Massive Gravity

Non-relativistic Limits

Conclusions

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Massive and Newton-Cartan Gravity in Action

Eric Bergshoeff

Groningen University

The Ninth Aegean Summer School on Einstein's Theory of Gravity and its Modifications: From Theory to Observations

based on work with Jan Rosseel and Paul Townsend



Sifnos, September 22 2017

Newton-Cartan	Gravity
0000000	

Non-relativistic Limits

Conclusions

Motivation

special feature FQH Effect: existence of a gapped collective non-relativistic massive spin-2 excitation, known as the GMP mode

Girvin, MacDonald and Platzman (1985)

recent proposal for a non-relativistic spatially covariant bimetric EFT describing non-linear dynamics of this massive spin-2 GMP mode Gromov and Son (2017)

proposal is reminiscent to non-relativistic and massive gravity

Cartan (1923); de Rham, Gabadadze, Tolley (2011)

see also lectures by Hassan and De Felice

Can EFT be obtained by some limit of Relativistic Massive Graviy?

Newton-Cartan Gravity	Massive Gravity	Non-relativistic Limits	Conclusions
000000	000000	000000000000000000	000
Outline			

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

000000			000
	0000000		000
Newton-Cartan Gravity	Massive Gravity	Non-relativistic Limits	Conclusion

Massive Gravity

Newton-Cartan Gravity	Massive Gravity	Non-relativistic Limits	Conclusions	
0000000	000000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	000	
Outline				

Massive Gravity

Non-relativistic Limits

Newton-Cartan Gravity	Massive Gravity	Non-relativistic Limits	Conclusions	
000000	000000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	000	
Outline				

Massive Gravity

Non-relativistic Limits

Conclusions



Newton-Cartan Gravity	Massive Gravity	Non-relativistic Limits	Conclusion	
0000000	000000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	000	
Outline				

Massive Gravity

Non-relativistic Limits

Conclusions

•000000

Massive Gravity

Non-relativistic Limits

Conclusions

Condensed Matter

Effective Field Theory (EFT) coupled to NC background fields

serve as response functions and lead to restrictions on EFT

compare to



Coriolis force

Luttinger (1964), Greiter, Wilczek, Witten (1989), Son (2005, 2012), Can, Laskin, Wiegmann (2014)

Jensen (2014), Gromov, Abanov (2015), Gromov, Bradlyn (2017)

Newton-Cartan	Gravity
000000	

Non-relativistic Limits

Conclusions

NC Gravity in a Nutshell

• Inertial frames: Galilean symmetries

• Constant acceleration: Newtonian gravity/Newton potential $\Phi(x)$

 <u>no</u> frame-independent formulation (needs geometry!)



Riemann (1867)

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Jewton-Cartan Gravity	Massive Gravity	Non-relativistic Limits	Со
00000	000000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	00

Galilei Symmetries

- time translations: $\delta t = \xi^0$ but not $\delta t = \lambda^i x^i$!
- space translations: $\delta x^i = \xi^i$ i = 1, 2, 3
- spatial rotations: $\delta x^i = \lambda^i{}_j x^j$
- Galilean boosts : $\delta x^i = \lambda^i t$

$$\begin{split} & [J_{ab}, P_c] = -2\delta_{c[a}P_{b]}, & [J_{ab}, G_c] = -2\delta_{c[a}G_{b]}, \\ & [G_a, H] = -P_a, & [J_{ab}, J_{cd}] = \delta_{c[a}J_{b]d} - \delta_{a[c}J_{d]b}, & a = 1, 2, 3 \end{split}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ★ □▶ = □ ● の < @

Newton-Cartan (Gravity
-----------------	---------

0000000

Massive Gravit

Non-relativistic Limits

Conclusions

000

'Gauging' Galilei

symmetry	generators	gauge field	curvatures
time translations	Н	$ au_{\mu}$	$\mathcal{R}_{\mu u}(H)$
space translations	Pª	$e_^a$	$\mathcal{R}_{\mu u}{}^{a}(P)$
Galilean boosts	Gª	$\omega_^{a}$	$\mathcal{R}_{\mu u}{}^{a}(G)$
spatial rotations	J ^{ab}	$\omega_^{ab}$	${\cal R}_{\mu u}{}^{ab}(J)$

