NEUTRINO MASSES AND BIMETRIC GRAVITY THE SPECTRAL ACTION IN ACTION

Andrzej Sitarz

Institute of Physics Jagiellonian University Kraków



Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences Warsaw



18.09.2019 Humboldt Kolleg Corfu

Alexander von Humboldt Stiftung/Foundation

PARTICLE INTERACTIONS ARE ENCODED IN GEOMETRY



PARTICLE INTERACTIONS ARE ENCODED IN GEOMETRY



"Our imagination is struck only by what is great; but the lover of natural philosophy should reflect equally on little things."

SPECTRAL GEOMETRY

・ロト・(型ト・(ヨト・(ヨト))日

GEOMETRY OF THE PARTICLES & SPACETIME

GEOMETRY OF THE PARTICLES & SPACETIME

• what matters is spinors

(□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)

GEOMETRY OF THE PARTICLES & SPACETIME

- what *matters* is *spinors*
- spin geometry is the *Dirac* operator

GEOMETRY OF THE PARTICLES & SPACETIME

- what matters is spinors
- spin geometry is the *Dirac* operator
- spinor bundle and the Dirac operator encode most of geometry

GEOMETRY OF THE PARTICLES & SPACETIME

- what matters is spinors
- spin geometry is the *Dirac* operator
- spinor bundle and the Dirac operator encode most of geometry
- spinor bundle and the Dirac operator encode all/most of physics

GEOMETRY OF THE PARTICLES & SPACETIME

- what matters is spinors
- spin geometry is the *Dirac* operator
- spinor bundle and the Dirac operator encode most of geometry
- spinor bundle and the Dirac operator encode all/most of physics

GEOMETRY OF THE SPACETIME

GEOMETRY OF THE PARTICLES & SPACETIME

- what matters is spinors
- spin geometry is the *Dirac* operator
- spinor bundle and the Dirac operator encode most of geometry
- spinor bundle and the Dirac operator encode all/most of physics

GEOMETRY OF THE SPACETIME

the metric is encoded in the Dirac operator (or Laplace operator)

GEOMETRY OF THE PARTICLES & SPACETIME

- what matters is spinors
- spin geometry is the *Dirac* operator
- spinor bundle and the Dirac operator encode most of geometry
- spinor bundle and the Dirac operator encode all/most of physics

GEOMETRY OF THE SPACETIME

- the metric is encoded in the Dirac operator (or Laplace operator)
- gauge connections, Higgs, particle masses are in the Dirac operator

DEFINE THE GEOMETRY IN AN ALGEBRAIC WAY [Á LA CONNES]

Algebra A, its (faithful) representation π on a Hilbert space H, a selfadjoint operator D, satisfying several (reasonable) conditions.

DEFINE THE GEOMETRY IN AN ALGEBRAIC WAY [Á LA CONNES]

Algebra A, its (faithful) representation π on a Hilbert space H, a selfadjoint operator D, satisfying several (reasonable) conditions.

Each fermion is described by a spectral triple (spinor + Dirac)

DEFINE THE GEOMETRY IN AN ALGEBRAIC WAY [Á LA CONNES]

Algebra A, its (faithful) representation π on a Hilbert space H, a selfadjoint operator D, satisfying several (reasonable) conditions.

- Each fermion is described by a spectral triple (spinor + Dirac)
- the collection of all particles with the interactions corresponds to a finite spectral triple (finite algebra)

(ロ) (雪) (ヨ) (ヨ) (コ)

DEFINE THE GEOMETRY IN AN ALGEBRAIC WAY [Á LA CONNES]

Algebra A, its (faithful) representation π on a Hilbert space H, a selfadjoint operator D, satisfying several (reasonable) conditions.

- Each fermion is described by a spectral triple (spinor + Dirac)
- the collection of all particles with the interactions corresponds to a finite spectral triple (finite algebra)
- the full geometry of SM is (almost) a product geometry

DEFINE THE GEOMETRY IN AN ALGEBRAIC WAY [Á LA CONNES]

Algebra A, its (faithful) representation π on a Hilbert space H, a selfadjoint operator D, satisfying several (reasonable) conditions.

