

# Non-commutative deformations of gauge theories and $L_\infty$ algebras

Vladislav Kupriyanov

UFABC

Based on arXiv: 1803.00732, 1806.10314, 1903.02867, 1905.08753  
in collaboration with Munich String Theory Group

September 17, 2019

## Outline of the talk:

1. The statement of the problem: NC gauge theories with a non-constant NC parameter  $\Theta^{ab}(x)$
2.  $L_\infty$  algebras
  - Relation to gauge theories
  - Relation to deformations
3.  $L_\infty$  bootstrap
  - Idea
  - Recurrence relations for higher brackets
4. NC deformation of the abelian Chern-Simons
  - Derivation
  - NC field strength; dynamics and the action principle
5. NC Yang-Mills
6. Conclusions and outlook

## NC gauge theories with $\Theta^{ab}(x)$

The star product is given by

$$f \star g = f \cdot g + \frac{i}{2} \Theta^{ij} \partial_i f \partial_j g - \frac{1}{8} \Theta^{ij} \Theta^{kl} \partial_i \partial_k f \partial_j \partial_l g - \frac{1}{12} (\Theta^{im} \partial_m \Theta^{jk}) (\partial_i \partial_j f \partial_k g + \partial_i \partial_j g \partial_k f) + \mathcal{O}(\Theta^3).$$

The standard Leibniz rule is violated,

$$\partial_a(f \star g) = \partial_a f \star g + f \star \partial_a g + \frac{i}{2} (\partial_a \Theta^{ij}) \partial_i f \partial_j g + \mathcal{O}(\Theta^2).$$

So, if to substitute all point-wise products with a star products in the action, the theory will not be gauge invariant.

Possible solution: [Madore et al' 00], taking,  $D_a = c[x_a, \cdot]_\star$ , one gets  $D_a[f, g]_\star = [D_a f, g]_\star + [f, D_a g]_\star$ , as a consequence of,  $[x_a, [f, g]_\star]_\star + [f, [g, x_a]_\star]_\star + [g, [x_a, f]_\star]_\star \equiv 0$ .  
However, the commutative limit is not well defined.

## The statement of the problem

We are looking for the non-commutative theory satisfying the following two properties:

1. Gauge invariance
2. Correct commutative limit

In fact, non-commutative gauge theory should be a deformation in  $\Theta$ ,  $\partial\Theta$ ,  $\partial\partial\Theta$ , etc., of well defined commutative theory.

To this end we employ the framework of  $L_\infty$  algebras which are good for both description of gauge theories and deformations.

For simplicity in this talk we discuss only the associative deformations, when the star commutator satisfies the Jacobi identity.

## Definition of $L_\infty$ in $\ell$ -picture

- is a graded vector space:

$$\mathbf{L} = \bigoplus_n L_n = \cdots \oplus \underbrace{L_{-3}}_{\text{Noether}} \oplus \underbrace{L_{-2}}_{\text{eom}} \oplus \underbrace{L_{-1}}_{\text{fields}} \oplus \underbrace{L_0}_{\text{gauge}} \oplus \underbrace{L_1}_{\text{ghosts}} \oplus \cdots$$

- endowed with multi-linear maps:  $\ell_n(v_1, \dots, v_n)$ , such that,

$$\ell_n(v_1, \dots, v_n) \in L_{n-2+\sum_{i=1}^n \deg(v_i)},$$

- which are graded anti-symmetric,

$$\ell_n(\dots, v_1, v_2, \dots) = (-1)^{1+\deg(v_1)\deg(v_2)} \ell_n(\dots, v_2, v_1, \dots),$$

- and satisfy the relations (generalized Jacobi identities):

$$\mathcal{J}_n(v_1, \dots, v_n) := \sum_{i+j=n+1} (-1)^{i(j-1)} \sum_{\sigma} (-1)^{\sigma} \chi(\sigma; v) \ell_j(\ell_i(v_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(i)}), v_{\sigma(i+1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(n)}) = 0.$$

