Talk at Corfu Workshop on Noncommutative
Field Theory and Gravity, September 22-26, 2015

Invariant Differential Operators in Noncommutative Quantum Group Setting

V.K. Dobrev

1. Introduction

1.1. Invariant differential operators play very important role in the description of physical symmetries - starting from the early occurrences in the Maxwell, d'Allembert, Dirac, equations, to the latest applications of (super-)differential and difference operators in conformal field theory, supergravity and string theory and their deformations. Thus, it is important for the applications in physics to study systematically such operators and, in particular, their deformations in the setting of *quantum groups.*

The approach to this problem used here relies on the following classical considerations. Consider a semisimple Lie group G and two representations T, T' acting in the representation spaces C, C', which may be Hilbert, Fréchet, etc. An **intertwining operator** $\mathcal{I}$ for these two representations is a continuous linear map

$$\mathcal{I} \; : \; C \longrightarrow C' \tag{1.1}$$

such that

$$\mathcal{I} \circ T(g) \;\; = \;\; T'(g) \circ \mathcal{I} \; , \quad \forall g \in G \tag{1.2}$$

Such equations exist also for more general symmetries. However, if G is semisimple (even reductive) then there exists canonical ways for the construction of all intertwining operators and thus, of the G - invariant equations. These operators are of two types - differential and integral. Here we are interested in the invariant differential operators.

1.2. We shall apply a procedure [D] which is rather algebraic and can be generalized almost straightforwardly to *quantum groups*. We sketch this procedure illustrating the general notions with the conformal group $SU(2,2)$.

Let G be a real semisimple Lie group. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the Lie algebra of G. We shall use the so-called Bruhat decompositions of $\mathcal{G}$

$$\mathcal{G} \; = \; \mathcal{N}^+ \oplus \mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{N}^- \qquad (1.3)$$

(considered as direct sum of linear spaces), where $\mathcal{A}$ is a noncompact abelian subalgebra, $\mathcal{M}$ (a reductive Lie algebra) is the centralizer of $\mathcal{A}$ in $\mathcal{G}$ (mod $\mathcal{A}$), and $\mathcal{N}^+$, $\mathcal{N}^-$, resp., are nilpotent subalgebras forming the positive, negative, resp., root spaces of the restricted root system $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A})$.

For the conformal group the subalgebras $\mathcal{N}^-$, $\mathcal{M}$, $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{N}^+$ may be chosen to be the subalgebras of translations, Lorentz transformations, dilatations, special conformal transformations, resp.

Note that $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^\pm = \mathcal{N}^\pm \oplus \mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{A}$ in (1.3) are subalgebras of $\mathcal{G}$, the so-called **parabolic subalgebras**. When $\dim \mathcal{A} = 1$ then $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^\pm$ are maximal conjugate parabolic subalgebras. In the conformal case $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^+$ is called the Weyl algebra (comprising the Poincaré algebra and the dilatations).

Let us now introduce the corresponding subgroups of G. Let K denote the maximal compact subgroup of G, and let $\mathcal{K}$ denote the Lie algebra of K. Then we have the simply connected subgroups $A = \exp(\mathcal{A})$, $N^\pm = \exp(\mathcal{N}^\pm)$. Further, M is the centralizer of A in G (mod A). (M has the structure $M = M_d M_r$, where M_d is a finite group, M_r is reductive with the same Lie algebra $\mathcal{M}$ as M.) Then $P^\pm = MAN^\pm$ are called parabolic subgroups of G.

The importance of the parabolic subgroups comes from the fact that the representations induced from them generate all (admissible) irreducible representations of G [La,KZ].

Let $P = MAN$ be an arbitrary parabolic subgroup, ($P = P^+$, $N = N^+$, or $P = P^-$, $N = N^-$

is specified by convenience). Let μ fix a finite-dimensional representation D^μ of M on a space V_μ. Let ν be a character of A, $\nu \in \mathcal{A}^*$.

We call the induced representation $\chi = \mathrm{Ind}_P^G(\mu \otimes \nu \otimes 1)$ an **elementary representation** of G.

Consider the space of functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}_\chi \;=\; \{\mathcal{F} \in C^\infty(G, V_\mu) \;| \\
\mathcal{F}(gman) \;=\; e^{\nu(H)} \cdot D^\mu(m^{-1})\mathcal{F}(g)\}
\end{aligned}
\tag{1.4}
$$

where $g \in G$, $m \in M$, $a = \exp(H) \in A$, $H \in \mathcal{A}$, $n \in N$. The special property of the functions of $\mathcal{C}_\chi$ is called **right covariance**.

