}\limits_{{
m true\,vacuum}}$

Then we solve for condition:

$$I(T_p) \simeq 0.34$$

$\Omega_{\rm GW} = \Omega_{\rm collisions} + \Omega_{\rm sound waves} + \Omega_{\rm turbulence}$

How do we know which source dominates?

Efficiency factors:

$$\kappa_{col} = \frac{E_{\text{wall}}}{E_V}$$

$$\kappa_{sw} \sim 1 - \kappa_{col}$$

How do we know which source dominates?

Efficiency factors:

$$\kappa_{col} = \frac{E_{\text{wall}}}{E_V}$$
$$\kappa_{sw} \sim 1 - \kappa_{col}$$

Where the energy goes?

There is a lot of friction

Energy is dissipated in the surrounding plasma

Bubble expansion accelerates

Energy goes to the bubble's wall

And the main GW source is... Sound waves + Turbulences

Bubble collisions

J. Ellis, M. Lewicki, V. Vaskonen, "Updated predictions for gravitational waves produced in a strongly supercooled phase transition", arXiv:2007.15586

Ènergy transfer in the plasma

Calculation of T_p, T_n, T_r

Model

Phase Transition
Parameters
Transition scale
Bubble wall

Bubble wall dynamics

• Energy budget

RG scale dependence

Efficiency factors i.e inclusion of all possible sources

GW power

spectrum

 $\Omega_{\rm GW}h^2$

J. Ellis, M. Lewicki, V. Vaskonen, "Updated predictions for gravitational waves produced in a strongly supercooled phase transition", arXiv:2007.15586

• RG scale dependence



This affects other parameters and therefore the resulting spectrum.

Gravitational Waves spectra in SU(2)cSM



Goal: provide accurate predictions for LISA.

I. Baldes, C. Garcia-Cely, arXiv:1809.01198, T. Prokopec, J. Rezacek, B. Świeżewska, arXiv:1809.11129