## Strong homotopy algebras [Lada, Stasheff' 92]

The first  $L_\infty$  relations read


$$\mathcal{J}_1 := \ell_1(\ell_1(v)) = 0,$$

$$\mathcal{J}_2 := \ell_1(\ell_2(v_1, v_2)) - \ell_2(\ell_1(v_1), v_2) - (-1)^{v_1} \ell_2(v_1, \ell_1(v_2)) = 0,$$

meaning that  $\ell_1$  is a nilpotent derivation with respect to  $\ell_2$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_3 := & \ell_1(\ell_3(v_1, v_2, v_3)) + \ell_3(\ell_1(v_1), v_2, v_3) \\ & + (-1)^{v_1} \ell_3(v_1, \ell_1(v_2), v_3) + (-1)^{v_1+v_2} \ell_3(v_1, v_2, \ell_1(v_3)) \\ & + \ell_2(\ell_2(v_1, v_2), v_3) + (-1)^{(v_2+v_3)v_1} \ell_2(\ell_2(v_2, v_3), v_1) \\ & + (-1)^{(v_1+v_2)v_3} \ell_2(\ell_2(v_3, v_1), v_2) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

the Jacobi identity for  $\ell_2$  is required to hold only up to  $\ell_1$  exact (total derivative) terms.

$L_\infty$  algebras are natural to deal with deformations. The proof of the Formality Theorem by Kontsevich is based on the notion of  $L_\infty$ . 

## Example: Lie Algebra

Suppose,  $\mathbf{L} = L_0 = V$ . For any  $v \in V$ ,  $\deg(v) = 0$ . Since,

$$\deg(\ell_n(v_1, \dots, v_n)) = n - 2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \deg(v_i),$$

the only non-vanishing bracket is  $\ell_2 : V \times V \rightarrow V$ , which is antisymmetric,

$$\ell_2(v_1, v_2) = -\ell_2(v_2, v_1),$$

and satisfies the standard Jacobi identity,

$$\ell_2(\ell_2(v_1, v_2), v_3) + \ell_2(\ell_2(v_2, v_3), v_1) + \ell_2(\ell_2(v_3, v_1), v_2) = 0.$$

Thus,  $L_\infty$  algebra concentrated in  $L_0$  defines a Lie algebra.

## Relation to gauge transformations, $\mathbf{L} = L_{-1} \oplus L_0$

$L_{-1} = \{A_a\}$  - classical fields and  $L_0 = \{f\}$  - gauge parameters.

Since,  $\deg(\ell_n) = n - 2$ , the only non-vanishing

$$\ell_{n+1}(f, A^n) \in L_{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \ell_{n+2}(f, g, A^n) \in L_0.$$

Gauge variations are given by:

$$\delta_f A = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n!} (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \ell_{n+1}(f, \underbrace{A, \dots, A}_{n \text{ times}}) = \ell_1(f) + \ell_2(f, A) + \dots$$

$L_\infty$  relations,  $\mathcal{J}_{n+2}(f, g, A^n) = 0$ , imply the closure condition,

$$[\delta_f, \delta_g] A = \delta_{-C(f, g, A)} A,$$

$$C(f, g, A) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n!} (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \ell_{n+2}(f, g, \underbrace{A, \dots, A}_{n \text{ times}}) = \underbrace{\ell_2(f, g)}_{[f, g]} + \dots,$$

field dependent gauge parameters [Berends, Burgers, van Dam' 85].

$$\mathcal{J}_{n+3}(f, g, h, A^n) = 0, \quad \Rightarrow \quad \sum_{\text{cyl}} [\delta_f, [\delta_g, \delta_h]] \equiv 0.$$



## Field theory and $L_\infty^{\text{full}}$ algebra [Hohm, Zwiebach' 17]

Consider nonempty,  $L_{-2} = \{\mathcal{F}\}$ , containing lhs of eom,  $\mathcal{F}_a(A) = 0$ ,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_{-2} & L_{-1} & L_0 \\ \mathcal{F}_a & A_a & f \end{array}$$

Additional non-vanishing brackets

$$\ell_n(A^n) \in L_{-2} \quad \text{and} \quad \ell_{n+2}(f, E, A^n) \in L_{-2},$$

The equations of motion are determined as

$$\mathcal{F} := \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n!} (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \ell_n(A^n) = \ell_1(A) - \frac{1}{2} \ell_2(A^2) + \dots = 0.$$

The  $L_\infty$  relations  $\mathcal{J}_{n+1}(f, A^n) = 0$ , and  $\mathcal{J}_{n+2}(f, E, A^n) = 0$ , imply

$$\delta_f \mathcal{F} = \ell_2(f, \mathcal{F}) + \ell_3(f, \mathcal{F}, A) - \frac{1}{2} \ell_4(f, \mathcal{F}, A^2) + \dots,$$

the eom are gauge covariant (invariant on-shell,  $\mathcal{F} = 0$ ).

