## Neutrinos and Matter-Antimatter Asymmetries in String Universes with Torsion



## Nick E. Mavromatos King's College London & CERN/PH-TH





London Centre for Terauniverse Studies (LCTS) AdV 267352



## OUTLINE

**Motivation:** There is dominance of matter over antimatter

Higgs scalar gives masses to Standard Model (SM) particles except neutrinos

Seesaw mechanism can account for smallness of mass of active neutrino species through righthanded neutrinos in extensions of SM

Role of (heavy) Majorana Right-handed Neutrinos In Leptogenesis/Baryogenesis: extra CP Violation & Dark Matter

In the talk: discuss role of pseudoscalar fields as responsible for both right-handed Majorana neutrino masses beyond seesaw and matter-antimatter asymmetry in the Universe with no need to adjust extra amount of CP Violation



#### General Remarks on Matter-induced Torsion relevant to our discussion

Motivation for using bosonic Kalb-Ramond (KR) torsion from string theory to generate matter/antimatter asymmetry in a (toy) string Universe

A scenario: Background KR torsion yields matter/antimmater asymmetry Quantum torsion may yield Majorana neutrino masses relevant to dark matter....

#### Why torsion?

#### Why torsion?

### **REMARKS ON TORSIONFUL GEOMETRIES**

Einstein theories of gravity  $\rightarrow$  postulate that torsion is absent (constraint)

Einstein-Cartan and Kibble (1961) Sciama (1964) theories, this constraint is not used and torsion is considered as a dynamical field: vielbeins independent from spin connection

Fermions in gravitational field, when formulated in the so called first-order formalism, where spin connection and vierbein are treated as independent the **torsion** does *not* vanish



Fermions and Torsion



Curvature tensor in first order torsionful formalism

$$R^{ab}_{\ \mu\nu} = 2\partial_{[\mu,}\,\omega^{ab}_{\ \nu]} + 2\omega^{a}_{\ c[\mu}\,\omega^{cb}_{\ \nu]}$$

Covariant derivative (on fermions):

$$D_{\mu}\psi = \left(\partial_{\mu} - \frac{i}{4}\omega_{\mu}^{a\,b}\sigma_{ab}\right)\psi , \qquad \sigma^{ab} = \frac{i}{2}\left[\tilde{\gamma}^{a}, \tilde{\gamma}^{b}\right]$$

 $\{\tilde{\gamma}^a,\,\tilde{\gamma}^b\}=2\eta^{ab}$  .

The resulting Dirac spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  fermion Lagrangian reads:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{QED-fermions}} = \overline{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} \left( i D_{\mu} - e A_{\mu} \right) \psi \equiv \overline{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} \left( i \mathcal{D}_{\mu} \right) \psi$$

$$\{\gamma^{\mu}, \gamma^{\nu}\} = 2g^{\mu\nu}$$

$$S_{G} + S_{\text{QED-fermions}} = \frac{1}{2\kappa} \int d^{4}x \, e \, e^{\mu}_{a} e^{\nu}_{b} R^{ab}_{\ \mu\nu}(\omega) + \frac{i}{2} \int d^{4}x \, e \left(\overline{\psi}\gamma^{\mu} \mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\omega, A)\psi - \overline{\mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\omega, A)\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\psi\right) + \dots$$

In form notation: Curvature 2-form

$$R^{ab} = d\omega^{ab} + \omega^a_c \wedge \omega^{cb}$$

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}_{\mu\nu} \, dx^{\mu} \wedge dx^{\nu}$$
$$\omega^{ab} = \omega^{ab}_{\mu} \, dx^{\mu}$$

To study the effects of torsion in 1<sup>st</sup> order formalism, it is convenient to Decompose the torsion tensor into irreducible components according to their Transformation under the local Lorentz group of transformations:

$$T_{\mu\nu\rho} = \frac{1}{3} \left( T_{\nu} g_{\mu\rho} - T_{\rho} g_{\mu\nu} \right) - \frac{1}{6} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} S^{\sigma} + q_{\mu\nu\rho}$$

 $T_{\mu} \equiv T^{\nu}_{\ \mu\nu}$  torsion trace vector,

 $S_{\mu} \equiv \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} T^{\nu\rho\sigma}$  pseudotrace axial vector

 $q^{\nu}_{\ \rho\nu} = 0 = \epsilon^{\sigma\mu\nu\rho} q_{\mu\nu\rho}$ 

antisymmetric tensor

$$S_{G} = \frac{1}{2\kappa} \int d^{4}x e \left( e^{\mu}_{a} e^{\nu}_{b} R^{ab}_{\ \mu\nu}(\overline{\omega}) + \frac{1}{24} S_{\mu} S^{\mu} - \frac{2}{3} T_{\mu} T^{\mu} + \frac{1}{2} q_{\mu\nu\rho} q^{\mu\nu\rho} \right)$$

Using

$$\tilde{\gamma}^a \tilde{\gamma}^b \tilde{\gamma}^c = \eta^{ab} \tilde{\gamma}^c + \eta^{bc} \tilde{\gamma}^a - \eta^{ac} \tilde{\gamma}^b - i \epsilon^{abcd} \tilde{\gamma}_5 \tilde{\gamma}_d , \qquad \tilde{\gamma}_5 = i \tilde{\gamma}^0 \tilde{\gamma}^1 \tilde{\gamma}^2 \tilde{\gamma}^3$$

We obtain for the fermionic part of the action

$$S_{\text{QED-fermions}} = \frac{i}{2} \int d^4 x e \left( e^{\mu}_a (\overline{\psi} \tilde{\gamma}^a \mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\overline{\omega}, A) \psi - \overline{\mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\overline{\omega}, A) \psi} \tilde{\gamma}^a \psi) + \frac{i}{4} S_{\mu} J^{\mu}_{(A)} \right) ,$$

$$S_{G} = \frac{1}{2\kappa} \int d^{4}x e \left( e^{\mu}_{a} e^{\nu}_{b} R^{ab}_{\ \mu\nu}(\overline{\omega}) + \frac{1}{24} S_{\mu} S^{\mu} - \frac{2}{3} T_{\mu} T^{\mu} + \frac{1}{2} q_{\mu\nu\rho} q^{\mu\nu\rho} \right)$$

Using

$$\tilde{\gamma}^a \tilde{\gamma}^b \tilde{\gamma}^c = \eta^{ab} \tilde{\gamma}^c + \eta^{bc} \tilde{\gamma}^a - \eta^{ac} \tilde{\gamma}^b - i \epsilon^{abcd} \tilde{\gamma}_5 \tilde{\gamma}_d , \qquad \tilde{\gamma}_5 = i \tilde{\gamma}^0 \tilde{\gamma}^1 \tilde{\gamma}^2 \tilde{\gamma}^3$$

We obtain for the fermionic part of the action

$$S_{\text{QED-fermions}} = \frac{i}{2} \int d^4 x e \left( e^{\mu}_a (\overline{\psi} \tilde{\gamma}^a \mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\overline{\omega}, A) \psi - \overline{\mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\overline{\omega}, A) \psi} \, \tilde{\gamma}^a \psi \right) + \frac{i}{4} S_{\mu} J^{\mu}_{(A)} \right) \, d^4 x e^{-\frac{i}{4} (\overline{\psi} \tilde{\gamma}^a \mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\overline{\omega}, A) \psi - \overline{\mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\overline{\omega}, A) \psi} \, \tilde{\gamma}^a \psi + \frac{i}{4} S_{\mu} J^{\mu}_{(A)} \right) \, d^4 x e^{-\frac{i}{4} (\overline{\psi} \tilde{\gamma}^a \mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\overline{\omega}, A) \psi - \overline{\mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\overline{\omega}, A) \psi} \, \tilde{\gamma}^a \psi + \frac{i}{4} S_{\mu} J^{\mu}_{(A)} \right) \, d^4 x e^{-\frac{i}{4} (\overline{\psi} \tilde{\gamma}^a \mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\overline{\omega}, A) \psi - \overline{\mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\overline{\omega}, A) \psi} \, \tilde{\gamma}^a \psi + \frac{i}{4} S_{\mu} J^{\mu}_{(A)} \right) \, d^4 x e^{-\frac{i}{4} (\overline{\psi} \tilde{\gamma}^a \mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\overline{\omega}, A) \psi} \, d^4 x e^{-\frac{i}{4} (\overline{\psi} \tilde{\gamma}^a \mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\overline{\omega}, A) \psi - \overline{\mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\overline{\omega}, A) \psi} \, \tilde{\gamma}^a \psi} + \frac{i}{4} S_{\mu} J^{\mu}_{(A)} \, d^4 x e^{-\frac{i}{4} (\overline{\psi} \tilde{\gamma}^a \mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\overline{\omega}, A) \psi} \, d^4 x e^{-\frac{i}{4} (\overline{\psi} \tilde{\gamma}^a \mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\overline{\omega}, A) \psi} \, d^4 x e^{-\frac{i}{4} (\overline{\psi} \tilde{\gamma}^a \mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\overline{\omega}, A) \psi} \, d^4 x e^{-\frac{i}{4} (\overline{\psi} \tilde{\gamma}^a \mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\overline{\omega}, A) \psi} \, d^4 x e^{-\frac{i}{4} (\overline{\psi} \tilde{\gamma}^a \mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\overline{\omega}, A) \psi} \, d^4 x e^{-\frac{i}{4} (\overline{\psi} \tilde{\gamma}^a \mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\overline{\omega}, A) \psi} \, d^4 x e^{-\frac{i}{4} (\overline{\psi} \tilde{\gamma}^a \mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\overline{\omega}, A) \psi} \, d^4 x e^{-\frac{i}{4} (\overline{\psi} \tilde{\gamma}^a \mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\overline{\omega}, A) \psi} \, d^4 x e^{-\frac{i}{4} (\overline{\psi} \tilde{\gamma}^a \mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\overline{\omega}, A) \psi} \, d^4 x e^{-\frac{i}{4} (\overline{\psi} \tilde{\gamma}^a \mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\overline{\omega}, A) \psi} \, d^4 x e^{-\frac{i}{4} (\overline{\psi} \tilde{\gamma}^a \mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\overline{\omega}, A) \psi} \, d^4 x e^{-\frac{i}{4} (\overline{\psi} \tilde{\gamma}^a \mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\overline{\omega}, A) \psi} \, d^4 x e^{-\frac{i}{4} (\overline{\psi} \tilde{\gamma}^a \mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\overline{\omega}, A) \psi} \, d^4 x e^{-\frac{i}{4} (\overline{\psi} \tilde{\gamma}^a \mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\overline{\omega}, A) \psi} \, d^4 x e^{-\frac{i}{4} (\overline{\psi} \tilde{\gamma}^a \mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\overline{\omega}, A) \psi} \, d^4 x e^{-\frac{i}{4} (\overline{\psi} \tilde{\gamma}^a \mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\overline{\omega}, A) \psi} \, d^4 x e^{-\frac{i}{4} (\overline{\psi} \tilde{\gamma}^a \mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\overline{\omega}, A) \psi} \, d^4 x e^{-\frac{i}{4} (\overline{\psi} \tilde{\gamma}^a \mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\overline{\omega}, A) \psi} \, d^4 x e^{-\frac{i}{4} (\overline{\psi} \tilde{\gamma}^a \mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\overline{\omega}, A) \psi} \, d^4 x e^{-\frac{i}{4} (\overline{\psi} \tilde{\gamma}^a \mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\overline{\omega}, A) \psi} \, d^4 x e^{-\frac{i}{4} (\overline{\psi} \tilde{\gamma}^a \mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\overline{\omega}, A) \psi} \, d^4 x e^{-\frac{i}{4} (\overline{\psi} \tilde{\gamma}^a \mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\overline{\omega}, A) \psi} \, d^4 x e^{-\frac{i}{4} (\overline{\psi} \tilde{\gamma}^a \mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\overline{\omega}, A) \psi} \, d^4 x e^{-\frac{i}{4} (\overline{\psi} \tilde{\gamma}^a \mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\overline{\omega}, A) \psi} \, d^4 x e^{-\frac{i}{4} (\overline{\psi} \tilde{\gamma}^a \mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\overline{\omega}, A) \psi} \, d^4 x$$

Variation of the comined actions  $S_G + S_{FERMION}$  w.r.t. T, S and q components of torsion, viewed as *independent* fields, yields:

$$T^{\mu}=0, \quad \frac{1}{24\kappa}S^{\mu}-\frac{1}{8}J^{\mu}_{(A)}=0 \ , \quad q_{\mu\nu\rho}=0$$

 $J^{\mu}_{(A)} \equiv \overline{\psi} \gamma_5 \gamma^{\mu} \psi$  is the spinor *axial* current.

These solutions allow for the spin connection with torsion to be written as:

$$\omega_{\mu}^{ab} = \overline{\omega}_{\mu}^{ab} + \frac{\kappa}{4} \epsilon^{ab}_{\ cd} e^{c}_{\mu} J^{d}_{(A)}$$

So that torsion is associated with the spinor axial current.

# Total Action in Einstein-Cartan theory

$$\begin{split} S_{\text{total}} &= \frac{1}{2\kappa} \int d^4 x e \, e^{\mu}_{\,a} e^{\nu}_{\,b} R^{ab}_{\,\,\mu\nu}(\overline{\omega}) + \\ &\frac{i}{2} \int d^4 x e \, \left( e^{\mu}_{a}(\overline{\psi} \tilde{\gamma}^a \mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\overline{\omega}, A) \psi - \overline{\mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\overline{\omega}, A) \psi} \, \tilde{\gamma}^a \psi) \right) - \int d^4 x e \, \frac{3}{16} \kappa J^{\mu}_{(A)} J_{(A)\mu} \, . \end{split}$$

 $\kappa = 8 \pi G_N$  is the gravitational constant

# Total Action in Einstein-Cartan theory

$$\begin{split} S_{\text{total}} &= \frac{1}{2\kappa} \int d^4 x e \, e^{\mu}_{\,a} e^{\nu}_{\,b} R^{ab}_{\,\,\mu\nu}(\overline{\omega}) + \\ &\frac{i}{2} \int d^4 x e \, \left( e^{\mu}_{a}(\overline{\psi} \tilde{\gamma}^a \mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\overline{\omega}, A) \psi - \overline{\mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\overline{\omega}, A) \psi} \, \tilde{\gamma}^a \psi) \right) - \int d^4 x e \, \frac{3}{16} \kappa J^{\mu}_{(A)} J_{(A)\mu} \, . \end{split}$$

 $\kappa = 8 \pi G_N$  is the gravitational constant

fermion self interactions induced by quantum torsion

#### Why torsion? SUMMARY ON TORSION CONNECTIONS

### (i) Dirac spin 1/2 fermions in Einstein-Cartan

$$\omega^{ab}_{\mu} = \overline{\omega}^{ab}_{\mu} + \frac{\kappa}{4} \epsilon^{ab}_{\ cd} e^c_{\mu} J^d_{(A)}$$

 $J^{\mu}_{(A)} \equiv \overline{\psi} \gamma_5 \gamma^{\mu} \psi$  is the spinor *axial* current.

