

# Universal Gauge Theory in Two Dimensions

Athanasios Chatzistavrakidis



rijksuniversiteit  
groningen

faculteit wiskunde en  
natuurwetenschappen

Based on:

1608.03250 with **A. Deser - L. Jonke - T. Strobl**

1607.00342 (JHEP) with **A. Deser - L. Jonke - T. Strobl** ← mainly this one

1604.03739 (PoS)

1509.01829 (JHEP) with **A. Deser - L. Jonke**

Corfu 2016

# General Motivations

- ❁ When does a theory have a local symmetry?

cf. Weinstein's math/9602220 for the math viewpoint

- ❁ Strings in quotient spaces beyond group actions

cf. G/H WZW models (Gawedzki, Kupiainen)

- ❁ Target space dualities without global symmetry

cf. Poisson-Lie (Klimcik, Severa; Sfetsos), but w/o group action; Hull '06

- ❁ “Non-geometric” string backgrounds from a worldsheet/worldvolume perspective

Hull '04; Halmagyi '09; Mylonas, Schupp, Szabo '12; 1311.4878 and 1505.05457 with L. Jonke and O. Lechtenfeld; ...

## In this talk

- ✦ Focus on 2D bosonic  $\sigma$ -models (LO, no dilaton)

Determine the conditions for existence of *some* gauge extension

- ✦ In other words, couple gauge fields  $A$  to the theory, valued in *some* “gauge” bundle
- ✦ For appropriate gauge transformations, determine the rhs of the Lie derivatives

$$\mathcal{L}_\rho g = \dots \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}_\rho B = \dots \quad \text{or} \quad \mathcal{L}_\rho H = \dots$$

such that the theory is gauge invariant.

## Prospectus of results

- ✿ The rhs of the invariance conditions need not be zero
- ✿ The gauging is controlled by two (curved, in general) connections  $\nabla^\pm$
- ✿ There exists a universal gauge theory with target  $TM \oplus T^*M$
- ✿ In the “maximal” case it generalizes  $G/G$  WZW and WZ Poisson  $\sigma$ -models
- ✿  $\nabla^\pm$  are determined in closed form for the “maximal” case

## Good old standard gauging of group actions - Global symmetry

Usual procedure: rigid symmetry  $\longrightarrow$  (minimal coupling)  $\longrightarrow$  local symmetry

Strings propagating in a target spacetime  $M \rightsquigarrow \sigma$ -model of maps  $X = (X^i) : \Sigma \rightarrow M$

$$S_0[X] = \int_{\Sigma} \frac{1}{2} g_{ij}(X) dX^i \wedge * dX^j + \int_{\Sigma} \frac{1}{2} B_{ij}(X) dX^i \wedge dX^j .$$

Consider Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  with elements  $\xi_a$  mapped to vector fields  $\rho_a = \rho_a^i(X) \partial_i$  of  $M$ :

$$M \times \mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\rho} TM , \quad \text{such that} \quad \rho(\xi_a) = \rho_a ,$$

In general, non-Abelian vector fields satisfying the algebra:  $[\rho_a, \rho_b]_{\text{Lie}} = C_{ab}^c \rho_c$ .

Then the action  $S_0$  is invariant under the **rigid** symmetry  $\delta_{\epsilon} X^i = \rho_a^i(X) \epsilon^a$  provided that:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rho_a} g = 0 , \quad \mathcal{L}_{\rho_a} B = d\beta_a .$$

## Good old standard gauging of group actions - Gauging/Minimal Coupling

Gauging the symmetry requires coupling of  $\mathfrak{g}$ -valued 1-forms (gauge fields)  $A = A^a \xi_a$

$$dX^i \rightarrow DX^i = dX^i - \rho_a^i(X) A^a .$$

The candidate gauged action is simply

$$S_{\text{m.c.}}[X, A] = \int_{\Sigma} \frac{1}{2} g_{ij}(X) DX^i \wedge *DX^j + \int_{\Sigma} \frac{1}{2} B_{ij}(X) DX^i \wedge DX^j .$$

The action is invariant under the (standard) infinitesimal gauge transformations:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\epsilon} X^i &= \rho_a^i(X) \epsilon^a , \\ \delta_{\epsilon} A^a &= d\epsilon^a + C_{bc}^a A^b \epsilon^c , \end{aligned}$$

with a  $\Sigma$ -dependent gauge parameter  $\epsilon^a$  (and  $\beta_a = 0$ ).

