

Random Fuzzy Spaces in the Spectral Triple formalism

20/09/2019 Mauro D'Arcangelo



Corfu Summer Institute 2019, Corfu, Greece Workshop on Quantum Geometry, Field Theory and Gravity



Geometry is encoded in spectral data

 $(A, H, D; J, \gamma)$



Geometry is encoded in spectral data

 $(A,H,D;J,\gamma)$

The particle content of the Standard Model is described by the following data

$$egin{aligned} & (A_F, H_F, D_F; J_F, \gamma_F) \ & A_F = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{H} \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C}) \ & H_F = \mathbb{C}^{96} \end{aligned}$$



To get Einstein-Hilbert plus the Standard Model one considers the spectral triple obtained by tensoring the commutative manifold with the internal non-commutative finite space

 $(C^{\infty}(M) \otimes A_F, L^2(S) \otimes H_F, D_M \otimes 1 + \gamma_5 \otimes D_F; J_M \otimes J_F, \gamma_M \otimes \gamma_F)$

bosonic action
$$\operatorname{Tr}\left(f\left(\frac{D}{\Lambda}\right)\right) \sim \int \mathcal{L}_M + \mathcal{L}_{g.f.} + \mathcal{L}_h$$

fermionic action $\langle J\psi, D\psi \rangle$



The idea: replace the commutative manifold with a non-commutative analogue



The idea: replace the commutative manifold with a non-commutative analogue

Fuzzy spaces as spectral triples:

$$\mathcal{A} = M_n(\mathbb{C})$$

(arXiv:1502.05383) Barrett

 $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^c \otimes M_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbb{C})$

$$D = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \otimes \{\boldsymbol{H}_{i}, \cdot\} + \sum_{j} \tau_{j} \otimes [\boldsymbol{L}_{i}, \cdot]$$



Outline

- The random matrix model
- Preliminary studies in a symmetry-breaking potential
- The (1,3) type
- Why should geometry emerge
- Conclusions and outlook



Path integration over geometries is then implemented by integration over the space of Dirac operators

$$\langle f(D) \rangle = \int f(D) e^{-S[D]} dD$$

The simplest non-trivial choice for an action

$$S = g_2 \mathrm{Tr} D^2 + \mathrm{Tr} D^4$$



First indication of emergent geometry



Order parameter for the phase transition

$$F = \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}} \sum_{i} (\operatorname{Tr} H_i)^2$$

Order parameter for the phase transition

Fuzzy sphere Dirac operator

$$D_{fs} = \gamma^0 \otimes I + \sum_{\substack{j < k=1 \\ \text{Standard generators of } \text{su(2)}}^3 \gamma^0 \gamma^j \gamma^k \otimes \begin{bmatrix} L_{jk}, \cdot \end{bmatrix}$$

Fuzzy sphere Dirac operator

$$D_{fs} = \gamma^0 \otimes I + \sum_{j < k=1}^{3} \gamma^0 \gamma^j \gamma^k \otimes [L_{jk}, \cdot]$$

Standard generators of $_$
su(2)

(1, 3) Dirac operator

$$D_{13} = \gamma^0 \otimes \{H_0, \cdot\} + \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3 \otimes \{H_{123}, \cdot\} +$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3} \gamma^{i} \otimes [L_{i}, \cdot] + \sum_{j < k=1}^{3} \gamma^{0} \gamma^{j} \gamma^{k} \otimes [L_{jk}, \cdot]$$

Fuzzy sphere Dirac operator

$$D_{fs} = \gamma^0 \otimes I + \sum_{\substack{j < k = 1 \\ \text{Standard generators of} \\ \text{su(2)}}^3 \gamma^0 \gamma^j \gamma^k \otimes [L_{jk}, \cdot]$$

(1, 3) Dirac operator

$$D_{13} = \gamma^0 \otimes \{H_0, \cdot\} + \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3 \otimes \{H_{123}, \cdot\} +$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3} \gamma^{i} \otimes [L_{i}, \cdot] + \sum_{j < k=1}^{3} \gamma^{0} \gamma^{j} \gamma^{k} \otimes [L_{jk}, \cdot]$$
Do they reduce to su(2)
generators dynamically?

Using the Frobenius inner product

$$\langle A, B \rangle = \text{Tr}A^{\dagger}B = ||A|| \cdot ||B|| \cos \theta$$

we can test whether the algebra of the L matrices shrinks, for example:

Cosine squared of the angle between $[L_{12}, L_{13}]$ and L_{23}

 g_2

$$D = \sum_{i} \sigma_{i} \otimes [M_{i}, \cdot] + I \otimes \{H_{0}, \cdot\}$$

$$D = \sum_{i} \sigma_i \otimes [M_i, \cdot]$$

$$D = \sum_{i} \sigma_i \otimes M_i$$

$$D = \sum_i \sigma_i \otimes M_i$$

Action

$$S[D] = \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Tr} D^4 + \frac{1}{3} g_3 \operatorname{Tr} D^3 + \frac{1}{4} g_2 \operatorname{Tr} D^2$$

$$D = \sum_i \sigma_i \otimes M_i$$

Action

$$S[D] = \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Tr} D^{4} + \frac{1}{3} g_{3} \operatorname{Tr} D^{3} + \frac{1}{4} g_{2} \operatorname{Tr} D^{2}$$

$$S[D(M_{i})] = -\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Tr} [M_{i}, M_{j}]^{2} - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} M_{i}^{2} M_{j}^{2}$$

$$+ \frac{2}{3} i g_{3} \epsilon_{ijk} \operatorname{Tr} M_{i} M_{j} M_{k} + \frac{1}{2} g_{2} \operatorname{Tr} M_{i}^{2}$$

Consider the (0,3) model

$$D = \sum_{i} \sigma_i \otimes M_i$$

EOM:

 $[M_j, [M_j, M_i]] - \{M_j^2, M_i\} + ig_3\epsilon_{ijk}[M_j, M_k] + g_2M_i = 0$

Conclusions

- If one looks at the Standard Model in the non-commutative framework, replacing the manifold with a fuzzy space is just about the most natural progression
- Random fuzzy spaces exhibit phase transitions in certain potentials
- Interesting behaviour potentially emerges at the critical point
- No geometric input

To do

• Analytical understanding Recent progress in the simplest model using topological recursion

(arXiv:1906.09362) S. Azarfar, M. Khalkhali

• Matter fields Natural way to include fermions: $\langle J\psi, D\psi \rangle$

• Lorentzian version In the IKKT model CLM has given promising results

Thank you for listening