- Each fermion is described by a spectral triple (spinor + Dirac)
- the collection of all particles with the interactions corresponds to a finite spectral triple (finite algebra)
- the full geometry of SM is (almost) a product geometry
- the fluctuations of the Dirac operator are gauge fields

DEFINE THE GEOMETRY IN AN ALGEBRAIC WAY [Á LA CONNES]

Algebra A, its (faithful) representation π on a Hilbert space H, a selfadjoint operator D, satisfying several (reasonable) conditions.

- Each fermion is described by a spectral triple (spinor + Dirac)
- the collection of all particles with the interactions corresponds to a finite spectral triple (finite algebra)
- the full geometry of SM is (almost) a product geometry
- the fluctuations of the Dirac operator are gauge fields

DIRAC OPERATORS ARE "PHYSICAL DEGREES OF FREEDOM"

・ロ・・部・・ヨ・ モ・ ヨ

DEFINE THE GEOMETRY IN AN ALGEBRAIC WAY [Á LA CONNES]

Algebra A, its (faithful) representation π on a Hilbert space H, a selfadjoint operator D, satisfying several (reasonable) conditions.

- Each fermion is described by a spectral triple (spinor + Dirac)
- the collection of all particles with the interactions corresponds to a finite spectral triple (finite algebra)
- the full geometry of SM is (almost) a product geometry
- the fluctuations of the Dirac operator are gauge fields

DIRAC OPERATORS ARE "PHYSICAL DEGREES OF FREEDOM"

make sure that D is a first-order operator

・ロン・(部)・・モン・モン・モー

DEFINE THE GEOMETRY IN AN ALGEBRAIC WAY [Á LA CONNES]

Algebra A, its (faithful) representation π on a Hilbert space H, a selfadjoint operator D, satisfying several (reasonable) conditions.

- Each fermion is described by a spectral triple (spinor + Dirac)
- the collection of all particles with the interactions corresponds to a finite spectral triple (finite algebra)
- the full geometry of SM is (almost) a product geometry
- the fluctuations of the Dirac operator are gauge fields

DIRAC OPERATORS ARE "PHYSICAL DEGREES OF FREEDOM"

- make sure that D is a first-order operator
- choose D to be nondegenerate,

AS

DEFINE THE GEOMETRY IN AN ALGEBRAIC WAY [Á LA CONNES]

Algebra A, its (faithful) representation π on a Hilbert space H, a selfadjoint operator D, satisfying several (reasonable) conditions.

- Each fermion is described by a spectral triple (spinor + Dirac)
- the collection of all particles with the interactions corresponds to a finite spectral triple (finite algebra)
- the full geometry of SM is (almost) a product geometry
- the fluctuations of the Dirac operator are gauge fields

DIRAC OPERATORS ARE "PHYSICAL DEGREES OF FREEDOM"

- make sure that D is a first-order operator
- choose D to be nondegenerate,
- guarantee that D is a differential operator

・ロン・(部)・・モン・モン・モー

DEFINE THE GEOMETRY IN AN ALGEBRAIC WAY [Á LA CONNES]

Algebra A, its (faithful) representation π on a Hilbert space H, a selfadjoint operator D, satisfying several (reasonable) conditions.

- Each fermion is described by a spectral triple (spinor + Dirac)
- the collection of all particles with the interactions corresponds to a finite spectral triple (finite algebra)
- the full geometry of SM is (almost) a product geometry
- the fluctuations of the Dirac operator are gauge fields

DIRAC OPERATORS ARE "PHYSICAL DEGREES OF FREEDOM"

- make sure that D is a first-order operator
- choose D to be nondegenerate,
- guarantee that D is a differential operator
- & ...+ make sure that you can compute something

PROPOSE A FUNCTIONAL ON THE SPACE OF ADMISSIBLE DIRAC OPERATORS

$$\mathcal{S}_{\Lambda,f}(D) = \operatorname{Tr} f\left(rac{D^2}{\Lambda^2}
ight)$$