Imposing Constraints

 $\mathcal{R}_{\mu
u}{}^{a}(P) = 0$: does only solve for part of $\omega_{\mu}{}^{ab}$

 $\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}(H) = \partial_{[\mu}\tau_{\nu]} = 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad \text{absolute time } \mathsf{T} = \int_{\mathcal{C}} \mathrm{d}x^{\mu}\tau_{\mu} = \int_{\mathcal{C}} \mathrm{d}\tau$

Newton-Cartan	Gravity

0000000

'Gauging' Bargmann

symmetry	generators	gauge field	curvatures
time translations	Н	$ au_{\mu}$	${\cal R}_{\mu u}(H)$
space translations	Pª	$e_{\mu}{}^{a}$	$\mathcal{R}_{\mu u}{}^{a}(P)$
Galilean boosts	Gª	$\omega_^{a}$	$\mathcal{R}_{\mu u}{}^{a}(G)$
spatial rotations	J ^{ab}	$\omega_{\mu}{}^{ab}$	${\cal R}_{\mu u}{}^{ab}(J)$
central charge transf.	Ζ	m_{μ}	$\mathcal{R}_{\mu u}(Z)$

Imposing Constraints

 $\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}{}^{a}(P) = 0$, $\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}(Z) = 0$: solve for spin-connection fields

 $\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}(H) = \partial_{[\mu}\tau_{\nu]} = 0 \rightarrow \text{absolute time ('zero torsion')}$

Newton-Cartan	Gravity
0000000	

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ★ □▶ = □ ● の < @

The NC Transformation Rules

The independent NC fields $\{\tau_{\mu}, e_{\mu}{}^{a}, m_{\mu}\}$ transform as follows:

$$\delta \tau_{\mu} = 0,$$

$$\delta e_{\mu}{}^{a} = \lambda^{a}{}_{b} e_{\mu}{}^{b} + \lambda^{a} \tau_{\mu},$$

$$\delta m_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} \sigma + \lambda_{a} e_{\mu}{}^{a}$$

The spin-connection fields $\omega_{\mu}{}^{ab}$ and $\omega_{\mu}{}^{a}$ are functions of e, τ and m

Massive Gravity 0000000 Non-relativistic Limits

1

Conclusions

000

The NC Equations of Motion

The NC equations of motion are given by



Élie Cartan 1923

 $e^{\nu}_{a}\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}^{ab}(J) = 0$ $\mathbf{a} + (\mathbf{ab})$

• after gauge-fixing and assuming flat space the first NC e.o.m. becomes $\Delta \Phi = 0$

 $\tau^{\mu}e^{\nu}{}_{a}\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}{}^{a}(G) = 0$

• there is no known action that gives rise to these equations of motion

Newton-Cartan Gravity	Massive Gravity	Non-relativistic Limits	Conclusions
0000000	0000000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	000
Outline			

Massive Gravity

Non-relativistic Limits

Conclusions

Massive Gravity

Non-relativistic Limits

Conclusions

▲ロ▶ ▲冊▶ ▲ヨ▶ ▲ヨ▶ ヨー のなべ

What is Massive Gravity?