Note: For  $\beta_a \neq 0$  minimal coupling is not sufficient. cf. Hull, Spence '89, ...

## Beyond the Standard Gauging

Default: no requirement for a rigid symmetry/no initial assumptions for  $g(X)$  and  $B(X)$ .

In other words, considering again the candidate (minimally-coupled) *gauged* action:

$$S_{\text{m.c.}}[X, A] = \int_{\Sigma} \frac{1}{2} g_{ij}(X) DX^i \wedge *DX^j + \int_{\Sigma} \frac{1}{2} B_{ij}(X) DX^i \wedge DX^j,$$

### Question

Under which conditions does  $S_{\text{m.c.}}$  have a gauge symmetry  $\delta_{\epsilon} X^i = \rho_a^i(X) \epsilon^a$ ?

Also, replace Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  by *some* vector bundle  $L \xrightarrow{\pi} M$  with an *almost Lie* bracket

cf. Strobl '04

$$L \xrightarrow{\rho} TM, \quad [\cdot, \cdot]_L$$

In a local basis of sections  $e_a$  of  $L$ :

$$[e_a, e_b]_L = C_{ab}^c(X) e_c \quad \xrightarrow{\rho} \quad [\rho_a, \rho_b]_{\text{Lie}} = C_{ab}^c(X) \rho_c$$

$\rho_a = \rho(e_a)$ : involutive vector fields generating a (possibly singular) foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $M$ .

## Invariance Conditions

Let us now make a general Ansatz for the gauge transformation of  $A = A^a e_a \in \Gamma(L)$ :

$$\delta_\epsilon A^a = d\epsilon^a + C_{bc}^a(X) A^b \epsilon^c + \Delta A^a.$$

The worldsheet covariant derivative transforms as

$$\delta_\epsilon DX^i = \epsilon^a \rho_{a,j}^i DX^j - \rho_a^i(X) \Delta A^a.$$

Transformation of the action:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\epsilon S_{\text{m.c.}} &= \int_\Sigma \epsilon^a \left( \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{L}_{\rho_a} g)_{ij} DX^i \wedge *DX^j + \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{L}_{\rho_a} B)_{ij} DX^i \wedge DX^j \right) \\ &\quad - \int_\Sigma g_{ij} \rho_a^i \Delta A^a \wedge *DX^j + B_{ij} \rho_a^i \Delta A^a \wedge DX^j. \end{aligned}$$

Considering  $\Delta A^a = \omega_{bi}^a(X) \epsilon^b DX^i + \phi_{bi}^a(X) \epsilon^b *DX^i$ , invariance of  $S_{\text{m.c.}}$  requires:

( $*^2 = \mp 1$ )

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rho_a} g = \omega_a^b \vee \iota_{\rho_b} g - \phi_a^b \vee \iota_{\rho_b} B,$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rho_a} B = \omega_a^b \wedge \iota_{\rho_b} B \pm \phi_a^b \wedge \iota_{\rho_b} g.$$



## Geometric Interpretation

What happens under a change of basis  $e_a \rightarrow \Lambda(X)_a^b e_b$  in  $L$ ?

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_{bi}^a &\rightarrow (\Lambda^{-1})_c^a \omega_{di}^c \Lambda_b^d - \Lambda_b^c \partial_i (\Lambda^{-1})_c^a, \\ \phi_{bi}^a &\rightarrow (\Lambda^{-1})_c^a \phi_{di}^c \Lambda_b^d.\end{aligned}$$

$\rightsquigarrow \omega_{bi}^a$  are the coefficients of a connection 1-form on the vector bundle  $L$ :

$$\nabla^\omega e_a = \omega_a^b \otimes e_b,$$

and  $\phi_{bi}^a$  are the coefficients of an endomorphism-valued 1-form:  $\phi \in \Gamma(T^*M \otimes L^* \otimes L)$ .