PROPOSE A FUNCTIONAL ON THE SPACE OF ADMISSIBLE DIRAC OPERATORS

$$\mathcal{S}_{\Lambda,f}(D) = \operatorname{Tr} f\left(rac{D^2}{\Lambda^2}
ight)$$

or, more explicitly

PROPOSE A FUNCTIONAL ON THE SPACE OF ADMISSIBLE DIRAC OPERATORS

$$\mathcal{S}_{\Lambda,f}(D) = \operatorname{Tr} f\left(rac{D^2}{\Lambda^2}
ight)$$

or, more explicitly

$$\mathcal{S}_{\Lambda,f}(D) = \sum_{w} \Lambda^{w} \alpha_{w} \operatorname{Res}_{z=w} \operatorname{Tr} |D|^{-z}$$

PROPOSE A FUNCTIONAL ON THE SPACE OF ADMISSIBLE DIRAC OPERATORS

$$\mathcal{S}_{\Lambda,f}(D) = \operatorname{Tr} f\left(rac{D^2}{\Lambda^2}
ight)$$

or, more explicitly

$$\mathcal{S}_{\Lambda,f}(D) = \sum_{w} \Lambda^{w} \alpha_{w} \operatorname{Res}_{z=w} \operatorname{Tr} |D|^{-z}$$

THE METHOD: USE THE HEAT TRACE EXPANSION

By parametrizing the degrees of freedom of the Dirac operator (in the classical case) through metric, torsion and connections (to allow for twisted Dirac operators) we obtain an esymptotic expansion of the action functional as:

PROPOSE A FUNCTIONAL ON THE SPACE OF ADMISSIBLE DIRAC OPERATORS

$$\mathcal{S}_{\Lambda,f}(D) = \operatorname{Tr} f\left(rac{D^2}{\Lambda^2}
ight)$$

or, more explicitly

AS

$$\mathcal{S}_{\Lambda,f}(D) = \sum_{w} \Lambda^{w} \alpha_{w} \operatorname{Res}_{z=w} \operatorname{Tr} |D|^{-z}$$

THE METHOD: USE THE HEAT TRACE EXPANSION

By parametrizing the degrees of freedom of the Dirac operator (in the classical case) through metric, torsion and connections (to allow for twisted Dirac operators) we obtain an esymptotic expansion of the action functional as:

$$\mathcal{S}_{\Lambda}(D) = \Lambda^4 \alpha_4 \int_M \sqrt{g} + \Lambda^2 \alpha_2 \int_M \sqrt{g} (R(g) + \beta |T|^2) + \alpha_0 \int_M \sqrt{g} |F|^2 + \cdots$$

EXAMPLES

EXAMPLES

classical manifolds (Gilkey - Sakharov)

EXAMPLES

- classical manifolds (Gilkey Sakharov)
- finite spectral triples,

(□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)

EXAMPLES

- classical manifolds (Gilkey Sakharov)
- finite spectral triples,
- almost commutative manifolds (products of the above)

EXAMPLES

- classical manifolds (Gilkey Sakharov)
- finite spectral triples,
- almost commutative manifolds (products of the above)
- oncommutative tori, NC Bieberbach manifolds

EXAMPLES

- classical manifolds (Gilkey Sakharov)
- finite spectral triples,
- almost commutative manifolds (products of the above)
- oncommutative tori, NC Bieberbach manifolds
- quantum Podleś sphere, $SU_q(2)$

EXAMPLES

- classical manifolds (Gilkey Sakharov)
- finite spectral triples,
- almost commutative manifolds (products of the above)
- oncommutative tori, NC Bieberbach manifolds
- quantum Podleś sphere, SUq(2)

WHY THE FERMIONIC ACTION IS NOT SPECTRAL ?

Physics *requires* that the full action is the action for bosonic fields (fields that are part of the Dirac operator) as well as the fermionic fields.

EXAMPLES

- classical manifolds (Gilkey Sakharov)
- finite spectral triples,
- almost commutative manifolds (products of the above)
- oncommutative tori, NC Bieberbach manifolds
- quantum Podleś sphere, SUq(2)

WHY THE FERMIONIC ACTION IS NOT SPECTRAL ?

Physics requires that the full action is the action for bosonic fields (fields that are part of the Dirac operator) as well as the fermionic fields. Yet the action for fermions is of different type:

$$S_{\Phi} = raket{\Psi} D(g, A) \ket{\Psi},$$

SPECTRAL ACTION CAN BE APPLIED TO FERMIONS

HOW TO MAKE FERMIONIC ACTION SPECTRAL ?

