

# Kappa symmetry, generalized supergravity equations and non-abelian T-duality

Linus Wulff

Masaryk University

Based on work with A. Tseytlin and with R. Borsato

Dualities and Generalized Geometries  
Corfu, Sep 9 - 16, 2018

# Kappa symmetry

- ▶ **Spacetime SUSY** (aka Green-Schwarz) formulation of strings and branes characterized by w.s. **fermionic gauge symmetry**:

$$\delta X^m \sim 0, \quad \delta \theta \sim \frac{1}{2}(1 + \Gamma)\kappa$$

$\kappa$  – arbitrary spinor

$\frac{1}{2}(1 + \Gamma)$  – projector on half of the components of  $\kappa$

- ▶ Kappa symmetry needed for correct  $\#$  d.o.f. for **SUSY** spectrum
- ▶ In **flat space** (w/ other bkgr fields vanishing) kappa symmetry is **automatic**
- ▶ But coupling the string/brane to **non-trivial** background kappa symmetry **restricts** the form of bkgr to which the string/brane can be **consistently** coupled

- ▶ In 1985 Witten considered the **superparticle** coupled to bkgr gauge field  $A$
- ▶ He showed that kappa symmetry  $\rightarrow$  SYM e.o.m. for  $A$
- ▶ Suggested that similarly kappa sym. of the **string** might imply the SUGRA e.o.m.
- ▶ Superstring action in general type II SUGRA bkgr written down shortly after [Grisaru, Howe, Mezincescu, Nilsson, Townsend]
- ▶ They showed that bkgr being SUGRA sol. was **sufficient** to have kappa symmetry
- ▶ Conjectured that it was also **necessary**, i.e. **kappa sym.**  
 $\rightarrow$  **SUGRA e.o.m.**
- ▶ More or less **assumed** to be true since then ('proofs' even appeared)

# $\eta$ -model puzzle

Fast-forward to 2013

- ▶ Delduc, Magro and Vicedo wrote down a certain (integrable) deformation of the  $AdS_5 \times S^5$  GS string  $\sigma$ -model – the  $\eta$ -model
- ▶ This model had a fermionic gauge symmetry similar to kappa symmetry
- ▶ However, when the bkgr fields were extracted from the  $\sigma$ -model they were found not to satisfy the SUGRA eom  
[Arutyunov,Borsato,Frolov]
- ▶ Seemed to contradict the expectation that kappa sym.  $\rightarrow$  SUGRA e.o.m.

## Kappa symmetry $\rightarrow$ SUGRA eom?

Given this puzzle we decided to revisit the question: Does kappa sym.  $\rightarrow$  SUGRA eom?

Starting point: GS string action in **general** type II SUGRA bkg

$$S = T \int d^2\xi \sqrt{-\det G_{ij}} + T \int_{\Sigma} B, \quad G_{ij} = E_i^a E_j^b \eta_{ab}$$

$E^a(x, \theta)$  ( $a = 0, \dots, 9$ ) – supervielbein one-forms

$B(x, \theta)$  – NSNS two-form potential

Requiring kappa symmetry constrains the **field strengths** of  $E^a$  and  $B$ :

Torsion:  $T^a = dE^a + E^b \wedge \Omega_b^a$

Three-form field strength:  $H = dB$

## Conditions from kappa symmetry

Requiring  $\delta_\kappa S = 0$  one finds the following conditions

$$T_{\alpha\beta}{}^a = -i\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^a$$

$$H_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = 0, \quad H_{a\beta\gamma} = -i(\Gamma_a\Gamma_{11})_{\beta\gamma}$$

in agreement with earlier analysis.

However,  $T^a$  and  $H$  satisfy Bianchi identities

$$\nabla T^A = E^B \wedge R_B{}^A, \quad dH = 0$$

and further constraints arise from consistency with these

It was expected that this would lead to the type II SUGRA e.o.m.

Instead we found that it leads to a certain generalization of these – The generalized SUGRA equations

# Generalized SUGRA equations

Besides the metric and  $B$ -field entering directly the GS string action there are two **vector fields**  $K, X$  plus RR forms  $\mathcal{F}_n$

They satisfy

[Tseytlin, LW]

$$\nabla_{(a}K_{b)} = 0, \quad K^a X_a = 0, \quad 2\nabla_{[a}X_{b]} + K^c H_{abc} = 0,$$

i.e.  $K$  – **Killing vector** and  $K = 0$  implies  $X = d\phi$

$$R_{ab} + 2\nabla_{(a}X_{b)} - \frac{1}{4}H_{acd}H_b{}^{cd} + \text{"}\mathcal{F}^2\text{"} = 0$$

$$\nabla^c H_{abc} - 2X^c H_{abc} - 4\nabla_{[a}K_{b]} + \text{"}\mathcal{F}^2\text{"} = 0$$

$$\nabla^a X_a - 2X^a X_a - 2K^a K_a + \frac{1}{12}H^{abc}H_{abc} + \text{"}\mathcal{F}^2\text{"} = 0$$

plus equations for the RR fields.