Massive Gravity is the name we have given to the attempt to understand what the gravitational force would be like if the graviton, the carrier of the gravitational force, has a small, but non-zero, mass



• the free massive graviton, with mass m, is a spin-2 particle described by a symmetric tensor field $h_{\mu\nu}(x)$

 for m = 0 this tensor can be viewed as the linearized approximation to a metric tensor g_{μν}(x):

$$g_{\mu\nu}(x) = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}(x) + O(h^2)$$

▲日▼ ▲□▼ ▲ □▼ ▲ □▼ ■ ● ● ●

• the Fierz-Pauli kinetic term is the linearization of the Einstein-Hilbert term of general relativity

Newton-Cartan	Gravity
0000000	

Non-relativistic Limits

Conclusions

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ★ □▶ = □ ● の < @

The Fierz-Pauli mass term

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{FP}}(\mathsf{mass}) \sim m^2 ig(h^{\mu
u} h_{\mu
u} - h^2 ig) \qquad h \equiv \eta^{\mu
u} h_{\mu
u}$$

The Fierz-Pauli mass term

• breaks the linearized g.c.t. of the kinetic term:

$$\delta h_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}\xi_{\nu} + \partial_{\nu}\xi_{\mu}$$

• contains a reference metric $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}$

requires fine-tuning

Newton-Cartan	Gravity
0000000	

Non-relativistic Limits

Conclusions

New Developments

First proposals for a non-linear mass term date back to

Salam, Strathdee (1969); Isham, Salam, Strathdee (1970); Zumino (1970)

• recent proposal in 4D by

de Rham, Gabadadze, Tolley (2011), Hassan, Rosen (2012)

• use Vierbeins e_{μ}^{a} instead of metric $g_{\mu\nu}$

Hinterbichler, Rosen (2010)

• 3D: Chern-Simons formulation in terms of $(e_{\mu}{}^{a}, \omega_{\mu}{}^{a})$

Deser, Jackiw, 't Hooft (1984)

Achucarro, Townsend (1986); Witten (1988)

rton-Cartan Gravity	Massive Gravity	Non-relativistic Limits
00000	0000000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000

Conclusions

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ★ □▶ = □ ● の < @

The 3D dRGT Chern Simons Model

$$\mathcal{L}_{dRGT}(e,\omega;\bar{e}) = -M_{P} \left\{ e_{a} \wedge R^{a}(\omega) + \epsilon^{abc} \left(\alpha_{1} e_{a} \wedge e_{b} \wedge e_{c} + \right. \\ \left. \beta_{1} e_{a} \wedge e_{b} \wedge \bar{e}_{c} + \right. \left. \beta_{2} e_{a} \wedge \bar{e}_{b} \wedge \bar{e}_{c} \right) \right\}$$

$$R^{a}(\omega) = d\omega^{a} + rac{1}{2}\epsilon^{abc}\omega_{b}\wedge\omega_{c}$$
 : curvature tensor

• reduces to Fierz-Pauli in linearized approximation

Newton-Cartan Gravity	Massive Gravity	Non-relativistic Limits	Conclusions
0000000	0000000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	000

The 3D dRGT CS model can be obtained as a scaling limit of an underlying 3D "ZDG model" containing zwei Dreibeine

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ 目 のへぐ

Newton-Cartan	Gravity
0000000	

Non-relativistic Limits

Conclusions

Zwei-Dreibein Gravity

4D: Hassan, Rosen (2012); de Haan, Hohm, Merbis, Townsend (2013)

we introduce two ("zwei") Dreibeine $e_{I\mu}{}^a$ and two independent spin-connections $\omega_{I\mu}{}^a$ (I = 1, 2)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{ZDG}}(e_{I}^{a}, \omega_{I}^{a}) = -M_{\text{P}} \left\{ e_{1a} \wedge R_{1}^{a} + e_{2a} \wedge R_{2}^{a} + \epsilon^{abc} \left(\alpha_{1} e_{1a} \wedge e_{1b} \wedge e_{1c} + \alpha_{2} e_{2a} \wedge e_{2b} \wedge e_{2c} + \beta_{1} e_{1a} \wedge e_{1b} \wedge e_{2c} + \beta_{2} e_{1a} \wedge e_{2b} \wedge e_{2c} \right) \right\}$$

