



High Energy Theory Group
Department of Physics
National Technical University of Athens

*Phenomenology of Supersymmetric Trinification from
Dimensional Reduction of a $N = 1$, 10D E_8 Theory*

Gregory Patellis

G. Manolakos, GP, G. Zoupanos

arXiv:2009.07059 (hep-ph)

7 September 2021

Motivation

Unified description of Nature

- Extra Gauge Symmetry (i.e. GUTs)
- Supersymmetry
- Extra Dimensions
 - Unify gauge and Higgs sectors
 - Also unify fermion interactions with the above sectors
 - SUSY can unify all the above in one vector supermultiplet
 - Less free parameters

Coset Space Dimensional Reduction

1. Compactification

B - compact space

$\dim B = D - 4 = d$

D dims \rightarrow 4 dims

$$M^D \rightarrow M^4 \times B$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} | & | & | \\ x^M & x^\mu & y^\alpha \end{array}$$

2. Dimensional Reduction

\mathcal{L} independent of the extra coordinates y^α :

- "Naive" way: Discard the field dependence on y^α coordinates
- Elegant way: Allow field dependence on y^α
 \rightarrow compensated by a symmetry of the Lagrangian

\rightarrow Gauge Symmetry

3. Coset Space Dimensional Reduction

*Witten (1977); Forgacs, Manton (1980);
 Chapline, Slansky (1982); Kapetanakis, Zoupanos - Phys.Rept. (1992)
 Kubyshin, Mourao, Rudolph, Volobujev - Book (1989)*

– $B = S/R$

– Allow a non-trivial dependence on y^α

– impose the condition that a symmetry transformation by an element of the isometry group S of B is compensated by a gauge transformation

\rightarrow Gauge invariant $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ independent of y^α !

Reduction of a D -dimensional Yang-Mills Lagrangian

Consider a Yang-Mills-Dirac theory in D dims based on group G defined on $M^D \rightarrow M^4 \times S/R$, $D = 4 + d$

$$S = \int d^4x d^d y \sqrt{-g} \left[-\frac{1}{4} \text{Tr}(F_{MN} F_{K\Lambda}) g^{MK} g^{N\Lambda} + \frac{i}{2} \bar{\psi} \Gamma^M D_M \psi \right]$$

Demand: any transformation by an element of S acting on S/R is **compensated** by gauge transformations.

→ **Constraints** on the fields of the theory A_α and ψ

Solution of constraints:

- Remaining gauge invariance
- 4-dimensional fields
- Potential

1) The 4D gauge group

$$H = C_G(R_G)$$

$$\text{i.e. } G \supset R_G \times H$$

2) Scalar fields

$$S \supset R$$

$$\text{adj}S = \text{adj}R + \sum s_i$$

$$G \supset R_G \times H$$

$$\text{adj}G \supset (\text{adj}R, 1) + (1, \text{adj}H) + \sum (r_i, h_i)$$

for each $s_i = r_i \Rightarrow h_i$ survives in 4D.

(The 4D gauge fields are independent of the extra dimensions)

3) Fermions

$$SO(d) \supset R$$

$$\sigma_d = \sum \sigma_j$$

$$G \supset R_G \times H$$

$$F = \sum (t_i, h_i)$$

for each $t_i = \sigma_i \Rightarrow h_i$ survives in 4D.

$D = 4n + 2$ Weyl + Majorana fermions in vector-like rep \rightarrow 4D chiral theory.

The 4D Theory

Integrate out the extra coordinates (+ take into account **constraints**):

$$S = C \int d^4x \operatorname{tr} \left[-\frac{1}{8} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} (D_\mu \phi_a)(D^\mu \phi^a) \right] \\ + V(\phi) + \frac{i}{2} \bar{\psi} \Gamma^\mu D_\mu \psi - \frac{i}{2} \bar{\psi} \Gamma^a D_a \psi$$

- $D_\mu = \partial_\mu - igA_\mu$ - C: coset space volume
- $D_a = \partial_a - \theta_a - ig\phi_a$ - $\phi_a \equiv A_a$

$$V(\phi) = -\frac{1}{8} g^{ac} g^{bd} \operatorname{Tr} \{ (f_{ab}^c \phi_c - ig[\phi_a, \phi_b]) (f_{cd}^D \phi_D - ig[\phi_c, \phi_d]) \}$$

$V(\phi)$ still only formal since ϕ_a must satisfy one more **constraint**.