(ii) N=1 SUGRA 
$$\omega_{\mu}{}^{ab} = \omega_{\mu}{}^{ab}(e, \psi) \equiv \omega_{\mu}{}^{ab}(e) + \kappa_{\mu}{}^{ab}(\psi)$$
  
 $J^{\mu}(\psi) = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \bar{\psi}_{\nu} \gamma_{\alpha} \psi_{\beta}$ .  $\kappa_{\mu\alpha\beta}(\psi) = \frac{1}{4!} \bar{\psi}_{\alpha} \gamma_{\mu} \psi_{\beta} + \bar{\psi}_{\mu} \gamma_{\alpha} \psi_{\beta} - \bar{\psi}_{\mu} \gamma_{\beta} \psi_{\alpha}]$ .  
(iii) N=2 SUGRA  $\omega_{\mu}{}^{ab} = \omega_{\mu}{}^{ab}(e, \psi) \equiv \omega_{\mu}{}^{ab}(e) + \kappa_{\mu}{}^{ab}(\psi)$   
 $J^{\mu}(\psi) = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \bar{\psi}_{\nu}^{I} \gamma_{\alpha} \psi_{I\beta}$ .  $\kappa_{\mu\alpha\beta}(\psi) = \frac{1}{4!} [\bar{\psi}_{\alpha}^{I} \gamma_{\mu} \psi_{I\beta} + \bar{\psi}_{\mu}^{I} \gamma_{\alpha} \psi_{I\beta} - \bar{\psi}_{\mu}^{I} \gamma_{\beta} \psi_{I\alpha} + \text{c.c.}]$ .  
(iv) N=4 SU(4) SUGRA  $\omega_{\mu ab} = \omega_{\mu ab}(e, \psi, \Lambda) \equiv \omega_{\mu ab}(e) + \kappa_{\mu ab}$   
 $J^{\mu}(\psi) = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \bar{\psi}_{\nu}^{I} \gamma_{\alpha} \psi_{I\beta}$   
 $J^{\mu}(\Lambda) = e \bar{\Lambda}_{I} \gamma^{\mu} \Lambda^{I}$ .  $\omega_{\mu\alpha\beta}(\psi) = \frac{1}{4!} [\bar{\psi}_{\alpha}^{I} \gamma_{\mu} \psi_{I\beta} + \bar{\psi}_{\mu}^{I} \gamma_{\alpha} \psi_{I\beta} - \bar{\psi}_{\mu}^{I} \gamma_{\beta} \psi_{I\alpha} + \text{c.c.}]$ ,  
 $\kappa_{\mu\alpha\beta}(\psi) = \frac{1}{4!} [\bar{\psi}_{\alpha}^{I} \gamma_{\mu} \psi_{I\beta} + \bar{\psi}_{\mu}^{I} \gamma_{\alpha} \psi_{I\beta} - \bar{\psi}_{\mu}^{I} \gamma_{\beta} \psi_{I\alpha} + \text{c.c.}]$ ,  
(31)

Actions can be modified by total derivatives, without effects to on-shell Physics (i.e. equations of motion). But such terms may play a role in quantum Physics....

In pure gravity without torsion one can add the following identically zero term Due to Bianchi identities/symmetry properties of the Riemann tensor

$$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(\bar{\omega}) = 0$$

No longer true in the presence of torsion

$$S_{\rm Holst} = -\frac{\beta}{4\kappa} \int d^4x e \, e^{\mu}_a e^{\nu}_b \epsilon^{ab}_{\ cd} R^{cd}_{\ \mu\nu} \qquad \begin{array}{l} {\rm non\ trivial\ in\ torsionful\ geometries}} \\ \end{array}$$

 ${ ilde R}^{ab}_{\mu
u}\equiv\epsilon^{ab}_{\ \ cd}R^{cd}_{\ \ \mu
u}$  Is the dual of the curvature tensor

$$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ T^{\mu} = \displaystyle \underbrace{\frac{3\kappa}{4} \frac{\beta}{\beta^2 + 1} J^{\mu}_{(A)}}_{A} \ , \quad S^{\mu} = \displaystyle \frac{3\kappa}{\beta^2 + 1} J^{\mu}_{(A)} \ , \quad q_{\mu\nu\rho} = 0 \ . \end{array}$$

Equates a vector T with a PSEUDOVECTOR  $J_{(A)}$ 

Hence adding just the Holst term into the action of first order Einstein-Cartan theory (gravity with fermions) is **MATHEMATICALLY INCONSISTENT** 

Holst term needs modification by the addition of fermions TO BECOME TOTAL DERIVATIVE **(S. Mercuri, PR D77 (2008)**)

IF

$$S_{\text{Holst-fermi}} = +\frac{\alpha}{2} \int d^4 x e \left( \overline{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_5 \mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\omega) \psi + \overline{\mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\omega)} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_5 \psi \right) \,, \quad \alpha = \text{const.}$$

Together with Dirac kinetic terms, the fermion action the reads:

$$S_{\text{Dirac-Holst-fermi}} = \frac{i}{2} \int d^4 x e \left[ \overline{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} \left( 1 - i\alpha\gamma_5 \right) \mathcal{D}_{\mu} \psi + \overline{\mathcal{D}_{\mu} \psi} \gamma^{\mu} \left( 1 - i\alpha\gamma_5 \right) \psi \right]$$

Holst total gravity-fermion term becomes total derivative

$$S_{\rm Holst-total} = -i\frac{\beta}{2}\int d^4x \left[I_{\rm NY} + \partial_{\mu}J^{\mu}_{(A)}\right]$$

$$I_{\rm NY} \equiv \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \left( T_{\mu\nu}^{\ a} T_{\rho\sigma\,a} - \frac{1}{2} e^a_{\mu} e^b_{\nu} R_{\rho\sigma ab}(\omega) \right)$$

 $I_{\rm NY} = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \partial_{\mu} T_{\nu\rho\sigma}$ 

Theory equivalent To Einstein-Cartan Independent of Immirzi β

Nieh-Yan Topological invariant Density – unique Lorentz Invariant torsion structure

#### SUMMARY ON (SUPER)HOLST MODIFICATIONS

### (i) Dirac spin ½ fermions

$$S_{\rm Holst-total} = \frac{i\beta}{4} \int d^4x \partial_\mu J^\mu_{(A)} = -\frac{i\beta}{6} \int d^4x \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \partial_\mu T_{\nu\rho\sigma}(\psi)$$

 $J^{\mu}_{(A)} \equiv \overline{\psi} \gamma_5 \gamma^{\mu} \psi$  is the spinor *axial* current.

Tsuda, Kaul,

(ii) N=1 SUGRA  $J^{\mu}(\psi) = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \bar{\psi}_{\nu} \gamma_{\alpha} \psi_{\beta}.$ 

$$\begin{split} S_{\text{SHolstl}}[\omega(e,\psi)] &= -\frac{i\eta}{4} \int d^4x \partial_{\mu} J^{\mu}(\psi) \\ &= \frac{i\eta}{2} \int d^4x \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \partial_{\mu} T_{\nu\alpha\beta}(\psi). \end{split}$$

(iii) N=2 SUGRA

 $J^{\mu}(\psi) = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \bar{\psi}^{I}_{\nu} \gamma_{\alpha} \psi_{I\beta}$ 

$$S_{\text{SHolst2}}[\omega(e,\psi)] = -\frac{i\eta}{4}\int d^4x \partial_{\mu}J^{\mu}(\psi)$$

(iv) N=4 SU(4) SUGRA  $J^{\mu}(\psi) = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \bar{\psi}^{I}_{\nu} \gamma_{\alpha} \psi_{I\beta}$   $J^{\mu}(\Lambda) = e \bar{\Lambda}_{I} \gamma^{\mu} \Lambda^{I}.$ 

$$S_{\text{SHolst4}}[\omega(e,\psi,\Lambda)] = -\frac{i\eta}{4} \int d^4x \partial_{\mu} [J^{\mu}(\psi) - J^{\mu}(\Lambda)]$$

**DISCUSS A DIFFERENT KIND** OF BOSONIC TORSION CORRESPONDING TO A PSEUDOSCALAR DEGREE **OF FREEDOM** (STRING INSPIRED, KALB-RAMOND ``AXION")

## **SCALAR FIELDS IN EARLY UNIVERSE**

Scalar Fields in Early Universe: Plenty of them in extended particle physics models: inflaton(s), dilaton, axions, moduli ....Various effects...

## OUTLINE

Scalar Fields in Early Universe: Plenty of them in extended particle physics models: inflaton(s), dilaton, axions, moduli ....Various effects...

## **Concentrate on:**

**String-Inspired Models and Torsionful Geometries:** Torsionful Geometries of early universe due to a Kalb-Ramond Pseudoscalar (KR ``axion"):

## OUTLINE

Scalar Fields in Early Universe: Plenty of them in extended particle physics models: inflaton(s), dilaton, axions, moduli ....Various effects...

## **Concentrate on:**

**String-Inspired Models and Torsionful Geometries:** Torsionful Geometries of early universe due to a Kalb-Ramond Pseudoscalar (KR ``axion"):

(i) Matter/antimatter asymmetry in the Universe (Leptogenesis/Baryogenesis) right-handed neutrinos no need for extra CP Violation

(ii) Majorana Right-handed Neutrino masses from KR ``axions" (quantum torsion) beyond seesaw, through anomalies... REFERENCES

Matter-Antimatter Asymmetry in Thermal Equilibirum in Early Universe due to background KR torsion : N.E.M. and Sarben Sarkar, arXiv:1211.0968 EPJC 73 (2013), 2359

John Ellis, N.E.M. and Sarben Sarkar arXiv: 1304.5433 [gr-qc] Phys. Lett. B 725 (2013), 425

Anomalous Majorana Neutrino Mass Generation due to quantum KR torsion N.E.M. and A. Pilaftsis, arXiv: 1209.6387 Phys. Rev. D86 (2012), 124038

## **General Remarks on CP Violation**

- Within Standard Model, CP Violation not enough to produce observed Baryon over antibaryon Asymmetry (assume CPT in early Universe & B-, C-, & CP - Violation: Sakharov Conditions)
- Several Ideas to go beyond the SM (e.g. GUT models, Supersymmetry, extra dimensional models etc.)
- Massive  $\nu$  are simplest extension of SM
- ${\rm \circ}$  Right-handed massive  $\nu$  may provide extensions of SM with:
  - extra CP Violation and thus Origin of Universe's matter-antimatter asymmetry due to neutrino masses, Dark Matter

Within the Standard Model, lowest CP Violating structures

$$\begin{aligned} d_{CP} &= \sin(\theta_{12})\sin(\theta_{23})\sin(\theta_{13})\sin\delta_{CP} \\ &\cdot (m_t^2 - m_c^2)(m_t^2 - m_u^2)(m_c^2 - m_u^2)(m_b^2 - m_s^2)(m_b^2 - m_d^2)(m_s^2 - m_d^2) \\ & \quad \text{Kobayashi-Maskawa CP Violating phase} \end{aligned}$$
Shaposhnikov  $D &= \text{Im Tr} \left[\mathcal{M}_u^2 \mathcal{M}_d^2 \mathcal{M}_u \mathcal{M}_d\right]$ 

$$\delta_{KM}^{CP} \sim \frac{D}{T^{12}} \sim 10^{-20} \quad << \frac{n_B - \overline{n}_B}{n_B + \overline{n}_B} \sim \frac{n_B - \overline{n}_B}{s} = (8.4 - 8.9) \times 10^{-11}$$

$$T \simeq T_{\text{sph}}$$

$$T_{\text{sph}}(m_H) \in [130, 190] \text{GeV}$$
This CP Violation Cannot be the Source of Baryon Asymmetry in The Universe}

## **General Remarks on CP Violation**

- Within Standard Model, CP Violation not enough to produce observed Baryon over antibaryon Asymmetry (assume CPT in early Universe & B-, C-, & CP - Violation: Sakharov Conditions)
- Several Ideas to go beyond the SM (e.g. GUT models, Supersymmetry, extra dimensional models etc.)
- Massive  $\nu$  are simplest extension of SM
- ${\rm \circ}$  Right-handed massive  $\nu$  may provide extensions of SM with:
  - extra CP Violation and thus Origin of Universe's matter-antimatter asymmetry due to neutrino masses, Dark Matter

## **General Remarks on CP Violation**

 Within Standard Model, CP Violation not enough to produce observed Baryon over antibaryon Asymmetry (assume CPT in early Universe & B-, C-, & CP - Violation: Sakharov Conditions)

 Several Ideas to go beyond the SM (e.g. GUT models, Supersymmetry, extra dimensional models etc.)

· Massive v are simplest extension of SM

Right-handed massive  $\nu$  may provide extensions of SM with:

extra CP Violation and thus Origin of Universe's matter-antimatter asymmetry due to neutrino masses, Dark Matter

# SM Extension with N extra right-handed neutrinos $\nu MSM$



## $\nu MSM$

$$L = L_{SM} + \bar{N}_I i \partial_\mu \gamma^\mu N_I - F_{\alpha I} \,\bar{L}_\alpha N_I \tilde{\phi} - \frac{M_I}{2} \,\bar{N}_I^c N_I + \text{h.c.}$$

 $m_{\nu} = -M^D \frac{1}{M_I} [M^D]^T \,.$ 

Light Neutrino Masses through see saw

$$M_D = F_{\alpha I} v$$
  
 $v = \langle \phi \rangle \sim 175 \text{ GeV} \quad M_D \ll M_I$ 



# SM Extension with N extra right-handed neutrinos $\nu MSM$

$$L = L_{SM} + \bar{N}_I i \partial_\mu \gamma^\mu N_I - F_{\alpha I} \bar{L}_\alpha N_I \tilde{\phi} - \frac{M_I}{2} \bar{N}_I^c N_I + \text{h.c.}$$

From Constraints (compiled v oscillation data) on (light) sterile neutrinos: *Giunti, Hernandez ...* N=1 excluded by data

Yukawa couplings Matrix (N=2 or 3 )

$$F = \widetilde{K}_L f_d \, \widetilde{K}_R^{\dagger}$$

Model with 2 or 3 singlet fermions works well in reproducing Baryon Asymmetry and is consistent with Experimental Data on neutrino oscillations

Model with N=3 also works fine, and in fact it allows **one** of the Majorana fermions to almost **decouple** from the rest of the SM fields, thus providing candidates for **light** (keV region of mass) sterile neutrino **Dark Matter.** 

SM Extension with N extra right-handed neutrinos

## $\nu MSM$

**PERTURBATIVELY CONSISTENT (STABLE) STANDARD MODEL HIGGS SECTOR** 



WHEN RIGHT-HANDED NEUTRINOS PRESENT SEEMS TO REQUIRE :

THREE RIGHT-HANDED NEUTRINOS TWO DEGENERATE IN MASS (HEAVIER THAN GeV) ONE LIGHT (in keV region) → dark matter candidate CPT IS ASSUMED AN EXACT SYMMETRY OF THE EARLY UNIVERSE CP Violation enhanced due to degeneracy

Model with N=3 also works fine, and in fact it allows **one** of the Majorana fermions to almost **decouple** from the rest of the SM fields, thus providing candidates for **light** (keV region of mass) sterile neutrino **Dark Matter.** 

## SM Extension with N extra right-handed neutrinos

Non SUSY  $\nu MSM$ 

Boyarski, Ruchayskiy, Shaposhnikov

$$L = L_{SM} + \bar{N}_I i \partial_\mu \gamma^\mu N_I - F_{\alpha I} \bar{L}_\alpha N_I \tilde{\phi} - \frac{M_I}{2} \bar{N}_I^c N_I + \text{h.c.}$$

From Constraints (compiled v oscillation data) on (light) sterile neutrinos: *Giunti, Hernandez ...* N=1 excluded by data

Yukawa couplings Matrix (N=2 or 3 )

$$F = \widetilde{K}_L f_d \, \widetilde{K}_R^{\dagger}$$

Model with 2 or 3 singlet fermions works well in reproducing Baryon Asymmetry and is consistent with Experimental Data on neutrino oscillations

Model with N=3 also works fine, and in fact it allows **one** of the Majorane fermions to almost **decouple** from the rest of the SM fields, thus providing candidates for **light** (keV region of mass) sterile neutrino **Dark Matter**. **It can also provide consistent model for Leptogenesis and, through, sohaleron processes, Baryogenesis.** 

#### Important:

PERTURBATIVELY CONSISTENT (STABLE) STANDARD MODEL HIGGS SECTOR WHEN RIGHT-HANDED NEUTRINOS PRESENT SEEMS TO REQUIRE : THREE RIGHT-HANDED NEUTRINOS TWO DEGENERATE IN MASS (HEAVIER THAN GeV) ONE LIGHT (in keV region) → dark matter candidate

CPT IS ASSUMED AN EXACT SYMMETRY OF THE EARLY UNIVERSE CP Violation enhanced due to degeneracy but may not be sufficient to reproduce Baryon Asymmetry:

#### Important:

PERTURBATIVELY CONSISTENT (STABLE) STANDARD MODEL HIGGS SECTOR WHEN RIGHT-HANDED NEUTRINOS PRESENT SEEMS TO REQUIRE : THREE RIGHT-HANDED NEUTRINOS TWO DEGENERATE IN MASS (HEAVIER THAN GeV) ONE LIGHT (in keV region) → dark matter candidate

CPT IS ASSUMED AN EXACT SYMMETRY OF THE EARLY UNIVERSE CP Violation enhanced due to degeneracy but may not be sufficient to reproduce Baryon Asymmetry: Mechanism for baryon asymmetry through coherent oscillations of degenerate in mass neutrinos

... rather delicate !