Massive Gravit

000000

Non-relativistic Limits

Conclusions

000



Newton-Cartan Gravity

Massive Gravity

Non-relativistic Limits

Conclusions

▲ロト ▲圖 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ○ 臣 → のへで

Massive Gravity

Non-relativistic Limits

Conclusions

000

From General Relativity to NC gravity

Poincare \otimes U(1)



GR plus $\partial_{\mu}M_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}M_{\mu} = 0$

contraction \Downarrow

 \Downarrow the NC limit

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Bargmann



Newton-Cartan gravity

Newton-Cartan	Gravity
0000000	

Non-relativistic Limits

Conclusions

000

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Contraction Poincare

 $[P_A, M_{BC}] = 2 \eta_{A[B} P_{C]}, \quad [M_{AB}, M_{CD}] = 4 \eta_{[A[C} M_{D]B]}$

$$P_0 = \frac{1}{2\omega} H, \qquad P_a = P_a, \qquad A = (0, a)$$
$$M_{ab} = J_{ab}, \qquad M_{a0} = \omega G_a$$

Taking the limit $\omega \rightarrow \infty$ gives the Galilei algebra:

$$\left[P_a,G_b\right]=0$$

ewton-Cartan Gravity	Massive Gravity
000000	0000000

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ★ □▶ = □ ● の < @

Contraction Poincare \otimes U(1)

$$\left[P_A, M_{BC} \right] = 2 \eta_{A[B} P_{C]}, \quad \left[M_{AB}, M_{CD} \right] = 4 \eta_{[A[C} M_{D]B]} \quad \text{plus} \quad \mathcal{Z}$$

$$P_0 = \frac{1}{2\omega} H + \omega Z, \qquad \qquad \mathcal{Z} = \frac{1}{2\omega} H - \omega Z, \qquad \qquad A = (0, a)$$
$$P_a = P_a, \qquad \qquad M_{ab} = J_{ab}, \qquad \qquad M_{a0} = \omega G_a$$

Taking the limit $\omega \rightarrow \infty$ gives the Bargmann algebra including Z:

$$[P_a, G_b] = \delta_{ab} Z$$

Newton-Cartan Gravity	Massive Gravity	Non-relativistic Limits
000000	0000000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000

Conclusions

000

The NC Limit I

Dautcourt (1964); Rosseel, Zojer + E.B. (2015)

$$E_{\mu}^{\ 0} = \omega \, \tau_{\mu} + rac{1}{2\omega} \, m_{\mu} \, , \ \ M_{\mu} = \omega \, \tau_{\mu} - rac{1}{2\omega} \, m_{\mu} \, , \ \ E_{\mu}^{\ a} = e_{\mu}^{\ a} \quad \Rightarrow$$

$$E^{\mu}{}_{a} = e^{\mu}{}_{a} - \frac{1}{2\omega^{2}} \tau^{\mu} e^{\rho}{}_{a} m_{\rho} + \mathcal{O}\left(\omega^{-4}\right) \text{ and similar for } E^{\mu}{}_{0}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ★ □▶ = □ ● の < @

0000000 000000000000000000000000000000	000

The NC Limit II

STEP II: take the limit $\omega \to \infty$ in e.o.m. \Rightarrow

- the NC transformation rules are obtained
- the NC equations of motion are obtained (but <u>no</u> action!)

Note: the standard textbook limit gives Newton gravity

Newton-Cartan Gravity	Massive Gravity	Non-relativistic Limits	Conclusions
0000000	0000000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	000

What about Matter?

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > = □

Newton-Cartan	Gravity
0000000	

Non-relativistic Limits

Conclusions

000

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Real Representations

consider a real scalar field with mass M

$$E^{-1}\mathcal{L}_{\rm rel} = -\frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\mu}\Phi\partial_{\nu}\Phi - \frac{M^2}{2}\Phi^2$$

Rescale $\Phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega}}\phi$ and take non-relativistic limit $\omega \to \infty \to 0$

$$e^{-1}\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{non-rel.}} = -rac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{a}\phi
ight)^{2} - rac{M^{2}}{2}\phi^{2}$$

