## Commuting Pairs of Generalized Structures and 2D Sigma Models

#### David Svoboda

Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics

dsvoboda@perimeter institute.ca

Conference on Recent Developments in Strings and Gravity, September 2019



This talk is based on [arXiv:1909.04646]

# Generalizing Geometry

# "study of geometric structures on the bundle $T \oplus T^*$ "

# "study of geometric structures on the bundle $T \oplus T^*$ "



"study of geometric structures on the bundle  $T \oplus T^*$ "





"study of geometric structures on the bundle  $T \oplus T^*$ "



• Para-Complex structure:

Para-Complex structure: K ∈ End(TM), K<sup>2</sup> = 1, K ≠ ±1, ±1 eigenbundles L, L
 have same rank

- Para-Complex structure:  $K \in End(TM)$ ,  $K^2 = 1$ ,  $K \neq \pm 1$ ,  $\pm 1$  eigenbundles  $L, \tilde{L}$  have same rank
  - Integrability:  $\Leftrightarrow L, \tilde{L}$  integrable real distributions

- Para-Complex structure: K ∈ End(TM), K<sup>2</sup> = 1, K ≠ ±1, ±1 eigenbundles L, L
   have same rank
  - Integrability:  $\Leftrightarrow L, \tilde{L}$  integrable real distributions
  - Locally,  $M = M_+ \times M_-$  (such that  $TM_+ = L$ ,  $TM_- = \tilde{L}$ ) and we get coordinates  $(x^i, \tilde{x}_i)$ .

- Para-Complex structure: K ∈ End(TM), K<sup>2</sup> = 1, K ≠ ±1, ±1 eigenbundles L, L
   have same rank
  - Integrability:  $\Leftrightarrow L, \tilde{L}$  integrable real distributions
  - Locally,  $M = M_+ \times M_-$  (such that  $TM_+ = L$ ,  $TM_- = \tilde{L}$ ) and we get coordinates  $(x^i, \tilde{x}_i)$ .
- Let  $\eta$  be signature (n, n) metric. There are 2 orthogonality options:

- Para-Complex structure: K ∈ End(TM), K<sup>2</sup> = 1, K ≠ ±1, ±1 eigenbundles L, L
   have same rank
  - Integrability:  $\Leftrightarrow L, \tilde{L}$  integrable real distributions
  - Locally,  $M = M_+ \times M_-$  (such that  $TM_+ = L$ ,  $TM_- = \tilde{L}$ ) and we get coordinates  $(x^i, \tilde{x}_i)$ .
- Let  $\eta$  be signature (n, n) metric. There are 2 orthogonality options:
  - $\eta(K \cdot, K \cdot) = -\eta(\cdot, \cdot)$ :  $(\eta, K)$  is a para-Hermitian

- Para-Complex structure: K ∈ End(TM), K<sup>2</sup> = 1, K ≠ ±1, ±1 eigenbundles L, L
   have same rank
  - Integrability:  $\Leftrightarrow L, \tilde{L}$  integrable real distributions
  - Locally,  $M = M_+ \times M_-$  (such that  $TM_+ = L$ ,  $TM_- = \tilde{L}$ ) and we get coordinates  $(x^i, \tilde{x}_i)$ .
- Let  $\eta$  be signature (n, n) metric. There are 2 orthogonality options:
  - $\eta(K, K, K) = -\eta(\cdot, \cdot)$ :  $(\eta, K)$  is a para-Hermitian  $\longrightarrow$  Fundamental form  $\omega = \eta K$ , when  $d\omega = 0$ :  $(\eta, K)$  is called para-Kähler

- Para-Complex structure: K ∈ End(TM), K<sup>2</sup> = 1, K ≠ ±1, ±1 eigenbundles L, L
   have same rank
  - Integrability:  $\Leftrightarrow L, \tilde{L}$  integrable real distributions
  - Locally,  $M = M_+ \times M_-$  (such that  $TM_+ = L$ ,  $TM_- = \tilde{L}$ ) and we get coordinates  $(x^i, \tilde{x}_i)$ .
- Let  $\eta$  be signature (n, n) metric. There are 2 orthogonality options:
  - $\eta(K, K, K) = -\eta(\cdot, \cdot)$ :  $(\eta, K)$  is a **para-Hermitian**  $\rightarrow$  Fundamental form  $\omega = \eta K$ , when  $d\omega = 0$ :  $(\eta, K)$  is called **para-Kähler**
  - $\eta(K \cdot, K \cdot) = \eta(\cdot, \cdot)$ :  $(\eta, K)$  is a chiral structure (customarily denoted  $(\eta, J)$ )

- Para-Complex structure: K ∈ End(TM), K<sup>2</sup> = 1, K ≠ ±1, ±1 eigenbundles L, L
   have same rank
  - Integrability:  $\Leftrightarrow L, \tilde{L}$  integrable real distributions
  - Locally,  $M = M_+ \times M_-$  (such that  $TM_+ = L$ ,  $TM_- = \tilde{L}$ ) and we get coordinates  $(x^i, \tilde{x}_i)$ .
- Let  $\eta$  be signature (n, n) metric. There are 2 orthogonality options:
  - $\eta(K, K, K) = -\eta(\cdot, \cdot)$ :  $(\eta, K)$  is a para-Hermitian  $\rightarrow$  Fundamental form  $\omega = \eta K$ , when  $d\omega = 0$ :  $(\eta, K)$  is called para-Kähler
  - $\eta(K \cdot, K \cdot) = \eta(\cdot, \cdot)$ :  $(\eta, K)$  is a **chiral** structure (customarily denoted  $(\eta, J)$ )  $\longrightarrow \eta J = \mathcal{H}$  is a metric.

- Para-Complex structure: K ∈ End(TM), K<sup>2</sup> = 1, K ≠ ±1, ±1 eigenbundles L, L
   have same rank
  - Integrability:  $\Leftrightarrow L, \tilde{L}$  integrable real distributions
  - Locally,  $M = M_+ \times M_-$  (such that  $TM_+ = L$ ,  $TM_- = \tilde{L}$ ) and we get coordinates  $(x^i, \tilde{x}_i)$ .
- Let  $\eta$  be signature (n, n) metric. There are 2 orthogonality options:
  - $\eta(K, K, K) = -\eta(\cdot, \cdot)$ :  $(\eta, K)$  is a para-Hermitian  $\rightarrow$  Fundamental form  $\omega = \eta K$ , when  $d\omega = 0$ :  $(\eta, K)$  is called para-Kähler
  - $\eta(K \cdot, K \cdot) = \eta(\cdot, \cdot)$ :  $(\eta, K)$  is a chiral structure (customarily denoted  $(\eta, J)$ )  $\longrightarrow \eta J = \mathcal{H}$  is a metric.
- Geometry  $(\eta, K, J)$  where K is para-Hermitian and J is chiral and  $\{K, J\} = 0$  is called **Born geometry**

- Para-Complex structure: K ∈ End(TM), K<sup>2</sup> = 1, K ≠ ±1, ±1 eigenbundles L, L
   have same rank
  - Integrability:  $\Leftrightarrow L, \tilde{L}$  integrable real distributions
  - Locally,  $M = M_+ \times M_-$  (such that  $TM_+ = L$ ,  $TM_- = \tilde{L}$ ) and we get coordinates  $(x^i, \tilde{x}_i)$ .
- Let  $\eta$  be signature (n, n) metric. There are 2 orthogonality options:
  - $\eta(K, K, K) = -\eta(\cdot, \cdot)$ :  $(\eta, K)$  is a para-Hermitian  $\rightarrow$  Fundamental form  $\omega = \eta K$ , when  $d\omega = 0$ :  $(\eta, K)$  is called para-Kähler
  - $\eta(K \cdot, K \cdot) = \eta(\cdot, \cdot)$ :  $(\eta, K)$  is a chiral structure (customarily denoted  $(\eta, J)$ )  $\longrightarrow \eta J = \mathcal{H}$  is a metric.
- Geometry  $(\eta, K, J)$  where K is para-Hermitian and J is chiral and  $\{K, J\} = 0$  is called **Born geometry**

Physics:

- Para-Complex structure: K ∈ End(TM), K<sup>2</sup> = 1, K ≠ ±1, ±1 eigenbundles L, L
   have same rank
  - Integrability:  $\Leftrightarrow L, \tilde{L}$  integrable real distributions
  - Locally,  $M = M_+ \times M_-$  (such that  $TM_+ = L$ ,  $TM_- = \tilde{L}$ ) and we get coordinates  $(x^i, \tilde{x}_i)$ .
- Let  $\eta$  be signature (n, n) metric. There are 2 orthogonality options:
  - $\eta(K, K, K) = -\eta(\cdot, \cdot)$ :  $(\eta, K)$  is a para-Hermitian  $\rightarrow$  Fundamental form  $\omega = \eta K$ , when  $d\omega = 0$ :  $(\eta, K)$  is called para-Kähler
  - $\eta(K \cdot, K \cdot) = \eta(\cdot, \cdot)$ :  $(\eta, K)$  is a chiral structure (customarily denoted  $(\eta, J)$ )  $\longrightarrow \eta J = \mathcal{H}$  is a metric.
- Geometry  $(\eta, K, J)$  where K is para-Hermitian and J is chiral and  $\{K, J\} = 0$  is called **Born geometry**