- If $G \supset S \Rightarrow H$ breaks to $K = C_G(S)$:

$G \supset S \times K \leftarrow$ gauge group after SSB

U ∩

$G \supset R \times H \leftarrow$ gauge group in 4 dims

Harnad, Shnider, Tafel (1980)

Reduction of 10D, $N = 1$ E_8 over $S/R = SU(3)/U(1) \times U(1)$

Manousselis, Zoupanos (2001-2004)

The **non-symmetric** (nearly-Kähler) coset space $SU(3)/U(1) \times U(1)$:

- admits **torsion** and may have **different radii**
- naturally produces **soft** supersymmetry breaking terms
- preserves the supersymmetric multiplets

We use the decomposition

$$E_8 \supset E_6 \times SU(3) \supset E_6 \times U(1)_A \times U(1)_B$$

and choose $R = U(1)_A \times U(1)_B$

$$\rightarrow H = C_{E_8}(U(1)_A \times U(1)_B) = E_6 \times U(1)_A \times U(1)_B$$

Since $S \subset G$, H breaks to

$$K = C_G(S) = E_6 \times [U(1) \times U(1)]_{\text{global}}$$

- $N = 1$, $E_6 \times U(1)_A \times U(1)_B$ gauge group
- Three **chiral** supermultiplets $A^i : 27_{(3,1/2)}$, $B^i : 27_{(-3,1/2)}$, $C^i : 27_{(0,-1)}$
- Three **chiral** supermultiplets $A : 1_{(3,1/2)}$, $B : 1_{(-3,1/2)}$, $C : 1_{(0,-1)}$
- Gaugino mass $M = (1 + 3\mathcal{T}) \frac{R_1^2 + R_2^2 + R_3^2}{8\sqrt{R_1^2 R_2^2 R_3^2}}$

$$V = \frac{g^2}{5} \left(\frac{1}{R_1^4} + \frac{1}{R_2^4} + \frac{1}{R_3^4} \right) + V_F + V_D + V_{\text{soff}}$$

$$\frac{2}{g^2} V_D = \frac{1}{2} D^\alpha D^\alpha + \frac{1}{2} D_1 D_1 + \frac{1}{2} D_2 D_2 \quad \frac{2}{g^2} V_F = \sum_s |F_s|^2, \quad F_s = \frac{\partial \mathcal{W}}{\partial s}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2}{g^2} V_{\text{soff}} &= \left(\frac{4R_1^2}{R_2^2 R_3^2} - \frac{8}{R_1^2} \right) (\alpha^i \alpha_i + \bar{\alpha} \alpha) + \left(\frac{4R_2^2}{R_1^2 R_3^2} - \frac{8}{R_2^2} \right) (\beta^i \beta_i + \bar{\beta} \beta) + \left(\frac{4R_3^2}{R_1^2 R_2^2} - \frac{8}{R_3^2} \right) (\gamma^i \gamma_i + \bar{\gamma} \gamma) \\ &+ \sqrt{280} \left(\frac{R_1}{R_2 R_3} + \frac{R_2}{R_1 R_3} + \frac{R_3}{R_2 R_1} \right) (d_{ijk} \alpha^i \beta^j \gamma^k + \alpha \beta \gamma + \text{h.c.}) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$D^\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (\alpha^i (G^\alpha)_i^j \alpha_j + \beta^i (G^\alpha)_i^j \beta_j + \gamma^i (G^\alpha)_i^j \gamma_j)$$

$$D_1 = \frac{\sqrt{10}}{3} (\alpha^i (3\delta_i^j) \alpha_j + \bar{\alpha} (3) \alpha + \beta^i (-3\delta_i^j) \beta_j + \bar{\beta} (-3) \beta)$$

$$D_2 = \frac{\sqrt{40}}{3} \left(\alpha^i \left(\frac{1}{2} \delta_i^j \right) \alpha_j + \bar{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \alpha + \beta^i \left(\frac{1}{2} \delta_i^j \right) \beta_j + \bar{\beta} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \beta + \gamma^i (-1\delta_i^j) \gamma_j + \bar{\gamma} (-1) \gamma \right)$$

$$\mathcal{W} = \sqrt{40} d_{ijk} A^i B^j C^k + \sqrt{40} ABC$$

Singlets α and β are chosen to acquire vevs $\rightarrow E_6 \times U(1)_A \times U(1)_B \rightarrow E_6$

$\rightarrow U(1)_A \times U(1)_B$ remain as global symmetries

The Wilson Flux Breaking

Hosotani (1983); Witten (1985); Zoupanos (1988);
Kozimirov, Kuzmin, Tkachev (1989); Kapetanakis, Zoupanos (1989)

$$M^4 \times B_0 \rightarrow M^4 \times B, \quad B = B_0 / F^{S/R}$$

– $F^{S/R}$ is a freely acting discrete symmetry of B_0 .

