Gravitational Wave Signature Of Non-Rotating Core-Collapse Supernovae with magnetic fields

Eleftherios Panagiotou

M.Sc. : Departament d'Astronomia i Astrofísica, Universitat de València

In colaboration with: Martin Obergaulinger (València)

For videos of the simulations see the CAMAP web site: <u>http://www.uv.es/camap/camap_content_1.html</u>

8TH AEGEAN SUMMER SCHOOL Gravitational Waves: from Theory to Observations, Rethymno, Crete, 29th June - 4th July 2015

Index

- CCSN standard scenario
- How to achieve explosions **revival mechanisms**
- Alternatives Non-rotating CCSN
 - Magnetic Field Amplification
 - Weak fields
 - Strong fields
- ✓ GW signal: identify the features
 - matter asymmetric motions
 - Asymmetric neutrino emission

✓ Detectability

Standard scenario – Onset of the collapse

• **Progenitor** -> Massive stars:

 $(9M_{sun} < M < 25M_{sun})$

 Hydrostatic burning lead to *onion shell structure* -> nuclear fuel is exhausted. Achieve:

 $M_{ch} \approx 5.8 (Y_e)^2 M_{sun}$, $Y_e = 0.45 \rightarrow M_{ch} = 1.45 M_{sun}$

 $M \ge M_{ch}$, radial instability \rightarrow onset of the collapse <u>How to get there?</u>

- $\checkmark \quad Reduction \ of \ Y_e \rightarrow e^- + p \rightarrow n + \nu_e$
- Collapse proceeds (adiabatically) up to nuclear densities -> $\rho_{nuc} \sim 2 \times 10^{14} g cm^{-3} \text{ -> } proto neutron star formation}$ <u>How ?</u>
- 1. Photodissociation of heavy nuclei: 124.4 MeV/reaction $\gamma + Fe^{56} \rightarrow 13\alpha + n$
- 2. Further Electron Capture:

 $e^- + (Z, A) \rightarrow (Z - 1, A) + v_e$ $e^- + p \rightarrow n + v_e$

• Neutrinos stream off freely at densities below $\rho \sim 10^{12} \ g cm^{-3} \rightarrow core \ deloptonization \ during \ collapse$



evolution of the electron and lepton fractions, *Ye* and *Ylep*, during collapse as a function of the central density of the core, c.

Standard scenario – Neutrino trapping

• *Collapse phase*: Neutrino opacity is dominated by coherent neutrino heavy nuclei scattering:

 $\nu + (A, Z) \leftrightarrow \nu + (A, Z)$

• When $\rho > 3 \times 10^{12} \ gcm^{-3} \rightarrow \tau_{diff} \gg \tau_{coll}$

-> Neutrinos trapping

• Deloptonization stops:

 $Y_{lep} = Y_e + Y_{\nu} \approx 0.32 = \text{constant}$

• Chemical equilibrium -> electron capture rate is balanced by electron neutrino capture:

$$e^- + p \leftrightarrow n + \nu_e$$

Trapped lepton fraction leads to -> Separation into *homologously collapsing inner core* and *supersonically collapsing outer core*.

Collapse still proceeds!



Standard scenario – core's bounce + shock formation



```
Stiffening of the EoS ->
HD shock formation!
```

proto – neutron star formation (PNS)

Standard scenario – shock's stagnation

- Shock loses energy:
 - Dissociation of infalling heavy nuclei
 - Neutrinos burst -> neutrinos stream away from behind the shock -> released by electron captures on free protons when the shock "breaks-out" of the v_e - neutrinospheres

Shock stalls -> no prompt explosion !



Collapse to a neutrons star -> ~3 × 10⁵³ erg 300 Bethe [B] gravitational energy

99% of the energy is radiated as neutrinos over hundreds of seconds as the PNS cools

Explosion mechanism must tap the gravitational energy fuel and convert the necessary fraction into energy of the explosion!

CCSNe complexity

Multi-scale problem

- Progenitor
- Pre-collapse core $\sim (1-3) \times 10 \ km$
- Stalled shock radius $\sim (1 1.5) \times 100 \ km$
- Many dynamical scales (<1s for explosion)

Multi-D nature, multi physics

- MHD/plasma physics -> dynamics of the stellar fluid
- General relativity -> Gravitation
- Nuclear + neutrino physics -> EoS, nuclear + neutrino interactions
- Transport theory -> transition from optically thick region to trasparent -> *Neutrino transport*

Shock revival – Explosion

1. Neutrino heating in spherical symmetry

How is the failed explosion revived -> not a matter of energy, $e_{core} \gg e_{env}$, but energy transfer.