#### Physics:

• This geometry naturally arises as an extended space-time of DFT:

- Para-Complex structure: K ∈ End(TM), K<sup>2</sup> = 1, K ≠ ±1, ±1 eigenbundles L, L
   have same rank
  - Integrability:  $\Leftrightarrow L, \tilde{L}$  integrable real distributions
  - Locally,  $M = M_+ \times M_-$  (such that  $TM_+ = L$ ,  $TM_- = \tilde{L}$ ) and we get coordinates  $(x^i, \tilde{x}_i)$ .
- Let  $\eta$  be signature (n, n) metric. There are 2 orthogonality options:
  - $\eta(K, K, K) = -\eta(\cdot, \cdot)$ :  $(\eta, K)$  is a **para-Hermitian**  $\rightarrow$  Fundamental form  $\omega = \eta K$ , when  $d\omega = 0$ :  $(\eta, K)$  is called **para-Kähler**
  - $\eta(K \cdot, K \cdot) = \eta(\cdot, \cdot)$ :  $(\eta, K)$  is a chiral structure (customarily denoted  $(\eta, J)$ )  $\longrightarrow \eta J = \mathcal{H}$  is a metric.
- Geometry  $(\eta, K, J)$  where K is para-Hermitian and J is chiral and  $\{K, J\} = 0$  is called **Born geometry**

#### Physics:

- This geometry naturally arises as an extended space-time of DFT:
  - $K \longrightarrow$  coordinates  $x^i$  and  $\tilde{x}_i$  represent spacetime and winding coordinates, respectively

- Para-Complex structure: K ∈ End(TM), K<sup>2</sup> = 1, K ≠ ±1, ±1 eigenbundles L, L
   have same rank
  - Integrability:  $\Leftrightarrow L, \tilde{L}$  integrable real distributions
  - Locally,  $M = M_+ \times M_-$  (such that  $TM_+ = L$ ,  $TM_- = \tilde{L}$ ) and we get coordinates  $(x^i, \tilde{x}_i)$ .
- Let  $\eta$  be signature (n, n) metric. There are 2 orthogonality options:
  - $\eta(K, K, K) = -\eta(\cdot, \cdot)$ :  $(\eta, K)$  is a **para-Hermitian**  $\rightarrow$  Fundamental form  $\omega = \eta K$ , when  $d\omega = 0$ :  $(\eta, K)$  is called **para-Kähler**
  - $\eta(K \cdot, K \cdot) = \eta(\cdot, \cdot)$ :  $(\eta, K)$  is a chiral structure (customarily denoted  $(\eta, J)$ )  $\longrightarrow \eta J = \mathcal{H}$  is a metric.
- Geometry  $(\eta, K, J)$  where K is para-Hermitian and J is chiral and  $\{K, J\} = 0$  is called **Born geometry**

#### Physics:

- This geometry naturally arises as an extended space-time of DFT:
  - $K \longrightarrow$  coordinates  $x^i$  and  $\tilde{x}_i$  represent spacetime and winding coordinates, respectively
  - J is equivalent to a choice of a spacetime metric (i.e. metric on L)

• On  $T \oplus T^*$  we have a natural pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ :  $\langle X + \alpha, Y + \beta \rangle = \alpha(Y) + \beta(X)$ 

• On  $T \oplus T^*$  we have a natural pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ :  $\langle X + \alpha, Y + \beta \rangle = \alpha(Y) + \beta(X)$ and fixing  $H \in \Omega^3_{cl}$ , we get the (twisted) Dorfman bracket:  $[X + \alpha, Y + \beta] = [X, Y] + \mathcal{L}_X \beta - \imath_Y d\alpha + H(X, Y).$ 

- On  $T \oplus T^*$  we have a natural pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ :  $\langle X + \alpha, Y + \beta \rangle = \alpha(Y) + \beta(X)$ and fixing  $H \in \Omega^3_{cl}$ , we get the (twisted) Dorfman bracket:  $[X + \alpha, Y + \beta] = [X, Y] + \mathcal{L}_X \beta - \imath_Y d\alpha + H(X, Y).$
- Generalized para-complex structure:

- On  $T \oplus T^*$  we have a natural pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ :  $\langle X + \alpha, Y + \beta \rangle = \alpha(Y) + \beta(X)$ and fixing  $H \in \Omega^3_{cl}$ , we get the (twisted) Dorfman bracket:  $[X + \alpha, Y + \beta] = [X, Y] + \mathcal{L}_X \beta - \imath_Y d\alpha + H(X, Y).$
- Generalized para-complex structure:

$$\mathcal{K} \in \mathsf{End}(T \oplus T^*), \quad \mathcal{K}^2 = \mathbb{1}, \quad \langle \mathcal{K} \cdot, \mathcal{K} \cdot \rangle = -\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$$

- On  $T \oplus T^*$  we have a natural pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ :  $\langle X + \alpha, Y + \beta \rangle = \alpha(Y) + \beta(X)$ and fixing  $H \in \Omega^3_{cl}$ , we get the (twisted) Dorfman bracket:  $[X + \alpha, Y + \beta] = [X, Y] + \mathcal{L}_X \beta - \imath_Y d\alpha + H(X, Y).$
- Generalized para-complex structure:

$$\mathcal{K} \in \mathsf{End}(T \oplus T^*), \quad \mathcal{K}^2 = \mathbb{1}, \quad \langle \mathcal{K} \cdot, \mathcal{K} \cdot \rangle = -\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$$



- On  $T \oplus T^*$  we have a natural pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ :  $\langle X + \alpha, Y + \beta \rangle = \alpha(Y) + \beta(X)$ and fixing  $H \in \Omega^3_{cl}$ , we get the (twisted) Dorfman bracket:  $[X + \alpha, Y + \beta] = [X, Y] + \mathcal{L}_X \beta - \imath_Y d\alpha + H(X, Y).$
- Generalized para-complex structure:

$$\mathcal{K} \in \mathsf{End}(T \oplus T^*), \quad \mathcal{K}^2 = \mathbb{1}, \quad \langle \mathcal{K} \cdot, \mathcal{K} \cdot \rangle = -\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$$



- On  $T \oplus T^*$  we have a natural pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ :  $\langle X + \alpha, Y + \beta \rangle = \alpha(Y) + \beta(X)$ and fixing  $H \in \Omega^3_{cl}$ , we get the (twisted) Dorfman bracket:  $[X + \alpha, Y + \beta] = [X, Y] + \mathcal{L}_X \beta - \imath_Y d\alpha + H(X, Y).$
- Generalized para-complex structure:

$$\mathcal{K} \in \mathsf{End}(T \oplus T^*), \quad \mathcal{K}^2 = \mathbb{1}, \quad \langle \mathcal{K} \cdot, \mathcal{K} \cdot \rangle = -\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$$



- On  $T \oplus T^*$  we have a natural pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ :  $\langle X + \alpha, Y + \beta \rangle = \alpha(Y) + \beta(X)$ and fixing  $H \in \Omega^3_{cl}$ , we get the (twisted) Dorfman bracket:  $[X + \alpha, Y + \beta] = [X, Y] + \mathcal{L}_X \beta - \imath_Y d\alpha + H(X, Y).$
- Generalized para-complex structure:

$$\mathcal{K} \in \mathsf{End}(T \oplus T^*), \quad \mathcal{K}^2 = \mathbb{1}, \quad \langle \mathcal{K} \cdot, \mathcal{K} \cdot \rangle = - \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$$



- On  $T \oplus T^*$  we have a natural pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ :  $\langle X + \alpha, Y + \beta \rangle = \alpha(Y) + \beta(X)$ and fixing  $H \in \Omega^3_{cl}$ , we get the (twisted) Dorfman bracket:  $[X + \alpha, Y + \beta] = [X, Y] + \mathcal{L}_X \beta - \imath_Y d\alpha + H(X, Y).$
- Generalized para-complex structure:

 $\mathcal{K} \in \mathsf{End}(T \oplus T^*), \quad \mathcal{K}^2 = \mathbb{1}, \quad \langle \mathcal{K} \cdot, \mathcal{K} \cdot \rangle = -\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ 



- $d\omega = 0$
- Eigenbundles involutive under [, ]