Since the difference of two vector bundle connections is an endomorphism 1-form,

$\rightsquigarrow$  the gauging is controlled by two connections  $\nabla^\pm = \nabla^\omega \pm \phi$  on  $L$

## Mixing of $g$ and $B$ - Generalized Geometry

Consider the following two maps, defined via the interior product:

$$E^\pm := B \pm g : TM \rightarrow T^*M$$

Additionally, define the following linear combinations of  $\omega_b^a$  and  $\phi_b^a$ :

$$(\Omega^\pm)_b^a := (\omega \pm \phi)_b^a$$

Then the invariance conditions for Lorentzian world sheets are re-expressed as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rho_a} E^\pm = (\Omega^\mp)_a^b \otimes \iota_{\rho_b} E^\pm - \iota_{\rho_b} E^\mp \otimes (\Omega^\pm)_a^b.$$

The graphs of  $E^\pm$  are identified with  $n$ -dimensional sub-bundles  $C_\pm$  of  $TM \oplus T^*M$ .

Gualtieri '04

$\rightsquigarrow$  reduction of structure group  $O(n, n) \rightarrow O(n) \times O(n)$ /generalized Riemannian metric

$\rightsquigarrow$  a metric  $\mathcal{H} : TM \oplus T^*M \rightarrow TM \oplus T^*M$  on the generalized tangent bundle of  $M$

cf. Gualtieri '14 for this perspective

$$\mathcal{H} = \begin{pmatrix} -g^{-1}B & g^{-1} \\ g - Bg^{-1}B & Bg^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

## Beyond Minimal Coupling - WZ terms

In the presence of a Wess-Zumino term in the action, minimal coupling is not enough.

$$S_{0,WZ}[X] = \int_{\Sigma} \frac{1}{2} g_{ij}(X) dX^i \wedge * dX^j + \int_{\hat{\Sigma}} \frac{1}{3!} H_{ijk} dX^i \wedge dX^j \wedge dX^k .$$

The candidate gauged action functional, at least for minimally coupled kinetic sector, is

$$S_{WZ}[X, A] = \int_{\Sigma} \frac{1}{2} g_{ij}(X) DX^i \wedge * DX^j + \int_{\hat{\Sigma}} H + \int_{\Sigma} A^a \wedge \theta_a + \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{ab}(X) A^a \wedge A^b ,$$

where  $\theta_a = \theta_{ai}(X) dX^i$  are 1-forms and  $\gamma_{ab}(X)$  are functions, pulled back from  $M$  via  $X$ .

Such an action was first studied in [Hull-Spence '89](#) and more recently also in [Plauschinn '14](#);

[Kotov, Salnikov, Strobl '14](#); [Bakas, Lüst, Plauschinn '15](#)

## Conditions for gauge invariance

As in the minimally coupled case, we examine the gauge invariance of  $S_{WZ}$  under

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_\epsilon X^i &= \rho_a^i(X) \epsilon^a, \\ \delta_\epsilon A^a &= d\epsilon^a + C_{bc}^a(X) A^b \epsilon^c + \omega_{bi}^a(X) \epsilon^b DX^i + \phi_{bi}^a(X) \epsilon^b *DX^i.\end{aligned}$$

### Invariance Conditions

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{\rho_a} \mathbf{g} &= \omega_a^b \vee \iota_{\rho_b} \mathbf{g} + \phi_a^b \vee \theta_b, \\ \iota_{\rho_a} \mathbf{H} &= d\theta_a - \omega_a^b \wedge \theta_b \pm \phi_a^b \wedge \iota_{\rho_b} \mathbf{g}.\end{aligned}$$