- Neutrinos diffusing out of the **PNS**
- Transfer thermal energy behind the shock
- Explosions in a limited mass range $\sim 8 - 10$ solar masses

steep density profile outside the core -> easier to unbind the envelope



Colgate & White 1966





Arnett 1966



Kitaura, Janka, & Hillebrandt (2006)

Shock's revival – Assymmtric Explosion Predictions 2. Neutrino heating + hydro-instabilities

Explosion Criterion: Heating faster than advection of the infalling matter ->

$$\tau_{heat} = \frac{\int_{r_{gain}}^{r_{sh}} dV\varepsilon}{\int_{r_{gain}}^{r_{sh}} dVq_{\nu}} < \tau_{ad\nu} = \int_{r_{gain}}^{r_{sh}} \frac{dV}{|V_r|} \rightarrow \text{ not fullfilled in 2D simulations}$$

i) Convection

neutrino heating creates a region of high entropy at the bottom of the gain layer

$$C_{\rm L} \equiv \left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial s}\right) \bigg|_{Y,p} \frac{\mathrm{d}s}{\mathrm{d}r} + \left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial Y}\right) \bigg|_{s,p} \frac{\mathrm{d}Y}{\mathrm{d}r}$$

Overturning convection and turbulence develops in the unstable region.

<u>Effects</u>

Dwell time of the material in the heating region is increased -> higher τ_{adv}

τ_{heat}/τ_{adv} favorable

ii) SASI

The shock wave sits at constant radius

In the subsonic post-shock region, sound waves can couple the PNS surface to the shock. Perturbations travel between the shock wave and the PNS and form an unstable feedback loop.



Shock's revival – Assymmtric Explosion Predictions 2. Neutrino heating + hydro-instabilities

Explosion Criterion: Heating faster than advection of the infalling matter ->

$$\tau_{heat} = \frac{\int_{r_{gain}}^{r_{sh}} dV\varepsilon}{\int_{r_{gain}}^{r_{sh}} dVq_{\nu}} < \tau_{ad\nu} = \int_{r_{gain}}^{r_{sh}} \frac{dV}{|V_r|} \to \text{ not fullfilled in 2D simulations}$$

i) Convection

neutrino heating creates a region of high entropy at the bottom of the gain layer

$$C_{\rm L} \equiv \left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial s}\right) \bigg|_{Y,p} \frac{\mathrm{d}s}{\mathrm{d}r} + \left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial Y}\right) \bigg|_{s,p} \frac{\mathrm{d}Y}{\mathrm{d}r}$$

PNS convection (beneath neutrinospheres) -> Boosts neutrino luminosities (asymmetric emission)

Neutrino driven (beneath stalled shock) -> Boosts shock radius

ops in

eating

ıdv

ii) SASI

The shock wave sits at constant radius

In the subsonic post-shock region, sound waves can couple the PNS surface to the shock. Perturbations travel between the shock wave and the PNS and form an unstable feedback loop.



Shock's revival – Assymmtric Explosion Predictions 2. Neutrino heating + hydro-instabilities

Explosion Criterion: Heating faster than advection of the infalling matter ->

ops in

ıdv

$$\tau_{heat} = \frac{\int_{r_{gain}}^{r_{sh}} dV\varepsilon}{\int_{r_{gain}}^{r_{sh}} dVq_{\nu}} < \tau_{ad\nu} = \int_{r_{gain}}^{r_{sh}} \frac{dV}{|V_r|} \to \text{ not fullfilled in 2D simulations}$$

i) Convection

neutrino heating creates a region of high entropy at the bottom of the gain layer

$$C_{\rm L} \equiv \left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial s}\right) \bigg|_{Y,p} \frac{\mathrm{d}s}{\mathrm{d}r} + \left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial Y}\right) \bigg|_{s,p} \frac{\mathrm{d}Y}{\mathrm{d}r}$$

PNS convection (beneath neutrinospheres) -> Boosts neutrino luminosities (asymmetric emission)

Neutrino driven (beneath stalled shock) -> Boosts shock radius

ii) SASI

The shock wave sits at constant radius

In the subsonic post-shock region, sound waves can couple the PNS surface to the shock. Perturbations travel between the shock wave and the PNS and form an unstable feedback loop.

eating Similar role as convection, but acting on larger scales and producing strong shock deformations -> aspherical explosions

Queb

Key feature- asymmetric explosions!

