

Supersymmetric Flux Backgrounds and Generalised Special Holonomy

Charles Strickland-Constable

IHES and CEA/Saclay

Recent Developments in Strings and Gravity,
Corfu Summer Institute, 15th September 2016

[1411.5721](#) with André Coimbra and Daniel Waldram
and [1504.02465](#) & [1606.09304](#) with André Coimbra

Introduction

Minkowski backgrounds : $M^{D-1,1} \times M_{\text{int}}$

- ▶ No fluxes: $\rightarrow \nabla\epsilon = 0$

$\rightarrow$ Special holonomy! E.g. CY_3 , G_2 holonomy, etc.

[Candelas, Horowitz, Strominger & Witten '85]

- ▶ Fluxes $\rightarrow \nabla\epsilon = (\text{"Flux"}) \cdot \epsilon \neq 0$

[Strominger '86, Hull '86]

$\rightarrow$ intrinsic torsion

[Gauntlett, Martelli, Pakis & Waldram '02]

- ▶ Hitchin: Geometry on $T \oplus T^*$ includes H flux! (But not RR)

$\rightarrow$ Generalised Calabi-Yau $d_H\Phi^\pm = 0$

[Graña, Minasian, Petrini & Tomasiello '04]

- ▶ Can we geometrize all fluxes? Generalised special holonomy?

- ▶ Field content $\{g_{\mu\nu}, \mathcal{A}_{\mu\nu\rho}, \psi_\mu\}$ with $\mathcal{F}_4 = d\mathcal{A}_3$
- ▶ Bosonic Action

$$S_B \sim \int (\text{vol}_g \mathcal{R} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F} \wedge * \mathcal{F} - \frac{1}{6} \mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{F})$$

- ▶ Supersymmetry

$$\delta\psi_\mu = \nabla_\mu \varepsilon + \frac{1}{288} (\Gamma_\mu^{\nu_1 \dots \nu_4} - 8\delta_\mu^{\nu_1} \Gamma^{\nu_2 \nu_3 \nu_4}) \mathcal{F}_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_4} \varepsilon,$$

Restricting to 7 dimensions

- ▶ Warped metric ansatz ($\mu, \nu = 0, \dots, 3$) ($m, n = 1, \dots, 7$)

$$ds_{11}^2 = e^{2\Delta(x)} \eta_{\mu\nu} dy^\mu dy^\nu + g_{mn}(x) dx^m dx^n$$

- ▶ Internal fields: $\{g_{mn}, A_{mnp}, \tilde{A}_{m_1 \dots m_6}, \Delta; \psi_m, \rho\}$

- ▶ Field strengths

$$F_4 = dA_3 \qquad \tilde{F}_7 = d\tilde{A}_6 - \frac{1}{2} A_3 \wedge F_4,$$

- ▶ Gauge transformation: ($\Lambda \in \Lambda^2 T^*M$, $\tilde{\Lambda} \in \Lambda^5 T^*M$)

$$A' = A + d\Lambda \qquad \tilde{A}' = \tilde{A} + d\tilde{\Lambda} - \frac{1}{2} d\Lambda \wedge A$$

Restricting to 7 dimensions

- Spinor decomposition

$$\varepsilon = \eta_+ \otimes \epsilon + \eta_- \otimes \epsilon^c$$

- Internal SUSY variations / Killing spinor equations

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\psi_m &= \nabla_m \epsilon + \frac{1}{288} (\gamma_m{}^{n_1\dots n_4} - 8\delta_m{}^{n_1} \gamma^{n_2 n_3 n_4}) F_{n_1\dots n_4} \epsilon \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{12} \frac{1}{6!} \tilde{F}_{mn_1\dots n_6} \gamma^{n_1\dots n_6} \epsilon = 0\end{aligned}$$

$$\delta\rho = \nabla\!\!\!/ \epsilon + (\not{\partial}\Delta)\epsilon - \frac{1}{4}\not{F}\epsilon - \frac{1}{4}\not{\tilde{F}}\epsilon = 0$$

- $\mathcal{N} = N$ SUSY background iff

$\exists N$ independent **non-vanishing complex** ϵ satisfying $\uparrow$

G -structure analysis

Definition: G -structure $\equiv$ covering w/local frames related by G

(E.g. Orthonormal frames $g(\hat{e}_a, \hat{e}_b) = \delta_{ab}$ give $SO(d)$ structure)