- On  $T \oplus T^*$  we have a natural pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ :  $\langle X + \alpha, Y + \beta \rangle = \alpha(Y) + \beta(X)$ and fixing  $H \in \Omega^3_{cl}$ , we get the (twisted) Dorfman bracket:  $[X + \alpha, Y + \beta] = [X, Y] + \mathcal{L}_X \beta - \imath_Y d\alpha + H(X, Y).$
- Generalized para-complex structure:

 $\mathcal{K} \in \mathsf{End}(T \oplus T^*), \quad \mathcal{K}^2 = \mathbb{1}, \quad \langle \mathcal{K} \cdot, \mathcal{K} \cdot \rangle = - \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ 



- On  $T \oplus T^*$  we have a natural pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ :  $\langle X + \alpha, Y + \beta \rangle = \alpha(Y) + \beta(X)$ and fixing  $H \in \Omega^3_{cl}$ , we get the (twisted) Dorfman bracket:  $[X + \alpha, Y + \beta] = [X, Y] + \mathcal{L}_X \beta - \imath_Y d\alpha + H(X, Y).$
- Generalized para-complex structure:

 $\mathcal{K} \in \mathsf{End}(T \oplus T^*), \quad \mathcal{K}^2 = \mathbb{1}, \quad \langle \mathcal{K} \cdot, \mathcal{K} \cdot \rangle = - \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ 



• [Π, Π] = 0 · · ·

David Svoboda

#### Generalized para-complex structures

Generalized product structures:

#### Generalized para-complex structures

Generalized product structures:

$$\mathcal{J} \in \mathsf{End}(T \oplus T^*), \quad \mathcal{J}^2 = \mathbb{1}, \quad \langle \mathcal{J} \cdot, \mathcal{J} \cdot \rangle = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$$

#### Generalized para-complex structures

#### Generalized product structures:

$$\mathcal{J} \in \mathsf{End}(T \oplus T^*), \quad \mathcal{J}^2 = \mathbb{1}, \quad \langle \mathcal{J} \cdot, \mathcal{J} \cdot \rangle = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$$

• Different from gen. (para-)complex, because their integrability is not well defined by Dorfman bracket
#### Generalized product structures:

$$\mathcal{J} \in \mathsf{End}(T \oplus T^*), \quad \mathcal{J}^2 = \mathbb{1}, \quad \langle \mathcal{J} \cdot, \mathcal{J} \cdot \rangle = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$$

- Different from gen. (para-)complex, because their integrability is not well defined by Dorfman bracket
- Generalized metric  $\mathcal{G}$  is a non-degenerate case of generalized product structure

#### Generalized product structures:

$$\mathcal{J} \in \mathsf{End}(T \oplus T^*), \quad \mathcal{J}^2 = \mathbb{1}, \quad \langle \mathcal{J} \cdot, \mathcal{J} \cdot \rangle = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$$

- Different from gen. (para-)complex, because their integrability is not well defined by Dorfman bracket
- Generalized metric G is a non-degenerate case of generalized product structure → its eigenbundles C<sub>±</sub> are isomorphic to T, so that

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{G}} = \langle \mathcal{G} \cdot, \cdot \rangle,$$

defines a metric on  $T \oplus T^*$ .

#### Generalized product structures:

$$\mathcal{J} \in \mathsf{End}(T \oplus T^*), \quad \mathcal{J}^2 = \mathbb{1}, \quad \langle \mathcal{J} \cdot, \mathcal{J} \cdot \rangle = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$$

- Different from gen. (para-)complex, because their integrability is not well defined by Dorfman bracket
- Generalized metric G is a non-degenerate case of generalized product structure → its eigenbundles C<sub>±</sub> are isomorphic to T, so that

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{G}} = \langle \mathcal{G} \cdot, \cdot \rangle,$$

defines a metric on  $T \oplus T^*$ .

• Generalized metrics are always given by the pair (g, b)

#### Generalized product structures:

$$\mathcal{J} \in \mathsf{End}(T \oplus T^*), \quad \mathcal{J}^2 = \mathbb{1}, \quad \langle \mathcal{J} \cdot, \mathcal{J} \cdot \rangle = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$$

- Different from gen. (para-)complex, because their integrability is not well defined by Dorfman bracket
- Generalized metric G is a non-degenerate case of generalized product structure → its eigenbundles C<sub>±</sub> are isomorphic to T, so that

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{G}} = \langle \mathcal{G} \cdot, \cdot \rangle,$$

defines a metric on  $T \oplus T^*$ .

• Generalized metrics are always given by the pair  $(g, b) \longrightarrow 2D$  sigma models:

$$S_{(1,1)}(\Phi) = \int_{\widehat{\Sigma}} [g(\Phi) + b(\Phi)]_{ij} D_+ \Phi^i D_- \Phi^j,$$

• Consider the (1, 1) sigma model:

$$\mathcal{S}_{(1,1)}(\Phi) = \int_{\hat{\Sigma}} [\eta(\Phi) + b(\Phi)]_{ij} D_+ \Phi^i D_- \Phi^j,$$

• Consider the (1, 1) sigma model:

$$S_{(1,1)}(\Phi) = \int_{\hat{\Sigma}} [\eta(\Phi) + b(\Phi)]_{ij} D_+ \Phi^i D_- \Phi^j,$$

• Consider the (1, 1) sigma model:

$$\mathcal{S}_{(1,1)}(\Phi) = \int_{\hat{\Sigma}} [\eta(\Phi) + b(\Phi)]_{ij} D_+ \Phi^i D_- \Phi^j,$$

• This is invariant under the usual (1,1) SUSY  $Q_{\pm}^1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^{\pm}} + \theta^{\pm} \partial_{\pm}$ 

• Extension to (2,2) twisted SUSY amounts to adding supercharges  $Q_{\pm}^2$  that satisfy  $\{Q_{\pm}^2, Q_{\pm}^2\} = -2\partial_{\pm}.$ 

• Consider the (1, 1) sigma model:

$$\mathcal{S}_{(1,1)}(\Phi) = \int_{\hat{\Sigma}} [\eta(\Phi) + b(\Phi)]_{ij} D_+ \Phi^i D_- \Phi^j,$$

- Extension to (2,2) twisted SUSY amounts to adding supercharges  $Q_{\pm}^2$  that satisfy  $\{Q_{\pm}^2, Q_{\pm}^2\} = -2\partial_{\pm}.$
- Such SUSY requires an additional structure on the target:

• Consider the (1, 1) sigma model:

$$\mathcal{S}_{(1,1)}(\Phi) = \int_{\hat{\Sigma}} [\eta(\Phi) + b(\Phi)]_{ij} D_+ \Phi^i D_- \Phi^j,$$

- Extension to (2,2) twisted SUSY amounts to adding supercharges  $Q_{\pm}^2$  that satisfy  $\{Q_{\pm}^2, Q_{\pm}^2\} = -2\partial_{\pm}.$
- Such SUSY requires an additional structure on the target:

• Consider the (1, 1) sigma model:

$$\mathcal{S}_{(1,1)}(\Phi) = \int_{\hat{\Sigma}} [\eta(\Phi) + b(\Phi)]_{ij} D_+ \Phi^i D_- \Phi^j,$$

- Extension to (2,2) twisted SUSY amounts to adding supercharges  $Q_{\pm}^2$  that satisfy  $\{Q_{\pm}^2, Q_{\pm}^2\} = -2\partial_{\pm}.$
- Such SUSY requires an additional structure on the target:



• Consider the (1, 1) sigma model:

$$\mathcal{S}_{(1,1)}(\Phi) = \int_{\hat{\Sigma}} [\eta(\Phi) + b(\Phi)]_{ij} D_+ \Phi^i D_- \Phi^j,$$

- Extension to (2,2) twisted SUSY amounts to adding supercharges  $Q_{\pm}^2$  that satisfy  $\{Q_{\pm}^2, Q_{\pm}^2\} = -2\partial_{\pm}.$
- Such SUSY requires an additional structure on the target:



• Consider the (1, 1) sigma model:

$$\mathcal{S}_{(1,1)}(\Phi) = \int_{\hat{\Sigma}} [\eta(\Phi) + b(\Phi)]_{ij} D_+ \Phi^i D_- \Phi^j,$$

• This is invariant under the usual (1,1) SUSY  $Q_{\pm}^1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^{\pm}} + \theta^{\pm} \partial_{\pm}$ 

- Extension to (2,2) twisted SUSY amounts to adding supercharges  $Q_{\pm}^2$  that satisfy  $\{Q_{\pm}^2, Q_{\pm}^2\} = -2\partial_{\pm}.$
- Such SUSY requires an additional structure on the target:



•  $K_{\pm}$  integrable

• Consider the (1, 1) sigma model:

$$\mathcal{S}_{(1,1)}(\Phi) = \int_{\hat{\Sigma}} [\eta(\Phi) + b(\Phi)]_{ij} D_+ \Phi^i D_- \Phi^j,$$