Newton-Cartan	Gravity
0000000	

Non-relativistic Limits

Conclusions

000

Complex Representations: from KG to Schrödinger

Jensen, Karch (2014), Rosseel, Zojer + E.B. (2015), Fuini, Karch, Uhlemann (2015)





 ${\sf Schr{\"o}dinger} + {\sf NC}$

general frames 1

 $\Downarrow \quad \text{inertial frames} \\$

 $Klein-Gordon \implies Schrödinger$

Massive Gravity

Non-relativistic Limits

Conclusions

000

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○ ○

The Schrödinger Limit I

consider a complex scalar field with mass MLévy Leblond (1963,1967)

$$E^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{
m rel} = -rac{1}{2} g^{\mu
u} D_\mu \Phi^* D_
u \Phi - rac{M^2}{2} \Phi^* \Phi \quad {
m with}$$

$$D_{\mu}\Phi = \partial_{\mu}\Phi - \mathrm{i}\,\boldsymbol{M}\,\boldsymbol{M}_{\mu}\,\Phi\,, \qquad \quad \delta\Phi = \mathrm{i}\,\boldsymbol{M}\,\Lambda\,\Phi$$

- M_{μ} is <u>not</u> an electromagnetic field $(M \neq q)!$
- M_μ couples to the current that expresses conservation of # particles – # antiparticles

Newton-Cartan	Gravity
0000000	

Non-relativistic Limits

Conclusions

00

The Schrödinger Limit II

Take non-relativistic limit extended with $M = \omega m, \Phi = \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{m}}\phi \rightarrow$

$$e^{-1}\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Schroedinger}} = \left[\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \left(\phi^* \mathcal{D}_0 \phi - \phi \mathcal{D}_0 \phi^* \right) - \frac{1}{2m} \left| \mathcal{D}_a \phi \right|^2 \right] \quad \mathrm{with}$$

 $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}\phi = \partial_{\mu}\phi + \mathrm{i}\,\mathbf{m}\,\mathbf{m}_{\mu}\,\phi\,,\qquad \delta\phi = \xi^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\phi - \mathrm{i}\,\mathbf{m}\,\sigma\,\phi$

- m_{μ} couples to the current that expresses conservation of # particles
- going to inertial frames gives Schrödinger equation

Newton-Cartan Gravity	Massive Gravity	Non-relativistic Limits	Conclu
000000	0000000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	000

Massive Particle

complex helicity mode ↔ Schrödinger

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ★ □▶ = □ ● の < @

Massive Gravity

Non-relativistic Limits

Conclusions

The Massive Spin 1 Case

J. Rosseel, P. Townsend + E.B., in preparation

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ★ □▶ = □ ● の < @

consider a complex Proca field in D dimensions with mass M:

$$E^{-1}\mathcal{L}_{
m rel} = -rac{1}{4} g^{\mu\rho} g^{\nu\sigma} F^*_{\mu\nu} F_{\rho\sigma} - rac{1}{2} M^2 g^{\mu\nu} A^*_{\mu} A_{\nu}$$
 with

$$F_{\mu\nu} = 2 D_{[\mu}A_{\nu]} = 2 \partial_{[\mu}A_{\nu]} - 2 \operatorname{i} M M_{[\mu}A_{\nu]}, \qquad \delta A_{\mu} = \operatorname{i} M \Lambda A_{\mu}$$

Take non-rel. limit extended with $M = \omega m$ and go to inertial frames \rightarrow

$$e^{-1}\mathcal{L}_{\rm non-rel} = -\frac{1}{4} F^*_{ab} F^{ab} - \frac{1}{2} i m A^*_a F_{a0} + \frac{1}{2} i m A_a F^*_{a0} + \frac{1}{2} m^2 |A_0|^2$$

on-Cartan Gravity	Massive Gravity	Non-relativistic Limits
0000	000000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000