We propose (for the simplest Euclidean model) the cutoff fermionic action

 $S_{g,\Lambda} = \langle \Psi | | g_{\Lambda}(D_A) | \Psi
angle,$

SPECTRAL ACTION CAN BE APPLIED TO FERMIONS

HOW TO MAKE FERMIONIC ACTION SPECTRAL ?

We propose (for the simplest Euclidean model) the cutoff fermionic action

 $S_{g,\Lambda} = \langle \Psi | | g_{\Lambda}(D_A) | \Psi \rangle$,

NOTE THE USE OF D_A **NOT** D_A^2

$$egin{aligned} S_{e,\Lambda} &= \langle \Psi | \; f_{\Lambda}ig(D_A^2ig) |\Psi
angle \,, \ S_{o,\Lambda} &= rac{1}{\Lambda} \langle \Psi | \; D_A h_{\Lambda}ig(D_A^2ig) |\Psi
angle \,, \end{aligned}$$

and

SPECTRAL ACTION CAN BE APPLIED TO FERMIONS

HOW TO MAKE FERMIONIC ACTION SPECTRAL ?

We propose (for the simplest Euclidean model) the cutoff fermionic action

 $S_{g,\Lambda} = \langle \Psi | | g_{\Lambda}(D_A) | \Psi \rangle$,

NOTE THE USE OF D_A **NOT** D_A^2

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}_{e,\Lambda}} &= \left< \Psi \right| \; f_{\Lambda} \left(\boldsymbol{D}_{A}^{2} \right) \left| \Psi \right>, \\ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}_{o,\Lambda}} &= \frac{1}{\Lambda} \left< \Psi \right| \; \boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}_{A}} h_{\Lambda} \left(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}_{A}^{2}} \right) \left| \Psi \right>, \end{split}$$

and

$$S_{o,\Lambda} = rac{1}{\Lambda} raket{\Psi} h_{\Lambda} ig(D_A^2 ig) ig| D_A \Psi ig
angle \,,$$

THE PURE GRAVITY CASE

THE PURE GRAVITY CASE

We obtain the usual fermion kinetic term:

$$\Lambda^3 \int_M \sqrt{g} \langle \Psi(x) | D | \Psi(x) \rangle,$$

THE PURE GRAVITY CASE

We obtain the usual fermion kinetic term:

$$\Lambda^3 \int_M \sqrt{g} \langle \Psi(x) | D | \Psi(x)
angle,$$

and nonminimal coupling of gravity (through scalar curvature) to fermions.

$$\Lambda \int_{M} \sqrt{g} \, rac{R}{12} \, \langle \Psi(x) | \, D \, | \Psi(x)
angle \, .$$

THE PURE GRAVITY CASE

We obtain the usual fermion kinetic term:

$$\Lambda^3 \int_M \sqrt{g} \langle \Psi(x) | D | \Psi(x)
angle,$$

and nonminimal coupling of gravity (through scalar curvature) to fermions.

$$\Lambda \int_{M} \sqrt{g} \, \frac{R}{12} \, \langle \Psi(x) | \, D \, | \Psi(x) \rangle \, .$$

THE YANG-MILLS SYSTEM

$$\begin{split} \Lambda^4 \int_M \sqrt{g} \left(\operatorname{tr} P_{\Psi} \right) &= \Lambda^4 N \int_M \sqrt{g} \left\langle \Psi(x) \right| \left| \Psi(x) \right\rangle, \\ \Lambda^3 \int_M \sqrt{g} \left\langle \Psi(x) \right| D_A \left| \Psi(x) \right\rangle, \\ \Lambda^2 \int_M \sqrt{g} \left\langle \Psi(x) \right| \left(\frac{R}{12} + F \right) \left| \Psi(x) \right\rangle, \end{split}$$

THE STANDARD MODEL AS A SPECTRAL GEOMETRY

The discrete Dirac operator written in the basis of fermions, taken in the order $f_R^u, f_R^d, f_I^u, f_I^d$ (each taken multiple times for *N* generations) is