Pulsar fields - Magnetars

Magnetic fields ?

Asymmetric explosions -> may driven by large scale fields

Pulsar's spin
-> rotation as an additional reservoir

Magnetically affected explosions very much dependent on the amount of the *field amplification* happening during and after collapse. <u>Heger et al. (2005)</u>

Shock's revival – Asymmetric Explosions **3. MHD driven explosions – Magnetic fields amplification**a) with rotation

• Fraction of the gravitational BE -> stored in the free energy of differential rotation

Need for rapid rotation + stong magnetic fields

 $P_o < 4 - 6 s \rightarrow$ miliecond PNS

- PNS rotational energy of B-field up to equipartition, *field amplification* by:
 - Compresion
 - Dynamos ($\alpha \Omega$ effect)
 - Magnetorotational instanility (MRI)

Rotational energy taped into, by magnetic fields may lead to Jet like explosions



Highly magnetized spiral plasma funnels expelled from the core push out the shock in polar regions <u>Mosta et al. (2014)</u>

Limitations

• MHD mechanism insufficient for precollapse $P_0 > 4 s$ but -> stellar evolution and NS birth spin estimates $P_0 > 30 s$

 \rightarrow *slowly rotating progenitors* due to loss of angular momentum during evolution: stellar winds and magnetic braking (Heger et al. 2005; Maynet et al. 2011)

• *Resolution* does not allow to capture magnetorotational instability(MRI)

Alternatives! Slowly Rotating or non-rotating cores

(Obergaulinger et al. 2014)

Shock's revival – Asymmetric Explosion
4. MHD driven explosions (WAVES) – Magnetic fields amplification
b) <u>no rotation</u>

- *Compression* -> 3 orders of magnitude -> no change of topology
- *Small scale dynamo* -> only α-effect driven by convection. But large scale field requires differential rotation (Ω-effect)
 - ✓ *non-radial fluid motions* triggered by SASI and convection, lead to the magnetic fields perturbation and Alfven waves propagation

Shock's revival – Asymmetric Explosion 4. MHD driven explosions (WAVES) – Magnetic fields amplification b) <u>no rotation</u>

Mass density of the ions -> perturbation Magnetic fields -> restoring force

Alfven waves propagate along the field lines:

- Compete with the accretion flow -> stagnation point near the shock -> $C_A = V_{accretion}$
- Increase gas entropy -> energy dissipation behind the shock

Transmission of energy from the convective active PNS to the much less dense surrounding medium -> shock revival and explosion

Physical model <u>ideal MHD</u> + neutrino transport

• Conservation Laws

-> *mass*, electron number, *gas momentum*, total energy of matter, magnetic flux.

• Source terms

-> *pseudo - relativistic potential ->* account for the contributions of energy and pressure + metric terms of GR -> good approximation for moderately compact objects such as the PNS (Marek (2006))

-> *reactions with neutrinos* -> exchange of electron number , energy and momentum between the gas and the neutrinos

- Microphysics
- -> Neutrino reactions

-> Equation of state for the nuclear matter (<u>Lattimer & Swesty, 1991</u>), incompressibility K=220 MeV

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \rho + \bar{\nabla} \cdot (\rho \bar{v}) &= 0 \\ n, \qquad \partial_t (\rho Y_e) + \bar{\nabla} \cdot (\rho Y_e \bar{v}) &= S_n^0 \\ \partial_t \rho v^i + \nabla_j (P_{tot} \delta^{ij} + \rho v^i v^j - b^i b^j) &= \rho \nabla^i \Phi + S^{1;j} \\ \partial e_{tot} + \bar{\nabla} \cdot ((e_{tot} + P_{tot}) \bar{v} - (\bar{v} \cdot \bar{b}) \bar{b}) &= \rho \bar{v} \cdot \bar{\nabla} \Phi + S^0 + \bar{v} \bar{S}^1 \\ \partial_t \bar{b} - \bar{\nabla} \times (\bar{v} \times \bar{b}) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho \\ Y_e \\ \bar{v} &= v_r \hat{r} + v_\theta \hat{\theta} \\ \bar{b} &= b_r \hat{r} + b_\theta \hat{\theta} \\ e_{tot} &= \epsilon + \frac{1}{2} \rho \bar{v}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \bar{b}^2 \\ P_{tot} &= P + \frac{1}{2} \bar{b}^2 \\ \Phi \end{aligned}$$