If  $M_{I} < M_{W}$  (electroweak scale), *e.g.*  $M_{I} = O(1)$  GeV

Keep light neutrino masses in right order , Yukawa couplings must be:

$$F_{lpha I} \sim rac{\sqrt{m_{
m atm} M_I}}{v} \sim 4 imes 10^{-8}$$

# Baryogenesis through coherent oscillations right-handed singlet fermions

Akhmedov, Rubakov, Smirnov

Higgs mass stability vs higher loops  $\rightarrow$  Mass degeneracy N<sub>2.3</sub>

Assume *Mass degeneracy* N<sub>2,3</sub>, hence **enhanced CP violation** *Coherent Oscillations* between these singlet fermions



$$\omega \sim \frac{|M_2^2 - M_3^2|}{E_I} \sim \frac{M_2 \Delta M(T)}{T}$$

 $E_I \sim T$  $\Delta M(T) \ll M_2 \approx M_3$ 

FOR CP VIOLATION TO OCCUR MUST HAVE: Oscillation rate > Hubble rate H(T)

Baryogenesis occurs @: 
$$T_B \sim \left(M_I \Delta M(T) M_0\right)^{1/3}$$
 eg O(100) GeV

$$\frac{n_B}{s} \simeq 1.7 \cdot 10^{-10} \,\delta_{CP} \left(\frac{10^{-5}}{\Delta M(T)/M_2}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \left(\frac{M_2}{10 \text{ GeV}}\right)^{\frac{5}{3}},$$

Mass  $N_2(N_3) / (Mass N_1) = O(10^5)$ 

N<sub>1</sub> Lightest Sterile nutrino is a natural DARK MATTER candidate
#### **BAU ESTIMATES**

Assume *Mass degeneracy* N<sub>2,3</sub>, hence enhanced CP violation *Coherent Oscillations* between these singlet fermions

$$\omega \sim rac{|M_2^2 - M_3^2|}{E_I} \sim rac{M_2 \Delta M(T)}{T}$$

 $E_I \sim T$  $\Delta M(T) \ll M_2 \approx M_3$ 

FOR CP VIOLATION TO OCCUR MUST HAVE: Oscillation rate > Hubble rate H(T)

Baryogenesis occurs @: 
$$T_B \sim \left(M_I \Delta M(T) M_0\right)^{1/3}$$
 eg O(100) GeV

Quite effective Mechanism: Maximal Baryon asymmetry

$$\varDelta \equiv \frac{n_B - n_{\bar{B}}}{n_B + n_{\bar{B}}} \sim 1$$

for  $T_B = T_{sph} = T_{eq}$ 



**Assumption**: Interactions with plasma of SM particles do not destroy quantum mechanical coherence of oscillations

#### **BAU ESTIMATES**

Assume *Mass degeneracy* N<sub>2,3</sub>, hence enhanced CP violation *Coherent Oscillations* between these singlet fermions

$$\omega \sim rac{|M_2^2 - M_3^2|}{E_I} \sim rac{M_2 \Delta M(T)}{T}$$

 $E_I \sim T$  $\Delta M(T) \ll M_2 \approx M_3$ 

FOR CP VIOLATION TO OCCUR MUST HAVE: Oscillation rate > Hubble rate H(T)

Baryogenesis occurs @: 
$$T_B \sim \left(M_I \Delta M(T) M_0\right)^{1/3}$$
 eg O(100) GeV

Quite effective Mechanism: Maximal Baryon asymmetry

$$\Delta \equiv rac{n_B - n_{ar{B}}}{n_B + n_{ar{B}}} \sim 1$$

for 
$$T_B = T_{sph} = T_{eq}$$

**Assumption:** Interactions with plasma of SM particles do not destroy quantum mechanical coherence of oscillations

CONCLUSIONS FO FAR:

PERTURBATIVELY CONSISTENT (STABLE) STANDARD MODEL HIGGS SECTOR WHEN RIGHT-HANDED NEUTRINOS PRESENT SEEMS TO REQUIRE : THREE RIGHT-HANDED NEUTRINOS TWO DEGENERATE IN MASS (HEAVIER THAN CoV) ONE LIGHT (In keV region) → dark matter candidate

CPT IS ASSUMED AN EXACT SYMMETRY OF THE EARLY UNIVERSE CP Violation enhanced due to degeneracy but may not be sufficient to reproduce Baryon Asymmetry

Can we have alternatives to this CP Violation but with the important role of Right-handed Neutrinos maintained?

 $m_{\nu} = -M^D \frac{1}{M_{\tau}} [M^D]^T \, .$ 

This talk: fluctuations of

Light Neutrino Masses through see saw

Minkowski,Yanagida, Mohapatra, Senjanovic Sechter, Valle ...

$$M_D = F_{\alpha I} v$$
  
$$v = \langle \phi \rangle \sim 175 \text{ GeV} \qquad M_D \ll M_D$$



**PART II CPT VIOLATION IN** (TORSIONFUL) GEOMETRIES **OF THE EARLY UNIVERSE** DUE TO KR "AXIONS"

# **CPT VIOLATION IN THE EARLY UNIVERSE**

GENERATE Baryon and/or Lepton ASYMMETRY without Heavy Sterile Neutrinos?

#### **CPT Invariance Theorem :**

- (i) Flat space-times
- (ii) Lorentz invariance
- (iii) Locality
- (iv) Unitarity

Schwinger, Pauli, Luders, Jost, Bell revisited by: Greenberg, Chaichian, Dolgov, Novikov...

(ii)-(iv) Independent reasons for violation

# **CPT VIOLATION IN THE EARLY UNIVERSE**

GENERATE Baryon and/or Lepton ASYMMETRY without Heavy Sterile Neutrinos?



(ii)-(iv) Independent reasons for violation

**GRAVITATIONAL BACKGROUNDS** GENERATING CPT VIOLATING EFFECTS IN THE EARLY UNIVERSE: **PARTICLE-ANTIPARTICLE DIFFERENCES** IN DISPERSION RELATIONS  $\rightarrow$ Differences in populations  $\rightarrow$  freeze out  $\rightarrow$  Baryogenesis or  $\rightarrow$  Leptogenesis  $\rightarrow$  Baryogenesis

**GRAVITATIONAL BACKGROUNDS** GENERATING CPT VIOLATING EFFECTS IN THE EARLY UNIVERSE: **PARTICLE-ANTIPARTICLE DIFFERENCES** IN DISPERSION RELATIONS  $\rightarrow$ Differences in populations  $\rightarrow$  freeze out  $\rightarrow$  Baryogenesis or  $\rightarrow$  Leptogenesis  $\rightarrow$  Baryogenesis

# **REVIEW VARIOUS SCENARIOS**

**GRAVITATIONAL BACKGROUNDS** GENERATING CPT VIOLATING EFFECTS IN THE EARLY UNIVERSE: **PARTICLE-ANTIPARTICLE DIFFERENCES** IN DISPERSION RELATIONS  $\rightarrow$ Differences in populations  $\rightarrow$  freeze out  $\rightarrow$  Baryogenesis or  $\rightarrow$  Leptogenesis  $\rightarrow$  Baryogenesis **B-L conserving GUT** or Sphaleron **REVIEW VARIOU** S SCENARIOS

## CPTV Effects of different Space-Time-Curvature/Spin couplings between neutrinos/ antineutrinos

B. Mukhopadhyay, U. Debnath, N. Dadhich, M. Sinha Lambiase, Mohanty

**Curvature** Coupling to **fermion spin** may lead to different dispersion relations between neutrinos and antineutrinos (assumed *dominant* in the Early eras) in **non-spherically symmetric** geometries in the Early Universe. **Dirac Lagrangian** 

$$\mathcal{L} = \sqrt{-g} \left( i \, \bar{\psi} \, \gamma^a D_a \psi - m \, \bar{\psi} \psi \right)$$

$$D_a = \left(\partial_a - rac{i}{4}\omega_{bca}\sigma^{bc}
ight),$$
  
 $\omega_{bca} = e_{b\lambda}\left(\partial_a e_c^\lambda + \Gamma^\lambda_{\gamma\mu}e_c^\gamma e_a^\mu
ight).$ 

Gravitational covariant derivative including spin connection

$$\sigma^{ab}=rac{i}{2}\left[\gamma^{a},\gamma^{b}
ight]$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_f + \mathcal{L}_I = \sqrt{-g}\bar{\psi}\left[(i\gamma^a\partial_a - m) + \gamma^a\gamma^5B_a\right]\psi,$$

 $B^{d} = \epsilon^{abcd} e_{b\lambda} \left( \partial_{a} e_{c}^{\lambda} + \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\alpha\mu} e_{c}^{\alpha} e_{a}^{\mu} \right)$ 

$$\mathcal{L} = \sqrt{-g} \left( i \, \bar{\psi} \, \gamma^a D_a \psi - m \, \bar{\psi} \psi 
ight)$$

$$D_a = \left(\partial_a - \frac{i}{4}\omega_{bca}\sigma^{bc}
ight),$$
 Gravitational covariant derivative including spin connection  
 $\omega_{bca} = e_{b\lambda}\left(\partial_a e_c^{\lambda} + \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\gamma\mu}e_c^{\gamma}e_a^{\mu}
ight).$ 

for the Majorana neutrinos, above  $\mathcal{L}_I$  turns out explicitly as

$$\mathcal{L}_I = \psi_L^{\dagger} \gamma^a \psi_L B_a, \qquad \mathcal{L}_I = -\psi_L^c {}^{\dagger} \gamma^a \psi_L^c B_a$$

connection

 $[\gamma^a, \gamma^b]$ 

 $B^{d} = \epsilon^{abcd} e_{b\lambda} \left( \partial_{a} e_{c}^{\lambda} + \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\alpha\mu} e_{c}^{\alpha} e_{a}^{\mu} \right)$ 

$$\mathcal{L}=\sqrt{-g}\left(i\,ar{\psi}\,\gamma^a D_a\psi-m\,ar{\psi}\psi
ight)$$

$$D_a = \left(\partial_a - rac{i}{4}\omega_{bca}\sigma^{bc}
ight),$$
 $\omega_{bca} = e_{b\lambda}\left(\partial_a e_c^\lambda + \Gamma^\lambda_{\gamma\mu}e_c^\gamma e_a^\mu
ight).$ 

ί

Gravitational covariant derivative including spin connection

$$\sigma^{ab}=rac{i}{2}\left[\gamma^{a},\gamma^{b}
ight]$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_f + \mathcal{L}_I = \sqrt{-g} \bar{\psi} \left[ (i \gamma^a \partial_a - m) + \gamma^a \gamma^5 B_a \right] \psi,$$

 $B^{d} = \epsilon^{abcd} e_{b\lambda} \left( \partial_{a} e_{c}^{\lambda} + \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\alpha\mu} e_{c}^{\alpha} e_{a}^{\mu} \right)$ 

$$\mathcal{L}=\sqrt{-g}\left(i\,ar{\psi}\,\gamma^a D_a\psi-m\,ar{\psi}\psi
ight)$$

$$D_a = \left(\partial_a - rac{i}{4}\omega_{bca}\sigma^{bc}
ight),$$
  
 $\omega_{bca} = e_{b\lambda}\left(\partial_a e_c^\lambda + \Gamma^\lambda_{\gamma\mu}e_c^\gamma e_a^\mu
ight).$ 

Gravitational covariant derivative including spin connection

$$\sigma^{ab}=rac{i}{2}\left[\gamma^{a},\gamma^{b}
ight]$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_f + \mathcal{L}_I = \sqrt{-g} \bar{\psi} \left[ (i\gamma^a \partial_a - m) + \gamma^a \gamma^5 B_a \right] \psi,$$

 $B^{d} = \epsilon^{abcd} e_{b\lambda} \left( \partial_{a} e_{c}^{\lambda} + \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\alpha\mu} e_{c}^{\alpha} e_{a}^{\mu} \right)$ 

Standard Model Extension type Lorentz-violating coupling (Kostelecky *et al.*)



$$\mathcal{L}=\sqrt{-g}\left(i\,ar{\psi}\,\gamma^a D_a\psi-m\,ar{\psi}\psi
ight)$$

$$D_a = \left(\partial_a - rac{i}{4}\omega_{bca}\sigma^{bc}
ight),$$
 Gravitational covariant derivative including spin connection  
 $\omega_{bca} = e_{b\lambda}\left(\partial_a e_c^{\lambda} + \Gamma_{\gamma\mu}^{\lambda} e_c^{\gamma} e_a^{\mu}
ight).$ 

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_f + \mathcal{L}_I = \sqrt{-g} \bar{\psi} \left[ (i\gamma^a \partial_a - m) + \gamma^a \gamma^b B_a \right] \psi,$$

 $B^{d} = \epsilon^{abcd} e_{b\lambda} \left( \partial_{a} e_{c}^{\lambda} + \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\alpha\mu} e_{c}^{\alpha} e_{a}^{\mu} \right)$ 

For homogeneous and isotropic Friedman-Robertson-Walker geometries the resulting B<sup>µ</sup> vanish

 $=\frac{i}{2}[\gamma^a,\gamma^b]$ 

$$\mathcal{L}=\sqrt{-g}\left(i\,ar{\psi}\,\gamma^a D_a\psi-m\,ar{\psi}\psi
ight)$$

$$D_a = \left(\partial_a - \frac{i}{4}\omega_{bca}\sigma^{bc}
ight),$$
 Gravitational covariant derivative including spin connection  
 $\omega_{bca} = e_{b\lambda}\left(\partial_a e_c^{\lambda} + \Gamma_{\gamma\mu}^{\lambda} e_c^{\gamma} e_a^{\mu}
ight).$ 

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_f + \mathcal{L}_I = \sqrt{-g}\bar{\psi}\left[(i\gamma^a\partial_a - m) + \gamma^a\gamma^{[B_a]}\psi,\right]$$

 $B^{d} = \epsilon^{abcd} e_{b\lambda} \left( \partial_{a} e_{c}^{\lambda} + \Gamma_{\alpha\mu}^{\lambda} e_{c}^{\alpha} e_{a}^{\mu} \right)$ 

Can be constant in a given local frame in Early Universe



axisymmetric (Bianchi) cosmologies or near rotating Black holes

$$\mathcal{L}=\sqrt{-g}\left(i\,ar{\psi}\,\gamma^a D_a\psi-m\,ar{\psi}\psi
ight)$$

$$\begin{split} D_{a} &= \left(\partial_{a} - \frac{i}{4}\omega_{bca}\sigma^{bc}\right), \\ \omega_{bca} &= e_{b\lambda}\left(\partial_{a}e_{c}^{\lambda} + \Gamma_{\gamma\mu}^{\lambda}e_{c}^{\gamma}e_{a}^{\mu}\right). \end{split} \begin{array}{l} \text{Gravitational covariant derivative including spin connection} \\ \sigma^{ab} &= \frac{i}{2}\left[\gamma^{a},\gamma^{b}\right] \\ \text{Need Non-diagonal metric components} \\ \mathcal{L} &= \mathcal{L}_{f} + \mathcal{L}_{I} = \sqrt{-g}\bar{\psi}\left[(i\gamma^{a}\partial_{a} - m) + \gamma^{a}\gamma^{i}B_{a}\right]\psi, \end{split}$$

 $B^{d} = \epsilon^{abcd} e_{b\lambda} \left( \partial_{a} e_{c}^{\lambda} + \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\alpha\mu} e_{c}^{\alpha} e_{a}^{\mu} \right)$ 

Can be constant in a given local frame in Early Universe



axisymmetric (Bianchi) cosmologies or near rotating Black holes

# **BIANCHI COSMOLOGIES**

Debnath et al. hep-ph/0510351

$$ds^{2} = -dt^{2} + S(t)^{2} dx^{2} + R(t)^{2} \left[ dy^{2} + f(y)^{2} dz^{2} \right] - S(t)^{2} h(y) \left[ 2dx - h(y) dz \right] dz$$