## Field theory and $L_{\infty}^{full}$ algebra

Example: abelian Chern-Simons. Consider the only non-vanishing,

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_1 : L_0 &\rightarrow L_1, & \text{with} & \quad \ell_1(f) = \partial_a f, \\ \ell_1 : L_{-1} &\rightarrow L_{-2}, & \text{with} & \quad \ell_1(A) = \varepsilon^{abc} \partial_b A_c. \end{aligned}$$

The only  $L_{\infty}$  relation to check:  $\ell_1(\ell_1(f)) = \varepsilon^{abc} \partial_b \partial_c f \equiv 0$ .

According to the above formulas,

$$\delta_f A_a = \ell_1(f) = \partial_a f, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}^a := \ell_1(A) = \varepsilon^{abc} \partial_b A_c = 0.$$

For the abelian Yang-Mills,  $\ell_1(A)^a = \square A^a - \partial^a(\partial \cdot A)$ .

For non-abelian theories one set,  $\ell_2(f, g) = [f, g]$ , etc.

**Message:**  $L_{\infty}$  algebra determines gauge theory and vice versa  
[Hohm, Zwiebach' 17].

## $L_\infty$ bootstrap, arXiv: 1803.00732

Undeformed theory is determined through the given brackets,

$$l_1(f) \in L_{-1}, \quad \text{and} \quad l_1(A) \in L_{-2}, \quad \text{with} \quad l_1(l_1(f)) \equiv 0.$$

The deformation is introduced by setting,

$$l_2(f, g) = i[f, g]_\star \in L_0.$$

The  $L_\infty$  relation,  $\mathcal{J}_2(f, g) = 0$ , becomes an equation on  $l_2(f, A)$ ,

$$l_1(l_2(f, g)) = l_2(\overbrace{l_1(f)}^{\in L_{-1}}, g) + l_2(f, \overbrace{l_1(g)}^{\in L_{-1}}).$$

Then from,  $\mathcal{J}_2(f, A) = 0$ , one finds  $l_2(A, A)$  and  $l_2(f, \mathcal{F})$ ;

After that from,  $\mathcal{J}_3(f, g, h) = 0$ , defines  $l_3(f, g, A)$ , etc.

Gauge variations and field equations are given as before by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_f A &= l_1(f) + l_2(f, A) + \dots, \\ \mathcal{F} &:= l_1(A) - \frac{1}{2}l_2(A^2) + \dots = 0. \end{aligned}$$

## Solving $L_\infty$ bootstrap equations

Since,  $l_2(f, g) = -\{f, g\} + \mathcal{O}(\Theta^3)$ , and  $l_1(f) = \partial_a f$ , the first relation is:

$$\begin{aligned} l_1(l_2(f, g)) &= -\overbrace{\{l_1(f), g\}}^{\in L_{-1}} - \overbrace{\{f, l_1(g)\}}^{\in L_{-1}} - (\partial_a \Theta^{ij}) \partial_i f \partial_j g + \mathcal{O}(\Theta^3), \\ &= l_2(l_1(f), g) + l_2(f, l_1(g)). \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$l_2(f, A) = i[f, A_a]_\star - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_a \Theta^{ij}) \partial_i f A_j + \mathcal{O}(\Theta^3).$$

- Note that the solution is not unique, one may also set, e.g.,

$$l'_2(f, A) = l_2(f, A) + s_a^{ij}(x) \partial_i f A_j, \quad s_a^{ij}(x) = s_a^{ji}(x).$$

However, the symmetric part  $s_a^{ij}(x) \partial_i f A_j$  can be always “gauged away” by  $L_\infty$ -QISO, physically equivalent to SW map, for more details see arXiv:1806.10314.

## Solving $L_\infty$ bootstrap equations

Since,  $l_2(f, g) = -\{f, g\} + \mathcal{O}(\Theta^3)$ , and  $l_1(f) = \partial_a f$ , the first relation is:

$$\begin{aligned} l_1(l_2(f, g)) &= -\{\overbrace{l_1(f)}^{\in L_{-1}}, g\} - \{f, \overbrace{l_1(g)}^{\in L_{-1}}\} - (\partial_a \Theta^{ij}) \partial_i f \partial_j g + \mathcal{O}(\Theta^3), \\ &= l_2(l_1(f), g) + l_2(f, l_1(g)). \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$l_2(f, A) = i[f, A_a]_* - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_a \Theta^{ij}) \partial_i f A_j + \mathcal{O}(\Theta^3).$$

- Note that the solution is not unique, one may also set, e.g.,

$$l'_2(f, A) = l_2(f, A) + s_a^{ij}(x) \partial_i f A_j, \quad s_a^{ij}(x) = s_a^{ji}(x).$$

However, the symmetric part  $s_a^{ij}(x) \partial_i f A_j$  can be always “gauged away” by  $L_\infty$ -QISO, physically equivalent to SW map, for more details see arXiv:1806.10314.

