Detecting tau Neutrinos at Ultahigh Energies

E. A. Paschos TU Dortmund , Germany

Workshop at Corfu, Sept. 2016

Two interesting topics

- The discovery of ultra-high energy cosmic neutrinos opens a unique window to test neutrino properties and mixings at high energies. In these experiments the lepton flavor composition of the flux is essential.
- The presence or not of new sterile neutrino states (Majorana !) at a mass of 1.0 eV from the reactor experiments

Summary

- Why search for tau neutrinos
- Results so far
- Expected distributions

$\nu_{\mu} + N \to \mu + X,$



- $v(e):v(\mu):v(\tau) = 1:2:0$ from pion decays
- v(e):v(μ):v(τ) = 0:1:0 when in interstellar medium the energy of particles is decreased
- Mixing brings the fluxes on earth to 1/3:1/3:1/3





Arxiv:1507.03991 IceCube



Figure 8. Profile likelihood scan of the flavor composition at Earth. Each point in the triangle corresponds to a ratio

$\nu_{\mu} + N \to \mu + X,$



Schematic picture of neutrinos events





Branching ratio 17%

$$r_l = \frac{E_X}{E_l} \quad (0 \le r_l \le \infty)$$
$$y' = \frac{E_X}{E_\mu + E_X} = \frac{r_\mu}{1 + r_\mu}.$$

$x = Q^{2}/2Mv, y = v/E$



$$\frac{d^2\sigma}{dxdy} = \frac{2G_F^2 M E_\nu}{\pi} \left[xq(x) + x\bar{q}(x)(1-y)^2 \right].$$

For cross sections use point interactions with known pdf $\frac{d\sigma}{dy} = \frac{2G_F^2 M E_{\nu}}{\pi} \left[Q + \bar{Q}(1-y)^2\right]$



$$\overset{(-)}{Q} = \int_{0}^{1} x^{(-)}(x) dx.$$

I. Alikhanov and EAP arxiv:1605.04864

 $\nu_{\mu} + N \to \mu + X,$



$$\frac{d\sigma^{(\tau)}}{dE_{\mu}} = \int\limits_{E_{\mu}}^{E_{\nu}} \frac{d\sigma}{dE_{\tau}} \frac{1}{\Gamma_{\tau \rightarrow \text{all}}} \frac{d\Gamma_{\tau \rightarrow \mu + \nu \tau + \bar{\nu} \mu}}{dE_{\mu}} dE_{\tau},$$

 $J\Gamma$

 $\frac{d\sigma^{(\tau)}}{dr_{\mu}} = \frac{1}{r_{\mu}^2} \int_{0}^{r_{\mu}} \frac{r_{\tau}}{1+r_{\tau}} \frac{d\sigma}{dr_{\tau}} f\left(\frac{1}{1+r_{\tau}},\zeta\right) dr_{\tau},$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma^{(\tau)}}{dr_{\mu}} &= \frac{G^2 M E_{\nu}}{9\pi} \frac{\text{Br}}{r_{\mu}^5 (1+r_{\mu})^2} \left\{ 96 \left(Q + \bar{Q}\right) r_{\mu} + \left(306 \, Q + 198 \, \bar{Q}\right) r_{\mu}^2 \right. \\ &+ \left(275 \, Q + 113 \, \bar{Q}\right) r_{\mu}^3 + \left(16 \, Q + 10 \, \bar{Q}\right) r_{\mu}^4 - \left(49 \, Q - 5 \, \bar{Q}\right) r_{\mu}^5 \\ &- 6 \left(1 + r_{\mu}\right)^2 \left[16 (Q + \bar{Q}) + 9 (3 \, Q + \bar{Q}) r_{\mu} - 5 \, Q \, r_{\mu}^3 \right] \ln(1+r_{\mu}) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{N^{(\nu+\bar{\nu})}} \frac{dN^{(\nu+\bar{\nu})}}{dr_{\mu}} &= \frac{1}{12(1+\mathrm{Br})r_{\mu}^{5}(1+r_{\mu})^{4}} \\ &\times \left[3\mathrm{Br}\left(5r_{\mu}^{3}-36r_{\mu}-32\right)\left(1+r_{\mu}\right)^{4}\log(1+r_{\mu})\right. \\ \mathrm{Br}\left(22r_{\mu}^{5}-13r_{\mu}^{4}-194r_{\mu}^{3}-252r_{\mu}^{2}-96r_{\mu}\right)\left(1+r_{\mu}\right)^{2}+9r_{\mu}^{5}\left(r_{\mu}^{2}+2r_{\mu}+2\right)\right]. \end{split}$$

