

Parity anomaly in four dimensions

Maxim Kurkov

Università di Napoli Federico II,
INFN Napoli

based on: [Phys.Rev. D96 \(2017\) no.2, 025011](#)
and [JHEP 1803 \(2018\) 072](#)
by M.K. and Dmitri Vassilevich

Corfu-2019

Outline:

- * Parity anomaly in 3D: a brief review.
- * Confined fermions in 4D.
- * Parity anomaly in 4D: the definition.
- * Parity anomaly in 4D: the computation.
- * Parity anomaly in 4D: the gravitational contribution.
- * Summary.

Parity anomaly in 3D

- Niemi, Semenoff - Phys. Rev. Lett. 51, 2077 (1983)
Redlich - Phys. Rev. Lett. 52, 18 (1984)
- QED of massless fermions in 3D. At the **classical** level the massless fermionic field $\psi(x)$ satisfies:

$$\left(\not{D}[A]\psi \right) (x) = 0, \quad \not{D}[A] = i\gamma^i \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + iA_i(x) \right)$$

- This e.o.m. is invariant upon the parity transformation:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \psi(x) \longrightarrow \psi(-x) \\ A_i \longrightarrow -A_i(-x) \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \left(\not{D}[A]\psi \right) (x) = 0 \longrightarrow - \left(\not{D}[A]\psi \right) (-x) = 0$$

Parity anomaly in 3D

- Quantization:

$$\int \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi}\mathcal{D}\psi e^{-\int d^3x \bar{\psi}\not{D}[A]\psi} = \det(\not{D}[A]) = e^{-W[A]}$$

$$W[A] = -\log \det \not{D} \quad - \quad \text{the one loop effective action}$$

- The one-loop radiative correction $W[A]$ breaks the parity symmetry $A_i(x) \longrightarrow -A_i(-x)$ due to the Chern-Simons term!

$$W[A] = \pm \frac{i}{8\pi} \int d^3x A_i \partial_j A_k \epsilon^{ijk} + \text{parity-even terms}$$

Parity anomaly in 3D

- Let us track the origin of this anomaly.

$$e^{-W[A]} = \det(\not{D}[A]) = \prod \lambda$$
$$(\not{D}[A]\psi)(x) = \lambda\psi(x)$$

- The parity transformation reflects the nonzero spectrum of the Dirac operator:

$$(\not{D}[A]\psi)(x) = \lambda\psi(x) \longrightarrow (-\not{D}[A]\psi)(-x) = \lambda\psi(-x)$$

- Parity anomaly expresses a spectral asymmetry of the Dirac operator:

$$W_{\text{odd}} = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\log \det(\not{D}[A]) - \log \det(-\not{D}[A]) \right) \neq 0$$


Confined fermions in 4D: general setup

We are dealing with the Euclidean 4D manifold \mathcal{M} with a boundary $\partial\mathcal{M}$

The Dirac operator is the usual one

$$\mathcal{D} = i\gamma^\mu (\nabla_\mu + iA_\mu)$$

$$\nabla_\mu = \partial_\mu + \omega_\mu$$




Boundary conditions must satisfy:

- $\mathcal{D}^\dagger = \mathcal{D}$
- $(\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi)n_\mu|_{\partial\mathcal{M}} = 0$

Confined fermions in 4D: the boundary conditions

We exploit the (Euclidean version of) the MIT bag boundary conditions



For each component of the boundary ∂M_{α} we define the projectors

$$\Pi_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 \pm i \epsilon_{\alpha} \gamma^5 \gamma^n \right), \quad \epsilon_{\alpha} \in \{-1, +1\}$$

and impose the boundary conditions

$$\Pi_{-} \psi|_{\partial M} = 0$$

These boundary conditions guarantee that:

- $\mathcal{D}^{\dagger} = \mathcal{D}$
- $(\bar{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} \psi) n_{\mu}|_{\partial M} = 0$

Parity anomaly in 4D: the definition

The parity anomaly can be defined in arbitrary dimension via

$$W_{\text{odd}} = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\log \det (\not{D}[A]) - \log \det (-\not{D}[A]) \right)$$

however this expression does not make any sense, unless one introduces the regularisation.