 $f(y) = \{y, \sinh y, \sin y\}, \qquad h(y) = \{-y^2/2, -\cosh y, \cos y\}$ 

we have  $B^0 = \frac{S[-f^2R^2(hf'R+Sh')+h^2S^2(hf'R+Sh')+2fhRS(Rf'-hh'S)]}{f^4R^4+f^2h^2R^2S^2}$ 

$$B^{2} = \frac{h[-f^{2}R^{2} + 2fRS + h^{2}S^{2}][RS' - R'S]}{f^{3}R^{4} + fh^{2}R^{2}S^{2}}.$$

and thus for Bianchi II  $B^{0} = \frac{4R^{3}S + 3y^{2}RS^{3} - 2yS^{4}}{8R^{4} + 2y^{2}R^{2}S^{2}}$  $B^{2} = \frac{(4yR^{2} - 8RS - y^{3}S^{2})(RS' - R'S)}{8R^{4} + 2y^{2}R^{2}S^{2}}$ 

### Bianchi VIII:

$$\begin{split} B^0 &= \frac{S[2\cosh^2 y(\cosh 2y-3)RS^2 - 4\cosh^2 y\,\sinh y\,S^3 + 4R^3\,\cosh^2 y\,\sinh^2 y - R^2S(5\,\sinh y + \sinh 3y)}{4(\cosh^2 y\,\sinh^2 y\,R^2S^2 + R^4\sinh^4 y)}\\ B^2 &= \frac{\cosh y(S^2\cosh^2 y + 2RS\,\sinh y - R^2\,\sinh^2 y)(RS' - R'S)}{\cosh^2 y\,\sinh y\,R^2S^2 + R^4\sinh^3 y}, \end{split}$$

#### and for Bianchi IX:

$$B^{0} = \frac{S[2\cos^{2} y(3 - \cos 2y)RS^{2} - 4\cos^{2} y \sin y S^{3} - 4R^{3}\cos^{2} y \sin^{2} y + R^{2}S(5\sin y + \sin 3y)]}{4(\cos^{2} y \sin^{2} y R^{2}S^{2} + R^{4}\sin^{4} y)}$$
$$B^{2} = \frac{\cos y(S^{2}\cos^{2} y + 2RS \sin y - R^{2}\sin^{2} y)(RS' - R'S)}{\cos^{2} y \sin y R^{2}S^{2} + R^{4}\sin^{3} y}.$$

#### B. Mukhopadhyay, astro-ph/0505460

Consider the metric of a Kerr (rotating) black hole

$$ds^2 = \eta_{ij} \, dx^i \, dx^j - \left[\frac{2\alpha}{\rho} \, s_i \, v_j + \alpha^2 \, v_i \, v_j\right] dx^i \, dx^j$$

$$\alpha = \frac{\sqrt{2Mr}}{\rho}, \qquad \rho^2 = r^2 + \frac{a^2 z^2}{r^2} \qquad v_i = \left(1, \ \frac{ay}{a^2 + r^2}, \ \frac{-ax}{a^2 + r^2}, \ 0\right)$$

$$s_i = \left(0, \ \frac{rx}{\sqrt{r^2 + a^2}}, \ \frac{ry}{\sqrt{r^2 + a^2}}, \ \frac{z\sqrt{r^2 + a^2}}{r}
ight)$$
  
and we have  $r^4 - r^2 \left(x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - a^2\right) - a^2 z^2 = 0$ 

#### B. Mukhopadhyay, astro-ph/0505460

Consider the metric of a Kerr (rotating) black hole

$$\begin{split} ds^{2} &= \eta_{ij} \, dx^{i} \, dx^{j} - \left[\frac{2\alpha}{\rho} \, s_{i} \, v_{j} + \alpha^{2} \, v_{i} \, v_{j}\right] dx^{i} \, dx^{j} \\ & \text{Mass} \\ & \alpha &= \frac{\sqrt{2Mr}}{\rho}, \qquad \rho^{2} = r^{2} + \frac{a^{2}z^{2}}{r^{2}} \quad v_{i} = \left(1, \ \frac{ay}{a^{2} + r^{2}}, \ \frac{-ax}{a^{2} + r^{2}}, \ 0\right) \\ & \text{angular momentum} \\ & s_{i} = \left(0, \ \frac{rx}{\sqrt{r^{2} + a^{2}}}, \ \frac{ry}{\sqrt{r^{2} + a^{2}}}, \ \frac{z\sqrt{r^{2} + a^{2}}}{r}\right) \\ & \text{and we have} \quad r^{4} - r^{2} \, \left(x^{2} + y^{2} + z^{2} - a^{2}\right) - a^{2}z^{2} = 0 \end{split}$$

#### B. Mukhopadhyay, astro-ph/0505460

Consider the metric of a Kerr (rotating) black hole

$$ds^2 = \eta_{ij} \, dx^i \, dx^j - \left[\frac{2\alpha}{\rho} \, s_i \, v_j + \alpha^2 \, v_i \, v_j\right] dx^i \, dx^j$$

$$\alpha = \frac{\sqrt{2Mr}}{\rho}, \qquad \rho^2 = r^2 + \frac{a^2 z^2}{r^2} \qquad v_i = \left(1, \frac{ay}{a^2 + r^2}, \frac{-ax}{a^2 + r^2}, 0\right)$$

$$s_i = \left(0, \ \frac{rx}{\sqrt{r^2 + a^2}}, \ \frac{ry}{\sqrt{r^2 + a^2}}, \ \frac{z\sqrt{r^2 + a^2}}{r}\right)$$

and we have  $r^4 - r^2 (x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - a^2) - a^2 z^2 = 0$ Calculate the vector  $B^{\mu}$  can show that it is non vanishing, hence there is CPT Violation induced in this case

B. Mukhopadhyay, astro-ph/0505460

$$B^{0} = e_{1\lambda} \left( \partial_{3} e_{2}^{\lambda} - \partial_{2} e_{3}^{\lambda} \right) + e_{2\lambda} \left( \partial_{1} e_{3}^{\lambda} - \partial_{3} e_{1}^{\lambda} \right) + e_{3\lambda} \left( \partial_{2} e_{1}^{\lambda} - \partial_{1} e_{2}^{\lambda} \right) = -\frac{4a\sqrt{Mz}}{\bar{\rho}^{2}\sqrt{2r^{3}}}$$
  
where  $\bar{\rho}^{2} = 2r^{2} + a^{2} - x^{2} - y^{2} - z^{2}$ .

Consider the neutrino-antineutrino population difference

$$\Delta n = \frac{g}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{R_i}^{R_f} dV \int d^3 |\vec{p}| \left[ \frac{1}{1 + \exp(E_{\nu}/T)} - \frac{1}{1 + \exp(E_{\bar{\nu}}/T)} \right]$$

Consider Kerr black holes for which  $~ec{B}\,.\,ec{p}\,\ll\,B_0\,p^0~$  , can show that

$$\Delta n = \frac{g}{(2\pi)^2} T^3 \int_{R_i}^{R_f} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\pi \left[ \frac{1}{1 + e^u e^{B_0/T}} - \frac{1}{1 + e^u e^{-B_0/T}} \right] u^2 d\theta \, du \, dV$$
$$u = |\vec{p}|/T$$
Then, if  $B^0 << T$ 
$$\Delta n \sim g T^3 \left( \frac{\overline{B_0}}{T} \right)$$

## DISPERSION RELATIONS OF NEUTRINOS ARE DIFFERENT FROM THOSE OF ANTINEUTRINOS IN SUCH GEOMETRIES IF $B^{\alpha}$ = CONSTANT IN A LOCAL FRAME

 $(p_a \pm B_a)^2 = m^2$ ,  $\pm$  refers to chiral fields (here neutrino/antineutrino)

## **CPTV** Dispersion relations

$$E = \sqrt{(\vec{p} - \vec{B})^2 + m^2} + B_0$$
,  $\vec{E} = \sqrt{(\vec{p} + \vec{B})^2 + m^2} - B_0$ 

but (bare) masses are equal between particle/anti-particle sectors

**Abundances** of neutrinos in Early Universe, then, **different** from those of antineutrinos if **B**<sub>0</sub> is **non-trivial**, **ALREADY IN THERMAL EQUILIBRIUM** 



**Abundances** of neutrinos in Early Universe different from those of antineutrinos if  $B^0 \neq 0$  asnd constant in a local frame

$$\Delta n = \frac{g}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^3 \mathbf{p} \left[ \frac{1}{1 + \exp(E_\nu/T)} - \frac{1}{1 + \exp(E_{\overline{\nu}}/T)} \right]$$
$$\Delta n = \frac{g}{(2\pi)^2} T^3 \int_0^\infty \int_0^\pi \left[ \frac{1}{1 + e^u e^{B_0/T}} - \frac{1}{1 + e^u e^{-B_0/T}} \right] u^2 d\theta du$$
$$u = |\vec{p}|/T$$
$$\Delta n_\nu \equiv n_\nu - n_{\overline{\nu}} \sim g^* T^3 \left( \frac{B_0}{T} \right)$$

with  $g^*$  the number of degrees of freedom for the (relativistic) neutrino.

## DISPERSION RELATIONS OF NEUTRINOS ARE *DIFFERENT* FROM THOSE OF ANTINEUTRINOS IN *SUCH* GEOMETRIES

IF  $B^{\alpha}$  = CONSTANT IN A LOCAL FRAME

 $(p_a \pm B_a)^2 = m^2$ , ± refers to chiral fields (here neutrino/antineutrino)

## **CPTV** Dispersion relations

$$E = \sqrt{(\vec{p} - \vec{B})^2 + m^2} + B_0$$
,  $\overline{E} = \sqrt{(\vec{p} + \vec{B})^2 + m^2} - B_0$ 

but (bare) masses are equal between particle/anti-particle sectors

**Abundances** of neutrinos in Early Universe, then, *different* from those of antineutrinos if **B**<sub>0</sub> is *non-trivial*, **ALREADY IN THERMAL EQUILIBRIUM** 

Lepton Asymmetry, e.g. for neutrinos

$$\Delta L(T < T_d) = \frac{\Delta n_{\nu}}{s} \sim \frac{B_0}{T_d}$$

CPTV BARYOGENESIS through B-L conserving sphalerons? NO NEED FOR ENHANCED CP VIOLATION IN EARLY UNVIERSE?

$$\Delta n \sim g \, T^3 \, \left( \frac{\overline{B_0}}{T} \right)$$

#### REMARKS

Asymmetry depends on the sign of  $B^0$ 



PRIMORDIAL BLACK HOLES WITH MASSES  $M_{BH} < 10^{15}$  gm have evaporated today, only BH with masses  $M_{BH} > 10^{15}$  gm may survive today

Hawking temperature 
$$T = \frac{\hbar}{8\pi k_B M} \sim 10^{-7} K \left(\frac{M_\odot}{M}\right)$$

 $T \sim 10^{11} \text{ K} \sim 1.6 \times 10^{-5} \text{ erg}, \overline{B_0} \sim 1.6 \times 10^{-6} \text{ erg}, \text{ then } \Delta n \sim 10^{-16}$ 

To reproduce observed Baryon asymmetry  $\Delta n = O(10^{-10})$  we need  $10^6$  BH with the same sign of  $B^0 \rightarrow fine tuning \dots$ 

## Fermions in Gravity with TORSION

NEM & Sarben Sarkar, arXiv:1211.0968

Ellis, NEM, Sarkar 1304.5433

A SPECIFIC KIND OF TORSION (KALB-RAMOND FIELD STRENGTH) INSPIRED FROM STRING THEORY (UV COMPLETE) CAN DO THE JOB OF PROVIDING A CONSTANT B° AXIAL BACKGROUND IN A LOCAL FRAME OF FRW COSMOLOGY



## Fermions in Gravity with TORSION

Dirac Lagrangian (for concreteness. it can be extended to Maiorana neutrinos)

$$\mathcal{L}=\sqrt{-g}\left(i\,ar{\psi}\,\gamma^a D_a\psi-m\,ar{\psi}\psi
ight)$$

$$D_a = \left(\partial_a - \frac{i}{4}\omega_{bca}\sigma^{bc}\right),\,$$

$$\omega_{bca} = e_{b\lambda} \left( \partial_a e_c^\lambda + \Gamma^\lambda_{\gamma\mu} e_c^\gamma e_a^\mu \right).$$

If torsion then  $\Gamma_{\mu\nu} \neq \Gamma_{\nu\mu}$ antisymmetric part is the contorsion tensor, contributes to

$$B^{d} = \epsilon^{abcd} e_{b\lambda} \left( \partial_{a} e_{c}^{\lambda} + \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\alpha\mu} e_{c}^{a} e_{a}^{\mu} \right)$$

Gravitational covariant derivative including spin connection

$$\sigma^{ab}=rac{i}{2}\left[\gamma^{a},\gamma^{b}
ight]$$

$$e^{\mu}_{\ a}e^{\nu}_{\ b}\eta^{a\ b} = g^{\mu\nu}$$

vielbeins (tetrads) independent from spin connection  $\omega_{\mu}^{\ ab}$ now ....

of interest to us here....cf below

• Field Theories with (Kalb-Ramond) torsion & axion fields : *String inspired models, loop quantum gravity effective field theories ...* 

UV complete models

Majorana Neutrino Masses from (three-loop) anomalous terms with axion-neutrino couplings

# Microscopic UV complete underlying theory of quantum gravity : **STRINGS**

Massless Gravitational multiplet of (closed) strings: spin 0 scalar (dilaton) spin 2 traceless symmetric rank 2 tensor (graviton) spin 1 antisymmetric rank 2 tensor

KALB-RAMOND FIELD 
$$~B_{\mu
u}=-B_{
u\mu}$$

Effective field theories (low energy scale  $E << M_s$ ) `` gauge'' invariant

$$B_{\mu\nu} \to B_{\mu\nu} + \partial_{[\mu}\theta(x)_{\nu]}$$

Depend only on field strength :  $H_{\mu\nu\rho}=\partial_{[\mu}B_{\nu\rho]}$ 

**Bianchi identity :** 

$$\partial_{[\sigma} H_{\mu\nu\rho]} = 0 \to d \star \mathbf{H} = 0$$

Anomaly (gravitational vs gauge) cancellation in strings require redefinition of H so that Bianchi identity now is extended to :

$$\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{d} \ \mathbf{B} + \frac{\alpha'}{8\kappa} \left( \Omega_L - \Omega_V \right)$$
$$\kappa^2 = 8\pi G_N = \frac{8\pi}{M_P^2} \qquad \qquad \mathbf{Lorentz (L) \& Ga}$$
$$\mathbf{Chern-Simons thr}$$

Lorentz (L) & Gauge (V) **Chern-Simons three forms** 

# EXTENDED BIANCHI IDENTITY

$$\mathbf{d} \ \mathbf{H} \ = \ \frac{\alpha'}{8\kappa} \mathrm{Tr} \Big( \mathbf{R} \wedge \mathbf{R} - \mathbf{F} \wedge \mathbf{F} \Big)$$

# **ROLE OF Kalb-Ramond H-FIELD AS TORSION**

EFFECTIVE GRAVITATIONAL ACTION IN STRING LOW-ENERGY LIMIT CAN BE EXPRESSED IN TERMS OF **A GENERALIZED CURVATURE** RIEMANN TENSOR WHERE THE CHRISTOFFEL CONNECTION INCLUDES **H-FIELD TORSION** 

4-DIM  
PART 
$$S^{(4)} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left( \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} R - \frac{1}{6} H_{\mu\nu\rho} H^{\mu\nu\rho} \right)$$
$$= \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left( \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \overline{R} - \frac{1}{3} \kappa^2 H_{\mu\nu\rho} H^{\mu\nu\rho} \right)$$

$$\overline{\Gamma}^{\mu}_{\nu\rho} = \Gamma^{\mu}_{\nu\rho} + \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3}} H^{\mu}_{\nu\rho} \neq \overline{\Gamma}^{\mu}_{\rho\nu}$$

IN 4-DIM DEFINE DUAL OF H AS :

$$-3\sqrt{2}\partial_{\sigma}b = \sqrt{-g}\,\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}H^{\mu\nu\rho}$$

*b(x)* = Pseudoscalar (Kalb-Ramond (KR) axion) FERMIONS COUPLE TO H – TORSION VIA GRAVITATIONAL COVARIANT DERIVATIVE

$$S_{\psi} = \frac{i}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \Big( \overline{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{\mu} \psi - (\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{\mu} \overline{\psi}) \gamma^{\mu} \psi \Big)$$