B becomes multiply connected \rightarrow breaking of H to $K' = C_H(T^H)$

T^H is the image of the homomorphism of $F^{S/R}$ into H

In our case

- $F^{S/R} = \mathbb{Z}_3 \rightarrow B = SU(3)/U(1) \times U(1) \times \mathbb{Z}_3$
- $H = E_6 \times U(1)_A \times U(1)_B$
- $K' = SU(3)_C \times SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_R \times U(1)_A \times U(1)_B$, still $N = 1$

Matter fields invariant under $F^{S/R} \oplus T^H$ survive

$$\rightarrow \gamma_3 = \text{diag}(\mathbf{1}, \omega \mathbf{1}, \omega^2 \mathbf{1}), \quad \omega = e^{2i\pi/3} \in \mathbb{Z}_3$$

The **surviving** gauge fields satisfy the condition:

$$\bullet [A_M, \gamma_3] = 0 \Rightarrow A_M = \gamma_3 A_M \gamma_3^{-1}$$

The matter counterpart of the above equation is:

$$\bullet A^i = \omega \gamma_3 A^i, \quad B^i = \omega^2 \gamma_3 B^i, \quad C^i = \omega^3 \gamma_3 C^i, \quad A = \omega A, \quad B = \omega^2 B, \quad C = \omega^3 C$$

$$E_6 \supset SU(3)_C \times SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_R \quad 27 = (1, 3, \bar{3}) \oplus (3, \bar{3}, 1) \oplus (\bar{3}, 1, 3)$$

Surviving matter content of the projected theory:

$$\bullet A_3 \equiv \Psi_1 \equiv q^c \sim (\bar{3}, 1, 3)_{(3, \frac{1}{2})}, \quad B_2 \equiv \Psi_2 \equiv Q \sim (3, \bar{3}, 1)_{(-3, \frac{1}{2})}, \\ C_1 \equiv \Psi_3 \equiv L \sim (1, 3, \bar{3})_{(0, -1)}, \quad C \equiv \theta \sim (1, 1, 1)_{(0, -1)}$$

Non-trivial monopole charges in $R \rightarrow$ **three generations**: $\Psi_1^{(i)}, \Psi_2^{(i)}, \Psi_3^{(i)}, C^{(i)}$

Dolan (2003)

$$q^c = \begin{pmatrix} d_R^{c1} & u_R^{c1} & D_R^{c1} \\ d_R^{c2} & u_R^{c2} & D_R^{c2} \\ d_R^{c3} & u_R^{c3} & D_R^{c3} \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q = \begin{pmatrix} -d_L^1 & -d_L^2 & -d_L^3 \\ u_L^1 & u_L^2 & u_L^3 \\ D_L^1 & D_L^2 & D_L^3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad L = \begin{pmatrix} H_d^0 & H_u^+ & \nu_L \\ H_d^- & H_u^0 & e_L \\ \nu_R^c & e_R^c & s \end{pmatrix}$$

For one generation:

$$D^A = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \langle \Psi_i | G^A | \Psi_i \rangle$$

$$D_1 = 3 \sqrt{\frac{10}{3}} (\langle \Psi_1 | \Psi_1 \rangle - \langle \Psi_2 | \Psi_2 \rangle)$$

$$D_2 = \sqrt{\frac{10}{3}} (\langle \Psi_1 | \Psi_1 \rangle + \langle \Psi_2 | \Psi_2 \rangle - 2 \langle \Psi_3 | \Psi_3 \rangle - 2|\theta|^2)$$