Conclusions

000

Three Dimensions

flat background :
$$Q = A_1 + iA_2$$
, $\tilde{Q} = A_1 - iA_2$

• Q and $ilde{Q}$ transform under spatial rotations and $Q \stackrel{ ext{P}}{\Leftrightarrow} ilde{Q}$

solve for
$$A_0$$
: $mA_0 = i\partial Q + i\bar{\partial}\tilde{Q} \Rightarrow$

$$\dot{Q} + \frac{i}{2m}\bar{\partial}\partial Q = 0$$
 and $\dot{\tilde{Q}} + \frac{i}{2m}\bar{\partial}\partial\tilde{Q} = 0$

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @

Newton-Cartan Gravity	Massive Gravity	Non-relativistic Limits	Conclu
0000000	0000000	000000000000000000	000

Alternative Limit Real Proca

2 complex helicity modes \Leftrightarrow 2 x Schrödinger

but also

2 real P even helicity modes \Leftrightarrow P odd Schrödinger

 $Q = A_1 + iA_2, \qquad A_1, A_2 \text{ real}$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ★ □▶ = □ ● の < @

Newton-Cartan Gravity	Massive Gravity	Non-relativistic Limits	Conclusions
000000	0000000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	000
	Proca \rightarrow	\sqrt{Proca}	

$$\mathcal{L}_{\sqrt{\mathrm{Proca}}} = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} A^*_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} A_{\rho} + M A^{\star}_{\mu} A^{\mu}$$



Q

'truncation' \Downarrow truncation



	Proca \rightarrow	\sqrt{Proca}	
000000	000000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	000
Newton-Cartan Gravity	Massive Gravity	Non-relativistic Limits	Conclusions

$$\mathcal{L}_{\sqrt{\mathrm{Proca}}} = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} A^*_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} A_{\rho} + M A^{\star}_{\mu} A^{\mu} - \frac{M}{2} B^{\star}_{\mu} B^{\mu}$$



'truncation' \Downarrow

 \Downarrow truncation

Q





Newton-Cartan Gravity	Massive Gravity	Non-relativistic Limits	Conclusions
0000000	0000000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	000

• there is an interesting relation with Scherk-Schwarz null reductions and 4D complex self-dual Maxwell

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

• consider NR limit of $\sqrt{Proca} \rightarrow TME$

• spinning article

Newton-Cartan	Gravity
0000000	

Non-relativistic Limits

Conclusions

000

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

- A Road Map for Non-relativistic Massive Spin-2
- extend Proca to Fierz-Pauli (FP) and $\sqrt{\mathrm{Proca}}$ to $\sqrt{\mathrm{FP}}$

- Take non-relativistic limit of Zwei-Dreibein Gravity
 - two NC metrics \rightarrow bimetic NC Gravity
 - one NC metric and one complex 'matter' metric
 - $\rightarrow 2 \times Schrödinger$
 - one NC metric and one real 'matter' metric
 - \rightarrow P odd Schrödinger
- no action!

Newton-Cartan	Gravity
0000000	

3D Gravity is not unique!

 3D Galilei Algebra allows two central extensions. The second central extension is related to anyons

Jackiw, Nair (2000)

• limit of 3D General Relativity plus term with two auxiliary vector fields of the form $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}M_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}S_{\rho}$ gives 3D Extended Bargmann Gravity Rosseel + E.B. (2016)

- consider limit of Zwei-Dreibein Gravity with extra vector fields $\,\Rightarrow\,$
 - Bimetric Extended Bargmann Gravity
 - EFT describing non-linear dynamics of massive spin 2?

Newton-Cartan Gravity	Massive Gravity	Non-relativistic Limits	Conclusion
0000000	0000000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	000
	Ou	tline	

Massive Gravity

Non-relativistic Limits

Conclusions

Newton-Cartan Gravity	Massive Gravity	Non-rela
000000	0000000	000000

Non-relativistic Limits

Conclusions

Open questions

• Does non-relativistic limit of some 3D Massive Relativistic Gravity model give the fully-covariant completion of the proposal of the EFT for the GMP mode in the FQE Effect?

