

# Magnetic monopoles in (noncommutative quantum) mechanics

Samuel Kováčik

Dublin Institute for Advanced Studies

SK, Prešnajder: [arXiv/1604.05968](https://arxiv.org/abs/1604.05968)

Corfu, 17.9.2017

# 1. Magnetic monopoles

## Maxwell equations

$$\operatorname{div} \vec{E}(\vec{r}, t) = 4\pi\rho_E(\vec{r}, t),$$

$$\operatorname{div} \vec{B}(\vec{r}, t) = 0,$$

$$\operatorname{rot} \vec{E}(\vec{r}, t) = -\frac{\partial \vec{B}(\vec{r}, t)}{\partial t},$$

$$\operatorname{rot} \vec{B}(\vec{r}, t) = \frac{\partial \vec{E}(\vec{r}, t)}{\partial t} + 4\pi\vec{J}_E(\vec{r}, t).$$

# 1. Magnetic monopoles

## Max**better** equations

$$\operatorname{div} \vec{E}(\vec{r}, t) = 4\pi\rho_E(\vec{r}, t),$$

$$\operatorname{div} \vec{B}(\vec{r}, t) = 4\pi\rho_M(\vec{r}, t),$$

$$\operatorname{rot} \vec{E}(\vec{r}, t) = -\frac{\partial \vec{B}(\vec{r}, t)}{\partial t} - 4\pi\vec{J}_M(\vec{r}, t),$$

$$\operatorname{rot} \vec{B}(\vec{r}, t) = \frac{\partial \vec{E}(\vec{r}, t)}{\partial t} + 4\pi\vec{J}_E(\vec{r}, t).$$

# 1. Magnetic monopoles

## Max**better** equations

$$\operatorname{div} \vec{E}(\vec{r}, t) = 4\pi\rho_E(\vec{r}, t),$$

$$\operatorname{div} \vec{B}(\vec{r}, t) = 4\pi\rho_M(\vec{r}, t),$$

$$\operatorname{rot} \vec{E}(\vec{r}, t) = -\frac{\partial \vec{B}(\vec{r}, t)}{\partial t} - 4\pi\vec{J}_M(\vec{r}, t),$$

$$\operatorname{rot} \vec{B}(\vec{r}, t) = \frac{\partial \vec{E}(\vec{r}, t)}{\partial t} + 4\pi\vec{J}_E(\vec{r}, t).$$

Dirac: Quantised Singularities in the Electromagnetic Field, (Proc. Roy. Soc. 60 (1931))

't Hooft: Magnetic Monopoles in Unified Gauge Theories, (Nucl.Phys. B79 (1974))

Preskill: Magnetic Monopoles, (Ann.Rev.Nucl.Part.Sci. 34 (1984))

# 1. Magnetic monopoles

## Brief summary of quantum theory

Zwanziger: Exactly soluble nonrelativistic model of particles with both electric and magnetic charge, Phys. Rev. 176 (1968)

$$[\hat{x}_i, \hat{x}_j] = 0 \quad \leftrightarrow \quad [\hat{X}_i, \hat{X}_j] = \lambda^2 \varepsilon_{ijk} \hat{L}_k,$$

$$[\hat{x}_i, \hat{p}_j] = i\delta_{ij} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad [\hat{X}_i, \hat{V}_j] = i\delta_{ij} (1 - \lambda^2 \hat{H}_0),$$

$$[\hat{p}_i, \hat{p}_j] = i\mu \varepsilon_{ijk} \frac{\hat{x}_k}{r^3} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad [\hat{V}_i, \hat{V}_j] = i \frac{-\kappa}{2} \varepsilon_{ijk} \frac{\hat{X}_k}{\hat{r}(\hat{r}^2 - \lambda^2)}.$$

$$\hat{C}_1 = -q\mu \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \hat{C}_1 = \frac{\kappa}{2} q,$$

$$\hat{C}_2 = q^2 + (\mu)^2 (-2E) \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \hat{C}_2 = q^2 + \left(\frac{\kappa}{2}\right)^2 (-2E + \lambda^2 E^2).$$

In quantum theory  $\mu = eg \in \mathbb{Z}/2$  (Dirac Quantisation Condition)