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}} = \left(egin{array}{ccc} & \Upsilon^*_u & & \ & & \Upsilon^*_d \ & & & & \ & & \Upsilon_d & & \ & & & \Upsilon_d & & \end{array}
ight),$$

and the fluctuated discrete Dirac operator $D_F(H)$ is:

$$D_{\mathcal{F}} = \begin{pmatrix} & \Upsilon_u^* H^0 & \Upsilon_u^* H^- \\ & -\Upsilon_d^* \overline{H^-} & \Upsilon_d^* \overline{H^0} \\ & \Upsilon_u \overline{H^0} & -\Upsilon_d H^- \\ & \Upsilon_u \overline{H^-} & \Upsilon_d H^0 & & \end{pmatrix}$$

where $H = H^0 + H^- j$ denotes a quaternionic field (Higgs doublet).

THE PAULI-TYPE TERMS FOR THE SM GEOMETRY

Taking the square of the $D_F(H)$ at the Higgs vacuum expectation value we obtain:

$$(D_F(H_v))^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \Upsilon_e^* \Upsilon_e |H_v|^2 \\ & & \\ & & \Upsilon_e^* \Upsilon_e |H_v|^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

which similarly as in the Dirac masses case can only add small corrections to the already nonvanishing mass of charged leptons.

THE PAULI-TYPE TERMS FOR THE SM GEOMETRY

Taking the square of the $D_F(H)$ at the Higgs vacuum expectation value we obtain:

$$(D_F(H_v))^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \Upsilon_e^* \Upsilon_e |H_v|^2 \\ & & \\ & & \Upsilon_e^* \Upsilon_e |H_v|^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

which similarly as in the Dirac masses case can only add small corrections to the already nonvanishing mass of charged leptons.

BUT FOR SOME NONSCALAR FUNCTIONS...

For any nonscalar function

 $f^{\tau}_{\Lambda}(\mathbf{x}) = \tau f_{\Lambda}(\mathbf{x})\tau,$

where $\tau = \sigma^2$ (Pauli matrix) the term $f_{\Lambda}^{\tau}(D^2)$ is certainly gauge covariant and, consequently, the terms in the fermionic spectral action remain gauge invariant.

EXPLICIT COMPUTATIONS LEADING TO WEINBERG TERM

Then the terms in the fermionic spectral action, that arise from $\text{Tr}(P_{\Psi} f_{\Lambda}^{\tau}(\mathcal{D}^2))$ in the next-to-leading order, could be explicitly rewritten as:

$$\Lambda^{2}(\Upsilon_{e}\Upsilon_{e}^{*})\left[\left(\overline{\nu_{L}},\overline{e_{L}}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}H^{0}\\-H^{-}\end{array}\right)\right]\left[\left(\overline{H^{0}},-\overline{H^{-}}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}\nu_{L}\\e_{L}\end{array}\right)\right] + \text{ h.c.}$$

EXPLICIT COMPUTATIONS LEADING TO WEINBERG TERM

Then the terms in the fermionic spectral action, that arise from $\text{Tr}(P_{\Psi} f_{\Lambda}^{\tau}(\mathcal{D}^2))$ in the next-to-leading order, could be explicitly rewritten as:

$$\Lambda^{2}(\Upsilon_{e}\Upsilon_{e}^{*})\left[\left(\overline{\nu_{L}},\overline{e_{L}}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}H^{0}\\-H^{-}\end{array}\right)\right]\left[\left(\overline{H^{0}},-\overline{H^{-}}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}\nu_{L}\\e_{L}\end{array}\right)\right] + \text{ h.c.}$$

NEUTRINO MASS TERMS

-

EXPLICIT COMPUTATIONS LEADING TO WEINBERG TERM

Then the terms in the fermionic spectral action, that arise from $\text{Tr}(P_{\Psi} f_{\Lambda}^{\tau}(\mathcal{D}^2))$ in the next-to-leading order, could be explicitly rewritten as:

$$\Lambda^{2}(\Upsilon_{e}\Upsilon_{e}^{*})\left[\left(\overline{\nu_{L}},\overline{e_{L}}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}H^{0}\\-H^{-}\end{array}\right)\right]\left[\left(\overline{H^{0}},-\overline{H^{-}}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}\nu_{L}\\e_{L}\end{array}\right)\right] + \text{ h.c.}$$