$$\frac{2}{g^2} V_F = 360 \text{tr}(\hat{q}^{c\dagger} \hat{q}^c + \hat{Q}^\dagger \hat{Q} + \hat{L}^\dagger \hat{L})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2}{g^2} V_{\text{soft}} &= \left(\frac{4R_1^2}{R_2^2 R_3^2} - \frac{8}{R_1^2} \right) \langle \Psi_1 | \Psi_1 \rangle + \left(\frac{4R_2^2}{R_1^2 R_3^2} - \frac{8}{R_2^2} \right) \langle \Psi_2 | \Psi_2 \rangle \\ &+ \left(\frac{4R_3^2}{R_1^2 R_2^2} - \frac{8}{R_3^2} \right) (\langle \Psi_3 | \Psi_3 \rangle + |\theta|^2) \\ &+ 80\sqrt{2} \left(\frac{R_1}{R_2 R_3} + \frac{R_2}{R_1 R_3} + \frac{R_3}{R_1 R_2} \right) (d_{abc} \Psi_1^a \Psi_2^b \Psi_3^c + h.c) \\ &= m_1^2 \langle \Psi_1 | \Psi_1 \rangle + m_2^2 \langle \Psi_2 | \Psi_2 \rangle + m_3^2 (\langle \Psi_3 | \Psi_3 \rangle + |\theta|^2) + (\alpha_{abc} \Psi_1^a \Psi_2^b \Psi_3^c + h.c) \end{aligned}$$

Note: Supersymmetry is broken by D - and F -terms, in addition to the soft breaking.

Further Gauge Breaking of $SU(3)^3$

*Babu, He, Pakvasa (1986); Ma, Mondragon, Zoupanos (2004);
Leontaris, Rizos (2006); Sayre, Wiesenfeldt, Willenbrock (2006)*

Two generations of L acquire vevs that **break the GUT**:

$$\langle L_s^{(3)} \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & V \end{pmatrix}, \quad \langle L_s^{(2)} \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ V & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

each one alone is not enough to produce the (MS)SM gauge group:

$$SU(3)_c \times SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_R \rightarrow SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)$$

$$SU(3)_c \times SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_R \rightarrow SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)'_R \times U(1)'$$

Their **combination** gives the desired breaking:

$$SU(3)_c \times SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_R \rightarrow SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$$

electroweak breaking then proceeds by:

$$\langle L_s^{(3)} \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} v_d & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & v_u & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Choice of Radii

Manolakos, Patellis, Zoupanos (2020)

- Soft **trilinear** terms $\sim \frac{1}{R_i}$
- Soft **scalar masses** $\sim \frac{1}{R_i^2}$

Two main possible directions:

- **Large** $R_i \rightarrow$ calculation of the Kaluza-Klein contributions of the 4D theory
 - × Eigenvalues of the **Dirac** and **Laplace** operators unknown.
- **Small** $R_i \rightarrow$ **high scale** SUSY breaking
- **Small** $R_i \sim \frac{1}{M_{GUT}}$ with R_3 **slightly different** in a specific configuration
 - $\rightarrow m_3^2 \sim -\mathcal{O}(\text{TeV}^2), \quad m_{1,2}^2 \sim -\mathcal{O}(M_{GUT}^2), \quad a_{abc} \gtrsim M_{GUT}$
 - **supermassive** squarks
 - **TeV-scale** sleptons
 - **TeV-scale** soft Higgs squared masses

Reminder: in this scenario $M_C = M_{GUT}$

Lepton Yukawas and μ terms

After the GUT breaking, the potential at the minimum becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2}{g^2} V_{\text{scalar}}^{GUT} &= \frac{6}{5} \left(\frac{1}{R_1^4} + \frac{1}{R_2^4} + \frac{1}{R_3^4} \right) + \frac{V^4}{9} \\ &+ \frac{20}{3} \left[(V^2 + (\theta_0^{(3)})^2)^2 + (V^2 + (\theta_0^{(2)})^2)^2 + (\theta_0^{(1)})^4 \right] \\ &+ \left(\frac{4R_3^2}{R_1^2 R_2^2} - \frac{8}{R_3^2} \right) (2V^2 + |\theta_0^{(3)}|^2 + |\theta_0^{(2)}|^2 + |\theta_0^{(1)}|^2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\rightarrow \langle \theta^{(3)} \rangle \sim \mathcal{O}(\text{TeV}), \quad \langle \theta^{(1,2)} \rangle \sim \mathcal{O}(M_{GUT})$$

- The two global $U(1)$ s **forbid** Yukawa terms for **leptons**

→ introduce **higher-dimensional** operators:

$$L \bar{e} H_d \left(\frac{\bar{K}}{M} \right)^3$$

- μ **terms** for each generation of Higgs doublets are **absent**

→ solution through **higher-dimensional** operators:

$$H_u^{(i)} H_d^{(i)} \bar{\theta}^{(i)} \frac{\bar{K}}{M}$$

- \bar{K} is the vev of the conjugate scalar component of either $S^{(i)}$, $\nu_R^{(i)}$ or $\theta^{(i)}$, or any combination of them

Approximate Scale of Parameters

Parameter	Scale
soft trilinear couplings	$\mathcal{O}(GUT)$
squark masses	$\mathcal{O}(GUT)$
slepton masses	$\mathcal{O}(TeV)$
soft Higgs masses	$\mathcal{O}(TeV)$
$\mu^{(3)}$	$\mathcal{O}(TeV)$
$\mu^{(1,2)}$	$\mathcal{O}(GUT)$
unified gaugino mass M_U	$\mathcal{O}(TeV)$

Gauge Unification

Since many SUSY parameters are comparable to M_{GUT} , we consider them to decouple at an intermediate scale M_{int} .