Equal fluxes on Earth of neutrinos and antineutrinos



$$\frac{1}{N^{(\nu+\bar{\nu})}} \frac{dN^{(\nu+\bar{\nu})}}{dy'} = \frac{1}{12(1+\mathrm{Br})y'^5}$$
$$\times \left[\mathrm{Br}\left(3y'^5 - 3y'^4 + 14y'^3 - 132y'^2 + 96y'\right)\right]$$
$$-3\mathrm{Br}\left(y'^3 - 24y'^2 + 60y' - 32\right)\log\left(1 - y'\right) + 9y'^5\left(y'^2 - 2y' + 2\right)\right].$$





Production spectra as a function of the visible inelasticity $(y' = E_X/(E_\mu + E_X))$.



Summary

- In this analysis one selects events which contain both showers and tracks. Then the analytic estimates show an excess of tau events at high y' = Ex/(Ex+Eµ) or Ex/Eµ large.
- At the moment there are few events to make the comparison, but several experiments will be operating soon (IceCube, Antares, Baikal Lake ...).

Deficit of antineutrinos in reactor experiments

$$\mathcal{L}_{Y}^{(\nu)} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\begin{array}{cc} \bar{\nu}_{L} & \bar{N}_{R}^{C} \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & m_{D} \\ m_{D}^{T} & M \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{cc} \nu_{L}^{C} \\ N_{R} \end{array} \right) + h. \ c.$$

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} (1 - \frac{1}{2}JJ^{\dagger}) & J \\ -J^{\dagger} & (1 - \frac{1}{2}J^{\dagger}J) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} U_{\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & U_{\chi} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} (1 - \frac{1}{2}JJ^{\dagger})U_{\theta} & JU_{\chi} \\ -J^{\dagger}U_{\theta} & (1 - \frac{1}{2}J^{\dagger}J)U_{\chi} \end{pmatrix}$$

with $J = m_D \frac{1}{M}$ and U_{θ} , U_{χ} diagonalizing the submatrices $m_D \frac{1}{M} m_D^T$ and

$$\begin{split} U_{e1} &= [1 - \frac{1}{2} (JJ^{\dagger})_{11}] c_{\theta} + \frac{1}{2} (JJ^{\dagger})_{12} s_{\theta} \\ U_{e2} &= [1 - \frac{1}{2} (JJ^{\dagger})_{11}] s_{\theta} - \frac{1}{2} (JJ^{\dagger})_{12} c_{\theta} \\ U_{e3} &= J_{11} c_{\chi} - J_{12} s_{\chi} \\ U_{e4} &= J_{11} s_{\chi} + J_{12} c_{\chi}, \end{split}$$



$$P_{\nu_e \to \nu_e} = 1 - \left[1 - 2(JJ^{\dagger})_{11} - \frac{2}{\sin 2\theta} Re(JJ^{\dagger})_{12} \right] \sin^2 2\theta \sin^2 \frac{\Delta m_{12}^2 t}{4E} -2\left(|J_{11}|^2 + |J_{12}|^2 \right) - 4|U_{e3}|^2 |U_{e4}|^2 \sin^2 \left(\frac{E_3 - E_4}{2} t \right).$$

$0.06 = 2 (|J_{11}|^2 + |J_{22}|^2) \sin^2(\Delta_{41}L/4E)$