In fact (the bosonic part of) these eqs were written down 6 months earlier as the eqs satisfied by the bkgr fields of the  $\eta$ -model

[Arutyunov, Frolov, Hoare, Roiban, Tseytlin]

They also argued that these should be the conditions for 1-loop **scale inv.** of the sigma model (as opposed to **Weyl inv.** which gives standard SUGRA)

- ▶ Easy to see that setting  $K = 0$  leads to **standard SUGRA** with  $X = d\phi$  and  $\phi$  the **dilaton**
- ▶ In fact one can show that (formal) **T-duality** along isometry  $K$  gives a standard type II SUGRA sol. [AFHRT]

It is interesting to ask whether solutions with  $K \neq 0$  can also directly solve the **standard SUGRA** eqs



## Trivial solutions

To address this question we can pick a gauge s.t. the  $B$ -field respects the  $K$  isometry

$$\mathcal{L}_K B = 0$$

Then

$$dX + i_K H = 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad X = d\phi + i_K B$$

Crucial point:  $\phi$  transforms under gauge transformations

$$B \rightarrow B + d\Lambda$$

However, to interpret  $\phi$  as the dilaton it must not transform

Gauge choice for  $B$  preserved by  $\Lambda = fK$  with  $f$  an arbitrary isometric function

$$\mathcal{L}_K f = K^m \partial_m f = 0$$

Requiring  $\phi$  not to transform we find

$$0 = i_K \delta B = i_K d(fK) = -d(fK^2)$$

Must hold for **all**  $f$  and therefore we must have

$$K^2 = 0$$

The remaining equations imply also  $dK = i_K H$  + conditions on the RR forms [LW]

We refer to such solutions as **trivial** since they actually solve **standard** SUGRA

There are several known examples the simplest being pp-waves

# Non-abelian T-duality

There is an interesting connection to NATD

- ▶ In NATD one realizes a subset of coords. as group element  $g \in G$
  - ▶ Then in the action one replaces  $g^{-1}dg \rightarrow A$ , adds  $\nu F(A)$  and integrates out  $A$
  - ▶ At the quantum level the change of variables  $g \rightarrow A$  gives rise to a **Jacobian** from the path integral measure
- **Anomalous** term in  $\sigma$ -model action if  $\text{Lie}(G)$  is not **unimodular**, i.e.  $f'_{IJ} \neq 0$  [Alvarez, Alvarez-Gaume, Lozano; Eitzur, Giveon, Rabinovici, Schwimmer, Veneziano]
- ▶ In fact one finds in such cases a bkgr that solves instead the **gen. SUGRA equations** [Borsato, LW]

## Geometric form for anomalous terms

The **anomalous** non-local terms in the  $\sigma$ -model action can be given a **geometric** form in terms of gen. SUGRA fields [LW]

$$L_{\text{non-local}} = \alpha' d\sigma \wedge K - \alpha' d\sigma \wedge *X - \frac{1}{2} \alpha'^2 d\sigma \wedge *d\sigma K^2$$

where  $\sigma = \partial^{-2} \sqrt{g} R^{(2)}$  is the conformal factor which is non-local in the ws metric

**Consistency check:** When  $K = 0$ ,  $X = d\phi$  this reduces to the usual (local) Fradkin-Tseytlin term

$$\alpha' \phi R^{(2)}$$

In fact precisely in the case of a **trivial solution**, i.e.  $K^2 = 0$ ,  $dK = i_K H$ , the non-local terms can be **removed** by a field redefinition

# Conclusion

Formalism	gen. SUGRA	SUGRA
NSR	1-loop scale inv.	1-loop Weyl inv.
GS	$\kappa$ sym.	?
PS	$Q^2 = 0$ classically	$Q^2 = 0$ at 1-loop

- ▶ Many solutions of gen. SUGRA arise from so-called **Yang-Baxter deformations**, which can be generated through NATD [\[Hoare, Tseytlin; Borsato, LW\]](#)
- ▶ Interesting connections to DFT and ExFT [\[Sakatani, Uehara, Yoshida; Baguet, Magro, Samtleben\]](#)