NEUTRINO MASS TERMS

 We obtain the Weinberg term (sometimes called Weinberg operator), which is used to describe effective mechanism of neutrino mass generation,

3

EXPLICIT COMPUTATIONS LEADING TO WEINBERG TERM

Then the terms in the fermionic spectral action, that arise from $\text{Tr}(P_{\Psi} f_{\Lambda}^{\tau}(\mathcal{D}^2))$ in the next-to-leading order, could be explicitly rewritten as:

$$\Lambda^{2}(\Upsilon_{e}\Upsilon_{e}^{*})\left[\left(\overline{\nu_{L}},\overline{e_{L}}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}H^{0}\\-H^{-}\end{array}\right)\right]\left[\left(\overline{H^{0}},-\overline{H^{-}}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}\nu_{L}\\e_{L}\end{array}\right)\right] + \text{ h.c.}$$

NEUTRINO MASS TERMS

- We obtain the Weinberg term (sometimes called Weinberg operator), which is used to describe effective mechanism of neutrino mass generation,
- After the Higgs field gets its vacuum expectation value, a neutrino mass is generated, depending on the scale Λ - of the correct range.

EXPLICIT COMPUTATIONS LEADING TO WEINBERG TERM

Then the terms in the fermionic spectral action, that arise from $\text{Tr}(P_{\Psi} f_{\Lambda}^{\tau}(\mathcal{D}^2))$ in the next-to-leading order, could be explicitly rewritten as:

$$\Lambda^{2}(\Upsilon_{e}\Upsilon_{e}^{*})\left[\left(\overline{\nu_{L}},\overline{e_{L}}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}H^{0}\\-H^{-}\end{array}\right)\right]\left[\left(\overline{H^{0}},-\overline{H^{-}}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}\nu_{L}\\e_{L}\end{array}\right)\right] + \text{ h.c.}$$

NEUTRINO MASS TERMS

- We obtain the Weinberg term (sometimes called Weinberg operator), which is used to describe effective mechanism of neutrino mass generation,
- After the Higgs field gets its vacuum expectation value, a neutrino mass is generated, depending on the scale Λ - of the correct range.
- Orrections for other leptons are negligible.

LOOK AGAIN AT THE BOSONIC ACTION AND GRAVITY

THE SIMPLEST NONCOMMUTATIVE MODEL IS LEFT-RIGHT: Consider the algebra $\mathcal{A} = C^{\infty}(M) \otimes (\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C})$, represented on $L^{2}(S) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2}$.

$$D_o = D \otimes \mathrm{id} + \gamma \otimes D_F, \qquad D_F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \phi \\ \phi^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

LOOK AGAIN AT THE BOSONIC ACTION AND GRAVITY

THE SIMPLEST NONCOMMUTATIVE MODEL IS LEFT-RIGHT: Consider the algebra $\mathcal{A} = C^{\infty}(M) \otimes (\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C})$, represented on $L^{2}(S) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2}$.

$$D_o = D \otimes \mathrm{id} + \gamma \otimes D_F, \qquad D_F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \phi \\ \phi^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

BUT THIS IS NOT THE MOST GENERAL DIRAC OPERATOR !

Let us consider instead:

$$\mathcal{D} = \left(egin{array}{cc} \mathcal{D}_1 & \gamma \phi \ \gamma \phi^* & \mathcal{D}_2 \end{array}
ight),$$

with two independent metrics.

$$D^2 = \begin{pmatrix} D_1^2 + \phi \phi^* & \gamma (D_1 \phi - \phi D_2) \\ -\gamma (D_1 \phi^* - \phi^* D_2) & D_2^2 + \phi \phi^* \end{pmatrix},$$

WE COMPUTE THE SPECTRAL ACTION FOR THE FULL TWO-SHEETED SPACETIME MODEL

ONLY IN THE FRLW ANSATZ

$$D = \gamma^{0}\partial_{t} + \frac{1}{a(t)}\left(\gamma^{1}\partial_{1} + \gamma^{2}\partial_{2} + \gamma^{3}\partial_{3}\right) + \gamma^{0}\frac{3\dot{a}(t)}{2a(t)}$$