## 2. (Even more) noncommutative quantum mechanics

### NC relations

$$[x_i, x_j] = 2i\lambda\epsilon_{ijk}x_k$$

- $i, j = 1, 2, 3$  and  $\lambda \approx l_{Planck}$ .
- Absence of very short distances and (dual to it) very large energies.
- Bosonic construction of NC coordinates  $x_i = \lambda\sigma_{\alpha\beta}^i a_\alpha^+ a_\beta$ ,  
 $r = \lambda(a_\alpha^+ a_\alpha + 1)$  where  $\sigma^i$ , the c/a operators satisfy

$$[a_\alpha, a_\beta^+] = \delta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad [a_\alpha, a_\beta] = [a_\alpha^+, a_\beta^+] = 0$$

Jabbari et. al. arXiv [hep-th] : 0110291

Gáliková, SK, Prešnajder: arXiv [math-ph] : 1510.04496

## 2. (Even more) noncommutative quantum mechanics

### NC relations

$$[x_i, x_j] = 2i\lambda\epsilon_{ijk}x_k$$

- $i, j = 1, 2, 3$  and  $\lambda \approx l_{Planck}$ .
- Absence of very short distances and (dual to it) very large energies.
- Bosonic construction of NC coordinates  $x_i = \lambda\sigma_{\alpha\beta}^i a_\alpha^+ a_\beta$ ,  
 $r = \lambda(a_\alpha^+ a_\alpha + 1)$  where  $\sigma^i$ , the c/a operators satisfy

$$[a_\alpha, a_\beta^+] = \delta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad [a_\alpha, a_\beta] = [a_\alpha^+, a_\beta^+] = 0$$

Jabbari et. al. arXiv [hep-th] : 0110291

Gáliková, SK, Prešnajder: arXiv [math-ph] : 1510.04496

## 2. (Even more) noncommutative quantum mechanics

### NC relations

$$[x_i, x_j] = 2i\lambda\epsilon_{ijk}x_k$$

- $i, j = 1, 2, 3$  and  $\lambda \approx l_{Planck}$ .
- Absence of very short distances and (dual to it) very large energies.
- Bosonic construction of NC coordinates  $x_i = \lambda\sigma_{\alpha\beta}^i a_\alpha^+ a_\beta$ ,  
 $r = \lambda(a_\alpha^+ a_\alpha + 1)$  where  $\sigma^i$ , the c/a operators satisfy

$$[a_\alpha, a_\beta^+] = \delta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad [a_\alpha, a_\beta] = [a_\alpha^+, a_\beta^+] = 0$$

Jabbari et. al. arXiv [hep-th] : 0110291

Gáliková, SK, Prešnajder: arXiv [math-ph] : 1510.04496



## 2. Noncommutative quantum mechanics

### Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$

- Spanned on analytic functions equipped with a norm

$$\Psi = \Psi(a^+, a), \quad \|\Psi\|^2 = 4\pi \lambda^2 \text{Tr}[\hat{r} \Psi^\dagger \Psi].$$

Special attention is paid to  $\Psi_0$  that has

$$\#a = \#a^+$$

(makes sense for  $\Psi(x) = \Psi(a^+ \sigma a)$  )

### Operators in $\mathcal{H}$

- $\hat{H}_0 \Psi = \frac{1}{2m\lambda r} [a_\alpha^+, [a_\alpha, \Psi]]$
- $\hat{L}_i \Psi = \frac{1}{2\lambda} [x_i, \Psi], \quad [\hat{L}_i, \hat{L}_j] = i\epsilon^{ijk} \hat{L}_k$
- $\hat{X}_i \Psi = \frac{1}{2} (x_i \Psi + \Psi x_i), \quad \hat{r} \Psi = \frac{1}{2} (r \Psi + \Psi r)$

## 2. Noncommutative quantum mechanics

### Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$

- Spanned on analytic functions equipped with a norm

$$\Psi = \Psi(a^+, a), \quad \|\Psi\|^2 = 4\pi \lambda^2 \text{Tr}[\hat{r} \Psi^\dagger \Psi].$$

Special attention is paid to  $\Psi_0$  that has

$$\#a = \#a^+$$

(makes sense for  $\Psi(x) = \Psi(a^+ \sigma a)$  )

### Operators in $\mathcal{H}$

- $\hat{H}_0 \Psi = \frac{1}{2m\lambda r} [a_\alpha^+, [a_\alpha, \Psi]]$
- $\hat{L}_i \Psi = \frac{1}{2\lambda} [x_i, \Psi], \quad [\hat{L}_i, \hat{L}_j] = i\varepsilon^{ijk} \hat{L}_k$
- $\hat{X}_i \Psi = \frac{1}{2}(x_i \Psi + \Psi x_i), \quad \hat{r} \Psi = \frac{1}{2}(r \Psi + \Psi r)$