### Obstructing Constraints

$$\gamma_{(ab)} = \iota_{\rho_a} \theta_b + \iota_{\rho_b} \theta_a = 0, \quad \iota_{\rho_b} \iota_{\rho_a} \mathbf{H} = C_{ab}^d \theta_d + d\iota_{\rho_{[a}} \theta_{b]}) - 2\mathcal{L}_{\rho_{[a}} \theta_{b]}.$$

## Addendum to the Geometric Interpretation

There is a map  $\theta$  from the vector bundle  $L$  to the cotangent bundle of  $M$ :

$$\begin{aligned} L &\xrightarrow{\theta} T^*M \\ \mathbf{e}_a &\mapsto \theta(\mathbf{e}_a) := \theta_a = \theta_{ai} dx^i . \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with the map  $\rho$ , we obtain a map to the generalized tangent bundle:

$$\begin{aligned} L &\xrightarrow{\rho \oplus \theta} TM \oplus T^*M \\ \mathbf{e}_a &\mapsto (\rho \oplus \theta)(\mathbf{e}_a) := \rho_a + \theta_a = \rho_a^i \partial_i + \theta_{ai} dx^i . \end{aligned}$$

$\rightsquigarrow$   $H$ -twisted Courant algebroid structure on the  $TM \oplus T^*M$ , with bracket and bilinear:

$$\begin{aligned} [\xi_a, \xi_b] &= [\rho_a, \rho_b] + \mathcal{L}_{\rho_a} \theta_b - \mathcal{L}_{\rho_b} \theta_a - \frac{1}{2} d(\iota_{\rho_a} \theta_b - \iota_{\rho_b} \theta_a) - \iota_{\rho_a} \iota_{\rho_b} H , \\ \langle \xi_a, \xi_b \rangle &= \iota_{\rho_a} \theta_b + \iota_{\rho_b} \theta_a . \end{aligned}$$

### Meaning of the two Constraints

- Vanishing of the bilinear form
- Closure of the bracket

$\rightsquigarrow$  (Small) Dirac Structures

So, when can we really find  $\nabla^\pm/\omega_b^a$  and  $\phi_b^a$ ?

Suppose  $L = D$  and  $(\rho \oplus \theta)(D) = \tilde{D}$ , with full Dirac structures  $D, \tilde{D} \subset (TM \oplus T^*M)_H$ :

$$\text{rk } D = \frac{1}{2} \text{rk } TM \oplus T^*M, \quad [\Gamma(D), \Gamma(D)] \subset \Gamma(D), \quad \langle \Gamma(D), \Gamma(D) \rangle = 0.$$

- ✦ First, we proved the invertibility of the operators:  $\theta^* \pm \rho : D \rightarrow TM$  ( $\theta^* = g^{-1} \circ \theta$ )
- ✦ Note: this holds regardless of the invertibility or not of  $\rho$  and  $\theta$ .

Then we showed that the following coefficients solve the invariance conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{bi}^a &= \Gamma_{bi}^a - \phi_{bi}^a + T_{bi}^a, \\ \phi_{bi}^a &= [(\theta^* - \rho)^{-1}]_k^a \left( \mathring{\nabla}_i \rho_b^k - \rho_c^k T_{bi}^c \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $T_{bi}^a = [(\theta^* + \rho)^{-1}]_k^a \left( \mathring{\nabla}_i (\theta^* + \rho)_b^k - \frac{1}{2} \rho_b^l H_{li}^k \right)$ .

Here  $\mathring{\nabla}$  is the LC connection on  $TM$  and  $\Gamma_{bi}^a$  are the coefficients of  $\nabla^{LC}$  on  $D$ .