TORSIONFUL CONNECTION, FIRST-ORDER FORMALISM

$$\begin{split} \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{\mu} &= \overline{\nabla}_{\mu} - \frac{ieA_{\mu}}{\text{gauge field}} & \overline{\omega}_{ab\mu} = \omega_{ab\mu} + K_{ab\mu} \\ \text{gauge field} & \text{contorsion} \\ K_{abc} &= \frac{1}{2} \left( T_{cab} - T_{abc} - T_{bca} \right) \\ \text{Non-trivial contributions to } \mathbf{B}^{\mu} & H_{cab} \\ B^{d} &= \epsilon^{abcd} e_{b\lambda} \left( \partial_{a} e_{c}^{\lambda} + \Gamma_{\alpha\mu}^{\lambda} e_{c}^{\alpha} e_{a}^{\mu} \right) & \overline{\Gamma}_{\nu\rho}^{\mu} = \Gamma_{\nu\rho}^{\mu} + \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{3}} H_{\nu\rho}^{\mu} \neq \overline{\Gamma}_{\rho\nu}^{\mu} \end{split}$$

FERMIONS COUPLE TO H – TORSION VIA GRAVITATIONAL COVARIANT DERIVATIVE

$$S_{\psi} = \frac{i}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \Big( \overline{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{\mu} \psi - (\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{\mu} \overline{\psi}) \gamma^{\mu} \psi \Big)$$

TORSIONFUL CONNECTION, FIRST-ORDER FORMALISM

$$\begin{split} \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{\mu} &= \overline{\nabla}_{\mu} - ieA_{\mu} & \overline{\omega}_{ab\mu} = \omega_{ab\mu} + K_{ab\mu} \\ & \text{gauge field} & \text{contorsion} \\ & K_{abc} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} T_{cab} - T_{abc} - T_{bca} \end{pmatrix} \\ & \text{Non-trivial contributions to } \mathbf{B}^{\mu} & H_{cab} & \text{Constant } \mathbf{H}^{2} \\ & B^{d} = \epsilon^{abcd} e_{b\lambda} \left( \partial_{a} e_{c}^{\lambda} + \Gamma_{\alpha\mu}^{\lambda} e_{d}^{\alpha} e_{a}^{\mu} \right) & \overline{\Gamma}_{\nu\rho}^{\mu} = \Gamma_{\nu\rho}^{\mu} + \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{3}} H_{\nu\rho}^{\mu} \neq \overline{\Gamma}_{\rho\nu}^{\mu} \end{split}$$
Exact (conformal Field Theories on World-sheet) Solutions from String theory

Antoniadis, Bachas, Ellis, Nanopoulos

**Cosmological Solutions**, non-trivial time-dependent dilatons, axions In Einstein frame *E* (Scalar curvature term in gravitational effective action has canonical normalisation):

$$ds^{2} = g^{E}_{\mu\nu}(x)dx^{\mu}dx^{\nu} = dt^{2} - a(t)^{2}\delta_{ij}dx^{i}dx^{j}$$
$$a(t) = t$$
$$\Phi = -\ln a(t) + \phi_{0}$$
$$H_{\mu\nu\rho} = e^{2\Phi}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\partial^{\sigma}b(x) \qquad b(x) = \sqrt{2}e^{-\phi_{0}}\sqrt{Q^{2}}\frac{M_{s}}{\sqrt{n}}t$$

Central charge of uderlying world-sheet conformal field theory  $\, \eta \in Z^+$ 

$$c = 4 - 12Q^2 - \frac{6}{n+2} + c_I$$
  
"internal" dims  
central charge Kac-Moody  
algebra level

Exact (conformal Field Theories on World-sheet) Solutions from String theory

Antoniadis, Bachas, Ellis, Nanopoulos

**Cosmological Solutions**, non-trivial time-dependent dilatons, axions In Einstein frame *E* (Scalar curvature term in gravitational effective action has canonical normalisation):

$$ds^{2} = g^{E}_{\mu\nu}(x)dx^{\mu}dx^{\nu} = dt^{2} - a(t)^{2}\delta_{ij}dx^{i}dx^{j}$$
$$a(t) = t$$
$$\Phi = -\ln a(t) + \phi_{0}$$
$$H_{\mu\nu\rho} = e^{2\Phi}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\partial^{\sigma}b(x) \qquad b(x) = \sqrt{2}e^{-\phi_{0}}\sqrt{Q^{2}}\frac{M_{s}}{\sqrt{n}}t$$

Central charge of uderlying world-sheet conformal field theory  $\, \eta \in Z^+$ 

$$c = 4 - 12Q^2 - \frac{6}{n+2} + c_I$$
  
"internal" dims  
central charge Kac-Moody  
algebra level

Conformal Invariance (world-sheet) Conditions for the string to  $O(\alpha')$  (lowest non-trivial order in derivatives in the effective target-space action):

$$\begin{split} \beta_{\mu\nu}^{g(1)} &= R_{\mu\nu} + 2\nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\nu}\phi - \frac{1}{4}H_{\mu\rho\sigma}H_{\nu}^{\ \rho\sigma} &= \mathbf{0} \\ \beta_{\mu\nu}^{B(1)} &= -\frac{1}{2}\nabla^{\rho}H_{\rho\mu\nu} + H_{\rho\mu\nu}\partial^{\rho}\phi &= \mathbf{0} \\ \beta^{\phi(1)} &= \frac{D - 26}{6\alpha'} - \frac{1}{2}\nabla^{2}\phi + \partial^{\rho}\phi\partial_{\rho}\phi - \frac{1}{24}H_{\mu\nu\rho}H^{\mu\nu\rho} &= \mathbf{0} \end{split}$$

Linear dilaton solution in string frame (or logarithmic in FRW time in Einstein-frame with conformally flat Einstein-frame target space time  $\rightarrow$  exact (to all orders in  $\alpha$ ') Exact (conformal Field Theories on World-sheet) Solutions from String theory

Antoniadis, Bachas, Ellis, Nanopoulos

**Cosmological Solutions**, non-trivial time-dependent dilatons, axions In Einstein frame *E* (Scalar curvature term in gravitational effective action has canonical normalisation):

$$ds^{2} = g^{E}_{\mu\nu}(x)dx^{\mu}dx^{\nu} = dt^{2} - a(t)^{2}\delta_{ij}dx^{i}dx^{j}$$
$$a(t) = t$$
$$\Phi = -\ln a(t) + \phi_{0}$$
$$H_{\mu\nu\rho} = e^{2\Phi}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\partial^{\sigma}b(x) \qquad b(x) = \sqrt{2}e^{-\phi_{0}}\sqrt{Q^{2}}\frac{M_{s}}{\sqrt{n}}t$$

Central charge of uderlying world-sheet conformal field theory  $\,n\in Z^+$ 

$$c = 4 - 12Q^2 - \frac{6}{n+2} + c_I$$
 Kac-Moody  
``internal" dims algebra level

## **H-torsion & CPTV**

**Covariant Torsion tensor** 

$$\overline{\Gamma}^{\lambda}_{\ \mu\nu} = \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\ \mu\nu} + e^{-2\Phi} H^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu} \equiv \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\ \mu\nu} + T^{\lambda}_{\ \mu\nu}$$



Lepton Asymmetry as in previous cases , e.g. for neutrinos

$$\Delta L(T < T_d) = \frac{\Delta n_{\nu}}{s} \sim \frac{B_0}{T_d}$$

## DISPERSION RELATIONS OF NEUTRINOS ARE *DIFFERENT* FROM THOSE OF ANTINEUTRINOS IN *SUCH* GEOMETRIES



 $(p_a \pm B_a)^2 = m^2$ ,  $\pm$  refers to chiral fields (here neutrino/antineutrino)

## **CPTV** Dispersion relations

$$E = \sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2} + B_0, \qquad E = \sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2} - B_0$$

but (bare) masses are equal between particle/anti-particle sectors

**Abundances** of neutrinos in Early Universe, then, **different** from those of antineutrinos if **B**<sub>0</sub> is **non-trivial**, **ALREADY IN THERMAL EQUILIBRIUM** 

Lepton Asymmetry, e.g. for neutrinos

$$\Delta L(T < T_d) = \frac{\Delta n_{\nu}}{s} \sim \frac{B_0}{T_d}$$

CPTV BARYOGENESIS through B-L conserving sphalerons? NO NEED FOR ENHANCED CP VIOLATION IN EARLY UNVIERSE?

Assume Majorana neutrino in Weyl rep (Lepton number violation unavoidable)

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_L^c \\ \psi_L \end{pmatrix} \qquad \mathcal{D}_\mu \equiv (\partial_0, \partial_i + \gamma^5 B_i).$$

Majorana mass term violates L number

$$(-g)^{-1/2}\mathcal{L} = \left( \psi^{c\dagger} \ \psi^{\dagger} \right) \frac{i}{2} \gamma^{0} \gamma^{\mu} \overleftrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}_{\mu} \left( \psi^{c} \\ \psi \right) - \left( \psi^{c\dagger} \ \psi^{\dagger} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} -B_{0} \ -m \\ -m \ B_{0} \end{array} \right) \left( \psi^{c} \\ \psi \right)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = det(e) \ \bar{\Psi} \left( \frac{i}{2} \gamma^{a} \overrightarrow{\partial}_{a} - m + \gamma^{a} \gamma^{5} B_{a} \right) \Psi$$

$$B^{d} = \epsilon^{abcd} \omega_{bca}$$

$$E_{\nu^{c}} = \sqrt{(\vec{p} - \vec{B})^{2} + m^{2}} + B_{0},$$

$$E_{\nu^{c}} = \sqrt{(\vec{p} + \vec{B})^{2} + m^{2}} - B_{0}$$

Assume Majorana neutrino in Weyl rep (Lepton number violation unavoidable)

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_L^c \\ \psi_L \end{pmatrix} \qquad \mathcal{D}_{\mu} \equiv (\partial_0, \partial_i + \gamma^5 B_i).$$
Lead to CP Violating  
neutrino/antineutrino  
mixing & oscillations  

$$-g)^{-1/2}\mathcal{L} = (\psi^{c\dagger} \ \psi^{\dagger}) \frac{i}{2} \gamma^0 \gamma^{\mu} \overleftrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}_{\mu} \begin{pmatrix} \psi^c \\ \psi \end{pmatrix} - (\psi^{c\dagger} \ \psi^{\dagger}) \begin{pmatrix} -B_0 \\ -m \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi^c \\ B_0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi^c \\ \psi \end{pmatrix}$$

mass eigenstates  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_2$  as

$$|\nu_1\rangle = \frac{1}{N} \left\{ \left( B_0 + \sqrt{B_0^2 + m^2} \right) |\psi^c\rangle + m |\psi\rangle \right\}$$
$$|\nu_2\rangle = \frac{1}{N} \left\{ -m |\psi^c\rangle + \left( B_0 + \sqrt{B_0^2 + m^2} \right) |\psi\rangle \right\}.$$

$$m_{1,2} = \pm \sqrt{B_0^2 + m^2}. \qquad \qquad \begin{aligned} |\nu_1\rangle &= \cos\theta \ |\psi^c\rangle \ + \ \sin\theta \ |\psi\rangle \\ |\nu_2\rangle &= -\sin\theta \ |\psi^c\rangle \ + \ \cos\theta \ |\psi\rangle \end{aligned}$$

## **NB:** neutrino CPTV mass shifts

neutrino/antineutrino mixing 
$$\tan \theta = \frac{m}{B_0 + \sqrt{B_0^2 + m^2}}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi^c\rangle &= \cos\theta |\nu_1\rangle - \sin\theta |\nu_2\rangle \\ |\psi\rangle &= \sin\theta |\nu_1\rangle + \cos\theta |\nu_2\rangle. \end{aligned} \text{ oscillations} = \frac{\mathcal{P}(t) = \sin^2 2\theta \sin^2 \delta(t)}{\frac{m^2}{B_0^2 + m^2}} \sin^2\{(B_0 - |\vec{B}|)t\} \\ \delta(t) &= \frac{|E_\nu - E_{\nu^c}|t}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

mass eigenstates  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_2$  as

$$|\nu_1\rangle = \frac{1}{N} \left\{ \left( B_0 + \sqrt{B_0^2 + m^2} \right) |\psi^c\rangle + m |\psi\rangle \right\}$$
$$|\nu_2\rangle = \frac{1}{N} \left\{ -m |\psi^c\rangle + \left( B_0 + \sqrt{B_0^2 + m^2} \right) |\psi\rangle \right\}.$$

$$m_{1,2} = \pm \sqrt{B_0^2 + m^2}.$$

$$|\nu_1\rangle = \cos \theta |\psi^c\rangle + \sin \theta |\psi\rangle$$

$$|\nu_2\rangle = -\sin \theta |\psi^c\rangle + \cos \theta |\psi\rangle$$
NB: neutrino CPTV mass shifts

1

neutrino/antineutrino mixing

$$\tan\theta = \frac{m}{B_0 + \sqrt{B_0^2 + m^2}}.$$

 $\begin{aligned} |\psi^c\rangle &= \cos\theta |\nu_1\rangle - \sin\theta |\nu_2\rangle \\ |\psi\rangle &= \sin\theta |\nu_1\rangle + \cos\theta |\nu_2\rangle. \end{aligned}$ 

oscilation lengt 
$$\lambda = rac{\pi}{B_0 - |\vec{B}|}$$

oscillations 
$$= \frac{\mathcal{P}(t) = \sin^2 2\theta \sin^2 \delta(t)}{\frac{m^2}{B_0^2 + m^2}} \frac{\sin^2 \{(B_0 - |\vec{B}|)t\}}{\delta(t) = \frac{|E_\nu - E_{\nu^c}|t}{2},$$

Extra CP Violation but no need

**SCENARIO:** Phase transitions in the early string Universe may induce  $B^0 = 0 @ T = T_d$ 

Majorana Neutrino-Antineutrino Oscillations (Pontecorvo-type)  $T > T_d$  :  $B^0 \neq 0$ 

4-component chiral Majorana  $\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_L^c \\ \psi_L \end{pmatrix}$ to tune any decay width spinor  $\mathcal{L}_{\nu} = \sqrt{-g} \Big[ \begin{pmatrix} \psi^{c\dagger} & \psi^{\dagger} \end{pmatrix} \frac{i}{2} \gamma^{0} \gamma^{\mu} D_{\mu} \begin{pmatrix} \psi^{c} \\ \psi \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \psi^{c\dagger} & \psi^{\dagger} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -B_{0} & -m \\ -m & B_{0} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi^{c} \\ \psi \end{pmatrix} \Big]$  $|\chi_1\rangle = \mathcal{N}^{-1} \left\{ \left( B_0 + \sqrt{B_0^2 + m^2} \right) |\psi^c\rangle + m |\psi\rangle \right\} ,$ Mass eigenstates  $|\chi_2\rangle = \mathcal{N}^{-1} \left\{ -m \left| \psi^c \right\rangle + \left( B_0 + \sqrt{B_0^2 + m^2} \right) \left| \psi \right\rangle \right\}$ with eigenvalues  $m_{1,2} = \mp \sqrt{B_0^2 + m^2}$  $\mathcal{N} \equiv \left[ 2 \left( B_0^2 + m^2 + B_0 \sqrt{B_0^2 + m^2} \right) \right]^{1/2}$ 

## Neutrino/antineutrino Mixing & Oscillations

$$\nu \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1 \\ \chi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & \sin\theta \\ -\sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi^c \\ \psi \end{pmatrix} \qquad \qquad \tan\theta \equiv \frac{m}{B_0 + \sqrt{B_0^2 + m^2}}$$

Number operators

$$N_{\chi_1} = \langle :\chi_1^{\dagger} \chi_1 : \rangle = \cos^2 \theta \, \langle :\psi^{c\dagger} \psi^c : \rangle + \sin^2 \theta \, \langle :\psi^{\dagger} \psi : \rangle$$
$$N_{\chi_2} = \langle :\chi_2^{\dagger} \chi_2 : \rangle = \sin^2 \theta \, \langle :\psi^{c\dagger} \psi^c : \rangle + \cos^2 \theta \, \langle :\psi^{\dagger} \psi : \rangle$$

$$N_{\chi_1} - N_{\chi_2} = \cos 2\theta \Big( \langle n_{\psi^c} \rangle - \langle n_{\psi} \rangle \Big) \neq 0 \text{ for } \theta \neq \pi/4, \text{ i.e., } B_0 \neq 0,$$