► $\epsilon = \epsilon_1 + i\epsilon_2$ stabilised by $\begin{cases} G_2 & \epsilon_1 \propto \epsilon_2 \\ SU(3) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

► So ϵ defines local G_2 or $SU(3)$ structure

► Or: Form bilinears $\Phi_k \sim \epsilon \gamma_{m_1 \dots m_k} \epsilon$

► Find: intrinsic torsion $\sim$ Flux

$$d\Phi_k \sim \text{"Flux"}$$

[Gauntlett, Martelli, Pakis & Waldram '02] [Cardoso, Curio, Dall'Agata, Lüst, Manousselis & Zoupanos '02]

[Kaste, Minasian & Tomasiello '03] [Lukas & Saffin '04]

Intrinsic Torsion

- ▶ Given $\hat{\nabla}^{\mathfrak{g}}\epsilon = 0$, any G -connection can be written

$$\nabla^{\mathfrak{g}} = \hat{\nabla}^{\mathfrak{g}} + \Sigma \quad \Sigma \in T^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}$$

- ▶ Let $W = T \otimes \Lambda^2 T^* \sim \{\text{Torsions}\}$

- ▶ Torsion map on Σ :

$$\tau(\Sigma) = T(\nabla^{\mathfrak{g}}) - T(\hat{\nabla}^{\mathfrak{g}})$$

- ▶ G -structure defines section of $W/\text{Im}(\tau) \rightarrow \text{Intrinsic torsion!}$

$\exists G$ -compatible torsion-free $\nabla^{\mathfrak{g}} \Leftrightarrow$ Intrinsic torsion vanishes

$\Leftrightarrow$ Special holonomy G

- Consider a bundle

$$E \simeq TM \oplus \Lambda^2 T^* M \oplus \Lambda^5 T^* M \oplus (T^* M \otimes \Lambda^7 T^* M)$$

- Carries action of $E_{7(7)} \times \mathbb{R}^+$ in $\mathbf{56}_{+1}$ representation
- Sections of $E \leftrightarrow$ infinitesimal diffeos + gauge transformations
- Dorfman derivative:

$$\begin{aligned} L_V &= \partial_V - (\partial \times_{\text{ad}} V) \cdot \\ &\sim \mathcal{L}_v + (\text{d}\Lambda_{(2)} \cdot) + (\text{d}\tilde{\Lambda}_{(5)} \cdot) \end{aligned}$$

- **Connection:** For $\Omega_M \in \text{ad}(E_{d(d)} \times \mathbb{R}^+)$

$$D : E \rightarrow E^* \otimes E$$

$$D_M V^A = \partial_M V^A + \Omega_M^A{}_B V^B$$

- **Torsion:** Define $T(V) \in \text{ad}(E_{d(d)} \times \mathbb{R}^+)$

$$T(V) \cdot = L_V^{(\partial \rightarrow D)} - L_V$$

- For a **torsion-free** connection D

$$L_V = \partial_V - (\partial \times_{\text{ad}} V) \cdot = D_V - (D \times_{\text{ad}} V) \cdot$$

Supergravity Fields and the Generalised Metric

- Can build generalised metric

$$G \sim \{g_{mn}, A_{mnp}, \tilde{A}_{m_1 \dots m_6}, \Delta\} \in \frac{E_{7(7)} \times \mathbb{R}^+}{SU(8)}$$

→ Defines $SU(8)$ structure on E

- Supergravity fermions $\rightarrow SU(8)$ representations

$$\epsilon^\alpha \in \mathbf{8} \qquad \rho_\alpha \in \bar{\mathbf{8}} \qquad \psi^{[\alpha\beta\gamma]} \in \mathbf{56}$$

- Generalised vector in $SU(8)$ indices

$$\mathbf{56} \rightarrow \mathbf{28} + \bar{\mathbf{28}} \qquad V = (V^{[\alpha\beta]}, \bar{V}_{[\alpha\beta]})$$

- ▶ $SU(8)$ (metric) compatible connection defined by

$$DG = 0$$

- ▶ $\exists$ family of D torsion-free & compatible (Not unique!!!)

