

# Search for a pair of pseudoscalars in decays of the Higgs boson in CMS

Sandra Consuegra Rodríguez (DESY) on behalf of the CMS collaboration

Workshop on Connecting Insights in Fundamental Physics: Standard Model and Beyond, Corfu, 06.09.2019

**HELMHOLTZ**  
RESEARCH FOR GRAND CHALLENGES



# Motivation:

- > Discovery of the Higgs boson lead to extensive measurements to probe its consistency with Standard Model (SM) predictions
- > Branching fraction of 34% into exotic decay modes still allowed by existing data [JHEP 1608 (2016) 045] (Run I combined ATLAS and CMS analysis)
- > Exotic Higgs decays  $\rightarrow$  natural signature of very broad class of beyond the SM theories

## 2HDM Models:



(already strongly constrained by existing data)

## 2HDM+S Models:

three CP-even ( $h_{1,2,3}$ ), two CP-odd ( $a_{1,2}$ ), and two charged Higgs states ( $H^+$ ,  $H^-$ )

Constrains set for the 2DHM models avoided

[Phys.Rev. D90 (2014) no.7, 075004]



# Motivation:

- > CMS → Dedicated searches with Run I and a fraction of Run II already done and others currently ongoing

This talk: Assessment of the status of exotic Higgs decays searches to a pair of light pseudoscalars at CMS after LHC Run II, with emphasis on high luminosity projections

- > Overview of the Run-2 analyses:

Fully leptonic analysis:

$$h \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow 4\tau$$

$$h \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow 2\mu 2\tau$$


$$h \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow 4\mu$$

- (complementary mass range probed)

$$h \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow 2b 2\tau$$

$$h \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow 2\mu 2b$$



- same mass range probed (cleaner signature in  $a \rightarrow \mu\mu$  leg vs. higher BR of  $a \rightarrow \tau\tau$  leg)



# $h \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow 4\tau$ [NEW]

[arXiv:1907.07235v1] (Submitted to Phys. Lett. B)

- > **Branching fraction:**
  - For Type-III 2HDM+S models enhanced  $a_1 \rightarrow \tau\tau$  decay rate for  $\tan\beta > 1$
- > **Event selection:** two muon-track pairs
- >  $m_{a_1}$  region probed:  $4 \text{ GeV} < m_{a_1} < 15 \text{ GeV}$
- > Events from  $h \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow 2\mu 2\tau$  can also enter the signal region (treated as a part of the signal)
- > **Main background:** QCD-multijet events
- > **Final discriminant:** binned maximum-likelihood fit to the 2D  $(m_1, m_2)$  distribution



# $h \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow 2\mu 2\tau$

[JHEP 1811 (2018) 018]

## > Branching fraction:

$$\frac{\Gamma(a \rightarrow \mu\mu)}{\Gamma(a \rightarrow \tau\tau)} = \frac{m_\mu^2 \sqrt{\left(1 - \frac{2m_\mu}{m_a}\right)^2}}{m_\tau^2 \sqrt{\left(1 - \frac{2m_\tau}{m_a}\right)^2}}$$



## > Event selection: opposite-sign(OS) pair of isolated muons and OS pair of isolated $\tau$ candidates

## > $m_{a_1}$ region probed: $15 \text{ GeV} < m_{a_1} < 62.5 \text{ GeV}$

## > Events from $h \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow 4\tau$ can also enter the signal region (treated as a part of the signal)

## > Main background: jets misidentified as $\tau$ leptons



## > Final discriminant: unbinned maximum-likelihood fit to the $m_{\mu\mu}$ invariant mass distribution



$$h \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow 4\mu$$

[Phys.Lett. B796 (2019)]

- > **Event selection:** exactly 2 dimuons
- > Dimuon masses consistent with each other to within 5 times detector resolution
- >  **$m_{a_1}$  region probed:**  $0.25 \text{ GeV} < m_{a_1} < 3.55 \text{ GeV}$  (lowest mass range probed)
- > **Main background:** b quark pair production (in general very small background contribution in signal region)
- > **Final discriminant:** unbinned maximum-likelihood fit to the 2D  $(m_{(\mu\mu)_1}, m_{(\mu\mu)_2})$  distribution