$$n + \nu_e \rightleftharpoons p^+ + e^-$$

$$p^+ + \bar{\nu}_e \rightleftharpoons n + e^-$$

$$(A, Z) + \nu_e \rightleftharpoons (A, Z + 1) + e^-$$

$$n/p + \nu_X \rightleftharpoons n/p + \nu_X$$

$$(A, Z) + \nu_X \rightleftharpoons (A, Z) + \nu_X$$

$$e + \nu_X \rightleftharpoons e + \nu_X$$

Solve coupled equations of MHD + neutrinos transport <u>Neutrino Transport -> Boltzman equations</u>

• Neutrinos Field -> $I(\vec{x}, \vec{n}, \epsilon, t)$

-> energy carried by all ν of energy ϵ in direction $\vec{n} = \vec{p}/|\vec{p}|$ through a unit surface dA at position \vec{x} , time t

• Boltzmann equation

advection, emission, absorption, and Doppler shift etc.

-> expand the intensity in angular moments

-> solve system of the first two moments (*energy density* + *energy flux*). Also, specify the second order moment (pressure tensor), by local algebraic Eddington factor closure.

(Cernohorsky & Bludman (1994))

- Source terms
- -> f (<u>netrino energy</u>, <u>number of species</u>)
- -> flavors $\rightarrow v_e \bar{v}_e$
- Hyperbolic terms

-> radiative fluxes + advection and compression
by the flow
$$\partial_t F^i_{lpha}$$

- Velocity terms
- -> spectral redistribution (Doppler shift) etc.

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{I} I + \vec{n} \cdot \vec{\nabla} I &= \eta_{0}(\epsilon) - \chi_{0}(\epsilon) I \\ &+ \vec{n} \cdot \vec{v} \left(2\eta_{0}(\epsilon) - \epsilon \partial_{\epsilon} \eta_{0} + [\chi_{0}(\epsilon) + \epsilon \partial_{\epsilon} \chi_{0}(\epsilon)] I \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$M^{i_1 i_2 ... i_m} = \int d\vec{n} n^{i_1} n^{i_2} ... n^{i_m} I$$

$$\partial_t M^{i_1 \dots i_m} + \nabla_j M^{i_1 i_2 \dots i_{m+1}} + velocity \ terms = S_m^{i_1 \dots i_m}$$

$$E_{\alpha} = \int_{4\pi} d\Omega I \qquad F_{\alpha} = \int_{4\pi} d\Omega n^{j} I$$

$$\partial_t E_{\alpha}(\omega) + \vec{\nabla} \cdot (E_{\alpha}(\omega)\vec{v}) + \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{F}_{\alpha}(\omega) - \omega \nabla_j v_k \partial_{\omega} P_{\alpha}^{jk}(\omega) = S_{\alpha}^0(\omega)$$

$$\partial_t F^i_{\alpha}(\omega) + \nabla_j F^i_{\alpha}(\omega) \upsilon^j + \nabla_j P^{ij}_{\alpha}(\omega) + F^i_{\alpha}(\omega) \nabla_j \upsilon^j = S^{1;i}_{\alpha}(\omega)$$



Initial conditions *scope:* identify the effects of variations of the magnetic fields

- **Progenitor** -> core of 15 Msun (<u>Woosley et al. 2002</u>)
- **2D** Grid \rightarrow 360 logarithmically spaced zones radius, *r* and 144 zones in θ
- Magnetic fields topology (complex)

Initial field

modified dipole (Suwa et al (2007))

models -> Bb with b representing the various initial field strengths, $b = 0, 10^{-8,10,11,11.5,12} G$

small scale – turbulent -> due to hydroinstabilities (SASI + convection)

Pre - collapse field

- -> spherical collapse
- -> amplification by compression in the infall
- -> never strong enough to modify the collapse dynamics



