

Universiteit Leiden

Shape of CMB lensing in EDE

arXiv:2305.18873, Gen Ye, Jun-Qian Jiang, Yun-Song Piao





Cosmological Tensions Hubble tension

SHOES $H_0 = 73.04 \pm 1.04 \text{ km/s/Mpc}$

V.S.

CMB $H_0 = 67.49 \pm 0.52 \text{ km/s/Mpc}$

CMB with Planck

Balkenhol et al. (2021), Planck 2018+SPT+ACT : 67.49 ± 0.53 Pogosian et al. (2020), eBOSS+Planck $\Omega_m H^2$: 69.6 ± 1.8 Aghanim et al. (2020), Planck 2018: 67.27 ± 0.60 Aghanim et al. (2020), Planck 2018+CMB lensing: 67.36 ± 0.54 Ade et al. (2016), Planck 2015, $H_0 = 67.27 \pm 0.66$

CMB without Planck

Dutcher et al. (2021), SPT: 68.8 ± 1.5 Aiola et al. (2020), ACT: 67.9 ± 1.5 Aiola et al. (2020), WMAP9+ACT: 67.6 ± 1.1 Zhang, Huang (2019), WMAP9+BAO: $68.36^{+0.53}_{-0.52}$ Hinshaw et al. (2013), WMAP9: 70.0 ± 2.2

No CMB, with BBN

D'Amico et al. (2020), BOSS DR12+BBN: 68.5 ± 2.2 Colas et al. (2020), BOSS DR12+BBN: 68.7 ± 1.5 Philcox et al. (2020), P_{l} +BAO+BBN: 68.6 ± 1.1 lvanov et al. (2020), BOSS+BBN: 67.9 ± 1.1 Alam et al. (2020), BOSS+eBOSS+BBN: 67.35 ± 0.97

P_I(k) + CMB lensing

Philcox et al. (2020), $P_l(k)$ +CMB lensing: 70.6^{+3.7}_{-5.0}

Cepheids – SNIa

- Riess et al. (2020), R20: 73.2 ± 1.3 Breuval et al. (2020): 72.8 ± 2.7 Riess et al. (2019), R19: 74.0 ± 1.4 Camarena, Marra (2019): 75.4 ± 1.7 Burns et al. (2018): 73.2 ± 2.3 Dhawan, Jha, Leibundgut (2017), NIR: 72.8 ± 3.1 Follin, Knox (2017): 73.3 ± 1.7 Feeney, Mortlock, Dalmasso (2017): 73.2 ± 1.8 Riess et al. (2016), R16: 73.2 ± 1.7 Cardona, Kunz, Pettorino (2016), HPs: 73.8 ± 2.1
- Freedman et al. (2012): 74.3 ± 2.1

TRGB – SNIa

Soltis, Casertano, Riess (2020): 72.1 ± 2.0 Freedman et al. (2020): 69.6 ± 1.9 Reid, Pesce, Riess (2019), SH0ES: 71.1 ± 1.9 Freedman et al. (2019): 69.8 ± 1.9 Yuan et al. (2019): 72.4 ± 2.0 Jang, Lee (2017): 71.2 ± 2.5

Miras – SNIa

Huang et al. (2019): 73.3 ± 4.0

Masers Pesce et al. (2020): 73.9 ± 3.0

Tully – Fisher Relation (TFR)

Kourkchi et al. (2020): 76.0 ± 2.6 Schombert, McGaugh, Lelli (2020): 75.1 ± 2.8

Surface Brightness Fluctuations

Blakeslee et al. (2021) IR-SBF w/ HST: 73.3 ± 2.5 Khetan et al. (2020) w/ LMC DEB: 71.1 ± 4.1

SNII

de Jaeger et al. (2020): 75.8^{+5.2}

HII galaxies

Fernández Arenas et al. (2018): 71.0 ± 3.5

Lensing related, mass model – dependent

Denzel et al. (2021): 71.8^{+3.9} Birrer et al. (2020), TDCOSMO+SLACS: $67.4^{+4.1}_{-3.2}$, TDCOSMO: $74.5^{+4.1}_{-4.2}$, Yang, Birrer, Hu (2020): $H_0 = 73.65^{+1.2}_{-4.2}$ Millon et al. (2020), TDCOSMO: 74.2 ± 1.6 Baxter et al. (2020): 73.5 ± 5.3 Oi et al. (2020): 73.6⁺¹ Liao et al. (2020): 72.8<u>+</u> _iao et al. (2019): 72.2 ± 2. Shajib et al. (2019), STRIDES: 74.2⁺²