ζ -function regularisation of the fermionic determinant:

$$W[\not{D}] \equiv -\log \det (\not{D}[A]) \longrightarrow \mu^s \Gamma(s) \zeta(s, \not{D}) \equiv W_s[\not{D}], \quad s \rightarrow 0$$

where the zeta function is defined in the following way:

$$\zeta(s, \not{D}) = \sum_{\lambda > 0} \lambda^{-s} + e^{-i\pi s} \sum_{\lambda < 0} (-\lambda)^{-s}$$

Parity anomaly in 4D: the definition

We are interested in the parity-odd contribution

$$\zeta_{\text{odd}}(s, \not{D}) \equiv \frac{1}{2} (\zeta_{\text{odd}}(s, \not{D}) - \zeta_{\text{odd}}(s, -\not{D})) = \frac{1}{2} (1 - e^{-i\pi s}) \eta(s, \not{D}),$$

where

$$\eta(s, \not{D}) = \sum_{\lambda > 0} \lambda^{-s} - \sum_{\lambda < 0} (-\lambda)^{-s}.$$

therefore (L. Alvarez-Gaume, S. Della Pietra and G. Moore, 1984)

$$W_{\text{odd}} = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \mu^s \Gamma(s) \zeta_{\text{odd}}(s, \not{D}) = \frac{i\pi}{2} \eta(0, \not{D}) = \text{finite!}$$

Why do we expect it to be different from zero???

- When there is no boundary the spectrum is symmetric with respect to 0, since $\{\not{D}, \gamma^5\} = 0$.
- Boundary conditions break this property: $\Pi_- \gamma^5 = \gamma^5 \Pi_+$

Parity anomaly in 4D: the computation.

Let us consider the variation of the gauge field $A_\mu(x) \longrightarrow A_\mu(x) + \delta A_\mu(x)$. The corresponding variation of the Dirac operator reads:

$$\delta \mathcal{D} = -\gamma^\mu \delta A_\mu$$

At the physical limit $s = 0$:

$$\delta \eta(0, \mathcal{D}) = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} a_3 (\delta \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^2),$$

see Phys.Rev. D96 (2017) no.2, 025011 by M.K. and Vassilevich.

For an arbitrary matrix-valued function Q the following asymptotic expansion holds at $t \longrightarrow +0$:

$$\text{Tr } Q e^{-t\mathcal{D}^2} \simeq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^{\frac{k-4}{2}} a_k (Q, \mathcal{D}^2)$$

Parity anomaly in 4D: the computation.

For an arbitrary matrix-valued function Q the following asymptotic expansion holds at $t \rightarrow +0$:

$$\text{Tr } Q e^{-t\mathcal{D}^2} \simeq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^{\frac{k-4}{2}} a_k(Q, \mathcal{D}^2)$$

The relevant heat kernel coefficients were studied by V. N. Marachevsky and D. V. Vassilevich in Nucl. Phys. B677, 535 (2004)

In our case:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\eta(0, \mathcal{D}) &= -\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} a_3(\delta\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^2) \\ &= \left(-\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\right) \sum_{\alpha} \int_{\partial\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}} d^3x \sqrt{h} \epsilon_{\alpha} \varepsilon^{nabc} (\delta A_a) \partial_b A_c \end{aligned}$$

Parity anomaly in 4D: the computation.

The variation of the parity-odd contribution to the one-loop effective action reads:

$$\delta W_{\text{odd}} = \left(-\frac{i}{8\pi}\right) \sum_{\alpha} \int_{\partial\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}} d^3x \sqrt{h} \epsilon_{\alpha} \varepsilon^{nabc} (\delta A_a) \partial_b A_c.$$

If the gauge potential A is defined globally on \mathcal{M} , we can recover W_{odd}

$$W_{\text{odd}} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\alpha} \epsilon_{\alpha} \left(-\frac{i}{4\pi} \int_{\partial\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}} \sqrt{h} \varepsilon^{nabc} A_a \partial_b A_c\right)$$

Comment on the classical symmetry.

Let us consider the transformations which leave invariant the classical e.o.m.

$$\begin{cases} i\gamma^\mu \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} + iA_\mu(x) \right) \psi = 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - i\epsilon_\alpha \gamma^5 \gamma^n \right) \psi \Big|_{\partial\mathcal{M}} = 0 \end{cases}, \quad \epsilon_\alpha \in \{+1, -1\}.$$

The transformation

$$\begin{cases} \psi(x) \longrightarrow \psi(-x) \\ A_\mu(x) \longrightarrow -A_\mu(-x) \end{cases}$$

is a bad candidate: if $x \in \mathcal{M}$ there is no guarantee that $-x \in \mathcal{M}$.