## 2. Noncommutative quantum mechanics

### Some of our results

H-atom:  $(\hat{H}_0 - \frac{q}{r}) \Psi_0 = E\Psi_0 \rightarrow E_n \lambda^2 = 1 - \sqrt{1 + (\alpha\lambda/n)^2}$

Planewaves:  $\hat{V}_3 \Psi_0 = k\Psi_0 \rightarrow \Psi_0 \propto e^{ix_3 q}, k = \lambda^{-1} \sin q\lambda$

SO(4) structure:  $\hat{V}_4 = \lambda^{-1} - \lambda\hat{H}_0 \rightarrow \hat{V}_i^2 + \hat{V}_4^2 = \lambda^{-2}$

LHO:  $(\hat{H}_0 + \alpha r^2) \Psi_0 = E\Psi_0 \rightarrow ???$

NC black holes  $\rho \propto e^{-r\lambda^{-1}} \rightarrow$  Minimal  $r_h$ , maximal  $T_H$

arXiv[math-ph]: 1302.4623, 1309.4614

## 2. Noncommutative quantum mechanics

### Some of our results

H-atom:  $(\hat{H}_0 - \frac{q}{r}) \Psi_0 = E \Psi_0 \rightarrow E_n \lambda^2 = 1 - \sqrt{1 + (\alpha \lambda / n)^2}$

Planewaves:  $\hat{V}_3 \Psi_0 = k \Psi_0 \rightarrow \Psi_0 \propto e^{ix_3 q}, k = \lambda^{-1} \sin q \lambda$

SO(4) structure:  $\hat{V}_4 = \lambda^{-1} - \lambda \hat{H}_0 \rightarrow \hat{V}_i^2 + \hat{V}_4^2 = \lambda^{-2}$

LHO:  $(\hat{H}_0 + \alpha r^2) \Psi_0 = E \Psi_0 \rightarrow ???$

NC black holes  $\rho \propto e^{-r \lambda^{-1}} \rightarrow$  Minimal  $r_h$ , maximal  $T_H$

arXiv[quant-ph]: 1603.00292

## 2. Noncommutative quantum mechanics

### Some of our results

H-atom:  $(\hat{H}_0 - \frac{q}{r}) \Psi_0 = E \Psi_0 \quad \rightarrow E_n \lambda^2 = 1 - \sqrt{1 + (\alpha \lambda / n)^2}$

Planewaves:  $\hat{V}_3 \Psi_0 = k \Psi_0 \quad \rightarrow \Psi \propto e^{ix_3 q}, k = \lambda^{-1} \sin q \lambda$

SO(4) structure:  $\hat{V}_4 = \lambda^{-1} - \lambda \hat{H}_0 \quad \rightarrow \hat{V}_i^2 + \hat{V}_4^2 = \lambda^{-2}$

LHO:  $(\hat{H}_0 + \alpha r^2) \Psi_0 = E \Psi_0 \quad \rightarrow ???$

NC black holes  $\rho \propto e^{-r \lambda^{-1}} \quad \rightarrow$  Minimal  $r_h$ , maximal  $T_H$

[arXiv\[math-ph\]: 1309.4592](https://arxiv.org/abs/1309.4592)

## 2. Noncommutative quantum mechanics

### Some of our results

H-atom:  $(\hat{H}_0 - \frac{q}{r}) \Psi_0 = E\Psi_0 \rightarrow E_n \lambda^2 = 1 - \sqrt{1 + (\alpha\lambda/n)^2}$

Planewaves:  $\hat{V}_3 \Psi_0 = k\Psi_0 \rightarrow \Psi_0 \propto e^{ix_3 q}, k = \lambda^{-1} \sin q\lambda$

SO(4) structure:  $\hat{V}_4 = \lambda^{-1} - \lambda\hat{H}_0 \rightarrow \hat{V}_i^2 + \hat{V}_4^2 = \lambda^{-2}$

LHO:  $(\hat{H}_0 + \alpha r^2) \Psi_0 = E\Psi_0 \rightarrow ???$

NC black holes  $\rho \propto e^{-r\lambda^{-1}} \rightarrow$  Minimal  $r_h$ , maximal  $T_H$

## 2. Noncommutative quantum mechanics

### Some of our results

H-atom:  $(\hat{H}_0 - \frac{q}{r}) \Psi_0 = E \Psi_0 \rightarrow E_n \lambda^2 = 1 - \sqrt{1 + (\alpha \lambda / n)^2}$