- Extension to (2,2) twisted SUSY amounts to adding supercharges  $Q_{\pm}^2$  that satisfy  $\{Q_{\pm}^2, Q_{\pm}^2\} = -2\partial_{\pm}.$
- Such SUSY requires an additional structure on the target:



• Consider the (1, 1) sigma model:

$$\mathcal{S}_{(1,1)}(\Phi) = \int_{\hat{\Sigma}} [\eta(\Phi) + b(\Phi)]_{ij} D_+ \Phi^i D_- \Phi^j,$$

- Extension to (2,2) twisted SUSY amounts to adding supercharges  $Q_{\pm}^2$  that satisfy  $\{Q_{\pm}^2, Q_{\pm}^2\} = -2\partial_{\pm}.$
- Such SUSY requires an additional structure on the target:



• Consider the (1, 1) sigma model:

$$\mathcal{S}_{(1,1)}(\Phi) = \int_{\hat{\Sigma}} [\eta(\Phi) + b(\Phi)]_{ij} D_+ \Phi^i D_- \Phi^j,$$

- Extension to (2,2) twisted SUSY amounts to adding supercharges  $Q_{\pm}^2$  that satisfy  $\{Q_{\pm}^2, Q_{\pm}^2\} = -2\partial_{\pm}.$
- Such SUSY requires an additional structure on the target:



 $\bullet$  Generalized para-Kähler (GpK) structure is a commuting pair ( $\mathcal{G},\mathcal{K}_+),$  where

- Generalized para-Kähler (GpK) structure is a commuting pair ( $\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{K}_+$ ), where
  - ${\cal G}$  is a split-signature generalized metric, i.e. the metric on  ${\cal T}\oplus {\cal T}^*$  defined by

- Generalized para-Kähler (GpK) structure is a commuting pair ( $G, \mathcal{K}_+$ ), where
  - ${\cal G}$  is a split-signature generalized metric, i.e. the metric on  ${\cal T}\oplus {\cal T}^*$  defined by
  - Note, because  $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{K}_+)$  commute,  $\mathcal{K}_- = \mathcal{K}_+ \mathcal{G}$  is also generalized para-complex

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{G}} = \langle \mathcal{G} \cdot, \cdot \rangle,$$

has split signature.

- Generalized para-Kähler (GpK) structure is a commuting pair  $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{K}_+)$ , where
  - ${\cal G}$  is a split-signature generalized metric, i.e. the metric on  ${\cal T}\oplus {\cal T}^*$  defined by
  - Note, because  $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{K}_+)$  commute,  $\mathcal{K}_- = \mathcal{K}_+ \mathcal{G}$  is also generalized para-complex

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{G}} = \langle \mathcal{G} \cdot, \cdot \rangle,$$

has split signature.

• This is equivalent to the data of two para-Hermitian structures ( $\eta$ ,  $K_{\pm}$ ) and a *b*-field:

$$\mathcal{K}_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & 0 \\ b & \mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{K}_{+} \pm \mathcal{K}_{-} & \omega_{+}^{-1} \mp \omega_{-}^{-1} \\ \omega_{+} \mp \omega_{-} & -(\mathcal{K}_{+}^{*} \pm \mathcal{K}_{-}^{*}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & 0 \\ -b & \mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix}$$

- Generalized para-Kähler (GpK) structure is a commuting pair ( $\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{K}_+$ ), where
  - $\mathcal G$  is a split-signature generalized metric, i.e. the metric on  $\mathcal T\oplus\mathcal T^*$  defined by
  - Note, because  $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{K}_+)$  commute,  $\mathcal{K}_- = \mathcal{K}_+ \mathcal{G}$  is also generalized para-complex

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{G}} = \langle \mathcal{G} \cdot, \cdot \rangle,$$

has split signature.

• This is equivalent to the data of two para-Hermitian structures  $(\eta, K_{\pm})$  and a *b*-field:

$$\mathcal{K}_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & 0 \\ b & \mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} K_{+} \pm K_{-} & \omega_{+}^{-1} \mp \omega_{-}^{-1} \\ \omega_{+} \mp \omega_{-} & -(K_{+}^{*} \pm K_{-}^{*}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & 0 \\ -b & \mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix}$$

• The integrability of  $\mathcal{K}_\pm$  as generalized structures is equivalent to  $\mathcal{K}_\pm$  being integrable and

$$abla^{\pm}K_{\pm}=0, \ \nabla^{\pm}=\nabla^{\eta}\pm rac{1}{2}H.$$

- Generalized para-Kähler (GpK) structure is a commuting pair  $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{K}_+)$ , where
  - ${\cal G}$  is a split-signature generalized metric, i.e. the metric on  ${\cal T}\oplus {\cal T}^*$  defined by
  - Note, because  $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{K}_+)$  commute,  $\mathcal{K}_- = \mathcal{K}_+ \mathcal{G}$  is also generalized para-complex

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{G}} = \langle \mathcal{G} \cdot, \cdot \rangle,$$

has split signature.

• This is equivalent to the data of two para-Hermitian structures  $(\eta, K_{\pm})$  and a *b*-field:

$$\mathcal{K}_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & 0 \\ b & \mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} K_{+} \pm K_{-} & \omega_{+}^{-1} \mp \omega_{-}^{-1} \\ \omega_{+} \mp \omega_{-} & -(K_{+}^{*} \pm K_{-}^{*}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & 0 \\ -b & \mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix}$$

• The integrability of  $\mathcal{K}_\pm$  as generalized structures is equivalent to  $\mathcal{K}_\pm$  being integrable and

$$abla^{\pm}K_{\pm}=0, \ \nabla^{\pm}=\nabla^{\eta}\pm\frac{1}{2}H.$$

• Canonical example is given by the para-Kähler limit,  $K_{+} = \pm K_{-}$ , H = b = 0.

$$\mathcal{K}_{+} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{K} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & -\mathcal{K}^{*} \end{pmatrix}, \ \mathcal{K}_{-} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \omega^{-1} \\ \omega & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}, \ \mathcal{G} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \eta^{-1} \\ \eta & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}.$$

- Generalized para-Kähler (GpK) structure is a commuting pair  $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{K}_+)$ , where
  - ${\cal G}$  is a split-signature generalized metric, i.e. the metric on  ${\cal T}\oplus {\cal T}^*$  defined by
  - Note, because  $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{K}_+)$  commute,  $\mathcal{K}_- = \mathcal{K}_+ \mathcal{G}$  is also generalized para-complex

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{G}} = \langle \mathcal{G} \cdot, \cdot \rangle,$$

has split signature.

• This is equivalent to the data of two para-Hermitian structures  $(\eta, K_{\pm})$  and a *b*-field:

$$\mathcal{K}_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & 0 \\ b & \mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} K_{+} \pm K_{-} & \omega_{+}^{-1} \mp \omega_{-}^{-1} \\ \omega_{+} \mp \omega_{-} & -(K_{+}^{*} \pm K_{-}^{*}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & 0 \\ -b & \mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix}$$

• The integrability of  $\mathcal{K}_\pm$  as generalized structures is equivalent to  $\mathcal{K}_\pm$  being integrable and

$$abla^{\pm}K_{\pm}=0, \ \nabla^{\pm}=\nabla^{\eta}\pm\frac{1}{2}H.$$

• Canonical example is given by the para-Kähler limit,  $K_{+} = \pm K_{-}$ , H = b = 0.

$$\mathcal{K}_{+} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{K} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & -\mathcal{K}^{*} \end{pmatrix}, \ \mathcal{K}_{-} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \omega^{-1} \\ \omega & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}, \ \mathcal{G} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \eta^{-1} \\ \eta & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}.$$

- Generalized para-Kähler (GpK) structure is a commuting pair  $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{K}_+)$ , where
  - ${\cal G}$  is a split-signature generalized metric, i.e. the metric on  ${\cal T}\oplus {\cal T}^*$  defined by
  - Note, because  $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{K}_+)$  commute,  $\mathcal{K}_- = \mathcal{K}_+ \mathcal{G}$  is also generalized para-complex

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{G}} = \langle \mathcal{G} \cdot, \cdot \rangle,$$

has split signature.