## $L_{\infty}^{\text{gauge}}$ algebra

Then, we have to analyze  $\mathcal{J}_3(f, g, A) = 0$ , given by

$$0 = \ell_2(\ell_2(A, f), g) + \ell_2(\ell_2(f, g), A) + \ell_2(\ell_2(g, A), f) \\ - \ell_3(A, \ell_1(f), g) - \ell_3(A, f, \ell_1(g)).$$

We replace it with  $\mathcal{J}_3(g, h, \ell_1(f)) = 0$ , written in the form

$$\ell_3(\ell_1(f), \ell_1(g), h) - \ell_3(\ell_1(f), \ell_1(h), g) = G(f, g, h), \\ G(f, g, h) := \\ \ell_2(\ell_2(\ell_1(f), g), h) + \ell_2(\ell_2(g, h), \ell_1(f)) + \ell_2(\ell_2(h, \ell_1(f)), g).$$

By construction,  $G(f, g, h) = -G(g, f, h)$ . The graded symmetry of  $\ell_3(\ell_1(f), \ell_1(g), h)$  implies the graded cyclicity (consistency condition) of  $G(f, g, h)$ :

$$G(f, g, h) + G(h, f, g) + G(g, h, f) = 0.$$

Below we show that it holds true as a consequence of the previously solved “Jacobi identity”,  $\mathcal{J}_2(f, g) = 0$ .

## $L_{\infty}^{\text{gauge}}$ algebra

$$\begin{aligned} G(f, g, h) + G(h, f, g) + G(g, h, f) = \\ \ell_2(\ell_2(\ell_1(h), f), g) + \ell_2(\ell_2(f, g), \ell_1(h)) + \ell_2(\ell_2(g, \ell_1(h)), f) + \\ \ell_2(\ell_2(\ell_1(g), h), f) + \ell_2(\ell_2(h, f), \ell_1(g)) + \ell_2(\ell_2(f, \ell_1(g)), h) + \\ \ell_2(\ell_2(\ell_1(f), g), h) + \ell_2(\ell_2(g, h), \ell_1(f)) + \ell_2(\ell_2(h, \ell_1(f)), g). \end{aligned}$$

Using,  $\mathcal{J}_2(f, g) = 0$ , we rewrite it as

$$\ell_1[\ell_2(\ell_2(f, g), h) + \ell_2(\ell_2(g, h), f) + \ell_2(\ell_2(h, f), g)] \equiv 0.$$

Thus, the combination (symmetrization in  $f$  and  $g$ ):

$$\ell_3(\ell_1(f), \ell_1(g), h) = -\frac{1}{6} \left( G(f, g, h) + G(g, f, h) \right),$$

has required graded symmetry and solves,  $\mathcal{J}_3(g, h, \ell_1(f)) = 0$ .

## $L_{\infty}^{\text{gauge}}$ algebra

Setting

$$l_3(A, B, h) = l_3(l_1(f), l_1(g), h)|_{l_1(f)=A; l_1(g)=B},$$

one gets in the leading order,

$$l_3(A, B, f) = -\frac{1}{6} \left( G_a^{ijk} + G_a^{jik} \right) A_i B_j \partial_k f + \mathcal{O}(\Theta^3).$$

with

$$G_a^{ijk} = \Theta^{im} \partial_m \partial_a \Theta^{jk} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_a \Theta^{jm} \partial_m \Theta^{ki} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_a \Theta^{km} \partial_m \Theta^{ij}.$$

- The consistency condition (graded cyclicity) holds true as a consequence of  $L_{\infty}$  construction.
- Even in the associative case one needs higher brackets to compensate the violation of the Leibnitz rule.



## Recurrence relations for $L_{\infty}^{\text{gauge}}$ algebra

For,  $\mathcal{J}_{n+2}(g, h, A^n) = 0$ ,  $n > 1$  we proceed in the similar way. First we substitute them by  $\mathcal{J}_{n+2}(g, h, \ell_1(f)^n) = 0$ ,

$$\ell_{n+2}(\ell_1(f)^n, \ell_1(g), h) - \ell_{n+2}(\ell_1(f)^n, \ell_1(h), g) = G(f_1, \dots, f_n, g, h),$$

The graded symmetry of  $\ell_{n+2}(\ell_1(f)^n, \ell_1(g), h)$  implies the consistency condition,

$$G(f_1, \dots, f_n, g, h) + G(f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}, g, h, f_n) + G(f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}, h, f_n, g) = 0,$$

which follows from the previous  $L_{\infty}$  relations and can be proved by the induction.