Initial field geometry and strength

$$A^{\phi} = \frac{b_0}{2} \frac{r_0^3}{r^3 + r_0^3} r \sin \theta$$

Dynamical important regions – instabilities location

- > **IHSP**: inner hydrodynamically *stable PNS* $up \ to \ \rho \sim 10^{14} g cm^{-3}$
- **PCNV:** the *PNS convection zone*
 - Negative gradient of the electron fraction
 - Slightly negative entropy gradient. outer boundary -> location of the minimum of the Y_e profile $up \ to \ \rho \sim 10^{11} g cm^{-3}$
- **COOL**: outside the PNS convection zone
 - accreted matter suffers a net energy loss -> *production of neutrinos*.
 - *Deceleration of the accreted flow* -> a stable layer on the "surface" of the PNS.
 - **GAIN**: Hot-bubble convection, SASI activity, Neutrino heating
 - Gain radius -> transition from neutrino cooling below to neutrino heating above
 - Neutrino heating -> *negative entropy gradient* and thus *postshock convection*.
 - SASI activity -> larger volume -> encompassing parts of the neutrino-cooling -> SASI modes amplification between the shock and the deceleration zone. This happens typically between neutrinosphere and gain radius.



Field evolution

- Amplifications due to the *overturning flow*
- loses due to *expulsion of magnetic flux* from the convection cells

-> competition between the *radial advection and the overturning flows*

-> PNS surrounded by strong field parallel to the surface (non-radial structures are advected towards the PNS convection zone

! shielded newly formed NS – unconventional field structure



Snapshots of the PNS convection zone at four times after bounce: deviation of Y_e from the angular average and field lines

profiles of the magnetic field strength for different initial fields





angularly averaged profiles of the strongest magnetised model as a function of time

Field amplification in the outer layers

• moderate amplification at the deformed shock wave

-> stretching and folding of the field lines

• amplification factor in the gain layer results from a competition between advection and eddy turn-over time



Profiles of angularly averaged field strength for different times





Explosiona) Weak magnetic fields – pulsar final fields

- long phase of shock contraction and more or less regular oscillations
- Slowly developing high-entropy bubbles of intermediate size appear and are quickly destroyed (formed by convective +SASI modes)
- explosion -> one large bubble of high entropy





Shock radii and the dipole mode

Explosion

b) Strong magnetic fields – magnetar like

- the Alfven time scale is similar to the hydrodynamic time scale
- field resists bending, slows down motion across field lines
- -> modifies the growth of SASI, convection
 -> development of very persistent large-scale patterns of upflows and downflows, stronger shock expansion
 -> much earlier explosions





GW in the Core-Collapse Supernova context:

- Live dynamical information from deep inside SN core.
- Diagnosis tool for multi-D dynamics and explosion mechanism.
- Can help to infer macrophysical and microphysical details.
- Issue: *Need detailed theoretical understanding* of GW emission for GW data analysis and extraction of physics.

-> waveform predictions!

GW emission processes in CCSN

- Asymmetric collapse and core bounce
- Postbounce convection and SASI
- PNS core oscillations
 g modes
- Anisotropic neutrino emission

GW generation – the quadrupole formula a) matter asymmetric motions

• Solve Einstein equation coupled to matter *Solution*

-> take the *TT* – *part*

-> *Slow motion* approximation (SMA)

$$-v \ll c = 1 \rightarrow rac{L}{T} \sim rac{L}{\lambda} \ll 1 \
ightarrow \lambda \gg L$$
 , $|x - x'| = r$

-> Perfect fluid

- Resolve -> Angular depedence -> expand in tensor spherical harmonics → axisymmetry → (l = 2, m = 0), (<u>Thorne (1980)</u>)
- Quadrupole moment shape of the core

-> 1st derivative - *aspherisity of the mass flux* + *momentum distribution*

-> 2nd derivative – *GW* amplitude –forces acting on the fluid)

-> remove time derivatives (due to *numerical noise*)

-> use Euler equations *mass* + *momentum conservation*

$$\Box \, ar{h}_{lphaeta} = -\, 16 \pi \cdot T_{lphaeta}$$

$$h_{ij}^{TT} = \left[4\int \frac{T_{ij}(x', t' = t - |x - x'|)}{|x - x'|} d^3x'\right]^{TT}$$

$$h_{+} = h_{\theta\theta}^{TT} = \frac{1}{r} \cdot \frac{d^2}{dt^2} I^{20}(t-r) T_{ij}^{E2,20}.$$