- ▶ $T = 0 \Rightarrow$ Some cpts fixed to be $\nabla, F, \tilde{F}, d\Delta$

- ▶ SUSY variations are:

$$\delta\rho_\alpha = \bar{D}_{\alpha\beta}\epsilon^\beta \qquad \delta\psi^{[\alpha\beta\gamma]} = D^{[\alpha\beta}\epsilon^{\gamma]}$$

- ▶ These operators are unique!
- ▶ Write them collectively as $(\delta\psi, \delta\rho) = D \times_{\text{SUSY}} \epsilon$

- ▶ ϵ defines (global) $SU(7)$ structure on E

Key result:

Killing spinor eqns $(D \times_{\text{SUSY}} \epsilon) \equiv SU(7)$ Intrinsic torsion

- ▶ I.e $\text{SUSY} \Rightarrow SU(7)$ structure has vanishing intrinsic torsion
- ▶ Analogue of spaces with special holonomy
- ▶ $SU(7)$ “generalised holonomy”

Generalised special holonomy!

$\mathcal{N} = 1$ Minkowski background $\Leftrightarrow SU(7)$ **generalised holonomy**

Comment:

► $D^{[\alpha\beta}\epsilon^{\gamma]} = 0$ and $\bar{D}_{\alpha\beta}\epsilon^{\beta} = 0$ (SUSY)

$\Rightarrow$ Generalised **Ricci flat** (Eqns of motion)

- ▶ $\mathcal{N} = 1$ AdS backgrounds

$$D^{[\alpha\beta}\epsilon^{\gamma]} = 0 \qquad D_{\alpha\beta}\epsilon^{\beta} = \Lambda \bar{\epsilon}_{\alpha}$$

$\Lambda \rightarrow$ (generalised) singlet torsion

- ▶ “Weak generalised holonomy”
[c.f. Sasaki-Einstein, weak G_2 etc.]
- ▶ SUSY $\Rightarrow$ Generalised Einstein

$$R_{MN} \sim \Lambda^2 G_{MN}$$

Extension : Higher $\mathcal{N}$ backgrounds?

Would like that:

$\mathcal{N} = N$ Minkowski background $\overset{?}{\Leftrightarrow} SU(8 - N)$ generalised holonomy

- ▶ $\mathcal{N} = 2 \rightarrow$ torsion-free $SU(6)$ structure

(same proof: $(D \times_{\text{SUSY}} \epsilon_{1,2}) \equiv$ Intrinsic torsion)

- ▶ $\mathcal{N} \geq 3$ more difficult: $(D \times_{\text{SUSY}} \epsilon_i) \subsetneq$ Intrinsic torsion

$\rightarrow$ What happens then?...

Multiple Killing spinors [c.f. Gabella, Martelli, Sparks & Passias '12]

- ▶ Basis ϵ_i for $i = 1, \dots, N$ of $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space of Killing spinors
- ▶ SUSY $\Rightarrow$ rescaled $\hat{\epsilon}_i = e^{-\Delta/2} \epsilon_i$ satisfies

$$\tilde{\nabla}_m \hat{\epsilon} = \nabla_m \hat{\epsilon} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{3!} F_{mnpq} \gamma^{npq} \hat{\epsilon} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{6!} \tilde{F}_{mn_1 \dots n_6} \gamma^{n_1 \dots n_6} \hat{\epsilon} = 0$$

- ▶ $\{\gamma^{(2)}, \gamma^{(3)}, \gamma^{(6)}\}$ generate $SU(8)$ so $SU(8)$ connection!
- ▶ $\Rightarrow$ preserves inner products $\hat{\epsilon}_i^\dagger \hat{\epsilon}_j$

- ▶ So $\exists$ unitary basis $\hat{\epsilon}_i^\dagger \hat{\epsilon}_j = \delta_{ij}$

$SU(8 - N)$ Intrinsic torsion mismatch

- ▶ Split $SU(8)$ index : $\alpha = (i, a)$, where $i \leftrightarrow$ Killing spinors.
- ▶ Let $D = \hat{D} + \Sigma$ where
 - ▶ D an $SU(8 - N)$ connection $D\epsilon_i = 0$
 - ▶ $\hat{D}$ a torsion-free $SU(8)$ connection
 - ▶ $\Sigma = (\Sigma_{[\alpha\beta]}{}^\gamma{}_\delta, \Sigma^{[\alpha\beta]}{}_\gamma{}_\delta) \in E^* \otimes \text{ad } SU(8)$ ← torsion is here!