The 1-loop gauge β -functions are:

$$2\pi\beta_i = b_i\alpha_i^2$$

– b_i depends on the particle content

- $\alpha_{1,2}$ are used as input to determine M_{GUT}
 - 0.3% uncertainty at the unification boundary
- α_3 is predicted within 2σ of the experimental value

Scale	b_1	b_2	b_3
$M_{EW}-M_{TeV}$	$\frac{21}{5}$	-3	-7
$M_{TeV}-M_{int}$	$\frac{11}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{2}$	-5
$M_{int}-M_{GUT}$	$\frac{39}{5}$	3	-3

$$\alpha_s(M_Z) = 0.1218$$

$$\alpha_s^{EXP}(M_Z) = 0.1187 \pm 0.0016$$

Scale	GeV
M_{GUT}	$\sim 1.7 \times 10^{15}$
M_{int}	$\sim 9 \times 10^{13}$
M_{TeV}	~ 1500

✓ No proton decay problem: $U(1)_A = -\frac{1}{9}B$

Higgs Potential

The analysis is restricted to the third generation

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{\text{Higgs}} = & \left(3|\mu^{(3)}|^2 + m_3^2\right) \left(|H_d^0|^2 + |H_d^-|^2\right) + \left(3|\mu^{(3)}|^2 + m_3^2\right) \left(|H_u^0|^2 + |H_u^+|^2\right) \\
& + b^{(3)} \left[\left(H_u^+ H_d^- - H_u^0 H_D^0\right) + \text{c.c.} \right] \\
& + \frac{10}{3} g^2 \left[|H_d^0|^4 + |H_d^-|^4 + |H_u^0|^4 + |H_u^+|^4 + \right. \\
& \quad \left. 2|H_d^0|^2 |H_d^-|^2 + 2|H_d^-|^2 |H_u^0|^2 + 2|H_d^0|^2 |H_u^+|^2 + 2|H_u^0|^2 |H_u^+|^2 \right] \\
& + \frac{20}{3} g^2 \left[|H_d^0|^2 |H_u^0|^2 + |H_d^-|^2 |H_u^+|^2 \right] - 20g^2 \left[\overline{H_d^0} H_d^- \overline{H_u^0} H_u^+ + \text{c.c.} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

→ Comparison with standard 2 Higgs doublet potential gives:

- $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \frac{20}{3} g^2$
- $\lambda_4 = 20g^2$
- $\lambda_5 = \lambda_6 = \lambda_7 = 0$

- $\lambda_{5,6,7} = 0$ in a (even broken) SUSY theory
- These relations are boundary conditions at M_{GUT}

Boundary Conditions and Uncertainties

At the **unification** scale we have the following **boundary conditions** and their respective **uncertainties** due to threshold corrections (such uncertainties also appear at the TeV boundary):

Kubo, Mondragon, Olechowski, Zoupanos (1996)

GUT BC	GUT Unc.	TeV Unc.
$g_3 = g$	0.3%	
$Y_{t,b} = g$	6%	2%
$\lambda_{1,2} = \frac{20}{3}g^2$	8%	8%
$\lambda_3 = \frac{20}{3}g^2$	7%	5%
$\lambda_4 = 20g^2$	7%	5%

The τ lepton Yukawa emerges from a higher-dimensional operator and has significantly wider freedom. The standard τ lepton mass is used as input.

1-loop Results

1-loop β -functions used throughout the analysis that change between the three landmark scales M_{GUT} , M_{int} and M_{TeV} .