• This is equivalent to the data of two para-Hermitian structures  $(\eta, K_{\pm})$  and a *b*-field:

$$\mathcal{K}_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & 0 \\ b & \mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} K_{+} \pm K_{-} & \omega_{+}^{-1} \mp \omega_{-}^{-1} \\ \omega_{+} \mp \omega_{-} & -(K_{+}^{*} \pm K_{-}^{*}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & 0 \\ -b & \mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix}$$

• The integrability of  $\mathcal{K}_\pm$  as generalized structures is equivalent to  $\mathcal{K}_\pm$  being integrable and

$$abla^{\pm}K_{\pm}=0, \ \nabla^{\pm}=\nabla^{\eta}\pm\frac{1}{2}H.$$

• Canonical example is given by the para-Kähler limit,  $K_{+} = \pm K_{-}$ , H = b = 0.

$$\mathcal{K}_{+} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{K} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & -\mathcal{K}^{*} \end{pmatrix}, \ \mathcal{K}_{-} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \omega^{-1} \\ \omega & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}, \ \mathcal{G} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \eta^{-1} \\ \eta & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}.$$

 The (2,2) twisted SUSY has an R-symmetry SO(1,1) × SO(1,1) which admits two different topological twists, each related to either K<sub>+</sub> or K<sub>−</sub> → A/B-type twists

- The (2,2) twisted SUSY has an R-symmetry SO(1,1) × SO(1,1) which admits two different topological twists, each related to either K<sub>+</sub> or K<sub>−</sub> → A/B-type twists
- In the para-Kähler limit, we get the para-Kähler model:

$$\mathcal{S}(\Phi, \tilde{\Phi}) = \int d^2 x d^2 heta d^2 ilde{ heta} \mathcal{K}(\Phi, \tilde{\Phi}),$$

- The (2,2) twisted SUSY has an R-symmetry SO(1,1) × SO(1,1) which admits two different topological twists, each related to either K<sub>+</sub> or K<sub>−</sub> → A/B-type twists
- In the para-Kähler limit, we get the para-Kähler model:

$$S(\Phi, \tilde{\Phi}) = \int d^2 x d^2 \theta d^2 \tilde{ heta} K(\Phi, \tilde{\Phi}),$$

- Here, K is the scalar para-Kähler potential
- $\bullet$  Recall: in this limit  $\mathcal{K}_\pm$  take the form

$$\mathcal{K}_+ = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{K} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & -\mathcal{K}^* \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{K}_- = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \omega^{-1} \\ \omega & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}.$$

- The (2,2) twisted SUSY has an R-symmetry SO(1,1) × SO(1,1) which admits two different topological twists, each related to either K<sub>+</sub> or K<sub>−</sub> → A/B-type twists
- In the para-Kähler limit, we get the para-Kähler model:

$$S(\Phi, \tilde{\Phi}) = \int d^2x d^2\theta d^2 \tilde{ heta} K(\Phi, \tilde{\Phi}),$$

- Here, K is the scalar para-Kähler potential
- $\bullet$  Recall: in this limit  $\mathcal{K}_\pm$  take the form

$$\mathcal{K}_+ = egin{pmatrix} \mathcal{K} & 0 \ 0 & -\mathcal{K}^* \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{K}_- = egin{pmatrix} 0 & \omega^{-1} \ \omega & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

• The A-type twist is given by  $\mathcal{K}_-$ , i.e. by the underlying symplectic structure, therefore is the same as for usual (complex) Kähler model

- The (2,2) twisted SUSY has an R-symmetry SO(1,1) × SO(1,1) which admits two different topological twists, each related to either K<sub>+</sub> or K<sub>−</sub> → A/B-type twists
- In the para-Kähler limit, we get the para-Kähler model:

$$S(\Phi, \tilde{\Phi}) = \int d^2 x d^2 \theta d^2 \tilde{ heta} K(\Phi, \tilde{\Phi}),$$

- Here, K is the scalar para-Kähler potential
- $\bullet$  Recall: in this limit  $\mathcal{K}_\pm$  take the form

$$\mathcal{K}_+ = egin{pmatrix} \mathcal{K} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & -\mathcal{K}^* \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{K}_- = egin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \omega^{-1} \\ \omega & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}.$$

- The A-type twist is given by  $\mathcal{K}_{-}$ , i.e. by the underlying symplectic structure, therefore is the same as for usual (complex) Kähler model
- The **B-type twist** is given by  $\mathcal{K}_+$ , i.e. by the para-complex structure, therefore gives a new example of a topological theory

• Para-Hermitian geometry naturally appears in DFT

- Para-Hermitian geometry naturally appears in DFT
- GpK manifolds are para-Hermitian in two different ways

- Para-Hermitian geometry naturally appears in DFT
- GpK manifolds are para-Hermitian in two different ways
- [Freidel, Rudolph, DS '18]: "On any para-Hermitian manifold  $(M, \eta, K)$ , there exists a **unique** D-bracket [, , ]] on the tangent bundle appropriately compatible with  $(\eta, K)$  and satisfying Leibniz property"

- Para-Hermitian geometry naturally appears in DFT
- GpK manifolds are para-Hermitian in two different ways
- [Freidel, Rudolph, DS '18]: "On any para-Hermitian manifold  $(M, \eta, K)$ , there exists a **unique** D-bracket  $[\![,]\!]$  on the tangent bundle appropriately compatible with  $(\eta, K)$  and satisfying Leibniz property"
  - $\longrightarrow$  Locally, one recovers the D-bracket known from DFT

- Para-Hermitian geometry naturally appears in DFT
- GpK manifolds are para-Hermitian in two different ways
- [Freidel, Rudolph, DS '18]: "On any para-Hermitian manifold  $(M, \eta, K)$ , there exists a **unique** D-bracket [, ]] on the tangent bundle appropriately compatible with  $(\eta, K)$  and satisfying Leibniz property"
  - $\longrightarrow$  Locally, one recovers the D-bracket known from DFT

$$\llbracket X, Y \rrbracket^J = X^I \partial_I Y^J - Y^I \partial_I X^J + \eta_{IL} \eta^{KJ} Y^I \partial_K X^L$$
# Relationship to DFT

- Para-Hermitian geometry naturally appears in DFT
- GpK manifolds are para-Hermitian in two different ways
- [Freidel, Rudolph, DS '18]: "On any para-Hermitian manifold  $(M, \eta, K)$ , there exists a **unique** D-bracket [, , ]] on the tangent bundle appropriately compatible with  $(\eta, K)$  and satisfying Leibniz property"  $\longrightarrow$  Locally, one recovers the D-bracket known from DFT

$$\llbracket X, Y \rrbracket^J = X^I \partial_I Y^J - Y^I \partial_I X^J + \eta_{IL} \eta^{KJ} Y^I \partial_K X^L$$

• [DS '18]: "Fluxes of the D-bracket are a relative phenomenon between two para-Hermitian structures K and K'"

# Relationship to DFT

- Para-Hermitian geometry naturally appears in DFT
- GpK manifolds are para-Hermitian in two different ways
- [Freidel, Rudolph, DS '18]: "On any para-Hermitian manifold  $(M, \eta, K)$ , there exists a **unique** D-bracket  $[\![, ]\!]$  on the tangent bundle appropriately compatible with  $(\eta, K)$  and satisfying Leibniz property"  $\longrightarrow$  Locally, one recovers the D-bracket known from DFT

$$\llbracket X, Y \rrbracket^J = X^I \partial_I Y^J - Y^I \partial_I X^J + \eta_{IL} \eta^{KJ} Y^I \partial_K X^L$$

• [DS '18]: "Fluxes of the D-bracket are a relative phenomenon between two para-Hermitian structures K and K'"

$$\mathcal{F}(X, Y, Z) = \eta(\llbracket X, Y \rrbracket - \llbracket X, Y \rrbracket', Z)$$

• The D-brackets [[, ]]<sub>±</sub> associated to the para-Hermitian structures  $(\eta, K_{\pm})$  have a relative flux given by the *H*-flux of the Courant algebroid:

# Relationship to DFT

- Para-Hermitian geometry naturally appears in DFT
- GpK manifolds are para-Hermitian in two different ways
- [Freidel, Rudolph, DS '18]: "On any para-Hermitian manifold  $(M, \eta, K)$ , there exists a **unique** D-bracket  $[\![, ]\!]$  on the tangent bundle appropriately compatible with  $(\eta, K)$  and satisfying Leibniz property"  $\longrightarrow$  Locally, one recovers the D-bracket known from DFT

$$\llbracket X, Y \rrbracket^J = X^I \partial_I Y^J - Y^I \partial_I X^J + \eta_{IL} \eta^{KJ} Y^I \partial_K X^L$$

• [DS '18]: "Fluxes of the D-bracket are a relative phenomenon between two para-Hermitian structures K and K'"

$$\mathcal{F}(X, Y, Z) = \eta(\llbracket X, Y \rrbracket - \llbracket X, Y \rrbracket', Z)$$

• The D-brackets [[, ]]<sub>±</sub> associated to the para-Hermitian structures  $(\eta, K_{\pm})$  have a relative flux given by the *H*-flux of the Courant algebroid:

$$\mathcal{F}(X,Y,Z) = \eta(\llbracket X,Y \rrbracket_+ - \llbracket X,Y \rrbracket_-,Z) = H(X,Y,Z).$$

• Let us return to the (1,1) sigma model on a Riemannian target (M,g):

$$S_{(1,1)}(\Phi) = \int_{\hat{\Sigma}} [g(\Phi) + b(\Phi)]_{ij} D_+ \Phi^i D_- \Phi^j,$$