## Dirac $\sigma$ -models as Universal Gauge Theory

In intrinsic geometric terms, defining  $T(\rho) = T_a^b \otimes e^a \otimes \rho_b \in \Gamma(T^*M \otimes D^* \otimes TM)$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla^+ &= \nabla^{LC} + T, \\ \nabla^- &= \nabla^{LC} + T - 2\iota_{(\hat{\nabla} - T)(\rho)}(\theta^* - \rho)^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Returning to the gauged action functional, defining the field  $v \oplus \eta \in \Omega^1(\Sigma, X^*D)$  as:

$$v = \rho(A) \Rightarrow v^i = \rho_a^i(X)A^a \quad \text{and} \quad \eta = \theta(A) \Rightarrow \eta_i = \theta_{ai}(X)A^a,$$

the  $S_{WZ}[X, A]$  becomes identical to the action for the topological Dirac Sigma Model

Kotov, Schaller, Strobl '04

$$S_{\text{DSM}}[X, v \oplus \eta] = \int_{\Sigma} \frac{1}{2} g_{ij}(X) DX^i \wedge *DX^j + \int_{\Sigma} \left( \eta_i \wedge dX^i - \frac{1}{2} \eta_i \wedge v^i \right) + \int_{\hat{\Sigma}} H$$

A non-topological analog may be obtained for small Dirac structures.

## Application: the $H$ -twisted Poisson Sigma Model - Motivation

Suppose  $(M, \pi)$  is a Poisson manifold with Poisson structure  $\pi$  ( $\pi^{[i} \partial_l \pi^{jk]} = 0$ )

Ikeda '93; Schaller, Strobl '94

$$S_{\text{PSM}}[X, A] = \int_{\Sigma} \left( A_i \wedge dX^i + \frac{1}{2} \pi^{ij}(X) A_i \wedge A_j \right) .$$

- ✿ Equivalent (for a bivector linear in  $x$ ) to 2D YM in the 1st order formalism
- ✿ Its path integral quantization yields Kontsevich  $\star$  product Cattaneo-Felder '01
- ✿ From a different viewpoint, it can be related to  $Q$  flux string backgrounds



## Application: the $H$ -twisted Poisson Sigma Model - Initial data

Suppose  $(M, \pi)$  is a Poisson manifold with Poisson structure  $\pi$ , and we choose:

$$L = T^*M, \quad \rho = \pi^\sharp \quad \text{and} \quad \theta = \text{id} \Rightarrow \theta^* = g^{-1}.$$

The almost Lie bracket on  $T^*M$  is the Koszul-Schouten bracket of 1-forms  $\alpha, \tilde{\alpha}$ :

$$[\alpha, \tilde{\alpha}]_{\text{KS}} := \mathcal{L}_{\pi^\sharp(\alpha)}\tilde{\alpha} - \iota_{\pi^\sharp(\tilde{\alpha})}d\alpha - H(\pi^\sharp(\tilde{\alpha}), \pi^\sharp(\alpha), \cdot),$$

which in a basis  $e^i$  of local sections of  $T^*M$  satisfies

$$[e^i, e^j]_{\text{KS}} = C_k^{ij}(X)e^k,$$

with structure functions

$$C_k^{ij} = \partial_k \pi^{ij} + H_{kmn} \pi^{mi} \pi^{nj}.$$

## Application: the $H$ -twisted Poisson Sigma Model - Action and Symmetry

The gauge field  $A = (A_i) \in \Gamma(T^*M)$  is now encoded in the identifications

$$\eta_i = A_i \quad \text{and} \quad v^i = \pi^{ij} A_j .$$

The corresponding gauged action functional, with  $DX^i = dX^i + \pi^{ij} A_j$ , is

$$S_{gWZPSM}[X, A] = \int_{\Sigma} \left( A_i \wedge dX^i + \frac{1}{2} \pi^{ij} A_i \wedge A_j \right) + \int_{\Sigma} \frac{1}{2} g_{ij}(X) DX^i \wedge *DX^j + \int_{\hat{\Sigma}} H(X) .$$

The general gauge symmetries of the model are controlled by the coefficients

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{ik}^j &= \Gamma_{ik}^j + g_{il} \pi^{lm} \phi_{mk}^j + \frac{1}{2} \pi^{jl} H_{lik} , \\ \phi_{ik}^j &= -[(1 - g\pi g\pi)^{-1}]_i^l g_{lm} (\overset{\circ}{\nabla}_k \pi^{mj} + \frac{1}{2} H_{knp} \pi^{nm} \pi^{pj}) . \end{aligned}$$