The correct classical symmetry in a presence of the boundary is

$$\begin{cases} \psi(x) \longrightarrow \gamma^5 \psi(x) \\ \epsilon_\alpha \longrightarrow -\epsilon_\alpha \end{cases}.$$

This transformation inverts the nonzero spectrum of the Dirac operator.


Comparison: 3D v.s. 4D results

$$\begin{aligned} \text{4D case: } |k| &= \frac{1}{4} \\ \text{3D case: } |k| &= \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$$



Why is it natural?

Let us consider $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0; l]$ at $l \rightarrow 0$.



$$\begin{aligned} \text{at } \epsilon^{\text{up}} &= -\epsilon^{\text{down}} & \text{CS}[1/4, A] - \text{CS}[-1/4, A] &= \text{CS}[1/2, A] \Rightarrow \text{3D-result,} \\ \text{at } \epsilon^{\text{up}} &= +\epsilon^{\text{down}} & \text{CS}[1/4, A] - \text{CS}[+1/4, A] &= 0 \Rightarrow \text{nothing} \end{aligned}$$

Let us take a look at the spectrums of massless \not{D} in both cases at $A = 0$

- at $\epsilon^{\text{up}} = -\epsilon^{\text{down}}$ we obtain $\lambda^2(p, k_{||}) = k_{||}^2 + m_p^2$, $m_p^2 = \frac{\pi p^2}{l^2}$, $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. At $l \rightarrow 0$ massless modes with $p = 0$ survive \rightarrow massless 3D spectrum.
- at $\epsilon^{\text{up}} = +\epsilon^{\text{down}}$ we obtain $\lambda^2(p, k_{||}) = k_{||}^2 + m_p^2$, $m_p^2 = \frac{\pi(p + \frac{1}{2})^2}{l^2}$, $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. At $l \rightarrow 0$ all eigenstates become infinitely massive.

Gravitational contribution: the 3D case.

- What about the gravitational contribution to the parity anomaly?

$$\begin{aligned} \not{D} &= i\gamma^i \nabla_i, \quad \nabla_i = \partial_i + \omega_i \\ W_{\text{odd}} &= -\left(\log \det(\not{D}) - \log \det(-\not{D})\right) \neq 0? \end{aligned}$$

- The answer is “yes”, it is different from zero.

$$W_{\text{odd}} = -\frac{ik}{4\pi} \int d^3x \sqrt{g} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} \left(\Gamma_{\mu\kappa}^{\lambda} \partial_{\nu} \Gamma_{\rho\lambda}^{\kappa} + \frac{2}{3} \Gamma_{\mu\kappa}^{\lambda} \Gamma_{\nu\sigma}^{\kappa} \Gamma_{\rho\lambda}^{\sigma} \right).$$

- There have been contradicting results in the literature regarding the coefficient k in front of Chern-Simons term.


$$k = \frac{1}{48}, \quad (\text{Goni, Valle -1986; Vuorio -1986; van der Bij - 1986})$$

$$k = \frac{1}{16}, \quad (\text{Ojima -1989})$$

Gravitational contribution to the 4D parity-anomaly.

We are dealing with the Euclidean 4D manifold \mathcal{M} with a boundary $\partial\mathcal{M}$

The Dirac operator is the usual one with the bag boundary conditions:



$$\begin{cases} \not{D} = i\gamma^\mu (\partial_\mu + \omega_\mu) \\ \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{1} - i\epsilon_\alpha \gamma^5 \gamma^n) \psi|_{\partial\mathcal{M}} = 0 \end{cases}, \quad \epsilon_\alpha \in \{+1, -1\}.$$

Upon the zeta-function regularization the parity anomaly reads:

$$W_{\text{odd}} = \frac{i\pi}{2} \eta(0, \not{D}),$$

where $\eta(s, \not{D}) = \sum_{\lambda>0} \lambda^{-s} - \sum_{\lambda<0} (-\lambda)^{-s}.$

Parity anomaly in 4D: the computation.