• Let us return to the (1,1) sigma model on a Riemannian target (M,g):

$$S_{(1,1)}(\Phi) = \int_{\hat{\Sigma}} [g(\Phi) + b(\Phi)]_{ij} D_+ \Phi^i D_- \Phi^j,$$

•  $S_{(1,1)}$  is classically invariant under superconformal symmetries  $\delta_{g_{\pm}}$  with currents  $T_{\pm}$ 

• Let us return to the (1,1) sigma model on a Riemannian target (M,g):

$$S_{(1,1)}(\Phi) = \int_{\hat{\Sigma}} [g(\Phi) + b(\Phi)]_{ij} D_+ \Phi^i D_- \Phi^j,$$

•  $S_{(1,1)}$  is classically invariant under superconformal symmetries  $\delta_{g_{\pm}}$  with currents  $T_{\pm}$ 

• Let us return to the (1,1) sigma model on a Riemannian target (M,g):

$$S_{(1,1)}(\Phi) = \int_{\hat{\Sigma}} [g(\Phi) + b(\Phi)]_{ij} D_+ \Phi^i D_- \Phi^j,$$

- $S_{(1,1)}$  is classically invariant under superconformal symmetries  $\delta_{g_{\pm}}$  with currents  $\mathcal{T}_{\pm}$
- [Stojevic '10]: Consider a situation when the superconformal symmetries factorize,  $\delta_{g_{\pm}} = \delta_{g_{\pm}}^1 + \delta_{g_{\pm}}^2$  and the currents split as

$$T_{\pm}=T_{\pm}^1+T_{\pm}^2.$$

• Let us return to the (1,1) sigma model on a Riemannian target (M,g):

$$S_{(1,1)}(\Phi) = \int_{\hat{\Sigma}} [g(\Phi) + b(\Phi)]_{ij} D_+ \Phi^i D_- \Phi^j,$$

- $S_{(1,1)}$  is classically invariant under superconformal symmetries  $\delta_{g_{\pm}}$  with currents  $\mathcal{T}_{\pm}$
- [Stojevic '10]: Consider a situation when the superconformal symmetries factorize,  $\delta_{g_{\pm}} = \delta_{g_{\pm}}^1 + \delta_{g_{\pm}}^2$  and the currents split as

$$T_{\pm}=T_{\pm}^1+T_{\pm}^2.$$

What is the target geometry required for this? → M needs to carry a pair of chiral structures J<sub>±</sub>, such that

$$g(J_{\pm}\cdot,J_{\pm}\cdot)=g(\cdot,\cdot), \quad 
abla^{\pm}J_{\pm}=0, \quad 
abla^{\pm}=
abla^{g}\pm rac{1}{2}H,$$

• Let us return to the (1,1) sigma model on a Riemannian target (M,g):

$$S_{(1,1)}(\Phi) = \int_{\hat{\Sigma}} [g(\Phi) + b(\Phi)]_{ij} D_+ \Phi^i D_- \Phi^j,$$

- $S_{(1,1)}$  is classically invariant under superconformal symmetries  $\delta_{g_{\pm}}$  with currents  $\mathcal{T}_{\pm}$
- [Stojevic '10]: Consider a situation when the superconformal symmetries factorize,  $\delta_{g_{\pm}} = \delta_{g_{\pm}}^1 + \delta_{g_{\pm}}^2$  and the currents split as

$$T_{\pm}=T_{\pm}^1+T_{\pm}^2.$$

 What is the target geometry required for this? → M needs to carry a pair of chiral structures J<sub>±</sub>, such that

$$g(J_{\pm}\cdot,J_{\pm}\cdot)=g(\cdot,\cdot), \quad 
abla^{\pm}J_{\pm}=0, \quad 
abla^{\pm}=
abla^{g}\pm rac{1}{2}H,$$

• Let us return to the (1,1) sigma model on a Riemannian target (M,g):

$$S_{(1,1)}(\Phi) = \int_{\hat{\Sigma}} [g(\Phi) + b(\Phi)]_{ij} D_+ \Phi^i D_- \Phi^j,$$

- $S_{(1,1)}$  is classically invariant under superconformal symmetries  $\delta_{g_{\pm}}$  with currents  $\mathcal{T}_{\pm}$
- [Stojevic '10]: Consider a situation when the superconformal symmetries factorize,  $\delta_{g_{\pm}} = \delta_{g_{\pm}}^1 + \delta_{g_{\pm}}^2$  and the currents split as

$$T_{\pm}=T_{\pm}^1+T_{\pm}^2.$$

 What is the target geometry required for this? → M needs to carry a pair of chiral structures J<sub>±</sub>, such that

$$g(J_{\pm}\cdot,J_{\pm}\cdot)=g(\cdot,\cdot), \quad 
abla^{\pm}J_{\pm}=0, \quad 
abla^{\pm}=
abla^{g}\pm rac{1}{2}H,$$

• Let us return to the (1,1) sigma model on a Riemannian target (M,g):

$$S_{(1,1)}(\Phi) = \int_{\hat{\Sigma}} [g(\Phi) + b(\Phi)]_{ij} D_+ \Phi^i D_- \Phi^j,$$

- $S_{(1,1)}$  is classically invariant under superconformal symmetries  $\delta_{g_{\pm}}$  with currents  $\mathcal{T}_{\pm}$
- [Stojevic '10]: Consider a situation when the superconformal symmetries factorize,  $\delta_{g_{\pm}} = \delta_{g_{\pm}}^1 + \delta_{g_{\pm}}^2$  and the currents split as

$$T_{\pm}=T_{\pm}^1+T_{\pm}^2.$$

 What is the target geometry required for this? → M needs to carry a pair of chiral structures J<sub>±</sub>, such that

$$g(J_{\pm}\cdot,J_{\pm}\cdot)=g(\cdot,\cdot), \quad 
abla^{\pm}J_{\pm}=0, \quad 
abla^{\pm}=
abla^{g}\pmrac{1}{2}H,$$



• Let us return to the (1,1) sigma model on a Riemannian target (M,g):

$$S_{(1,1)}(\Phi) = \int_{\hat{\Sigma}} [g(\Phi) + b(\Phi)]_{ij} D_+ \Phi^i D_- \Phi^j,$$

- $S_{(1,1)}$  is classically invariant under superconformal symmetries  $\delta_{g_{\pm}}$  with currents  $\mathcal{T}_{\pm}$
- [Stojevic '10]: Consider a situation when the superconformal symmetries factorize,  $\delta_{g_{\pm}} = \delta_{g_{\pm}}^1 + \delta_{g_{\pm}}^2$  and the currents split as

$$T_{\pm}=T_{\pm}^1+T_{\pm}^2.$$

What is the target geometry required for this? → M needs to carry a pair of chiral structures J<sub>±</sub>, such that

$$g(J_{\pm}\cdot,J_{\pm}\cdot)=g(\cdot,\cdot), \quad 
abla^{\pm}J_{\pm}=0, \quad 
abla^{\pm}=
abla^{g}\pmrac{1}{2}H,$$



• Generalized chiral structure:  $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{J}_+)$  is a commuting pair  $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{J}_+)$ , where

- Generalized chiral structure:  $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{J}_+)$  is a commuting pair  $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{J}_+)$ , where
  - $\mathcal{J}_+$  and  $\mathcal{J}_- = \mathcal{J}_+ \mathcal{G}$  are a gen. para-complex structures
  - $\bullet \ {\cal G}$  is a generalized metric

- Generalized chiral structure:  $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{J}_+)$  is a commuting pair  $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{J}_+)$ , where
  - $\mathcal{J}_+$  and  $\mathcal{J}_- = \mathcal{J}_+ \mathcal{G}$  are a gen. para-complex structures
  - G is a generalized metric
- This is equivalent to the data of two chiral structures  $(g, J_{\pm})$  and a *b*-field:

- Generalized chiral structure:  $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{J}_+)$  is a commuting pair  $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{J}_+)$ , where
  - $\mathcal{J}_+$  and  $\mathcal{J}_-=\mathcal{J}_+\mathcal{G}$  are a gen. para-complex structures
  - G is a generalized metric
- This is equivalent to the data of two chiral structures  $(g, J_{\pm})$  and a *b*-field:

$$\mathcal{J}_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & 0 \\ b & \mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} J_+ \pm J_- & \eta_+^{-1} \mp \eta_-^{-1} \\ \eta_+ \mp \eta_- & (J_+^* \pm J_-^*) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & 0 \\ -b & \mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\eta_{\pm} = g J_{\pm}$ .