# $h \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow 2b2\tau$

[Phys.Lett. B785 (2018) 462]

## > Branching fraction:



- Above 10% in Type-II 2HDM+S models and  $\tan\beta > 1$
- Up to about 50% in Type-III 2HDM+S models with  $\tan\beta \approx 2$

## > Event selection: Three different $\tau\tau$ final states: $e\mu$ , $e\tau_h$ , and $\mu\tau_h$ , with at least one b-tagged jet

## > $m_{a_1}$ region probed: $15 \text{ GeV} < m_{a_1} < 60 \text{ GeV}$

## > Main background: $t\bar{t}$ and $Z \rightarrow \tau\tau$ production

## > Final discriminant: binned maximum likelihood fit to the $m_{\tau\tau}^{vis}$ distribution



# $h \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow 2\mu 2b$

[Phys.Lett. B795 (2019)]

## > Branching fraction:



• For  $\tan\beta = 2$ ,  $m_{a_1} = 30$  GeV in Type-III 2HDM+S models:  
 $2 \cdot B(a_1 \rightarrow bb) \cdot B(a_1 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = 1.7 \times 10^{-3}$

## > Event selection: at least 2 b jets and 2 opposite sign muons

## > $m_{a_1}$ region probed: 20 GeV < $m_{a_1}$ < 62.5 GeV


## > Background: Modeled with a set of analytical functions, using the discrete profiling method

## > Final discriminant: unbinned maximum-likelihood fit to the $m_{\mu\mu}$ invariant mass distribution





# Summary of $h(125) \rightarrow aa$ searches at 13 TeV at CMS:





# Higgs Exotic Decays to light pseudoscalars at the HL-LHC

[CMS-PAS-FTR-18-035]

- > CMS detector will be substantially upgraded
- > **2 scenarios for treatment of systematic uncertainties at the HL-LHC:**
  - > "Run 2 systematic uncertainties" scenario:
    - All experimental and theoretical systematic uncertainties:
      - unchanged with respect to Run 2 analyses reference
      - kept constant with integrated luminosity
    - allows for comparisons with current analyses
    - All uncertainties related to limited number of simulated events neglected
    - Intrinsic statistical uncertainty in the measurement reduced by a factor  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{R_L}}$   
( $R_L$ : projection of integrated luminosity divided by that of reference Run 2 analysis)
  - > "YR18 systematics uncertainties" scenario:
    - Theoretical uncertainties reduced by a factor of two with respect to Run 2 analyses reference
    - Experimental systematic uncertainties scale with square root of integrated luminosity until reaching a defined lower limit
    - more realistic given the expected conditions for the HL-LHC

# Projections of $h \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow 2b2\tau$ for the HL-LHC

[CMS-PAS-FTR-18-035]





- Limits improve proportionally to square root of integrated luminosity

- Difference between the limits for systematic uncertainties Run 2 and YR18 scenarios, of the order of 5%
- Limits become another 5% better if all systematic uncertainties are neglected

# Projections of $h \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow 2\mu 2\tau$ for the HL-LHC

[CMS-PAS-FTR-18-035]



- **low  $m_{a_1}$** : Limits scale inverse-proportionally to the luminosity
- **high  $m_{a_1}$** : Limits improve proportionally to square root of integrated luminosity

- Difference between the limits for systematic uncertainties Run 2 and YR18 scenarios up to 5%, and largest at high  $m_{a_1}$

# Conclusion:

- > Exotic decays of the Higgs boson to a pair of light pseudoscalars represent an interesting opportunity to discover new physics
- > **Large number of  $h(125) \rightarrow aa$  searches, exploiting exciting physics potential of the LHC, have been done**
- > No significant excess observed
- > Searches interpreted in the context of 2HDM+S models
- > Projections of recent searches for integrated luminosities of up to  $3000 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ , achievable at the High-Luminosity LHC, show foreseen improvement on sensitivity

**New results with full Run II dataset also on the way and exciting perspectives for HL-LHC**


# Thank you!

## Contact

**DESY.** Deutsches  
Elektronen-Synchrotron

[www.desy.de](http://www.desy.de)

Sandra Consuegra Rodríguez (DESY)

 0000-0002-1383-1837

CMS, Higgs Group

[sandra.consuegra.rodriguez@desy.de](mailto:sandra.consuegra.rodriguez@desy.de)