## **Probability of Oscillation**

$$\mathcal{P}(t) = |\langle \nu_1(t) | \nu_2(0) \rangle|^2 = \sin^2\theta \sin^2\left(\frac{E_\nu - E_{\nu^c}}{2}t\right) = \frac{m^2}{B_0^2 + m^2} \sin^2(B_0 t)$$

Oscillation length 
$$L = \frac{\pi \hbar c}{|B_0|} = \frac{6.3 \times 10^{-14} \,\text{GeV}}{B_0} \,\text{cm}$$

Neutrino/Antineutrino oscillations are the local processes in the early Universe responsible for the CPT (& also CP) Violation

They occur provided the oscillation length is smaller than the Hubble horizon

For  $T_d = O(10^9 \text{ GeV})$  the Hubble horizon size is  $10^{-12} \text{ cm } \&$  for  $B_0 = O(0.1) \text{ GeV}$ the oscillation length is  $10^{-13} \text{ cm}$ , i.e. smaller than Hubble Horizon, so oscillations can occur  $\rightarrow$  provide chemical equilibrium for T > T<sub>d</sub>

**NB:** If neutrinos have also Dirac mass, i.e. mass matrix in lagrangian of the form

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} m_D - B_0 & -m \\ -m & m_D + B_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{P}^{s}(t) \simeq \frac{m^{2}}{B_{0}^{2} + m^{2}} \sin^{2} \left( \frac{m_{D} \sqrt{B_{0}^{2} + m^{2}}}{E} t \right), \quad p \gg m$$

with oscillation length depending on the neutrino energy *E* 

$$L^s \simeq \frac{\pi E}{m_D \sqrt{B_0^2 + m^2}}$$

Neutrino/natineutrino oscillation processes are **UNIQUE** to Majorana neutrinos



Charged leptons and quarks of the Standard Model, which also couple to H-torsion, cannot exhibit such oscillation due to electric charge conservation

**Hence**: above scenario for Leptogenesis @  $T = 10^9$  GeV and then Baryogenesis at T = O(100 GeV) through standard-model B-L conserving sphaleron processes appears **unique to Majorana Neutrinos** 

> Consistent with absence of observed CPTV today in neutrino sector Torsion B° = 0 (or very small) today



**Estimate BAU by solving Boltzmann equations for Heavy Neutrino Abundances** 



**Estimate BAU by solving Boltzmann equations** for Heavy Neutrino Abundances

# **CPT Violating Thermal Leptogenesis**

Ellis, NEM, Sarkar 1304.5433



Estimate BAU by fixing CPTV background parameters In some models this may imply fine tuning ....

# B<sup>0</sup> : (string) theory underwent a phase transition @ T = T<sub>d</sub> = 10<sup>9</sup> GeV, to : (i) either B<sup>0</sup> = 0 (ii) or B<sup>0</sup> small today but non zero

# If a small **B**<sup>a</sup> is present today

Standard Model Extension type coupling  $b_{\mu}$ 

Kostelecky, Mewes, Russell, Lehnert ...

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_f + \mathcal{L}_I = \sqrt{-g}\bar{\psi}\left[(i\gamma^a\partial_a - m) + \gamma^a\gamma^5B_a\right]\psi,$$

# If a small **B**<sup>a</sup> is present today

Standard Model Extension type coupling  $b_{\mu}$ Kostelecky, Mewes, Russell, Lehnert ...

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_f + \mathcal{L}_I = \sqrt{-g} \bar{\psi} \left[ (i\gamma^a \partial_a - m) + \gamma^a \gamma^5 B_a \right] \psi,$$

If due to H-torsion, it should couple universally (gravity) to all particle species of the standard model (electrons etc)

Very Stringent constraints from astrophysics on spatial ONLY components (e.g. Masers)

$$B_i \equiv b_i < 10^{-31} \,\text{GeV} \qquad |B^0| < 10^{-2} \,\text{eV}$$



#### MINOS Exp. RESULTS ON Potential Neutrino-Antineutrino OSCILLATION PARAMETER DIFFERENCES

#### http://www-numi.fnal.gov



 $\overline{v}_{\mu}$  disappearance  $\Delta \overline{m}^2 = (2.62 \pm 0.31 - 0.28 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 0.09 \text{ (syst.)}) \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2,$  $\sin^2(2\Theta) = 0.95 \pm 0.10 - 0.11 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 0.01 \text{ (syst.)}.$ 

 $v_{\rm u}$  disappearance: Δm<sup>2</sup>=(2.32+0.12-0.08)x10<sup>-3</sup> eV<sup>2</sup>, sin<sup>2</sup>(2Θ) =1.00 (sin<sup>2</sup>(2Θ) > 0.90 @ 90% CL

Consistent with equality of mass differences between particle/antiparticles



# PART III: QUANTUM H-TORSION-INDUCED MASS HIERARCHY FOR RIGHT-HANDED NEUTRINO

ABOVE: We have seen how a background of kalb-Ramond H-Torsion generates Matter-Antimatter Asymmetry via (Right-handed) neutrino/antineutrino oscillations in the Early Universe

> Kalb-Kamona H-Torsion generates Matter-Antimatter Asymmetry via (Right-handed) neutrino/antineutrino oscillations in the Early Universe



Kalb-Ramona H-Iorsion generates Matter-Antimatter Asymmetry via (Right-handed) neutrino/antineutrino oscillations

What About the Quantum Fluctuations of the H-torsion?

Physical Effect in Generating Majorana masses for neutrinos via coupling to ordinary axion fields ANOMALOUS GENERATION OF RIGHT-HANDED MAJORANA NEUTRINO MASSES THROUGH TORSIONFUL QUANTUM GRAVITY UV complete string models? NEM & Pilaftsis 2012 PRD 86, 124038 arXiv:1209.6387



Fermionic Field Theories with H-Torsion EFFECTIVE ACTION AFTER INTEGRATING OUT QUANTUM TORSION FLUCTUATIONS

**Fermions:** 
$$S_{\psi} \ni -\frac{3}{4} \int d^4 \sqrt{-g} S_{\mu} \overline{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^5 \psi = -\frac{3}{4} \int S \wedge {}^*J^5$$

+ standard Dirac terms without torsion

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{S} &= \mathbf{T} \\ S_d &= \frac{1}{3!} \epsilon^{abc} T_{abc} & T_{abc} \to H_{cab} = \epsilon_{cabd} \partial^d b \\ \hline \mathbf{S}_d &= \frac{1}{3!} \epsilon^{abc} d^* \mathbf{S} = 0 & \text{conserved} \\ \hline \mathbf{B}_{ianchi} \text{ identity} & \mathbf{d}^* \mathbf{S} = 0 & \text{conserved} \\ \hline \mathbf{C}_{iassical} & Q = \int \mathbf{S} \\ \hline \mathbf{P}_{ostulate} \text{ conservation at quantum level by adding counterterms} \end{split}$$

Implement  $d^*S = 0$  via  $\delta(d^*S)$  constraint  $\rightarrow$  Lagrange multiplier in Path integral  $\rightarrow$  b-field Fermionic Field Theories with H-Torsion EFFECTIVE ACTION AFTER INTEGRATING OUT QUANTUM TORSION FLUCTUATIONS

**Fermions:** 
$$S_{\psi} \ni -\frac{3}{4} \int d^4 \sqrt{-g} S_{\mu} \overline{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^5 \psi = -\frac{3}{4} \int S \wedge {}^*J^5$$

+ standard Dirac terms without torsion

S = T $T_{abc} \to H_{cab} = \epsilon_{cabd} \,\partial^a b$  $S_d = \frac{1}{3!} \epsilon^{abc}_{\ \ d} T_{abc}$ conserved ``torsion '' charge d \* S = 0Bianchi identity  $Q = \int \mathbf{S}$ classical Postulate conservation at quantum level by adding counterterms Lement  $d^*S = 0$  via  $\delta(d^*S)$  contradiction of the second strain  $\delta(d^*S)$  is the second s constraint Implement

$$\int D\mathbf{S} \ Db \ \exp\left[i \int \frac{3}{4\kappa^2} \mathbf{S} \wedge \mathbf{*} \mathbf{S} - \frac{3}{4} \mathbf{S} \wedge \mathbf{*} \mathbf{J}^5 + \left(\frac{3}{2\kappa^2}\right)^{1/2} b \ d^* \mathbf{S}\right]$$
$$= \int Db \ \exp\left[-i \int \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{d} b \wedge \mathbf{*} \mathbf{d} b + \frac{1}{f_b} \mathbf{d} b \wedge \mathbf{*} \mathbf{J}^5 + \frac{1}{2f_b^2} \mathbf{J}^5 \wedge \mathbf{J}^5\right],$$

multiplier field  $\Phi(x) \equiv (3/\kappa^2)^{1/2}b(x)$ .  $f_b = (3\kappa^2/8)^{-1/2} = \frac{M_P}{\sqrt{3\pi}}$ 

$$\int D\mathbf{S} \ Db \ \exp\left[i \int \frac{3}{4\kappa^2} \mathbf{S} \wedge {}^*\!\mathbf{S} - \frac{3}{4} \mathbf{S} \wedge {}^*\!\mathbf{J}^5 + \left(\frac{3}{2\kappa^2}\right)^{1/2} b \ d^*\!\mathbf{S}\right]$$
$$= \int Db \ \exp\left[-i \int \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{d}b \wedge {}^*\!\mathrm{d}b + \frac{1}{f_b} \mathrm{d}b \wedge {}^*\!\mathbf{J}^5 + \frac{1}{2f_b^2} \mathbf{J}^5 \wedge \mathbf{J}^5\right],$$

multiplier field 
$$\Phi(x) \equiv (3/\kappa^2)^{1/2}b(x)$$
.  
 $f_b = (3\kappa^2/8)^{-1/2} = \frac{M_P}{\sqrt{3\pi}}$ 

$$\int D\mathbf{S} \, Db \, \exp\left[i \int \frac{3}{4\kappa^2} \mathbf{S} \wedge \mathbf{S} - \frac{3}{4} \mathbf{S} \wedge \mathbf{J}^5 + \left(\frac{3}{2\kappa^2}\right)^{1/2} b \, d^*\mathbf{S}\right]$$
$$= \int Db \, \exp\left[-i \int \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{d}b \wedge \mathbf{d}b + \frac{1}{f_b} \mathrm{d}b \wedge \mathbf{J}^5 + \frac{1}{2f_b^2} \mathbf{J}^5 \wedge \mathbf{J}^5\right]$$

partial integrate

multiplier field  $\Phi(x) \equiv (3/\kappa^2)^{1/2}b(x)$ .  $f_b = (3\kappa^2/8)^{-1/2} = \frac{M_P}{\sqrt{3\pi}}$ 

$$\int D\mathbf{S} \, Db \, \exp\left[i \int \frac{3}{4\kappa^2} \mathbf{S} \wedge \mathbf{S} - \frac{3}{4} \mathbf{S} \wedge \mathbf{J}^5 + \left(\frac{3}{2\kappa^2}\right)^{1/2} b \, d^*\mathbf{S}\right]$$
$$= \int Db \, \exp\left[-i \int \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{d}b \wedge \mathbf{d}b + \frac{1}{f_b} \mathrm{d}b \wedge \mathbf{J}^5 + \frac{1}{2f_b^2} \mathbf{J}^5 \wedge \mathbf{J}^5\right]$$

partial integrate

Use chiral anomaly equation (one-loop) in curved space-time:

$$\nabla_{\mu} J^{5\mu} = \frac{e^2}{8\pi^2} F^{\mu\nu} \widetilde{F}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{192\pi^2} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \widetilde{R}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$$
$$\equiv G(\mathbf{A}, \omega) .$$

Hence, effective action of torsion-full QED  $\int Db \exp\left[-i\int \frac{1}{2} db \wedge^* db - \frac{1}{f_b} bG(\mathbf{A}, \omega) + \frac{1}{2f_b^2} \mathbf{J}^5 \wedge \mathbf{J}^5\right].$ 

#### SUMMARY ON (SUPER)HOLST MODIFICATIONS



$$\int D\mathbf{S} \, Db \, \exp\left[i \int \frac{3}{4\kappa^2} \mathbf{S} \wedge \mathbf{^*S} - \frac{3}{4} \mathbf{S} \wedge \mathbf{^*J^5} + \left(\frac{3}{2\kappa^2}\right)^{1/2} b \, d\mathbf{^*S}\right]$$
$$= \int Db \, \exp\left[-i \int \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{d}b \wedge \mathbf{^*d}b + \frac{1}{f_b} \mathbf{d}b \wedge \mathbf{^*J^5} + \frac{1}{2f_b^2} \mathbf{J^5} \wedge \mathbf{J^5}\right]$$

partial integrate

Use chiral anomaly equation (one-loop) in curved space-time:

$$\nabla_{\mu}J^{5\mu} = \frac{e^{2}}{8\pi^{2}}F^{\mu\nu}\widetilde{F}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{192\pi^{2}}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\widetilde{R}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$$

$$\equiv G(\mathbf{A},\omega) . \qquad \qquad bR\widetilde{R} - bF\widetilde{F}$$
Hence, effective action of torsion-full QED coupling
$$\int Db \exp\left[-i\int \frac{1}{2}db\wedge^{*}db - \frac{1}{\xi_{b}}bG(\mathbf{A},\omega) + \frac{1}{2f_{b}^{2}}\mathbf{J}^{5}\wedge\mathbf{J}^{5}\right].$$

Fermionic Field Theories with H-Torsion EFFECTIVE ACTION AFTER INTEGRATING OUT QUANTUM TORSION FLUCTUATIONS

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{S} &= \int d^4 x \sqrt{-g} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu b)^2 + \frac{b(x)}{192\pi^2 f_b} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \widetilde{R}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \right. \\ &+ \frac{1}{2f_b^2} J_{\mu}^5 J^{5\mu} + \end{split}$$

+ Standard Model terms for fermions

**SHIFT SYMMETRY**  $b(x) \rightarrow b(x) + c$ 

 $c R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \widetilde{R}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$  and  $c F^{\mu\nu} \widetilde{F}_{\mu\nu}$  total derivatives

## ANOMALOUS MAJORANA NEUTRINO MASS TERMS from QUANTUM TORSION

**SHIFT SYMMETRY**  $b(x) \rightarrow b(x) + c$ 

 $c R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \widetilde{R}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$  and  $c F^{\mu\nu} \widetilde{F}_{\mu\nu}$  total derivatives

**OUR SCENARIO** *Break* such *shift symmetry* by coupling first b(x) to another pseudoscalar field such as QCD axion a(x) (or e.g. other string axions)

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{S} &= \int d^4 x \sqrt{-g} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu b)^2 + \frac{b(x)}{192\pi^2 f_b} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \widetilde{R}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \right. \\ &+ \frac{1}{2f_b^2} J_\mu^5 J^{5\mu} + \gamma(\partial_\mu b) \left( \partial^\mu a \right) + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu a)^2 \\ &- y_a ia \left( \overline{\psi}_R^{\ C} \psi_R - \overline{\psi}_R \psi_R^{\ C} \right) \right], \end{split}$$
# ANOMALOUS MAJORANA NEUTRINO MASS TERMS from QUANTUM TORSION

**SHIFT SYMMETRY**  $b(x) \rightarrow b(x) + c$ 

 $c R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \widetilde{R}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$  and  $c F^{\mu\nu} \widetilde{F}_{\mu\nu}$  total derivatives

**OUR SCENARIO** *Break* such *shift symmetry* by coupling first b(x) to another pseudoscalar field such as QCD axion a(x) (or e.g. other string axions)

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{S} &= \int d^4 x \sqrt{-g} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu b)^2 + \frac{b(x)}{192\pi^2 f_b} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \widetilde{R}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \right. \\ &+ \frac{1}{2f_o^2} J_\mu^5 J^{5\mu} + \left( \gamma (\partial_\mu b) \left( \partial^\mu a \right) + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu a)^2 \right. \\ &\left. \left. \left( -y_a ia \left( \overline{\psi}_R^{\ C} \psi_R - \overline{\psi}_R \psi_R^{\ C} \right) \right] \right. \\ &\left. \left. \left( y_a ia \left( \overline{\psi}_R^{\ C} \psi_R - \overline{\psi}_R \psi_R^{\ C} \right) \right) \right] \right] \right] \right] , \end{split}$$