- Generalized chiral structure:  $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{J}_+)$  is a commuting pair  $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{J}_+)$ , where
  - $\mathcal{J}_+$  and  $\mathcal{J}_-=\mathcal{J}_+\mathcal{G}$  are a gen. para-complex structures
  - $\mathcal{G}$  is a generalized metric
- This is equivalent to the data of two chiral structures  $(g, J_{\pm})$  and a *b*-field:

$$\mathcal{J}_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & 0 \\ b & \mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} J_{+} \pm J_{-} & \eta_{+}^{-1} \mp \eta_{-}^{-1} \\ \eta_{+} \mp \eta_{-} & (J_{+}^{*} \pm J_{-}^{*}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & 0 \\ -b & \mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\eta_{\pm} = g J_{\pm}$ .

• Canonical example is given by a chiral structure  $(\eta, g, J)$ :

- Generalized chiral structure:  $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{J}_+)$  is a commuting pair  $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{J}_+)$ , where
  - $\mathcal{J}_+$  and  $\mathcal{J}_-=\mathcal{J}_+\mathcal{G}$  are a gen. para-complex structures
  - G is a generalized metric
- This is equivalent to the data of two chiral structures  $(g, J_{\pm})$  and a *b*-field:

$$\mathcal{J}_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & 0 \\ b & \mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} J_{+} \pm J_{-} & \eta_{+}^{-1} \mp \eta_{-}^{-1} \\ \eta_{+} \mp \eta_{-} & (J_{+}^{*} \pm J_{-}^{*}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & 0 \\ -b & \mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\eta_{\pm} = g J_{\pm}$ .

• Canonical example is given by a chiral structure  $(\eta, g, J)$ :

$$\mathcal{J}_{+} = \begin{pmatrix} J & 0 \\ 0 & J^{*} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{J}_{-} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \eta^{-1} \\ \eta & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{G} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{H}^{-1} \\ \mathcal{H} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- Generalized chiral structure:  $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{J}_+)$  is a commuting pair  $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{J}_+)$ , where
  - $\mathcal{J}_+$  and  $\mathcal{J}_-=\mathcal{J}_+\mathcal{G}$  are a gen. para-complex structures
  - $\mathcal{G}$  is a generalized metric
- This is equivalent to the data of two chiral structures  $(g, J_{\pm})$  and a *b*-field:

$$\mathcal{J}_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & 0 \\ b & \mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} J_{+} \pm J_{-} & \eta_{+}^{-1} \mp \eta_{-}^{-1} \\ \eta_{+} \mp \eta_{-} & (J_{+}^{*} \pm J_{-}^{*}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & 0 \\ -b & \mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\eta_{\pm} = g J_{\pm}$ .

• Canonical example is given by a chiral structure  $(\eta, g, J)$ :

$$\mathcal{J}_+ = \begin{pmatrix} J & 0 \\ 0 & J^* \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{J}_- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \eta^{-1} \\ \eta & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{G} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{H}^{-1} \\ \mathcal{H} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

 For the superconformal algebra to factorize, the chiral structures J<sub>±</sub> need not be integrable → the generalized chiral structure is weakly integrable

- Generalized chiral structure:  $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{J}_+)$  is a commuting pair  $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{J}_+)$ , where
  - $\mathcal{J}_+$  and  $\mathcal{J}_-=\mathcal{J}_+\mathcal{G}$  are a gen. para-complex structures
  - G is a generalized metric
- This is equivalent to the data of two chiral structures  $(g, J_{\pm})$  and a *b*-field:

$$\mathcal{J}_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & 0 \\ b & \mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} J_{+} \pm J_{-} & \eta_{+}^{-1} \mp \eta_{-}^{-1} \\ \eta_{+} \mp \eta_{-} & (J_{+}^{*} \pm J_{-}^{*}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & 0 \\ -b & \mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\eta_{\pm} = g J_{\pm}$ .

• Canonical example is given by a chiral structure  $(\eta, g, J)$ :

$$\mathcal{J}_+ = egin{pmatrix} J & 0 \ 0 & J^* \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{J}_- = egin{pmatrix} 0 & \eta^{-1} \ \eta & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{G} = egin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{H}^{-1} \ \mathcal{H} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

 For the superconformal algebra to factorize, the chiral structures J<sub>±</sub> need not be integrable → the generalized chiral structure is weakly integrable

$$\nabla^{\pm} J_{\pm} = 0 \Longleftrightarrow D^{\mathcal{G}} \mathcal{J}_{\pm} = 0.$$

- Generalized chiral structure:  $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{J}_+)$  is a commuting pair  $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{J}_+)$ , where
  - $\mathcal{J}_+$  and  $\mathcal{J}_-=\mathcal{J}_+\mathcal{G}$  are a gen. para-complex structures
  - $\mathcal{G}$  is a generalized metric
- This is equivalent to the data of two chiral structures  $(g, J_{\pm})$  and a *b*-field:

$$\mathcal{J}_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & 0 \\ b & \mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} J_{+} \pm J_{-} & \eta_{+}^{-1} \mp \eta_{-}^{-1} \\ \eta_{+} \mp \eta_{-} & (J_{+}^{*} \pm J_{-}^{*}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & 0 \\ -b & \mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\eta_{\pm} = g J_{\pm}$ .

• Canonical example is given by a chiral structure  $(\eta, g, J)$ :

$$\mathcal{J}_+ = \begin{pmatrix} J & 0 \\ 0 & J^* \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{J}_- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \eta^{-1} \\ \eta & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{G} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{H}^{-1} \\ \mathcal{H} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

• For the superconformal algebra to factorize, the chiral structures  $J_{\pm}$  need not be integrable  $\longrightarrow$  the generalized chiral structure is **weakly** integrable

$$\nabla^{\pm} J_{\pm} = \mathbf{0} \Longleftrightarrow D^{\mathcal{G}} \mathcal{J}_{\pm} = \mathbf{0}.$$

• In contrast to the symmetries  $\delta_{g_\pm}$ , the induced symmetries  $\delta_\pm^{1/2}$  then do not have a space-time interpretation

- Generalized chiral structure:  $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{J}_+)$  is a commuting pair  $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{J}_+)$ , where
  - $\mathcal{J}_+$  and  $\mathcal{J}_-=\mathcal{J}_+\mathcal{G}$  are a gen. para-complex structures
  - $\mathcal{G}$  is a generalized metric
- This is equivalent to the data of two chiral structures  $(g, J_{\pm})$  and a *b*-field:

$$\mathcal{J}_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & 0 \\ b & \mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} J_{+} \pm J_{-} & \eta_{+}^{-1} \mp \eta_{-}^{-1} \\ \eta_{+} \mp \eta_{-} & (J_{+}^{*} \pm J_{-}^{*}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & 0 \\ -b & \mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\eta_{\pm} = g J_{\pm}$ .

• Canonical example is given by a chiral structure  $(\eta, g, J)$ :

$$\mathcal{J}_+ = \begin{pmatrix} J & 0 \\ 0 & J^* \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{J}_- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \eta^{-1} \\ \eta & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{G} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{H}^{-1} \\ \mathcal{H} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

• For the superconformal algebra to factorize, the chiral structures  $J_{\pm}$  need not be integrable  $\longrightarrow$  the generalized chiral structure is **weakly** integrable

$$\nabla^{\pm} J_{\pm} = \mathbf{0} \Longleftrightarrow D^{\mathcal{G}} \mathcal{J}_{\pm} = \mathbf{0}.$$

- In contrast to the symmetries  $\delta_{g_\pm}$ , the induced symmetries  $\delta_\pm^{1/2}$  then do not have a space-time interpretation
- The author relates this to non-geometric backgrounds

Recall: The commuting pair construction on  $T \oplus T^*$  always yields a pair of tangent bundle structures and a compatible metric on T

Recall: The commuting pair construction on  $T \oplus T^*$  always yields a pair of tangent bundle structures and a compatible metric on T

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{I} \hspace{0.1cm} \text{gen. complex} \\ \mathcal{K} \hspace{0.1cm} \text{gen. para-complex} \\ \mathcal{J} \hspace{0.1cm} \text{gen. chiral} \end{array} \right\} + \hspace{0.1cm} \text{commuting} \hspace{0.1cm} \mathcal{G} \Rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (g, I_{\pm}) \hspace{0.1cm} \text{bi-Hermitian} \\ (\eta, K_{\pm}) \hspace{0.1cm} \text{bi-para-Hermitian} \\ (g, J_{\pm}) \hspace{0.1cm} \text{bi-chiral} \end{array} \right.$$

Recall: The commuting pair construction on  $T \oplus T^*$  always yields a pair of tangent bundle structures and a compatible metric on T

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{I} \hspace{0.1cm} \text{gen. complex} \\ \mathcal{K} \hspace{0.1cm} \text{gen. para-complex} \\ \mathcal{J} \hspace{0.1cm} \text{gen. chiral} \end{array} \right\} + \hspace{0.1cm} \text{commuting} \hspace{0.1cm} \mathcal{G} \Rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (g, l_{\pm}) \hspace{0.1cm} \text{bi-Hermitian} \\ (\eta, K_{\pm}) \hspace{0.1cm} \text{bi-para-Hermitian} \\ (g, J_{\pm}) \hspace{0.1cm} \text{bi-chiral} \end{array} \right.$$