+49-40-8998-1758

[0000-0002-1383-1837](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1383-1837)

# Backup

> [Additional material](#)

# HL-LHC projections:

[CMS-PAS-FTR-18-035]


- > Sources of systematic uncertainties for which limiting values are applied in the "YR18 systematic uncertainties" scenario

Source	Component	Run 2 unc.	Projection minimum unc.
Muon ID		1–2%	0.5%
Electron ID		1–2%	0.5%
Photon ID		0.5–2%	0.25–1%
Hadronic $\tau$ ID		6%	Same as Run 2
Jet energy scale	Absolute	0.5%	0.1–0.2%
	Relative	0.1–3%	0.1–0.5%
	Pileup	0–2%	Same as Run 2
	Method and sample	0.5–5%	No limit
	Jet flavour	1.5%	0.75%
	Time stability	0.2%	No limit
Jet energy resolution		Varies with $p_T$ and $\eta$	Half of Run 2
$\vec{p}_T^{\text{miss}}$ scale		Varies with analysis selection	Half of Run 2
b-tagging	b-/c-jets (syst.)	Varies with $p_T$ and $\eta$	Same as Run 2
	light mis-tag (syst.)	Varies with $p_T$ and $\eta$	Same as Run 2
	b-/c-jets (stat.)	Varies with $p_T$ and $\eta$	No limit
	light mis-tag (stat.)	Varies with $p_T$ and $\eta$	No limit
Integrated luminosity		2.5%	1%
Reducible bkg. ( $h \rightarrow aa \rightarrow 2\mu 2\tau$ )		20–40%	4–8%



# CMS HL-LHC Upgrades

- > CMS detector will be substantially upgraded → fully exploit physics potential offered by increase in luminosity at HL-LHC
- > **Trigger/HLT/DAQ**
  - Increase of L1 rate
  - Reduce HLT rate
- > **Muon system**
  - Upgrade of cathode strip chambers (CSC), resistive plate chambers (RPC) and drift tubes (DT) electronics
  - Extend geometrical coverage up to  $|\eta| = 2.8$  with improved RPC and gas electron multiplier (GEM) technologies
- high PU mitigation with addition of a new timing detector for minimum ionizing particles (MTD) → capability for 4-dimensional reconstruction of interaction vertices



# CMS HL-LHC Upgrades


## > Tracker

Entire pixel and strip tracker detector replaced to:

- Reduce material budget in the tracking volume
- Improve radiation hardness
- Extend geometrical coverage  $\rightarrow$  efficient tracking up to  $|\eta| = 4$

## > Endcap calorimeters:

- Upgrade of front-end electronics  $\rightarrow$  exploit information from single crystals at L1 trigger level
- 160 MHz sampling  $\rightarrow$  high precision timing capability for photons
- New combined sampling calorimeter (HGCal)  $\rightarrow$  highly-segmented spatial information and high-precision timing information



# Motivation:

- > Categorizing 2HDM+S Models:

Model	2HDM I	2HDM II	2HDM III	2HDM IV
u	$\Phi_2$	$\Phi_2$	$\Phi_2$	$\Phi_2$
d	$\Phi_2$	$\Phi_1$	$\Phi_2$	$\Phi_1$
e	$\Phi_2$	$\Phi_1$	$\Phi_1$	$\Phi_2$



[Phys.Rev. D90 (2014) no.7, 075004]

- > Branching ratios only independent of  $\tan\beta$  for Type-I

# Observed limits on $B(h \rightarrow a_1 a_1)$ in the plane of $(m_{a_1}, \tan\beta)$ for 2HDM+S models

$$h \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow 2\mu 2\tau$$



[JHEP 1811 (2018) 018]



# Observed limits on $B(h \rightarrow a_1 a_1)$ in the plane of $(m_{a_1}, \tan\beta)$ for 2HDM+S models

$$h \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow 2b2\tau$$

[Phys.Lett. B785 (2018) 462]



# Observed limits on $B(h \rightarrow a_1 a_1)$ in the plane of $(m_{a_1}, \tan\beta)$ for 2HDM+S models

$$h \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow 2\mu 2b$$

[Phys.Lett. B795 (2019)]