The solution is constructed by taking the symmetrization of the r.h.s. in the first  $n + 1$  arguments, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_{n+2}(\ell_1(f)^n, \ell_1(g), h) = & -\frac{1}{(n+1)(n+2)} \left( G(f_1, \dots, f_n, g, h) \right. \\ & \left. + G(f_2, \dots, f_n, g, f_1, h) + \dots + G(f_n, \dots, f_{n-1}, h) \right). \end{aligned}$$

## Slowly varying field approximation, arXiv:1903.02867

We discard the higher derivatives terms,  $\ell_2(f, g) = -\{f, g\}$ , then

$$\ell_2(f, A) = -\{f, A_a\} - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_a \Theta^{ij}) \partial_i f A_j.$$

Taking,  $\Theta^{ij}(x) = 2\theta \varepsilon^{ijk} x^k$ , from recurrence relations we see that

$$\delta_f A_a = \partial_a f + \{A_a, f\} + \theta \varepsilon^{abc} A_b \partial_c f + \theta^2 \left( \partial_a f A^2 - \partial_b f A^b A_a \right) \chi(\theta^2 A^2).$$

From the gauge closure condition,

$$[\delta_f, \delta_g] A = \delta_{\{f, g\}} A,$$

one finds,

$$\chi(t) = \frac{1}{t} \left( \sqrt{t} \cot \sqrt{t} - 1 \right), \quad \chi(0) = -\frac{1}{3}.$$

- NC  $su(2)$ -like deformation of the abelian gauge transformations in the slowly varying field approximation.

# NC Chern-Simons theory, $L_{\infty}^{\text{full}}$ algebra; $\mathbf{L} = L_{-2} \oplus L_{-1} \oplus L_0$

The  $L_{\infty}$  bootstrap setup is:

$$l_1(f) = \partial_a f, \quad l_2(f, g) = -\{f, g\} \quad l_1(A) = \varepsilon_c^{ab} \partial_a A_b.$$

Using the recurrence relations to calculate the lower brackets  $l_2(A, A)$ ,  $l_3(A, A, A)$ , etc., one finds the ansatz for the left hand side of the field equations,  $\mathcal{F}^a = 0$ ,

$$\mathcal{F}^a : = P^{abc}(A) \partial_b A_c + R^{abc}(A) \{A_b, A_c\},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} P^{abc}(A) &= \varepsilon^{abc} F(\theta^2 A^2) + \theta^2 \varepsilon^{abm} A_m A^c G(\theta^2 A^2) \\ &\quad + \theta^3 A^a A^b A^c K(\theta^2 A^2) + \theta A^a \delta^{bc} L(\theta^2 A^2) \\ &\quad + \theta A^b \delta^{ac} M(\theta^2 A^2) + \theta A^c \delta^{ab} N(\theta^2 A^2), \\ R^{abc}(A) &= \varepsilon^{abc} S(\theta^2 A^2) + \theta^2 \left( \varepsilon^{abm} A_m A^c - \varepsilon^{acm} A_m A^b \right) T(\theta^2 A^2) \\ &\quad + \theta \left( \delta^{ab} A^c - \delta^{ac} A^b \right) V(\theta^2 A^2). \end{aligned}$$

## Non-commutative Chern-Simons theory, arXiv:1905.08753

To determine coefficient functions  $F(\theta^2 A^2)$ ,  $G(\theta^2 A^2)$ , etc., we use the condition that eom should transform covariantly,

$$\delta_f \mathcal{F} = \ell_2(f, \mathcal{F}) = \{f, \mathcal{F}\}.$$

Thus,

$$F(t) = \frac{N(t)}{2} = \frac{\sin \sqrt{t} \cos \sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{t}}, \quad G(t) = \frac{2 \sqrt{t} \cos 2\sqrt{t} - \sin 2\sqrt{t}}{2 t \sqrt{t}},$$

$$K(t) = -4 T(t) = -\frac{2 \sin \sqrt{t}}{t^2} \left( \sqrt{t} \cos \sqrt{t} - \sin \sqrt{t} \right),$$

$$L(t) = M(t) = -2 S(t) = -2 V(t) = -\frac{\sin^2 \sqrt{t}}{t}.$$

Taking into account that,  $F(0) = 1$ ,  $G(0) = -4/3$ ,  $K(0) = 2/3$ , and  $L(0) = -1$  one finds,  $\lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{F}^a = \varepsilon^{abc} \partial_b A_c$ , since

$$\lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0} P^{abc}(A) = \varepsilon^{abc}, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0} R^{abc}(A) = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{abc}.$$