An important case occurs when the corresponding pair of tangent bundle structures anti-commute

Recall: The commuting pair construction on  $T \oplus T^*$  always yields a pair of tangent bundle structures and a compatible metric on T

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{I} \hspace{0.1cm} \text{gen. complex} \\ \mathcal{K} \hspace{0.1cm} \text{gen. para-complex} \\ \mathcal{J} \hspace{0.1cm} \text{gen. chiral} \end{array} \right\} + \hspace{0.1cm} \text{commuting} \hspace{0.1cm} \mathcal{G} \Rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (g, l_{\pm}) \hspace{0.1cm} \text{bi-Hermitian} \\ (\eta, K_{\pm}) \hspace{0.1cm} \text{bi-para-Hermitian} \\ (g, J_{\pm}) \hspace{0.1cm} \text{bi-chiral} \end{array} \right.$$

An important case occurs when the corresponding pair of tangent bundle structures anti-commute

• For GK geometry, this gives Hyper-Kähler geometry

Recall: The commuting pair construction on  $T \oplus T^*$  always yields a pair of tangent bundle structures and a compatible metric on T

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{I} \hspace{0.1cm} \text{gen. complex} \\ \mathcal{K} \hspace{0.1cm} \text{gen. para-complex} \\ \mathcal{J} \hspace{0.1cm} \text{gen. chiral} \end{array} \right\} + \hspace{0.1cm} \text{commuting} \hspace{0.1cm} \mathcal{G} \Rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (g, l_{\pm}) \hspace{0.1cm} \text{bi-Hermitian} \\ (\eta, K_{\pm}) \hspace{0.1cm} \text{bi-para-Hermitian} \\ (g, J_{\pm}) \hspace{0.1cm} \text{bi-chiral} \end{array} \right.$$

An important case occurs when the corresponding pair of tangent bundle structures anti-commute

- For GK geometry, this gives Hyper-Kähler geometry
- For GpK geometry, this is para-Hyper-Kähler geometry:

Recall: The commuting pair construction on  $T \oplus T^*$  always yields a pair of tangent bundle structures and a compatible metric on T

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{I} \hspace{0.1cm} \text{gen. complex} \\ \mathcal{K} \hspace{0.1cm} \text{gen. para-complex} \\ \mathcal{J} \hspace{0.1cm} \text{gen. chiral} \end{array} \right\} + \hspace{0.1cm} \text{commuting} \hspace{0.1cm} \mathcal{G} \Rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (g, l_{\pm}) \hspace{0.1cm} \text{bi-Hermitian} \\ (\eta, K_{\pm}) \hspace{0.1cm} \text{bi-para-Hermitian} \\ (g, J_{\pm}) \hspace{0.1cm} \text{bi-chiral} \end{array} \right.$$

An important case occurs when the corresponding pair of tangent bundle structures anti-commute

- For GK geometry, this gives Hyper-Kähler geometry
- For GpK geometry, this is para-Hyper-Kähler geometry:
  - $\{K_+, K_-\} = 0 \implies I = K_+K_-$  is a complex structure  $\longrightarrow (K_{\pm}, I)$  is a para-hypercomplex triple

$$-I^2 = K_{\pm}^2 = \mathbb{1}$$

Recall: The commuting pair construction on  $T \oplus T^*$  always yields a pair of tangent bundle structures and a compatible metric on T

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{I} \hspace{0.1cm} \text{gen. complex} \\ \mathcal{K} \hspace{0.1cm} \text{gen. para-complex} \\ \mathcal{J} \hspace{0.1cm} \text{gen. chiral} \end{array} \right\} + \hspace{0.1cm} \text{commuting} \hspace{0.1cm} \mathcal{G} \Rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (g, l_{\pm}) \hspace{0.1cm} \text{bi-Hermitian} \\ (\eta, K_{\pm}) \hspace{0.1cm} \text{bi-para-Hermitian} \\ (g, J_{\pm}) \hspace{0.1cm} \text{bi-chiral} \end{array} \right.$$

An important case occurs when the corresponding pair of tangent bundle structures anti-commute

- For GK geometry, this gives Hyper-Kähler geometry
- For GpK geometry, this is para-Hyper-Kähler geometry:
  - $\{K_+, K_-\} = 0 \implies I = K_+K_-$  is a complex structure  $\longrightarrow (K_{\pm}, I)$  is a para-hypercomplex triple

$$-I^2 = K_{\pm}^2 = \mathbb{1}$$

• By contracting  $\eta$  with  $(I, K_{\pm})$  one gets three different symplectic structures

Recall: The commuting pair construction on  $T \oplus T^*$  always yields a pair of tangent bundle structures and a compatible metric on T

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{I} \hspace{0.1cm} \text{gen. complex} \\ \mathcal{K} \hspace{0.1cm} \text{gen. para-complex} \\ \mathcal{J} \hspace{0.1cm} \text{gen. chiral} \end{array} \right\} + \hspace{0.1cm} \text{commuting} \hspace{0.1cm} \mathcal{G} \Rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (g, l_{\pm}) \hspace{0.1cm} \text{bi-Hermitian} \\ (\eta, K_{\pm}) \hspace{0.1cm} \text{bi-para-Hermitian} \\ (g, J_{\pm}) \hspace{0.1cm} \text{bi-chiral} \end{array} \right.$$

An important case occurs when the corresponding pair of tangent bundle structures anti-commute

- For GK geometry, this gives Hyper-Kähler geometry
- For GpK geometry, this is para-Hyper-Kähler geometry:
  - $\{K_+, K_-\} = 0 \Longrightarrow I = K_+K_-$  is a complex structure  $\longrightarrow (K_{\pm}, I)$  is a para-hypercomplex triple

$$-I^2 = K_{\pm}^2 = \mathbb{1}$$

- By contracting  $\eta$  with  $(I, K_{\pm})$  one gets three different symplectic structures
- For generalized chiral geometry, one gets again a para-hypercomplex triple  $(J_{\pm}, I = J_{+}J_{-})$ , but orthogonality is different

Recall: The commuting pair construction on  $T \oplus T^*$  always yields a pair of tangent bundle structures and a compatible metric on T

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{I} \hspace{0.1cm} \text{gen. complex} \\ \mathcal{K} \hspace{0.1cm} \text{gen. para-complex} \\ \mathcal{J} \hspace{0.1cm} \text{gen. chiral} \end{array} \right\} + \hspace{0.1cm} \text{commuting} \hspace{0.1cm} \mathcal{G} \Rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (g, l_{\pm}) \hspace{0.1cm} \text{bi-Hermitian} \\ (\eta, K_{\pm}) \hspace{0.1cm} \text{bi-para-Hermitian} \\ (g, J_{\pm}) \hspace{0.1cm} \text{bi-chiral} \end{array} \right.$$

An important case occurs when the corresponding pair of tangent bundle structures anti-commute

- For GK geometry, this gives Hyper-Kähler geometry
- For GpK geometry, this is para-Hyper-Kähler geometry:
  - $\{K_+, K_-\} = 0 \Longrightarrow I = K_+K_-$  is a complex structure  $\longrightarrow (K_{\pm}, I)$  is a para-hypercomplex triple

$$-I^2 = K_{\pm}^2 = \mathbb{1}$$

- By contracting  $\eta$  with  $(I, K_{\pm})$  one gets three different symplectic structures
- For generalized chiral geometry, one gets again a para-hypercomplex triple  $(J_{\pm}, I = J_{\pm}J_{-})$ , but orthogonality is different
  - $\longrightarrow$  This is **Born geometry**  $(\eta, \mathcal{K}, J)$ , upon identifying

$$\eta = \eta_+, \quad J = J_+, \quad K = J_-$$

• Twisted SUSY: Topological twists, Mirror symmetry, relationship to DFT, ...

- Twisted SUSY: Topological twists, Mirror symmetry, relationship to DFT, ...
- Generalized Chiral  $\longleftrightarrow$  Born, how does DFT fit here, what is the gen. Chiral sigma model?

- Twisted SUSY: Topological twists, Mirror symmetry, relationship to DFT, ...
- Generalized Chiral  $\longleftrightarrow$  Born, how does DFT fit here, what is the gen. Chiral sigma model?
- Integrability of Born in this picture

- Twisted SUSY: Topological twists, Mirror symmetry, relationship to DFT, ...
- Generalized Chiral  $\longleftrightarrow$  Born, how does DFT fit here, what is the gen. Chiral sigma model?
- Integrability of Born in this picture
- Non-geometric backgrounds?
## Thank you for your attention!