## Field redefinition

$$b(x) \to b'(x) \equiv b(x) + \gamma a(x)$$

#### so, effective action becomes

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{S} &= \int d^{4}x \sqrt{-g} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu} b')^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \gamma^{2} \right) (\partial_{\mu} a)^{2} \right. \\ &+ \frac{1}{2f_{b}^{2}} J_{\mu}^{5} J^{5\mu} + \frac{b'(x) - \gamma a(x)}{192\pi^{2} f_{b}} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \widetilde{R}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \\ &- y_{a} ia \left( \overline{\psi}_{R}^{\ C} \psi_{R} - \overline{\psi}_{R} \psi_{R}^{\ C} \right) \right] . \end{split}$$

must have 
$$|\gamma| < 1$$
 otherwise axion field a(x) appears as a ghost  $ightarrow$  canonically normalised kinetic terms

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{S}_{a} &= \int d^{4}x \sqrt{-g} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu}a)^{2} - \frac{\gamma a(x)}{192\pi^{2}f_{b}\sqrt{1-\gamma^{2}}} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \widetilde{R}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \right. \\ &\left. - \frac{iy_{a}}{\sqrt{1-\gamma^{2}}} a \left( \overline{\psi}_{R}^{\ C} \psi_{R} - \overline{\psi}_{R} \psi_{R}^{\ C} \right) + \frac{1}{2f_{b}^{2}} J_{\mu}^{5} J^{5\mu} \right] . \end{split}$$

## Field redefinition

$$b(x) \to b'(x) \equiv b(x) + \gamma a(x)$$

#### so, effective action becomes

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{S} &= \int d^{4}x \sqrt{-g} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu} b')^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \gamma^{2} \right) (\partial_{\mu} a)^{2} \right. \\ &+ \frac{1}{2f_{b}^{2}} J_{\mu}^{5} J^{5\mu} + \frac{b'(x) - \gamma a(x)}{192\pi^{2} f_{b}} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \widetilde{R}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \\ &- y_{a} ia \left( \overline{\psi}_{R}^{\ C} \psi_{R} - \overline{\psi}_{R} \psi_{R}^{\ C} \right) \right] . \end{split}$$

must have 
$$|\gamma| < 1$$
 otherwise axion field a(x) appears as a ghost  $ightarrow$  canonically normalised kinetic terms

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{S}_{a} &= \int d^{4}x \sqrt{-g} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu}a)^{2} - \frac{\gamma a(x)}{192\pi^{2}f_{b}\sqrt{1-\gamma^{2}}} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \widetilde{R}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \right. \\ &\left. - \frac{iy_{a}}{\sqrt{1-\gamma^{2}}} a \left( \overline{\psi}_{R}^{\ C} \psi_{R} - \overline{\psi}_{R} \psi_{R}^{\ C} \right) + \frac{1}{2f_{b}^{2}} J_{\mu}^{5} J^{5\mu} \right] . \\ &\left. \frac{\partial_{\mu}}{\partial t^{2}} \left( \frac{\partial_{\mu}}{\partial t^{2}} \nabla_{\mu}^{C} \nabla_$$



## **THREE-LOOP ANOMALOUS FERMION MASS TERMS**



## **THREE-LOOP ANOMALOUS FERMION MASS TERMS**



# SOME NUMBERS

 $\Lambda = 10^{17} \, \mathrm{GeV}$  $\gamma = 0.1$   $M_R$  is at the TeV for  $y_a = 10^{-3}$ 

 $\Lambda = 10^{16} \text{ GeV}$ 

 $M_R \sim 16 \text{ keV},$  $y_a = \gamma = 10^{-3}$ 

# SOME NUMBERS

- $\Lambda = 10^{17} \text{ GeV}$   $\Lambda$  $\gamma = 0.1$  for  $\gamma$
- $M_R$  is at the TeV for  $y_a = 10^{-3}$

 $\Lambda = 10^{16} \text{ GeV}$ 

 $M_R \sim 16 \text{ keV},$  $y_a = \gamma = 10^{-3}$ interesting warm dark matter REGIME

Appropriate Hierarchy for the other two massive Right-handed neutrinos for Leptogenesis-Baryogenesis & Dark matter cosntraints can be arranged by choosing Yukawa couplings

## vMSM

#### Boyarski, Ruchayskiy, Shaposhnikov...

MODEL CONSISTENT WITH BBN, STRUCTURE FORMATION DATA IN THE UNIVERSE & ALL OTHER ASTROPHYSICAL CONSTRAINTS



More than one sterile neutrino needed to reproduce Observed oscillations



#### Boyarski, Ruchayskiy, Shaposhnikov...



Constraints on two heavy degenerate singlet neutrinos

 $N_1$  DM production estimation in Early Universe must take into account its interactions with  $N_{2,3}$  heavy neutrinos



#### **FINITENESS OF THE MASS**

MULTI-AXION SCENARIOS (e.g. string axiverse)

$$S_{a}^{\text{kin}} = \int d^{4}x \sqrt{-g} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( (\partial_{\mu} a_{i})^{2} - M_{i}^{2} \right) + \gamma(\partial_{\mu} b) (\partial^{\mu} a_{1}) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \delta M_{i,i+1}^{2} a_{i} a_{i+1} \right]$$

 $\delta M_{i,i+1}^2 < M_i M_{i+1}$ 

positive mass spectrum for all axions

simplifying all mixing equals

$$M_R \sim \frac{\sqrt{3} y_a \gamma \kappa^5 \Lambda^{6-2n} (\delta M_a^2)^n}{49152\sqrt{8} \pi^4 (1-\gamma^2)} \qquad n \le 3$$
$$M_R \sim \frac{\sqrt{3} y_a \gamma \kappa^5 (\delta M_a^2)^3}{49152\sqrt{8} \pi^4 (1-\gamma^2)} \frac{(\delta M_a^2)^{n-3}}{(M_a^2)^{n-3}} \quad n > 3$$

 $5 + 6 - 2m / c = c = 2 \times m$ 

#### **FINITENESS OF THE MASS**

MULTI-AXION SCENARIOS (e.g. string axiverse)

$$S_{a}^{\text{kin}} = \int d^{4}x \sqrt{-g} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( (\partial_{\mu} a_{i})^{2} - M_{i}^{2} \right) + \gamma (\partial_{\mu} b) (\partial^{\mu} a_{1}) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \delta M_{i,i+1}^{2} a_{i} a_{i+1} \right],$$

 $\delta M_{i,i+1}^2 < M_i M_{i+1}$ 

positive mass spectrum for all axions

simplifying all mixing equals

$$M_R \sim \frac{\sqrt{3} y_a \gamma \kappa^5 \Lambda^{6-2n} (\delta M_a^2)^n}{49152\sqrt{8} \pi^4 (1-\gamma^2)} \qquad n \le 3$$
$$M_R \sim \frac{\sqrt{3} y_a \gamma \kappa^5 (\delta M_a^2)^3}{49152\sqrt{8} \pi^4 (1-\gamma^2)} \frac{(\delta M_a^2)^{n-3}}{(M_a^2)^{n-3}} \qquad n > 3$$

5 + 6 - 9n/(c + c 9) n

*M<sub>R</sub>*: UV finite for n=3 @ 2-loop independent of axion mass

# CONCLUSIONS

- nuMSM: Three Sterile neutrinos necessary for Higgs sector stability ?
- These Sterile Neutrinos may explain matterantimatter origin in the Universe
- Lightest of them provide interesting Dark matter Candidates

- Gravitationally-induced anomalous Righthanded Majorana neutrino masses possible, without the need for see-saw...
- Interesting Physics for the Early Universe to be investigated, e.g. H-torsion-induced CPTV Leptogenesis/ Baryogenesis

## **ASTROPHYSICS**



 kev dark matter (generic) may play important role in galactic structures (core & haloes)

OUTLOOK

 Right-handed neutrino matter with scalar and/or vector interactions may provide consistent galactic profiles for haloes and core structure -> core contains significant amounts of righthanded neutrinos (rhn)

role of rhn condensates? (Ruffini, Arguelles, Rueda,...NEM)

## **IS THIS CPTV ROUTE WORTH FOLLOWING? ....**





**CPT** Violation

**Construct Microscopic Early Universe or Quantum Gravity** models with strong CPT Violation in Early Universe eras, but maybe weak today... Fit with all available data... Estimate in this way matter-antimatter asymmetry in Universe.



#### BEFORE THE END ....

.... BEYOND NEUTRINOS

#### **BARYON-ASYMMETRY FROM TORSIONFUL GEOMETRIES?**

N. Poplawski, Physical Review D Vol. 83, No. 8 (2011) 084033

Einstein theories of gravity  $\rightarrow$  postulate that torsion is absent (constraint)

Einstein-Cartan and Kibble (1961) Sciama (1964) theories , this constraint is not used and torsion is considered as a dynamical field.

Fermions in gravitational field, when formulated in the so called first-order formalism, where spin connection and vierbein are treated as independent the **torsion** does *not* vanish



#### Quantum (totally antisymmetric) torsion $S^{\mu}$

$$\int D\mathbf{S} \, Db \, \exp\left[i \int \frac{3}{4\kappa^2} \mathbf{S} \wedge \mathbf{S} - \frac{3}{4} \mathbf{S} \wedge \mathbf{J}^5 + \left(\frac{3}{2\kappa^2}\right)^{1/2} b \, d^*\mathbf{S}\right]$$
$$= \int Db \, \exp\left[-i \int \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{d}b \wedge \mathbf{d}b + \frac{1}{f_b} \mathrm{d}b \wedge \mathbf{J}^5 + \frac{1}{2f_b^2} \mathbf{J}^5 \wedge \mathbf{J}^5\right]$$

$$\left[ {{f J}^{5\,\mu }} = \overline \psi \, \gamma^5 \, \gamma^\mu \, \psi 
ight.$$

quantum-torsion-induced four-fermi interactions

multiplier field  $\Phi(x) \equiv (3/\kappa^2)^{1/2}b(x)$ .  $f_b = (3\kappa^2/8)^{-1/2} = \frac{M_P}{\sqrt{3\pi}}$ 

even in absence of any background field b ...

$$egin{aligned} &\mathfrak{L}=rac{i}{2}\mathfrak{e}e^{\mu}_{a}(ar{\psi}\gamma^{a}\psi_{;\mu}-ar{\psi}_{;\mu}\gamma^{a}\psi)-m\mathfrak{e}ar{\psi}\psi_{;\mu}\ &\mathfrak{e}=\det(e^{a}_{\mu}) \end{aligned}$$

Variation with respect to torsion yields connection of torsion to axial currents then substitution back to fermion equations yields the higher order equation

$$ie^{\mu}_{a}\gamma^{a}\psi_{:\mu} = m\psi - rac{3\kappa}{8}(ar{\psi}\gamma^{5}\gamma_{a}\psi)\gamma^{5}\gamma^{a}\psi,$$

Hehl-Datta equation

$$egin{aligned} &\mathfrak{L}=rac{i}{2}\mathfrak{e}e^{\mu}_{a}(ar{\psi}\gamma^{a}\psi_{;\mu}-ar{\psi}_{;\mu}\gamma^{a}\psi)-m\mathfrak{e}ar{\psi}\psi_{;\mu}\ &\mathfrak{e}=\det(e^{a}_{\mu}) \end{aligned}$$

Variation with respect to torsion yields connection of torsion to axial currents then substitution back to fermion equations yields the higher order equation

$$ie^{\mu}_{a}\gamma^{a}\psi_{:\mu}=m\psi-rac{3\kappa}{8}(ar{\psi}\gamma^{5}\gamma_{a}\psi)\gamma^{5}\gamma^{a}\psi$$
. Hehl-Datta equation obtained from effective lagrangian

$$\mathfrak{L}_{e} = \frac{i}{2} \mathfrak{e} e^{\mu}_{a} (\bar{\psi} \gamma^{a} \psi_{:\mu} - \bar{\psi}_{:\mu} \gamma^{a} \psi) - m \mathfrak{e} \bar{\psi} \psi + \frac{3\kappa \mathfrak{e}}{16} (\bar{\psi} \gamma^{5} \gamma_{a} \psi) (\bar{\psi} \gamma^{5} \gamma^{a} \psi)$$
repulsive four fermion interaction

#### **Complex conjugate equation**

$$\psi^c = -i\gamma^2\psi^*, \ \psi^* = -i\gamma^2\psi^c$$

$$\gamma^{5*}=\gamma^5$$

$$ie^{\mu}_{a}\gamma^{a}\psi^{c}_{;\mu}$$
 =  $m\psi^{c}$  +  $\frac{3\kappa}{8}(\overline{\psi^{c}}\gamma^{5}\gamma_{a}\psi^{c})\gamma^{5}\gamma^{a}\psi^{c}$ 

Complex conjugate equation  $\psi^c = -i\gamma^2\psi^*, \ \psi^* = -i\gamma^2\psi^c$  $\gamma^{5*} = \gamma^5$ 

$$ie^{\mu}_{a}\gamma^{a}\psi^{c}_{:\mu} = m\psi^{c} + rac{3\kappa}{8}(\overline{\psi^{c}}\gamma^{5}\gamma_{a}\psi^{c})\gamma^{5}\gamma^{a}\psi^{c}$$

#### Go compare

$$ie^{\mu}_{a}\gamma^{a}\psi_{:\mu}=m\psi-rac{3\kappa}{8}(ar{\psi}\gamma^{5}\gamma_{a}\psi)\gamma^{5}\gamma^{a}\psi,$$

opposite signs



fermion

antifermion

 $\omega = m + \alpha \kappa N$ 

 $\omega = m - \alpha \kappa N$ 

fermion

antifermion

 $\omega = m + \alpha \kappa N \qquad \qquad \omega = m - \alpha \kappa N.$ 

# NB:

Lagrangian is C (harge conjugation) symmetric but Hehl-Datta equation is **NOT** 



$$\overline{\psi^c}\,\psi^c = -\overline{\psi}\,\psi$$
$$(\overline{\psi^c}\gamma^\mu\gamma^5\psi^c)(\overline{\psi^c}\gamma_\mu\gamma^5\psi^c) = (\overline{\psi}\gamma^\mu\gamma^5\psi)(\overline{\psi}\gamma_\mu\gamma^5\psi)$$

fermion

antifermion



$$\omega = m + \alpha \kappa N$$

 $\omega = m - \alpha \kappa N$ 

Only chiral matter (e.g. right-handed neutrinos) can form condensates

$$\langle \overline{\psi} \gamma^5 \, \gamma^0 \rangle \equiv N \neq 0$$



SPARES

# **FURTHER OUTLOOK**

Scalar Fields in Early Universe: Plenty of them in extended particle physics models: inflaton(s), dilaton, axions, moduli ....Various effects...

In stringy model our model: Linear in cosmic time background KR pseudoscalar :  $b \sim t$ b-induced torsion that produces matter/antimatter asymmetry Logarithmic dilaton  $\phi \sim \ln a(t) = \ln (t) \rightarrow$  $\rightarrow$  Linearly expanding Universe

# **FURTHER OUTLOOK**

Scalar Fields in Early Universe: Plenty of them in extended particle physics models: inflaton(s), dilaton, axions, moduli ....Various effects...

## PERSONAL PERSPECTIVE

$$\frac{d\mathcal{N}}{dt} + 3H\mathcal{N} = \Gamma(t)\mathcal{N} + C[f],$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Gamma}(t) &= \dot{\phi}, \\ \phi &= -|\phi_0| \ln a(t) \end{aligned} \begin{array}{l} \lambda &\equiv \sigma_0 \, m_X^3 / H_m \\ H &= H_m \, x^{-2} \end{array} \begin{array}{l} x &= m_X / T \\ \overline{\langle \sigma v \rangle} &= \sigma_0 \, x^{-\overline{n}} \end{aligned}$$

Dilution of dark matter relic density due to coupling with running dilatons

> Lahanas, NEM, Nanopoulos, Bender, Sarkar

**Running dilaton** 

$$Y \equiv \frac{\mathcal{N}}{s} \sim \frac{(n+1+|\phi_0|)}{\lambda(n+1+|\phi_0|+x_f)} x^{-|\phi_0|} .$$

# **FURTHER OUTLOOK**

## Scalar Fields in Early Universe: Plenty of them in extended particle physics models: inflaton(s), dilaton, axions, moduli ....Various effects...