$\rightsquigarrow$  this gauging of the  $(g, H)$  model led to the  $H$ -PSM with extended local symmetries

## Integration of gauge fields and reduction

In the  $(g, B)$  case, recalling that  $E := E^+ = g + B$  and in light-cone coordinates,

$$S[X, A] = \int_{\Sigma} E_{ij}(X) D_+ X^i D_- X^j d\sigma^+ \wedge d\sigma^- ,$$

where  $D_{\pm} X^i = \partial_{\pm} X^i - \rho_a^i(X) A_{\pm}^a$ .

In adapted coordinates  $(X^i) = (X^I, X^\alpha)$ , integration of the gauge fields leads to

$$S^{\text{red}} = \int_{\Sigma} E_{IJ}^{\text{red}} \partial_+ X^I \partial_- X^J d\sigma^+ \wedge d\sigma^- ,$$

where  $E_{IJ}^{\text{red}} = E_{IJ} - E_{I\alpha} E^{\alpha\beta} E_{\beta J}$ . Moreover,  $E_{IJ}^{\text{red}} = E_{IJ}^{\text{red}}(X^I) \rightsquigarrow X^\alpha$ -independent.

This is a reduced action with target space the quotient  $Q = M/\mathcal{F}$ .

## Strict vs. non-strict gauging

When such a reduced action exists for a (locally) smooth  $Q$ , the gauging is called *strict*.

Thus, the gauging of  $(g, B)$  with minimal coupling is always strict.

However, e.g. the  $G/G$  WZW models correspond to a *non-strict* gauging.

The usefulness of this distinction lies in capturing cases where  $\ker \rho \neq \emptyset$

$$F \xrightarrow{t} L \xrightarrow{\rho} TM, \quad \text{with} \quad \rho \circ t = 0$$

Then the gauging is strict whenever the action functional has a  $\lambda$ -symmetry:

$$\delta_\lambda A^a = t_M^a(X) \lambda^M, \quad \lambda \in \Gamma(T^*\Sigma \otimes X^*F).$$

This is automatic for minimal coupling, but not for the general  $(g, H)$  case.

## Concluding remarks

### Take-home messages from this talk

- ✓ Universal 2D Gauge Theory for general background fields  $g(X)$  and  $B(X)/H(X)$
- ✓ The gauging is controlled by 2 (curved) connections on an almost Lie algebroid
- ✓ The connections are fully determined for the case of  $H$ -twisted Dirac structures

## Concluding remarks

### Take-home messages from this talk

- ✓ Universal 2D Gauge Theory for general background fields  $g(X)$  and  $B(X)/H(X)$
- ✓ The gauging is controlled by 2 (curved) connections on an almost Lie algebroid
- ✓ The connections are fully determined for the case of  $H$ -twisted Dirac structures

### Other results

- ✿ A framework for non-Abelian T-duality without isometry and beyond group actions
- ✿ A framework for string theories in quotient spaces  $M/\mathcal{F}$  by a general foliation  $\mathcal{F}$

## Concluding remarks

### Take-home messages from this talk

- ✓ Universal 2D Gauge Theory for general background fields  $g(X)$  and  $B(X)/H(X)$
- ✓ The gauging is controlled by 2 (curved) connections on an almost Lie algebroid
- ✓ The connections are fully determined for the case of  $H$ -twisted Dirac structures

### Other results

- ❖ A framework for non-Abelian T-duality without isometry and beyond group actions
- ❖ A framework for string theories in quotient spaces  $M/\mathcal{F}$  by a general foliation  $\mathcal{F}$

### Current and future work

- ❖ (BV) Quantization - CFT viewpoint? Does the procedure survive quantization?
- ❖ Applications to true string solutions, e.g.  $S^3$  with  $H$  flux?
- ❖ Our procedure as solution generating technique?