Gromov and Son (2017)

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

- If so, can you also construct extensions involving
 - higher derivatives?
 - higher spins?

Massive Gravit

Non-relativistic Limits

Conclusions

000

Take-Home Message

Taking non-relativistic limits is non-trivial!

▲ロト ▲圖 → ▲国 → ▲国 → 「国 → のへで

 ∞

 $\overline{}$

20

Ы

Conclusions

000

ъ



Mainz Institute for Theoretical Physics

SCIENTIFIC PROGRAMS

Probing Physics Beyond the SM with Precision Arsgar Denner uworztung, Stefan Dittmaier URieburg, Timan Rohn Hepelans U February 26 - March 9, 2018

Bridging the Standard Model to New Physics with the Parity Violation Program at MESA Jans Eller uww. Mikhail Gorshteyn, Hubert Spiesberger Jou April 23-May 4, 2018

Modern Techniques for CFT and AdS Bartlomiel Casch Visitmenton, Michail P. Heller MPI for Quantational Physics, Alessandro Vichi 1991. May 22-30, 2018

The Dawn of Qowitational Wave Science Luis Lehner Reimeer Inst., Rafael A. Rotto (CTP-SWFR. Rocardo Surani IPhana, Salvatore Vitale vit June 4-15, 2018

The Future of BSM Physics Gan Guden care, Guila Berjard Unarous Indexes 1 Tobias Huth, Joachim Kopp, Matthias Naubert Jou June 4-15, 2018, Capri, Italy

Probing Baryogenesis via LHC and Gravitational Wave Sonatures Germano Nardini u terre, Carlos EM, Wagner U Chicago/Argonne Nat. Late., Pedro Schwaller JGU June 18-29, 2018

From Amplitudes to Phenomenology Fabrizio Caola (PPP Durban) Barnhard Mistberger, Gulia Zanderighi core August 13-24, 2018

String Theory, Geometry and String Model Building Philip Candelas, Xenia de la Oesa, Andre Lukas u Orient. Daniel Weidram Imperial Callege Landon Gabride Honedver, Duzo van Braten Jou September 10-21, 2018



TOPICAL WORKSHOPS

The Evaluation of the Leading Hadronic Contribution to the Muon Anomalous Magnetic Moment Carlo Carloni Calame N/N Pavis, Mazzimo Pazzera IN/N Pacias, Luca Trentadue u nema. Gradano Venanzoni ININ mai February 19-23, 2018

Applied Newton-Cartan Geometry Etic Bergshoeff U Groningen, Neils Obers net Openhagen, Dam Thanh Shri U Digas

Challenges in Semilectonic B Decays Photo Gentation Lthere. Andreas Konfeld Service. Marcello Rotondo NNUNE Freeze, Christoph Schwanda Owy Viene April 9-13, 2018

Tensions in the LCOM Paradism Cora Dvorkin Hervert, Styla Galli MPRets. Rabio locco ICTIVISURE Rederico Marinacci MIT May 14-18, 2018

The Proton Radius Puzzle and Rewood Richard Hill U Kentucky/ Ferninati, Gil Pazimayne Sute U, Pandolf PohluGU July 23-27, 2018

Stattering Amplitudes and Resonance Properties from Lattice OCD Marwell T. Hansen core, Sasa Relovatik Uljub (ana/Ullegenburg, Steve Sharpe UWashington, Georg von Hippel, Hartmut Wittig Jou August 27-31, 2018

Quantum Relds - From Fundamental Concepts to Phenomenological Questions Astrid Edithom Heiseberg U, Roberto Parcacci sizza Triesta Frank Saueressig UNImeourn September 26-28, 2018

MITP SUMMER SCHOOL 2018

Johannes Henn, Matthias Neubert, Stefan Weinziert, Felix Yuucu July 2018

Mainz Institute for Theoretical Physics PRISMA Cluster of Facelience Johannes Guteribero-Universität Mainz, Germany