WE COMPUTE THE SPECTRAL ACTION FOR THE FULL TWO-SHEETED SPACETIME MODEL

ONLY IN THE FRLW ANSATZ

$$D = \gamma^{0}\partial_{t} + \frac{1}{a(t)}\left(\gamma^{1}\partial_{1} + \gamma^{2}\partial_{2} + \gamma^{3}\partial_{3}\right) + \gamma^{0}\frac{3\dot{a}(t)}{2a(t)}$$

WE USE PSEUDODIFFERENTIAL CALCULUS AND WODZICKI RESIDUE

Taking:

$$D = \gamma^{0}(\partial_{t} + H(t)) + A(t)D_{3} + \gamma F(t),$$

where

$$H(t) = \begin{pmatrix} H_1(t) & 0\\ 0 & H_2(t) \end{pmatrix}, \quad A(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{a_1(t)} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{1}{a_2(t)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad F(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \phi(t)\\ \phi^*(t) & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

(ロ) (四) (ヨ) (ヨ) (ヨ) ヨ

THE ROBERTSON-WALKER GEOMETRY FOR THE TWO-SHEETED MODEL IS EXACTLY COMPUTABLE

THE ACTION (FIRST TWO TERMS) IS:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{S} &= 2\pi^2 \int dt \bigg(\lambda^4 \left(a_1(t)^3 + a_2(t)^3 \right) \\ &- \lambda^2 c \left(\dot{a}_1(t)^2 a_1(t) + \dot{a}_2(t)^2 a_2(t) \right) - \lambda^2 c |\Phi|^2 \left(a_1(t)^3 + a_2(t)^3 \right) \\ &+ \lambda^2 c |\Phi|^2 \left(\frac{(a_1(t) - a_2(t))^2}{(a_1(t) + a_2(t))} \left(a_1(t)^2 + a_1(t)a_2(t) + a_2(t)^2 \right) \right) \right) + \mathcal{L}_m, \end{split}$$

(□) < □) < □) < □) < □) < □)

THE ROBERTSON-WALKER GEOMETRY FOR THE TWO-SHEETED MODEL IS EXACTLY COMPUTABLE

THE ACTION (FIRST TWO TERMS) IS:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{S} &= 2\pi^2 \int dt \bigg(\lambda^4 \left(a_1(t)^3 + a_2(t)^3 \right) \\ &- \lambda^2 c \left(\dot{a}_1(t)^2 a_1(t) + \dot{a}_2(t)^2 a_2(t) \right) - \lambda^2 c |\Phi|^2 \left(a_1(t)^3 + a_2(t)^3 \right) \\ &+ \lambda^2 c |\Phi|^2 \left(\frac{(a_1(t) - a_2(t))^2}{(a_1(t) + a_2(t))} \left(a_1(t)^2 + a_1(t)a_2(t) + a_2(t)^2 \right) \right) \right) + \mathcal{L}_m, \end{split}$$

THE BIMETRIC GRAVITY MODELS ARE CLOSELY RELATED TO NONCOMMUTATIVE GEOMETRY

The additional term is an *interaction* between the two metrics that is similar to the terms in the bimetric theory of gravity.

14/16

MODIFIED COSMOLOGY MODELS (SIMPLIFIED)

THE EMPTY UNIVERSE

$$r(t) \sim c_1 e^{-\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{24}} + \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{6\Lambda + 8\alpha}} + c_2 e^{-\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{24}} - \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{6\Lambda + 8\alpha}}$$



MODIFIED COSMOLOGY MODELS (SIMPLIFIED)

THE EMPTY UNIVERSE

$$r(t) \sim c_1 e^{-\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{24}} + \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{6\Lambda + 8\alpha}} + c_2 e^{-\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{24}} - \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{6\Lambda + 8\alpha}}$$

RADIATION DOMINATED UNIVERSE

$$r(t) \sim t^{\frac{1}{4}} J_{\frac{\sqrt{5}}{4}}(\sqrt{-2(\Lambda+\alpha)}t),$$