## Non-commutative field strength

In  $3d$  we have constructed a vector  $\mathcal{F}_a$ , which transforms covariantly,  $\delta_f \mathcal{F}_a = \{f, \mathcal{F}_a\}$ . Consequently the tensor

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}^{ab} &:= \varepsilon^{abc} \mathcal{F}_c = P^{abcd}(A) \partial_c A_d + R^{abcd}(A) \{A_c, A_d\} = \\ &\partial^a (A^b F(A)) - \partial^b (A^a F(A)) + \\ &\theta \varepsilon^{abc} \partial^d (A_c A_d L(A)) + \theta F(A) \varepsilon^{abc} \partial_c A^2 + \\ &-\frac{1}{2} \{A^a L(A), A^b\} - \frac{1}{2} \{A^a, A^b L(A)\} - \frac{\theta}{2} L(A) \varepsilon^{abc} \{A_c, A^2\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$F(A) = \frac{\sin(2\sqrt{\theta^2 A^2})}{2\sqrt{\theta^2 A^2}}, \quad \text{and} \quad L(A) = -\frac{\sin^2 \sqrt{\theta^2 A^2}}{\theta^2 A^2},$$

is antisymmetric, transforms covariantly,  $\delta_f \mathcal{F}^{ab} = \{f, \mathcal{F}^{ab}\}$ , and

$$\lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{F}_{ab} = \partial_a A_b - \partial_b A_a.$$

We call it the non-commutative field strength. The space  $L_{-2}$  also can be treated as the space of the field strength.

## NCCS dynamics and action principle

- So, just like in the commutative case the NCCS eom are satisfied if NC field curvature vanishes everywhere.

If  $\mathcal{F}_a = \delta\mathcal{L}/\delta A^a$ , then

$$\frac{\delta\mathcal{F}_a}{\delta A^b} = \frac{\delta\mathcal{F}_b}{\delta A^a}.$$

One may easily check that, for

$$\mathcal{F}^a : = P^{abc}(A) \partial_b A_c + R^{abc}(A) \{A_b, A_c\},$$

this condition does not hold,

$$\frac{\delta\mathcal{F}_a}{\delta A^b} \neq \frac{\delta\mathcal{F}_b}{\delta A^a},$$

in particular, because  $P^{abc}$  is not an antisymmetric in  $a$  and  $c$ .  
Possibly we have a non-trivial deformation of CS theory.

## Non-commutative Yang-Mills

Since,  $\delta_f \mathcal{F}^{ab} = \{f, \mathcal{F}^{ab}\}$ , the following Lagrangian,

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} \mathcal{F}_{ab} \mathcal{F}^{ab} = -\frac{3}{4} \mathcal{F}_a \mathcal{F}^a,$$

also transforms covariantly,  $\delta_f \mathcal{L} = \{f, \mathcal{L}\}$ , i.e., the action,  $S = \int \mathcal{L}$ , is gauge invariant.

The NCYM eom are:

$$\mathcal{D}^{abd} \mathcal{F}_{ab} = 0,$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}^{abd} \mathcal{F}_{ab} = \frac{1}{2} P^{abcd}(A) \partial_c \mathcal{F}_{ab} - R^{abcd}(A) \{A_c, \mathcal{F}_{ab}\}.$$

The transformation law is given by

$$(\delta_f \mathcal{D}^{abd}) \mathcal{F}_{ab} = -\mathcal{D}^{abd} \{f, \mathcal{F}_{ab}\}.$$

## Discussion

- Given undeformed gauge theory and anti-symmetric bi-vector field  $\Theta^{ij}(x)$  describing the non-commutativity of the space, we have iterative procedure of the construction of NC gauge theory, which reproduce in the limit  $\Theta \rightarrow 0$  the undeformed one.
- Our construction is based on the principle that gauge symmetry should be realized by  $L_\infty$  and works for any given  $\Theta$ .
- Open questions:
  - Physical consequences: interaction with the matter fields, quantization, UV/IR? etc.
  - The relation with the previous approaches needs to be better understood.