Then the elementary representation (ER) $\mathcal{T}^\chi$ acts in $\mathcal{C}_\chi$ as the left regular representation (LRR), by:

$$
(\mathcal{T}^\chi(g)\mathcal{F})(g') \;=\; \mathcal{F}(g^{-1}g') \,, \quad g, g' \in G
\tag{1.5}
$$

An important ingredient in our considerations are the *highest/lowest weight representations* of $\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}$. These can be realized as (factor-modules of) Verma modules V^Λ over $\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}$, where $\Lambda \in (\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}})^*$, $\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}$, weight $\Lambda = \Lambda(\chi)$ is determined uniquely from χ [D].

We recall that a Verma module is a highest weight module V^Λ with highest weight L, such that $V^\Lambda \cong U(\mathcal{G}_-^{\mathbb{C}})v_0$, where v_0 is the highest weight vector, $U(\mathcal{G}_-^{\mathbb{C}})$ is the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathcal{G}_-^{\mathbb{C}}$.

Generically, Verma modules are irreducible, however, we shall be mostly interested in the reducible ones since these are relevant for the construction of invariant differential operators. We recall the Bernstein-Gel'fand-Gel'fand criterion according to which the Verma module V^Λ is reducible iff

$$\langle \Lambda + \rho, \beta^\vee \rangle \;=\; m \qquad (1.6)$$

holds for some $\beta \in \Delta^+$, $m \in I\!N$, where Δ^+ denotes the positive roots of the root system $(\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}, \mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}})$, ρ is half the sum of the positive roots Δ^+.

Whenever (1.6) is fulfilled there exists [Dix] in V^Λ a unique vector v_s, called **singular vector**, such that $v_s \neq \mathbb{C}v_0$ and it has the properties of a highest weight vector with shifted weight $\Lambda - m\beta$:

$$\hat{X}v_s \;=\; (\Lambda - m\beta)(X) \cdot v_s \;, \quad X \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}} \quad (1.7a)$$
$$\hat{X}v_s \;=\; 0 \;, \quad X \in \mathcal{G}_+^{\mathbb{C}} \qquad (1.7b)$$

The general structure of a singular vector is [D]:

$$v_s \;=\; P_{m\beta}(X_1^-, \ldots, X_\ell^-)v_0 \qquad (1.8)$$

where $P_{m\beta}$ is a homogeneous polynomial in its variables of degrees mk_i, where $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ come from the decomposition of β into simple roots: $\beta = \sum k_i \alpha_i$, $\alpha_i \in \Delta_S$, the system of simple roots, X_j^- are the root vectors for $-\alpha_j$, α_j are the simple roots, $\ell = \mathrm{rank}\, \mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}$.

It is obvious that (1.8) satisfies (1.7a), while conditions (1.7b) fix the coefficients of $P_{m\beta}$ up to an overall multiplicative nonzero constant.

Now we are in a position to define the differential intertwining operators, corresponding to the singular vectors.

Let the signature χ of an ER be such that the corresponding $\Lambda = \Lambda_\chi$ satisfies (1.6) for some $\beta \in \Delta^+$ and some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exists an intertwining differential operator [D]

$$\mathcal{D}_{m\beta} \;:\; \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_\chi \;\longrightarrow\; \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\chi'} \tag{1.9}$$

where χ' is such that $\Lambda' = \Lambda'_{\chi'} = \Lambda - m\beta$.

The important fact is that (1.9) is explicitly given by [D]

$$\mathcal{D}_{m\beta}\, \varphi(g) \;=\; P_{m\beta}(\hat{X}_1^-, \ldots, \hat{X}_\ell^-)\varphi(g) \tag{1.10}$$

where $P_{m\beta}$ is the same polynomial as in (1.8) and $\hat{X}_j^-$ denotes the right action.

One important simplification is that in order to check the intertwining properties of the operator in (1.10) it is enough to work with the infinitesimal versions of (1.4) and (1.5), i.e., work with representations of the Lie algebra. Thus, also in the quantum group setting we work with representations of quantum algebras.

