

Emergent gravity from Group Field Theory

Lorenzo Sindoni
MPG für Gravitationsphysik
(Albert Einstein Institute)

LS 1105.5687

Sixth Aegean Summer School
Chora, Naxos, Greece 12.9.2011

GFT: Basic ideas

- Group Field Theories: models for quantum spacetime (connected to spinfoams), designed to define a path integral for quantum gravity
- Generalization of matrix models (2D QG) to higher dimensions
- Quantum/statistical field theories on group manifolds (SU(2) etc.): purely pregeometrical framework
- Partition function defined by the specification of a certain action
- Perturbative expansion: the Feynman graphs are “just” simplicial complexes (with geometric data)

Some formulae

- The field for d-dimensional models

$$\phi : \underbrace{G \times G \cdots \times G}_d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

- The action

$$S_{GFT}[\phi] = \int (dh)^d \phi_{a_1 \dots a_d} \bar{\phi}_{a_1 \dots a_d} + \frac{g}{d!} \int (dh)^K V(\{h\}) \phi_{a_1^1 \dots a_d^1} \cdots \phi_{a_1^{d+1} \dots a_d^{d+1}} + cc.$$

- The partition function for GFT

$$Z(g) = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \exp(-S_{GFT}[\phi])$$

- And the partition function for QG

$$W(g) = \log Z(g)$$

The continuum limit

- Feynman expansion: discrete geometries
 - The continuum limit: phase transitions
 - Subtlety: the pure gravity models do possess only a large volume limit (discretization scale is purely combinatoric, no dimensions)
 - Matter is required: ratio between correlation length and combinatorial length goes to infinity (or not)
 - Key issue: the continuum and/vs semiclassical limit
- $$\langle n \rangle = \frac{1}{W} \frac{\partial W}{\partial \log g}$$

Scaling assumption

- Obviously the critical behavior has to be computed from the specification of the microscopic action (ongoing work)

Bonzom et al. | 105.3 | 22

- Working hypothesis: there is a singular behavior of the partition function and it has a specific scaling form.

$$W(g) = a(g - g_c)^\gamma$$

- Key point: all the macroscopic coupling constants will be computable functions of the critical exponents (role of universality)

Boundary states

- Evaluation of transition amplitudes: specification of boundary states (necessary to extract the dynamics)
- Correlation functions in GFT

$$\left\langle \int (dh)^6 \phi_{123} \phi_{156} \phi_{453} \phi_{426} \right\rangle$$

- Important point: Schwinger-Dyson equations (and Ward identities) will relate all the correlation functions among themselves

Generating function

- Macroscopic boundary geometries are “superpositions” of different microscopic configurations
- Design a boundary state (how? Coherent states?). Ambiguity of the effective dynamics (see BECs!)
- Idea: use auxiliary GFTs in one dimension less to generate a sum over all the possible random boundary geometries

$$G(\lambda, g) = \int \mathcal{D}\psi \mathcal{D}\phi \exp \left[- \left(\frac{1}{2} \int (dg)^2 \psi_{ab} \psi_{ba} + \frac{\lambda}{3} \int (dg)^3 \psi_{ab} \psi_{bc} \psi_{ca} \phi_{h_1 h_2 h_3} \right) + \right. \\ \left. + \left(\frac{1}{2} \int (dg)^3 \phi_{abc} \phi_{cba} + \frac{g}{4} \int \phi_{abc} \phi_{aef} \phi_{dec} \phi_{dbf} \right) \right]$$

Hartle-Hawking

- One can thus compute something like the Hartle-Hawking wavefunction
- Problem: reconstruct the Wheeler-DeWitt equation
- Idea/2: assume a double critical behavior (continuum limit in the boundary AND in the bulk)

$$\log G(\lambda, g) \sim a(\lambda - \lambda'_c)^\delta (g - g'_c)^\gamma$$

Towards effective Hamiltonian constraint

- With this profile one can argue that the wavefunction has to have a behavior like

$$\psi(n) \sim n^\delta \exp(-\alpha n) \quad \text{large volume only!}$$

- Go backwards: from the solution to the problem
- Approximate method: Hamilton-Jacobi reversed

$$H(x, p) = \Phi \left(p - bx^{b-1} + \frac{\delta}{x} \right)$$

- Ambiguity: one free function

Future directions

- Work out explicitly the Schwinger-Dyson/Ward equations in the critical limit with the boundary state included
- Add matter
- Work in Lorentzian signature (help from horizon thermodynamics)
- Compare with other approaches (e.g. coherent states methods)

Gurau 2011

Oriti, LS 2010

The end

Thank you