- ▶ $SU(8 - N)$ intrinsic torsion

$$\hat{\tau}_{\text{int}} = (\Sigma_{a\gamma}{}^\gamma{}_i, \Sigma_{i\gamma}{}^\gamma{}_j, \Sigma_{[ab]}{}^i{}_c, \Sigma_{[ab]}{}^i{}_j, \Sigma_{[ai]}{}^j{}_k, \Sigma_{[ij]}{}^k{}_l, \Sigma_{[ij]}{}^a{}_k) + (\text{c.c.})$$

- ▶ Projections $\hat{D}_{[\alpha\beta]}{}^{\bar{\epsilon}}{}_\gamma$ and $\hat{D}_{\alpha\beta}\epsilon^\beta$ don't see $\Sigma_{[ij]}{}^a{}_k$!!!
- ▶ Looks impossible that SUSY could $\Rightarrow \hat{\tau}_{\text{int}} = 0$

Killing vectors

Killing vectors have

$$\mathcal{L}_v g = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \nabla_{(m} v_{n)} = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \nabla_m v_n = \nabla_{[m} v_{n]}$$

- ▶ Basically $(\nabla v) \in \text{ad}(SO(d)) \subset \text{ad}(GL(d, \mathbb{R}))$
- ▶ So ∇ and (∇v) can act on arbitrary $Spin(d)$ objects
- ▶ $\rightarrow$ Kosmann's spinorial Lie derivative

$$\mathcal{L}_v^K \epsilon = \nabla_v \epsilon + \frac{1}{4} (\nabla_{[a} v_{b]}) \gamma^{ab} \epsilon$$

- ▶ “Agrees” with Lie derivative if v a Killing vector!

$$\mathcal{L}_v = \nabla_v - (\nabla \times_{\text{ad } GL(d, \mathbb{R})} v) \cdot = \nabla_v - (\nabla \times_{\text{ad } SO(d)} v) \cdot$$

Generalised Killing vectors (GKVs) have

$$L_V G = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad D \times_{\text{ad}(E_{7(7)} \times \mathbb{R}^+)} V = D \times_{\text{ad}(SU(8))} V$$

$\Rightarrow$ Dorfman derivative can naturally act on $SU(8)$ objects!

$$L_V = D_V - (D \times_{\text{ad}(E_{7(7)} \times \mathbb{R}^+)} V) \cdot = D_V - (D \times_{\text{ad}(SU(8))} V) \cdot$$

(for D a torsion-free generalised $SU(8)$ connection)

Kosmann-Dorfman (KD) derivative

Define

$$L_V = D_V - (D \times_{\text{ad}(SU(8))} V).$$

For **GKV**s:

- ▶ “Agrees” with Dorfman derivative
- ▶ Algebra closes: $[L_V, L_{V'}] = L_{L_V V'}$
- ▶ Commutes with SUSY operators

$$L_V(D \times_{\text{SUSY}} \epsilon) = D \times_{\text{SUSY}} (L_V \epsilon)$$

(Kosmann)-Dorfman and GKV's

-) Vector part of GKV is **flux preserving** Killing vector.

$$\mathcal{L}_v g = \mathcal{L}_v \Delta = \mathcal{L}_v F = \mathcal{L}_v \tilde{F} = 0 \quad (\text{Isometry})$$

→ Preserves Killing spinor equations $\mathcal{L}_v(\mathcal{D}_{\text{SUSY}}\epsilon) = \mathcal{D}_{\text{SUSY}}(\mathcal{L}_v\epsilon)$

⇒ The Killing spinors form a **representation** of the isometry group!

-) In an appropriate “**untwisted** frame” on E have:

$$L_V \equiv \mathcal{L}_v$$

-) ⇒ If V a GKV then $\exists$ **constant** matrix X_i^j s.t.

$$L_V \epsilon_i = X_i^j \epsilon_j$$

Spinor bilinears and trilinears

Complex generalised vectors V_{ij} and $W^{ij} = (V_{ij})^*$

$$(V_{ij})^{\alpha\beta} = \epsilon_i^{[\alpha} \epsilon_j^{\beta]} \quad (V_{ij})_{\alpha\beta} = 0$$

Find (using SUSY and $\epsilon_i^\dagger \epsilon_j = \delta_{ij}$):

► Automatically **GKVs**

► $\boxed{L_{V_{ij}} \epsilon_k \sim D_{V_{[ij}} \epsilon_{k]}} \quad L_{W^{ij}} \epsilon_k = 0$

► Then **representation** $\Rightarrow L_{V_{ij}} \epsilon_k = X_{ijk}^l \epsilon_l$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{L_{V_{ij}} \epsilon_k = 0 \quad L_{W^{ij}} \epsilon_k = 0}$$

GKVs preserving the Killing spinors!