→ $m_b(M_Z)$ and \hat{m}_t are predicted within 2σ of the experimental values

- $m_b(M_Z) = 3.00$ GeV

$$m_b^{EXP}(M_Z) = 2.83 \pm 0.10 \text{ GeV}$$

- $\hat{m}_t = 171.6$ GeV

$$\hat{m}_t^{EXP} = 172.4 \pm 0.7 \text{ GeV}$$

→ m_h is predicted within 1σ of the experimental value

- $m_h = 125.18$ GeV

$$m_h^{EXP} = 125.10 \pm 0.14 \text{ GeV}$$

- Large $\tan \beta \sim 48$
- $M_A \sim 2000 - 3000$ GeV ✓
- LSP ~ 1500 GeV

Conclusions

- Special choice of coset radii for split-like SUSY 2HDM
- $M_{GUT} \sim 10^{15}$ GeV – no proton decay
- 1-loop predictions agree with LHC measurements
- $LSP \gtrsim 1500$ GeV
- $M_A \sim 2000 - 3000$ GeV

Work in preparation/planned

- Full (light) SUSY spectrum
- 2-loop analysis
- Application of B-physics constraints
 - Calculation of CDM relic density
 - Investigation of discovery potential at existing and future colliders
- Examination of high energy potential → test agreement with observed value of cosmological constant

Thank you for your attention!

...a few more things

Chiral Fermions

The $(SU(2) \times SU(2)) \times SO(d)$ branching rule is:

$$\sigma_D = (2, 1; \sigma_d) + (1, 2; \sigma'_d) \qquad \sigma'_D = (2, 1; \sigma'_d) + (1, 2; \sigma_d)$$

where σ_D, σ'_D are non-self conjugate spinors of $SO(1, D-1)$.

Starting with Dirac fermions \rightarrow equal number of LH and RH reps of H .

- Odd D : Weyl condition cannot be applied
 \rightarrow equal number of LH and RH reps of H .
- Even D : Weyl condition selects either σ_D or σ'_D .

$$\Gamma^{D+1} \Psi_{\pm} = \pm \Psi_{\pm} \quad \text{Weyl condition}$$

$$\Psi = \Psi_+ \oplus \Psi_- = \sigma_D + \sigma'_D,$$

- $D = 4n + 2$: We start with a vector-like rep F
 $\rightarrow \sigma_d$ is non-self-conjugate and $\sigma'_d = \bar{\sigma}_d$.

$$SO(d) \supset R: \quad \sigma_d = \sum \sigma_k, \quad \bar{\sigma}_d = \sum \bar{\sigma}_k$$

$$\mathcal{G} \supset R_{\mathcal{G}} \times H: \quad F = \sum_i (r_i, h_i)$$

(r_i, h_i) either self-conjugate or have a partner (\bar{r}_i, \bar{h}_i)

Chiral Fermions

- Rule from $\sigma_d \rightarrow$ 4D LH fermions $f_L = \sum h_k^L$
 σ_d is non-self-conjugate $\rightarrow f_L$ is non-self-conjugate.
- Similarly from $\bar{\sigma}_d \rightarrow$ 4D RH rep $\sum \bar{h}_k^R = \sum h_k^L$

F vector-like $\rightarrow \bar{h}_k^R \sim h_k^L \rightarrow H$ is **chiral** theory with double spectrum.

- Majorana condition \rightarrow eliminate the doubling of the fermion spectrum.

Majorana condition forces f_R to be the charge conjugate of f_L .

All together:

- $D = 4n + 2$
 - Fermions in vector-like rep F of the 10D G gauge group
 - Weyl + Majorana conditions imposed
- \rightarrow chiral 4D theory!

2HDM General Potential

$$\begin{aligned} V = & (|\mu|^2 + m_{H_1}^2)(H_1^\dagger H_1) + (|\mu|^2 + m_{H_2}^2)(H_2^\dagger H_2) + b(H_1^\dagger H_2 + \text{hc}) \\ & + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_1(H_1^\dagger H_1)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_2(H_2^\dagger H_2)^2 \\ & + \lambda_3(H_1^\dagger H_1)(H_2^\dagger H_2) + \lambda_4(H_1^\dagger H_2)(H_2^\dagger H_1) \\ & + \left\{ \frac{1}{2}\lambda_5(H_1^\dagger H_2)^2 + [\lambda_6(H_1^\dagger H_1) + \lambda_7(H_2^\dagger H_2)](H_1^\dagger H_2) + \text{hc} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Supersymmetry imposes tree level relations among couplings

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 &= \frac{1}{4}(g^2 + g'^2) \\ \lambda_3 &= \frac{1}{4}(g^2 - g'^2), \quad \lambda_4 = -\frac{1}{4}g^2 \\ \lambda_5 = \lambda_6 = \lambda_7 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

*Gunion, Haber (1986); Quiros (1997);
Branco, Ferreira, Lavoura, Rebelo, Sher, Silva - Review (2011)*