Planewaves:  $\hat{V}_3 \Psi_0 = k \Psi_0 \rightarrow \Psi \propto e^{ix_3 q}, k = \lambda^{-1} \sin q \lambda$

SO(4) structure:  $\hat{V}_4 = \lambda^{-1} - \lambda \hat{H}_0 \rightarrow \hat{V}_i^2 + \hat{V}_4^2 = \lambda^{-2}$

LHO:  $(\hat{H}_0 + \alpha r^2) \Psi_0 = E \Psi_0 \rightarrow ???$

NC black holes  $\rho \propto e^{-r \lambda^{-1}} \rightarrow$  Minimal  $r_h$ , maximal  $T_H$

arXiv[gr-qc]: 1504.04460

### 3. Generalized states

Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_\kappa$

Let us now consider a generalized class of states  $\Psi_\kappa(a, a^+)$  that have

$$\#a \neq \#a^+$$

Example

$$\Psi_{\kappa=1} = \Psi(x_i) a_1^+.$$



### 3. Generalized states

#### Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_\kappa$

Let us now consider a generalized class of states  $\Psi_\kappa(a, a^+)$  that have

$$\#a \neq \#a^+$$

Example

$$\Psi_{\kappa=1} = \Psi(x_i) a_1^+.$$

What difference does it make?

$$[x_i, r] = 0 \rightarrow [\Psi(x_i), r] = 0 \rightarrow \hat{r}_L = \hat{r}_R.$$

This has to be replaced with

$$\hat{r}_L - \hat{r}_R = \lambda\kappa \neq 0.$$

### 3. Generalized states

#### Relations between (physical) operators for generalized states

$$\begin{aligned}[\hat{V}_i, \hat{V}_j] &= 0 + i \frac{-\kappa}{2} \varepsilon_{ijk} \frac{\hat{X}_k}{\hat{r}(\hat{r}^2 - \lambda^2)} = i \hat{F}_{ij}, \\ \hat{V}_i^2 + \hat{V}_4^2 + \hat{\phi} \hat{F}_{ab}^2 &= \lambda^{-2}, \\ \hat{C}_1 &= \frac{\kappa}{2} \mathbf{q}, \\ \hat{C}_2 &= q^2 + \left(\frac{\kappa}{2}\right)^2 (-2E + \lambda^2 E^2), \\ \varepsilon_{ijk} [\hat{V}_i, [\hat{V}_j, \hat{V}_k]] &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

### 3. Generalized states

#### Comparison

QM with MM

NC QM with  $\kappa \neq 0$

$$[\hat{x}_i, \hat{x}_j] = 0 \leftrightarrow [\hat{X}_i, \hat{X}_j] = \lambda^2 \varepsilon_{ijk} \hat{L}_k,$$

$$[\hat{x}_i, \hat{p}_j] = i\delta_{ij} \leftrightarrow [\hat{X}_i, \hat{V}_j] = i\delta_{ij} \left(1 - \lambda^2 \hat{H}_0\right),$$

$$[\hat{p}_i, \hat{p}_j] = i\mu \varepsilon_{ijk} \frac{\hat{x}_k}{r^3} \leftrightarrow \left[\hat{V}_i, \hat{V}_j\right] = i \frac{-\kappa}{2} \varepsilon_{ijk} \frac{\hat{X}_k}{\hat{r}(\hat{r}^2 - \lambda^2)},$$

$$\hat{C}_1 = -\mu q \leftrightarrow \hat{C}_1 = \frac{\kappa}{2} q,$$

$$\hat{C}_2 = q^2 + (\mu)^2(-2E) \leftrightarrow \hat{C}_2 = q^2 + \left(\frac{\kappa}{2}\right)^2 (-2E + \lambda^2 E^2).$$

### 3. Generalized states

#### Comparison

QM with MM

NC QM with  $\kappa \neq 0$

$$[\hat{x}_i, \hat{x}_j] = 0 \leftrightarrow [\hat{X}_i, \hat{X}_j] = 0 + \lambda^2 \varepsilon_{ijk} \hat{L}_k,$$

$$[\hat{x}_i, \hat{p}_j] = i\delta_{ij} \leftrightarrow [\hat{X}_i, \hat{V}_j] = i\delta_{ij} (1 - \lambda^2 \hat{H}_0),$$