$$I^{20} = \frac{32\pi^{3/2}}{\sqrt{15}} \int_0^1 d\mu \int_0^\infty d\left(\frac{r^3}{3}\right) \rho \cdot \left(\frac{3}{2}\mu^2 - \frac{1}{2}\right) d\left(\frac{r^3}{3}\right)$$
$$N_{20}^{E2} = \frac{d}{dt} I^{20} = \frac{32\pi^{3/2}}{\sqrt{15}} \int_0^1 d\mu \int_0^\infty d\left(\frac{r^3}{3}\right) \left(\frac{\partial_t \rho}{\partial_t}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{3}{2}\mu^2 - \frac{1}{2}\right)$$
$$E^2_{20} = \frac{d^2}{dt^2} I^{20} = \frac{32\pi^{3/2}}{\sqrt{15}} \int_0^1 d\mu \int_0^\infty d\left(\frac{r^3}{3}\right) r \cdot \left(\frac{\partial_t (\rho v_r)}{2}\right) \left(\frac{3}{2}\mu^2 - 1\right) - 3 \cdot \frac{\partial_t (\rho v_\theta)}{2}\mu \sqrt{1 - \mu^2}$$

 $\partial_t \rho v^i + \nabla_j (P_{tot} \delta^{ij} + \rho v^i v^j - b^i b^j) = \rho \nabla^i \Phi + S^{1;j}$

 $\partial_{t\rho} + \bar{\nabla} \cdot (\rho \bar{v}) = 0$

GW generation – the quadrupole formula a) matter asymmetric motions

 $f_{ij} =$

$$h_+ = \frac{A_{20}^{E2}}{r} \cdot \frac{1}{8} \sqrt{\frac{15}{\pi}} sin^2 \theta$$

$$\rho v_i v_j - b_i b_j
A_{20}^{E2} = \frac{32\pi^{3/2}}{\sqrt{15}} \int_0^1 d\mu \int_0^\infty d\left(\frac{r^3}{3}\right) \cdot \\
\cdot \left(f_{rr}(3\mu^2 - 1) + f_{\theta\theta}(2 - 3\mu^2) - 6f_{r\theta}\left(\mu\sqrt{1 - \mu^2}\right)\right) \\
\cdot \left(-r\rho \partial_r \Phi(3\mu^2 - 1) + 3\rho \partial_\theta \Phi\left(\mu\sqrt{1 - \mu^2}\right)\right)$$

- GW signal due to *hydromagnetic stresses* (the velocity terms)
- Magnetic contribution due to the *Lorentz force action* on the fluid
- Signal due to term of the *gravitational potential*
- <u>No</u> momentum transfer due to the *neutrino radiation pressure*

GW generation – the quadrupole formula b) Neutrino asymmetric emission

- any source with *non-zero quadrupole* or higher order moments result in gravitational wave emission
- GW memory

-> GW at t is caused by radiation at $t' = t - \frac{r}{c}$ -> $\Delta t = t - t' \cong \frac{r}{c} = cnst$ -> the GW leaves behind a constant "DC" offset after the asymmetric neutrino emission recedes

-> permanent displacements of the detector's test masses when a GW "train" passes trough

-> duration of the signal is critical for achieving a detection of the memory contributions



$$h_{ij}^{TT} = \frac{4G}{c^2 r} \int_{-\infty}^{t-\frac{r}{c}} dt' \int_{\Omega} d\Omega' \frac{(n_i n_j)^{TT}}{1-\cos\theta} \frac{dL}{d\Omega'}(\vartheta',\varphi',t')$$

- **n**_i: indicates the direction of the neutrino emission
- $\boldsymbol{\theta}$: angle between n_i and the direction to the observer, \boldsymbol{O} *frame*
- $(n_i n_j)^{TT}$: projects out the TT-part with respect to the z-axis, *O* - *frame*
- $\frac{dL}{d\Omega}$: direction dependent neutrino luminosity where θ', φ' define the beam direction in the S-frame

GW matter signal– weak magnetic fields – no equipartition





relative deviation of the entropy from its angular average and magnetic field lines



relative deviation of the entropy from its angular average and magnetic field lines



average and magnetic field lines



Characteristic frequencies - PNS properties GW signal

→ deceleration of **infalling convective plums** as a direct source for the gravitational wave signal **surface g-mode oscillations** excited by the downflows as a source. (Marek et al. (2009))

PNS structure \rightarrow mass + radius ?