Wong et al. (2019), H0LiCOW 2019: 73.3⁺

Birrer et al. (2018), H0LiCOW 2018: 72.5+

Bonvin et al. (2016), H0LiCOW 2016: $71.9_{-3.0}^{+2.4}$

Optimistic average

Di Valentino (2021): 72.94 ± 0.75 Ultra – conservative, no Cepheids, no lensing Di Valentino (2021): 72.7 ± 1.1

GW related

Gayathri et al. (2020), GW190521+GW170817: 73.4^{+6.0} Mukherjee et al. (2020), GW170817+ZTF: 67.6[±] Mukherjee et al. (2019), GW170817+VLBI: $68.3^{+4.6}_{-4.2}$ Abbott et al. (2017), GW170817: $70.0^{+12.0}_{-8.0}$









Tensions in the model?

 S_8 tension

KiDS-1000-v2

DES Y3: Fiducial

HSC Y3: ξ

HSC Y3: C_{ℓ}

DES Y3+KiDS-1000-v1 Hybrid

DES Y3 Hybrid

KiDS-1000-v1 Hybrid

Planck-2018

0.70

arXiv:2306.11124



EDE and S_8





 S_8 tension exacerbated by EDE

Phys. Rev. D 102, 043507 (2020) Phys. Rev. D 102, 103502 (2020) JCAP 05, 072 (2021)

 ω_{cdm} is increased to compensate for EDE's effect on radiation driving and ISW

Gen Ye, et al. *Phys.Rev.D* 102 (2020) 8, 083523

Astrophys. J. Lett. 904, L17 (2020)

Phys. Rev. D 104, 063524 (2021)







. . .







7



Marginalization



Recombination EDE



Background?

$$D_A(z) = H_0^{-1} \int_0^z \frac{dz'}{E(z')}$$

- Cosmological parameters: H_0 , Ω_m
- Dark energy EoS w(z)

Phys. Lett. B 832, 137244 (2022) arXiv:2302.07333







Perturbation?

Reionization

High ℓ optical depth $A_s e^{-2\tau}$

Low ℓ E polarization

- Integrated Sachs-Wolfe Phys. Rev. D, 107 103505
- Gravitational Lensing P(k)

This work arXiv:2305.18873

$$w \equiv -1$$

8



Gaussian Process (GP) $C_L^{\phi\phi} = A(L)C_{L,fid}^{\phi\phi}$ $A(L) \sim GP[\overline{f}(L), K]$ 3 Nodes: $\{A_{L=50}, A_{L=200}\}$

$$w_{DE} = -1$$

$$T$$

$$A_{ISW} = 1$$

$$C_{L}^{\phi\phi}$$

$$0, A_{L=800}$$

$$9$$



Results CMB TTTEEE + Lensing reconstruction (+ CMB B mode)



- Similar constraints for different EDE
- Compatible with LCDM in (80,400)
- Enhanced amplitude at high and low L
- B mode constraint at high L



Results





Results CMB TTTEEE + Lensing reconstruction (+ CMB B mode)



- Similar constraints for different EDE
- Compatible with LCDM in (80,400)
- Enhanced amplitude at high and low L
- B mode constraint at high L



Conclusion

- New GP sampling method, fewer nodes required
- Late universe marginalized over
 - Insensitive to early Universe model (Λ CDM vs EDE)
 - 80<I<400 dominated by lensing reconstruction
 - High and low I pushed up by lensed T/E
 - New constraint from B mode
- Work in progress: crossing with galaxy weak lensing

 $K(x_1, x_2) = \sigma^2 \exp(-\frac{|x_1 - x_2|^2}{2l^2})$



FIG. 10: Lensing shape constraints in ΛCDM with different GP parameters. Left panel: l = $\{0.25, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0\}, \ \sigma = 0.1. \ Right \ panel: \ l = 1.0, \ \sigma = \{0.05, 0.1, 0.2\}.$

$l = 1.0, \sigma = 0.1$



$$A_{ISW} = 1$$