Let us consider the variation of the vierbeins:

$$e_{\mu a} \longrightarrow e_{\mu a} + \delta e_{\mu a}.$$

The corresponding variation of the Dirac operator reads:

$$\delta \mathcal{D} = i\gamma^\mu \delta \omega_\mu + i(\delta e_a^\mu) \gamma^a \nabla_\mu - \mathbf{1\text{-st order diff. operator!}}$$

At the physical limit $s = 0$

$$\delta \eta(0, \mathcal{D}) = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} a_3(\delta \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^2)$$

For the first order diff op. $Q = Q_1^\mu \nabla_\mu + Q_0$ the asymptotic expansion at $t \rightarrow +0$ has a different structure:

$$\text{Tr } Q e^{-t\mathcal{D}^2} \simeq \sum_{k=-1}^{\infty} t^{\frac{k-4}{2}} a_k(Q, \mathcal{D}^2)$$

Parity anomaly in 4D: the computation.

For the first order diff op. $Q = Q_1^\mu \nabla_\mu + Q_0$ the asymptotic expansion at $t \rightarrow +0$ has a different structure:

$$\text{Tr } Q e^{-t\mathcal{D}^2} \simeq \sum_{k=-1}^{\infty} t^{\frac{k-4}{2}} a_k(Q, \mathcal{D}^2)$$

There is a trick which allows to compute $a_k(Q, \mathcal{D}^2)$ using the known expressions for $a_{k+2}(\tilde{Q}, \mathcal{L})$, where \mathcal{L} is a generic Laplace-type operator and \tilde{Q} is a matrix valued function, see JHEP 1803 (2018) 072 by M.K. and D.Vassilevich.

In our case:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta W_{\text{odd}} &= -i\sqrt{\pi} a_3(\delta\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^2) = \int_{\partial\mathcal{M}} d^3x \sqrt{h} \varepsilon_\alpha \left\{ -\frac{i}{384\pi} (\delta g_{jq}) \tilde{R}_{sp}{}^{qk}{}_{:k} \epsilon^{njsp} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{i}{256\pi} \left((\delta g_{si})_{;n} K_{p:l}^i - (\delta g_{si}) \left(K_l^i K_{p:r}^r + K_p^r K_{l:r}^i + K^{ri} K_{rp:l} \right) \right) \epsilon^{nsp} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Gravitational contribution: the 4D the answer.

The solution of the variational equation reads:

$$W^{\text{odd}} = -\frac{i}{4\pi} \frac{1}{96} \int_{\partial\mathcal{M}} d^3x \sqrt{h} \epsilon_\alpha \left[\left(\tilde{\Gamma}_{qi}^r \partial_j \tilde{\Gamma}_{rk}^q + \frac{2}{3} \tilde{\Gamma}_{qi}^r \tilde{\Gamma}_{pj}^q \tilde{\Gamma}_{rk}^p \right) \epsilon^{nijk} + \frac{3}{2} K_{si} K_{p:l}^i \epsilon^{nspl} \right]$$

- This answer is invariant upon the local Weyl transformations:

$$g_{\mu\nu} \longrightarrow e^{2\phi} g_{\mu\nu}$$

- The coefficient $\frac{1}{96}$ in front of the Chern-Simons term is exactly twice smaller than the corresponding coefficient in the 3D case.
- It has no relation to the (bulk) Pontryagin type topological density, regardless of the choice of the sign factors ϵ_α .

$$\begin{aligned} P &= \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathcal{M}} d^4x \sqrt{g} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} R^\sigma{}_{\tau\mu\nu} R^\tau{}_{\sigma\alpha\beta} \\ &= - \int_{\partial\mathcal{M}} d^3x \sqrt{h} \left[\left(\tilde{\Gamma}_{il}^m \partial_j \tilde{\Gamma}_{km}^l + \frac{2}{3} \tilde{\Gamma}_{im}^l \tilde{\Gamma}_{jp}^m \tilde{\Gamma}_{kl}^p \right) \epsilon^{nijk} - 2 K_{il} K_{k:j}^l \epsilon^{nijk} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Summary.

- We considered the massless QED.
- If one traps fermions inside the $4D$ manifold with a boundary, the one loop radiative corrections induce the Chern-Simons term on the boundary.
- This Chern-Simons term comes out from the spectral asymmetry of the Dirac operator due to the boundary conditions. Presence of such an asymmetry represents the parity anomaly.
- The level of this induced Chern-Simons term is exactly twice smaller than in the 3D case.
- Apart from that the P-odd radiative corrections induce the gravitational Chern-Simons term. The overall coefficient is again twice smaller than in the 3D case. The main novelty in the 4D setup is a presence of the very specific contribution, which depends on the extrinsic curvature.