$f_{peak} = F(surface gravity,$

surface temperature, compactness parameter)

-> time-variable, but stable g-mode frequency, but deceleration of plumes with random frequency and penetration depth is responsible for the more noisy part of the spectrum above this band

 \rightarrow sensitive to factors that affect the *contraction* and *thermal evolution* of the proto-neutron star, such as the *EoS* and the *neutrino treatment*

 \rightarrow change the proto-neutron star surface temperature and the neutrino mean energies considerably.



GW matter signal a) weak magnetic fields- no equipartition

Shock falls back- PNS contraction

--PNS surface and inside $\rho > 10^{11} \ g cm^{-3}$

-> increasing mode $\sim 100 - 500 Hz$ -> eigenmodes of the COOL layer - the energy pumped into the oscillations is given by the downflows

-Hot bubble region, $\rho < 10^{11} g cm^{-3}$

-> **Medium frequency mode** ~ 60 Hz due to shock oscillations

Steady phase + Shock revival and Explosion

Strain (h_)

⁻requency (Hz)

```
-PNS surface, \rho > 10^{11} g cm^{-3}
```

->Decreasing frequency mode from ~ 400 HZ to 100 Hz

-PNS surface + Hot bubble region

-> Low freq. mode ~ 10 Hz - related to the anisotropic expansion of the ejecta – large scale downflows



memory effect!

Detectability $\sqrt{S_h} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{f}} h_c(f) = 2\sqrt{f} \left| \tilde{h}(f) \right|$ $h_c = \frac{1}{r} \left(\frac{2G}{\pi^2 c^3} \frac{dE}{df} \right)^{1/2}$

\checkmark *h_c* properties:

- Preliminary quantification of the *neutrinos* + *matter* memory effect (<u>Christodoulou 1991</u>)
- -> *permanent displacements* of the detector's test masses when a "GW train" passes through. (<u>Thorne</u> <u>1991</u>)
- Area between the signal and the noise curves related to the signal to noise ratio (SNR)
- $\sqrt{S_h}$ properties:
- Quantifies directly the *instantaneous displacements* of the test masses when a GW passes through – direct observation of the source amplitudes
- But does not take into account the memory effect

Predictions

- Low frequencies $\sim 10 20 Hz$
- -> Neutrino driven GW signal dominates detectable
- Higher frequecies $\sim 40 500 Hz$
- -> *Matter GW signal* more important higher posibilities for detection



Detectability – location of the signal predictions in the $f_c - \sqrt{s_h}$ plane

- GW emission of non-rotating magnetized models -> mostly dominated by the matter asymmetric motions emits, in a frequency range of 100 – 200 Hz in average
- Neutrino contribution is barely detectable despite its large amplitude

low frequency -> seismic noise





Kotake et al (2015)

Detectability- signal to noise ratios (SNR)





Conclusions

GW due to asymmetric mass motions

- ✓ Emitted mostly from PNS PNS surface cooling layer
- ✓ May provide information about the PNS properties
- ✓ GW amplitudes and frequencies -> level of activity of instabilities (convection + SASI)
- Signal endurance absence of decreasing frequency mode + suspension of convection -> magnetic fields influence

GW due to neutrino asymmetric emission

- ✓ Hot bubble dynamics + structure
- \checkmark Explosion morphology
- ✓ Detection limited for CCSN explosions in the Milky Way
- -> the event rate up to 1-2 in 100 years

Not enough to get solid information about the explosion mechanism -> -> wait for the third generation !

Supplemented material

Neutrino asymmetric emission

$$h_{ij}^{TT} = \frac{4G}{c^2 r} \int_{-\infty}^{t-\frac{r}{c}} dt' \int_{\Omega} d\Omega' \frac{(n_i n_j)^{TT}}{1 - \cos\vartheta'} \frac{dL}{d\Omega'} (\vartheta', \varphi', t')$$



Suplemented material

Total signal + spectrograms

- $|h_+|_{\nu} > |h_+|_m$ but $f \sim 10 20 Hz$
- Neutrino driven convection + SASI activity, in the hot bubble region may be modified by magnetic fields

-> Hot bubble characteristics (number , position, size, time evolution) = f (neutrino heating efficiency, SASI activity , magnetic fields)

• Cold matter accumulated to the core absorbs the neutrinos more efficiently than the hot matter in the polar regions

-> strong asymmetries to the v's radiation field – GW emission -> may appear increasing positive tails (B11, B12) -> associate with large bubbles at late times



+ neutrino memory