$$[\hat{p}_i, \hat{p}_j] = i\mu \varepsilon_{ijk} \frac{\hat{x}_k}{r^3} \leftrightarrow [\hat{V}_i, \hat{V}_j] = i \frac{-\kappa}{2} \varepsilon_{ijk} \frac{\hat{X}_k}{\hat{r}(\hat{r}^2 - \lambda^2)},$$

$$\hat{C}_1 = -\mu q \leftrightarrow \hat{C}_1 = \frac{\kappa}{2} q,$$

$$\hat{C}_2 = q^2 + (\mu)^2 (-2E) \leftrightarrow \hat{C}_2 = q^2 + \left(\frac{\kappa}{2}\right)^2 (-2E + \lambda^2 E^2).$$

$$\mu \in \mathbb{Z}/2 \leftrightarrow -\kappa/2 \in \mathbb{Z}/2.$$

# Ordinary space (NNC)

$$\mathbb{C}^2 \leftrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$$

- $a_\alpha^+, a_\alpha, \alpha = 1, 2 \leftrightarrow x^i, i = 1, 2, 3$
- $\sqrt{\lambda}a_\alpha \rightarrow z_\alpha, \sqrt{\lambda}a_\alpha^+ \rightarrow \bar{z}_\alpha, [ , ] \rightarrow -i\{ , \}$
- $\bar{z}_\alpha, z_\alpha, \alpha = 1, 2 \leftrightarrow x^i, i = 1, 2, 3$
- General:  $\Psi(z, \bar{z})$ , QM in  $R^3$ :  $\psi(x_j) = \psi(\bar{z}\sigma^j z)$ ,  
QM with MM:  $\Psi_\kappa = \psi(x_j)z_1^{\kappa_1}z_2^{\kappa_2}, \kappa_1 + \kappa_2 = -\kappa$
- $\hat{V}^j \psi_\kappa = (-i\partial_j + \mathcal{A}^j)\psi_\kappa, \mathcal{A}_j = -\frac{i}{2r\xi}\sigma_{\gamma\delta}^j z_\delta (\partial_{z_\gamma} \xi)$

# Ordinary space (NNC)

## $\mathbb{C}^2 \leftrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$

- $a_\alpha^+, a_\alpha, \alpha = 1, 2 \leftrightarrow x^i, i = 1, 2, 3$
- $\sqrt{\lambda}a_\alpha \rightarrow z_\alpha, \sqrt{\lambda}a_\alpha^+ \rightarrow \bar{z}_\alpha, [ , ] \rightarrow -i\{ , \}$
- $\bar{z}_\alpha, z_\alpha, \alpha = 1, 2 \leftrightarrow x^i, i = 1, 2, 3$
- General:  $\Psi(z, \bar{z})$ , QM in  $R^3$ :  $\psi(x_i) = \psi(\bar{z}\sigma^i z)$ ,  
QM with MM:  $\Psi_\kappa = \psi(x_i)z_1^{\kappa_1}z_2^{\kappa_2}, \kappa_1 + \kappa_2 = -\kappa$
- $\hat{V}^j \psi_\kappa = (-i\partial_j + \mathcal{A}^j)\psi_\kappa, \mathcal{A}_j = -\frac{i}{2r\xi}\sigma_{\gamma\delta}^j z_\delta (\partial_{z_\gamma} \xi)$

$$\psi_0 = \psi_0(\mathbf{x}) = \Phi(r, \varphi, \theta),$$

$$\psi_1 = \psi_0(\mathbf{x})\bar{z}_1 = \Phi(r, \varphi, \theta)e^{-\frac{i}{2}\gamma},$$

$$\psi_2 = \psi_0(\mathbf{x})\bar{z}_1\bar{z}_2 = \Phi(r, \varphi, \theta)e^{-i\gamma},$$

...

$$\psi_\kappa = \Phi(r, \varphi, \theta)e^{-i\frac{\kappa}{2}\gamma}.$$

- In the bosonic approach to NC QM, you can describe monopoles states of **any** field strength by considering states with unequal number of  $c/a$  operators (for example of the form  $\Psi = \varphi(x_i) a_1^{+\kappa_1} a_2^{+\kappa_2}$ ,  $x_i = \lambda a^+ \sigma^i a$ )
- In the ordinary QM you can describe monopoles states of **any** field strength by formulating the theory on  $\mathbb{C}^2$  instead of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and considering states with unequal number of  $z, \bar{z}$  (for example of the form  $\Psi = \varphi(x_i) \bar{z}_1^{+\kappa_1} \bar{z}_2^{+\kappa_2}$ ,  $x_i = \bar{z} \sigma^i z$ )

Thank you for your attention.