$SU(8 - N)$ Intrinsic torsion revisited

Return to setup $D^{SU(8-N)} = \hat{D}_{T=0}^{SU(8)} + \Sigma^{SU(8)}$ from before

We have that:

$$(L_{V_{ij}}\epsilon_k)^a \sim \epsilon_{[i}^{\gamma}\epsilon_j^{\gamma'}(\bar{\hat{D}}_{|\gamma\gamma'|}\epsilon_k^a) \sim \Sigma_{[ij}^a{}^k$$

This was the missing component of the **intrinsic torsion!!!**

Further: showed that $L_{V_{ij}}\epsilon_k = 0$, so **it vanishes!!!**

Generalised special holonomy!!!

Result:

$$\mathcal{N} = N \text{ Minkowski background} \Leftrightarrow SU(8 - N) \text{ generalised holonomy}$$

In other dimensions:

d	$\tilde{H}_d$	Generalised Holonomy
7	$SU(8)$	$SU(8 - \mathcal{N})$
6	$Sp(8)$	$Sp(8 - 2\mathcal{N})$
5	$Sp(4) \times Sp(4)$	$Sp(4 - 2\mathcal{N}_+) \times Sp(4 - 2\mathcal{N}_-)$
4	$Sp(4)$	$Sp(4 - 2\mathcal{N})$

Also for type IIA and IIB

Internal Killing superalgebra

Reminiscent of “superalgebra”

$$[\epsilon_i, \epsilon_j] = V_{ij}$$

$$[Q, Q] = P$$

$$[V_{ij}, \epsilon_k] = L_{V_{ij}} \epsilon_k = 0$$

$$[P, Q] = 0$$

$$[V_{ii'}, V_{jj'}] = L_{V_{ii'}} V_{jj'} = 0$$

$$[P, P] = 0$$

Not a coincidence – “internal sector” of Killing superalgebra

Lie Superalgebra on $\{ \text{Killing vectors} \} \oplus \{ \text{Killing spinors} \}$

$$[\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2] = v(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$$

$$[v, \varepsilon] = \mathcal{L}_v \varepsilon$$

$$[v_1, v_2] = \mathcal{L}_{v_1} v_2$$

Algebra of “super-isometries”

11d Killing superalgebra (KSA)

Introduce basis of external Weyl spinors $\eta_1 = (1, 0)$, $\eta_2 = (0, 1)$

$$Q_{i,\alpha} = \eta_\alpha \otimes \epsilon_i, \quad \bar{Q}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} = \bar{\eta}^{\dot{\alpha}} \otimes \epsilon_i^c,$$

and internal (complex) vectors $z_{ij} = (\epsilon_i^c \gamma^m \epsilon_j) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^m}$

$$[Q_{i,\alpha}, \bar{Q}_{j,\dot{\beta}}] = \delta_{ij} (\sigma^\mu)_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu},$$

$$[Q_{i,\alpha}, Q_{j,\beta}] = \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} z_{ij},$$

$$[\bar{Q}_{i,\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{Q}_{j,\dot{\beta}}] = \epsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \bar{z}_{ij},$$

KSA $\cong$ Supertranslational part of **super-Poincaré algebra**

(Internal isometries always central $[z_{ij}, \cdot] = 0$)

Further extensions?

- ▶ $\mathcal{N} = N$ AdS? [work in progress]
- ▶ Moduli? [Garcia-Fernandez, Rubio & Tipler '15; Ashmore & Waldram '15]
[Ashmore, Petrini & Waldram '15; Ashmore, Gabella, Grana, Petrini & Waldram '16]
- ▶ Definition of “generalised holonomy”?
- ▶ Higher derivative corrections? [Garcia-Fernandez '13]
[Coimbra, Minasian, Triendl & Waldram '14]
- ▶ Non-geometric backgrounds? [See talks by Hull, Lüst]

The End

- ▶ Thanks for your attention!