MODIFIED COSMOLOGY MODELS (SIMPLIFIED)

THE EMPTY UNIVERSE

$$r(t) \sim c_1 e^{-\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{24}} + \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{6\Lambda + 8\alpha}} + c_2 e^{-\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{24}} - \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{6\Lambda + 8\alpha}}$$

RADIATION DOMINATED UNIVERSE

$$r(t) \sim t^{\frac{1}{4}} J_{\frac{\sqrt{5}}{4}}(\sqrt{-2(\Lambda+\alpha)}t),$$

MATTER DOMINATED UNIVERSE

$$r(t) \sim t^{-rac{1}{3}} \sin\left(rac{1}{2}\sqrt{-2(\Lambda+lpha)}t
ight).$$

(ロ) (四) (ヨ) (ヨ) (ヨ) ヨ

• The spectral action is a powerful tool to obtain interesting terms both for fermions and for boson.

イロト (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

- The spectral action is a powerful tool to obtain interesting terms both for fermions and for boson.
- On possibly explain small neutrino masses.

(日)(同)(日)(日) 日

- The spectral action is a powerful tool to obtain interesting terms both for fermions and for boson.
- O Can possibly explain small neutrino masses.
- It as unexpected links to bimetric theory of gravity.

- The spectral action is a powerful tool to obtain interesting terms both for fermions and for boson.
- O Can possibly explain small neutrino masses.
- It as unexpected links to bimetric theory of gravity.
- Full SM model: work in progress.

- The spectral action is a powerful tool to obtain interesting terms both for fermions and for boson.
- O Can possibly explain small neutrino masses.
- Has unexpected links to bimetric theory of gravity.
- Full SM model: work in progress.
- Lorentzian NCG: A. Bochniak, A.Sitarz, Finite Pseudo-Riemannian Spectral Triples and The Standard Model Phys. Rev. D 97, 115029 (2018)

- The spectral action is a powerful tool to obtain interesting terms both for fermions and for boson.
- O Can possibly explain small neutrino masses.
- Has unexpected links to bimetric theory of gravity.
- Full SM model: work in progress.
- Lorentzian NCG: A. Bochniak, A.Sitarz, Finite Pseudo-Riemannian Spectral Triples and The Standard Model Phys. Rev. D 97, 115029 (2018)
- Hodge duality: L.Dąbrowski, A.Sitarz, Fermion masses, mass-mixing and the almost commutative geometry of the Standard Model, J. High Energ. Phys. (2019) 2019: 68

(ロ) (雪) (ヨ) (ヨ) (コ)

- The spectral action is a powerful tool to obtain interesting terms both for fermions and for boson.
- O Can possibly explain small neutrino masses.
- It as unexpected links to bimetric theory of gravity.
- Full SM model: work in progress.
- Lorentzian NCG: A. Bochniak, A.Sitarz, Finite Pseudo-Riemannian Spectral Triples and The Standard Model Phys. Rev. D 97, 115029 (2018)
- Hodge duality: L.Dąbrowski, A.Sitarz, Fermion masses, mass-mixing and the almost commutative geometry of the Standard Model, J. High Energ. Phys. (2019) 2019: 68

References for these results:

On almost commutative Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker geometries, Classical and Quantum Gravity, 36, 19 (2019) Fermionic spectral action and the origin of nonzero neutrino masses, (with Mairi Sakellariadou) Physics Letters B795 (2019) 351–355

NONCOMMUTATIVE GEOMETRY AND THE STANDARD MODEL

th-www.if.uj.edu.pl/~sitarz/ngasm19/

* to be confirmed

Cracow, November 8-9, 2019 Speakers: J.Barrett*, F.Besnard, L.Boyle, F.D'Andrea, L.Dąbrowski, S.Farnsworth, B.Iochum, F.Lizzi, P.Martinetti, M.Sakellariadou*

 $B_{\mu\nu}B^{\mu\nu}$) $\sqrt{g}d$

<mark>(85</mark>85)



Supported by: Faculty of Physics, Astronomy and Computer Science and Department of Field Theory. Organizers: A.Sitarz, A.Bochniak, P.Zalecki.