#### PERSONAL PERSPECTIVE

Inflation in the model

Condensation of gravitino fields  $\rightarrow$ dynamical SUGRA BREAKING  $\rightarrow$  inflation : small-field inflation due to flat one-loop effective potential of gravitino condensate ( $\sigma$  = inflaton)

Ellis, NEM, Alexandre, Houston



Modifications in Neutrinoless 2Beta decay rate in 2 flavour mixing (due to CPTV modified effective mass) M Sinha & B. Mukhopadhyay arXiv: 0704.2593



Sinha, Mukhopadhyay, 0704.2593

# If a small **B**<sup>a</sup> is present today

## ...will also affect flavour oscillations

2-flavour toy example

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_L^c \\ \psi_L \end{pmatrix} \qquad \nu_{e,\mu} = \mathcal{U}_{e,\mu}^{\dagger} \Psi_{e,\mu} \qquad \mathcal{U}_{e,\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_{e,\mu} & -\sin \theta_{e,\mu} \\ \sin \theta_{e,\mu} & \cos \theta_{e,\mu} \end{pmatrix}.$$

neutrino-antineutrino mixing on top of flavour mixing

#### FLAVOUR OSCILALTIONS IN THE PRESENCE OF GRAVITY

#### **2 SETS OF FLAVOUR EIGENSTATES**

۱.

$$\begin{aligned} |\nu_{e1}\rangle &= \cos \phi_{1}|\chi_{1}\rangle - \sin \phi_{1}|\chi_{2}\rangle & |\nu_{e2}\rangle &= \cos \phi_{2}|\chi_{3}\rangle - \sin \phi_{2}|\chi_{4}\rangle \\ |\nu_{\mu1}\rangle &= \sin \phi_{1}|\chi_{1}\rangle + \cos \phi_{1}|\chi_{2}\rangle & |\nu_{\mu2}\rangle &= \sin \phi_{2}|\chi_{3}\rangle + \cos \phi_{2}|\chi_{4}\rangle \\ \hline \mathcal{P}_{fg1} &= \sin^{2} 2\phi_{1} \sin^{2} \delta_{fg1}(t), \\ \delta_{fg1}(t) &= \frac{|M_{1}^{2} - M_{2}^{2}|}{4E} t \\ \hline \mathcal{OSCILLATION}_{\mathsf{LENGTH}} \quad \lambda_{fg1} &= \frac{4\pi E}{|M_{1}^{2} - M_{2}^{2}|} & \lambda_{fg2} &= \frac{4\pi E}{|M_{3}^{2} - M_{4}^{2}|} \\ \Delta M^{2} &= |M_{1}^{2} - M_{2}^{2}| &= |M_{3}^{2} - M_{4}^{2}| &= \left(\sqrt{B_{0}^{2} + m_{\mu}^{2}} + \sqrt{B_{0}^{2} + m_{e}^{2}}\right), \\ &\times \sqrt{\left\{\left(\sqrt{B_{0}^{2} + m_{\mu}^{2}} - \sqrt{B_{0}^{2} + m_{e}^{2}}\right)^{2} + 4m_{e\mu}^{2}\right\}}. \end{aligned}$$





#### **PHYSICS: WMAP** and Dark Matter

WMAP results so far:

- Disfavor strongly hot dark matter (neutrinos),  $\Omega_{\nu}h^2 < 0.0076$  ( $< m_{\nu} >_e < 0.23$  eV).
- Warm Dark Matter (gravitino) disfavoured by evidence for re-ionization at redshift  $z\sim 20.$
- Cold Dark Matter (CDM) remains: axions, supersymmetric dark matter (lightest SUSY particle (LSP)), superheavy (masses  $\sim 10^{14\pm5}$  GeV) WMAP results:  $\Omega_m h^2 = 0.135^{+0.008}_{-0.009}$  (matter),  $\Omega_b h^2 = 0.0224 \pm 0.0009$  (baryons), hence, assuming CDM is the difference,  $\Omega_{CDM} h^2 = 0.1126^{+0.0161}_{-0.0181}$ , ( $2\sigma$  level).
# CDM





Numerical simulations for structure formation in Cold Dark Matter (CDM)

(top) and Warm Dark Matter (WDM) (middle) with mass  $m_X = 10$  KeV at z = 20. Bottom: Dark halos with mass  $> 10^5 M_{\odot}$  for CDM (left) and for WDM (right).

## IMPORTANT COMMENTS:

Such structure formation arguments can only place a lower bound on mass of the WDM candidate:  $m_X > 10$  KeV.

Above results exclude Light Gravitino Models ( $m_X < 0.5 KeV$ ) of Particle Physics as DM candidates. NB! WDM with  $m_X \ge 100$  KeV becomes indistinguishable from Cold Dark Matter, as far as structure formation is concerned.

#### WMAP excludes WARM Dark Matter

# Further Evidence in frour of Warm Dark Matter & Structure Formation



Warm Dark Matter (WDM) Universe may solve puzzle of Satelite Galaxies to Milky Way whose properties are incompatible with Cold Dark Matter (CDM) Model : Satelite internal dynamics and structure formation *inexplicable* by CDM

Lovell, Eke, Frenk et al., arXiv: 1104.2929

Satellite Galaxies in WDM 5



#### WMAP excludes HOT Dark Matter



Contribution of neutrinos to energy density of Universe:  $\Omega_{\nu}h^2 = \frac{\sum_i m_i}{94.0 \text{ eV}}$ (sum over light neutrino species (decouple while still relativistic)). WMAP and other experiments (the Lyman  $\alpha$  data etc)  $\Omega_{\nu}h^2 < 0.0076 \Rightarrow < m_{\nu} >_e < 0.23 \text{ eV}$ : Excludes HOT DM.

NB: WMAP still consistent with Majorana neutrinos, and also marginally with  $\beta\beta$ -decay (Heidelberg-Moscow Coll.).

#### WMAP excludes HOT Dark Matter



Contribution of neutrinos to energy density of Universe:  $\Omega_{\nu}h^2 = \frac{\sum_i m_i}{94.0 \text{ eV}}$ (sum over light neutrino species (decouple while still relativistic)). WMAP and other experiments (the Lyman  $\alpha$  data etc)  $\Omega_{\nu}h^2 < 0.0076 \Rightarrow < m_{\nu} >_e < 0.23 \text{ eV}$ : Excludes HOT DM.

NB: WMAP still consistent with Majorana neutrinos, and also marginally with  $\beta\beta$ -decay (Heidelberg-Moscow Coll.).

### PLANCK SATELLITE RESULTS ON STERILE NEUTRINOS

Effective number of excited neutrino species contributing to radiation content of the Universe as measured by CMB data within ACDM

 $N_{\rm eff\ WMAP} = 4.34^{+0.86}_{-0.88}$ 

PLANCK + WMAP+ BAO + high-multiple CMB data

$$N_{
m eff\ Planck} = 3.33 \pm 0.27$$
 @ 68 % C.L.

still leaves room for almost an extra light neutrino species?

May be, with active-sterile mass squared splitting in the range  $\Delta m_{i4}^2 = (10^{-5} - 10^2) eV^2$  and active/sterile mixing  $sin^2\theta_{i4} < 10^{-2.5}$ 

Mirizzi et al. 1303.5368

de Vega, Sanchez, 1304.0759

**BUT**...Subtle connection of N<sub>eff</sub> to real number of active plus keV sterile neutrinos

One warm (keV) dark matter sterile neutrino contributes to N<sub>eff</sub> at recombination (rc)

$$\Delta N^{WDM} = \left(\frac{T_d}{T_{rc}}\right)^4 = \left[\frac{g_{rc}}{g(T_d)}\right]^{4/3}$$

(entropy conservation requirements)

@ z=1094 (redshift of rc), one can estimate for, e.g. standard model

 $\Delta N_{SM}^{WDM} = 0.02771\dots$ 

#### too small to be detected by CMB

Since (see previous slide) one sterile (Majorana) neutrino up to a few eV mass range is compatible with Planck  $\rightarrow$  important, since, in view of the above, this may imply also the existence of keV sterile neutrinos playing the role of dark matter  $\rightarrow$  as in the vMSM extension of Standard Model



#### SUMMARY ON TORSION CONNECTIONS

# (i) Dirac spin ½ fermions

$$\omega^{ab}_{\mu} = \overline{\omega}^{ab}_{\mu} + \frac{\kappa}{4} \epsilon^{ab}_{\ cd} e^c_{\mu} J^d_{(A)}$$

 $J^{\mu}_{(A)} \equiv \overline{\psi} \gamma_5 \gamma^{\mu} \psi$  is the spinor *axial* current.

(ii) N=1 SUGRA  

$$\begin{split}
\omega_{\mu}{}^{ab} &= \omega_{\mu}{}^{ab}(e, \psi) \equiv \omega_{\mu}{}^{ab}(e) + \kappa_{\mu}{}^{ab}(\psi) \\
\downarrow^{\mu}(\psi) &= \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \bar{\psi}_{\nu} \gamma_{\alpha} \psi_{\beta} \\
& \kappa_{\mu\alpha\beta}(\psi) = \frac{1}{4} [\bar{\psi}_{\alpha} \gamma_{\mu} \psi_{\beta} + \bar{\psi}_{\mu} \gamma_{\alpha} \psi_{\beta} - \bar{\psi}_{\mu} \gamma_{\beta} \psi_{\alpha}]. \\
(iii) N=2 SUGRA
$$\begin{split}
\omega_{\mu}{}^{ab} &= \omega_{\mu}{}^{ab}(e, \psi) \equiv \omega_{\mu}{}^{ab}(e) + \kappa_{\mu}{}^{ab}(\psi) \\
\downarrow^{\mu}(\psi) &= \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \bar{\psi}_{\nu}^{I} \gamma_{\alpha} \psi_{I\beta} \\
\end{split}
\begin{aligned}
\kappa_{\mu\alpha\beta}(\psi) &= \frac{1}{4} [\bar{\psi}_{\alpha}^{I} \gamma_{\mu} \psi_{I\beta} + \bar{\psi}_{\mu}^{I} \gamma_{\alpha} \psi_{I\beta} - \bar{\psi}_{\mu}^{I} \gamma_{\beta} \psi_{I\alpha} + \text{c.c.}]. \\
(iv) N=4 SU(4) SUGRA
\downarrow^{\mu}(\psi) &= \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \bar{\psi}_{\nu}^{I} \gamma_{\alpha} \psi_{I\beta} \\
\downarrow^{\mu}(\Lambda) &= e \bar{\Lambda}_{I} \gamma^{\mu} \Lambda^{I}.
\end{split}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_{\mu ab} &= \omega_{\mu ab}(e, \psi, \Lambda) \equiv \omega_{\mu ab}(e) + \kappa_{\mu ab} \\
\kappa_{\mu\alpha\beta}(\psi) &= \frac{1}{4} [\bar{\psi}_{\alpha}^{I} \gamma_{\mu} \psi_{I\beta} + \bar{\psi}_{\mu}^{I} \gamma_{\alpha} \psi_{I\beta} - \bar{\psi}_{\mu}^{I} \gamma_{\beta} \psi_{I\alpha} + \text{c.c.}]. \\
\kappa_{\mu\alpha\beta}(\psi) &= -\frac{1}{4e} \epsilon_{\mu\alpha\beta\sigma} \bar{\Lambda}_{I} \gamma^{\sigma} \Lambda^{I}.
\end{aligned}$$
(31)$$

STANDARD MODEL EXTENSION WITH MASSIVE RIGHT-HANDED MAJORANA NEUTRINOS

Non SUSY  $\nu MSM$ 

Boyarski, Ruchayskiy, Shaposhnikov

$$L = L_{SM} + \bar{N}_I i \partial_\mu \gamma^\mu N_I - F_{\alpha I} \,\bar{L}_\alpha N_I \tilde{\phi} - \frac{M_I}{2} \,\bar{N}_I^c N_I + \text{h.c.}$$



$$L = L_{SM} + \bar{N}_I i \partial_\mu \gamma^\mu N_I - F_{\alpha I} \bar{L}_\alpha N_I \tilde{\phi} - \frac{M_I}{2} \bar{N}_I^c N_I + \text{h.c.}$$

Higgs scalar SU(2) Dual:  $\tilde{\phi}_i = \epsilon_{ij} \phi_j^*$ .

$$\begin{split} L &= L_{SM} + \bar{N}_{I} i \partial_{\mu} \gamma^{\mu} N_{I} - F_{\alpha I} \, \bar{L}_{\alpha} N_{I} \tilde{\phi} - \frac{M_{I}}{2} \, \bar{N}_{I}^{c} N_{I} + \text{h.c.} \\ \end{split}$$
  
Yukawa couplings  
Matrix  $F &= \tilde{K}_{L} \, f_{d} \, \tilde{K}_{R}^{\dagger}$ 

# SM Extension with N extra right-handed neutrinos $\nu MSM$ Boyarski, Ruchayskiy, Shaposhnikov $L = L_{SM} + \bar{N}_I i \partial_\mu \gamma^\mu N_I - F_{\alpha I} \bar{L}_\alpha N_I \tilde{\phi} - \frac{M_I}{2} \bar{N}_I^c N_I + \text{h.c.}$ Yukawa couplings Matrix (N=3) $F = \widetilde{K}_L f_d \widetilde{K}_B^{\dagger}$ $f_d = \operatorname{diag}(f_1, f_2, f_3), \quad \widetilde{K}_L = K_L P_\alpha, \quad \widetilde{K}_R^{\dagger} = K_R^{\dagger} P_\beta$ $P_{\alpha} = \operatorname{diag}(e^{i\alpha_1}, e^{i\alpha_2}, 1), \quad P_{\beta} = \operatorname{diag}(e^{i\beta_1}, e^{i\beta_2}, 1)$ Majorana phases Mixing $K_{L} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_{L23} & s_{L23} \\ 0 & -s_{L23} & c_{L23} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{L13} & 0 & s_{L13}e^{-i\delta_{L}} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -s_{L13}e^{i\delta_{L}} & 0 & c_{L13} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{L12} & s_{L12} & 0 \\ -s_{L12} & c_{L12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ $c_{Lij} = \cos(\theta_{Lij})$ and $s_{Lij} = \sin(\theta_{Lij})$ .



# $\nu MSM$

$$L = L_{SM} + \bar{N}_I i \partial_\mu \gamma^\mu N_I - F_{\alpha I} \,\bar{L}_\alpha N_I \tilde{\phi} - \frac{M_I}{2} \,\bar{N}_I^c N_I + \text{h.c.}$$

 $m_{\nu} = -M^D \frac{1}{M_I} [M^D]^T \,.$ 

Light Neutrino Masses through see saw

$$M_D = F_{\alpha I} v$$
  
 $v = \langle \phi \rangle \sim 175 \text{ GeV} \quad M_D \ll M_I$ 





Figure 1: The thermal history of the universe in the  $\nu MSM$ .



Figure 1: The thermal history of the universe in the  $\nu MSM$ .

# vMSM

#### Boyarski, Ruchayskiy, Shaposhnikov...

MODEL CONSISTENT WITH BBN, STRUCTURE FORMATION DATA IN THE UNIVERSE & ALL OTHER ASTROPHYSICAL CONSTRAINTS



#### Boyarski, Ruchayskiy, Shaposhnikov...

# vMSM

Decaying N<sub>1</sub> produces narrow spectral line MODEL CONS in spectra of DM dominated astrophysical objects **UNIVERSE & /** 10<sup>-6</sup> Ω<sub>N1</sub> > QDM 10<sup>-7</sup> NRP **10<sup>-8</sup>** X-ray constraints 10<sup>-9</sup> Phase-space density **10<sup>-10</sup>**  $\sin^2(2\theta_1)$ constraints L<sub>6</sub>=2 10<sup>-11</sup> <sup>L</sup><sub>6</sub>=70 BBN limit: L<sup>BBN</sup>  $L_{6}^{max} = 700$ 10<sup>-12</sup> = 2500 10<sup>-13</sup> **10<sup>-14</sup>** 



More than one sterile neutrino needed to reproduce Observed oscillations



#### Boyarski, Ruchayskiy, Shaposhnikov...



Constraints on two heavy degenerate singlet neutrinos

 $N_1$  DM production estimation in Early Universe must take into account its interactions with  $N_{2,3}$  heavy neutrinos  $M_1 << M_2 \approx M_3$ 