2. The matrix quantum group $\mathrm{GL}_q(\mathbf{n})$ and the dual quantum algebra

Let us consider an $n \times n$ quantum matrix M with non-commuting matrix elements a_{ij}, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. The matrix quantum group $\mathcal{A}_g = GL_q(n)$, $q \in \mathbb{C}$, is generated by the matrix elements a_{ij} with the following commutation relations [Ma] ($\lambda = q - q^{-1}$) :

$$a_{i\ell} a_{ij} = q a_{ij} a_{i\ell} , \quad \ell > j \qquad (2.1a)$$

$$a_{kj} a_{ij} = q a_{ij} a_{kj} , \quad k > i \qquad (2.1b)$$

$$a_{kj} a_{i\ell} = a_{i\ell} a_{kj} , \quad k > i , \ \ell > j \qquad (2.1c)$$

$$a_{ij} a_{k\ell} = a_{k\ell} a_{ij} - \lambda a_{i\ell} a_{kj}, \quad k > i, \ \ell > j \qquad (2.1d)$$

Considered as a bialgebra, it has the following co-multiplication $\delta_{\mathcal{A}}$ and counit $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{A}}$:

$$\delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a_{ij}) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{ik} \otimes a_{kj} , \quad \varepsilon_{\mathcal{A}}(a_{ij}) = \delta_{ij} \quad (2.2)$$

This algebra has determinant D given by:

$$\begin{aligned} D &= \sum_{\rho \in S_n} \epsilon(\rho) \, a_{1,\rho(1)} \dots a_{n,\rho(n)} = \\ &= \sum_{\rho \in S_n} \epsilon(\rho) \, a_{\rho(1),1} \dots a_{\rho(n),n} \end{aligned} \qquad (2.3)$$

where summations are over all permutations ρ of $\{1,\ldots,n\}$ and the quantum signature is:

$$\epsilon(\rho) \;=\; \prod_{\substack{j<k \\ \rho(j)>\rho(k)}} (-q^{-1}) \tag{2.4}$$

The determinant obeys:

$$\delta_{\mathcal{A}}(D) \;=\; D \otimes D\,, \quad \varepsilon_{\mathcal{A}}(D) \;=\; 1,$$

$$a_{ik}\, D \;=\; D\, a_{ik} \tag{2.5}$$

Further, if $D \neq 0$ one extends the algebra by an element D^{-1} which obeys:

$$DD^{-1} \;=\; D^{-1}D \;=\; 1_{\mathcal{A}} \tag{2.6}$$

Then one can introduce the antipode in $GL_q(n)$ which is omitted for the lack of time.

Next we introduce a basis of $GL_q(n)$ which consists of monomials

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{\bar{m},\bar{\ell},\bar{n}} \;&=\; (a_{21})^{m_{21}} \ldots (a_{n,n-1})^{m_{n,n-1}} \times \\
&\quad \times (a_{11})^{\ell_1} \ldots (a_{nn})^{\ell_n} \times \\
&\quad \times (a_{n-1,n})^{n_{n-1,n}} \ldots (a_{12})^{n_{12}}\,, \\
f_{\bar{0},\bar{0},\bar{0}} \;&=\; 1_{\mathcal{A}_g}
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.7}
$$

where $\bar{m}, \bar{\ell}, \bar{n}$ denote the sets $\{m_i\}$, $\{\ell_{ij}\}$, $\{n_{ij}\}$, resp., $m_i, \ell_{ij}, n_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and we use the so-called normal ordering of the elements a_{ij} .

The dual algebra of $GL_q(n)$ is $\mathcal{U}_g = U_q(sl(n)) \otimes U_q(\mathcal{Z})$, where $U_q(\mathcal{Z})$ is central in $\mathcal{U}_g$. We denote the Chevalley generators of $sl(n)$ by H_i, $X_i^{\pm}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$. Then we take for the 'Chevalley' generators of $\mathcal{U} = U_q(sl(n))$: $k_i = q^{H_i/2}$, $k_i^{-1} = q^{-H_i/2}$, $X_i^{\pm}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$, with the following **algebra** relations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
k_i k_j &= k_j k_i , \quad k_i k_i^{-1} = k_i^{-1} k_i = 1_{\mathcal{U}_g} \\
k_i X_j^{\pm} &= q^{\pm c_{ij}} X_j^{\pm} k_i \\
[X_i^+, X_j^-] &= \delta_{ij} \left(k_i^2 - k_i^{-2} \right) / \lambda \\
\left(X_i^{\pm}\right)^2 X_j^{\pm} - [2]_q X_i^{\pm} X_j^{\pm} X_i^{\pm} + X_j^{\pm} \left(X_i^{\pm}\right)^2 &= 0 \\
|i - j| = 1 \\
[X_i^{\pm}, X_j^{\pm}] = 0 , \quad |i - j| \neq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

(2.8)

where c_{ij} is the Cartan matrix of $sl(n)$, and **coal-**

gebra relations :

$$\delta_{\mathcal{U}}(k_i^{\pm}) = k_i^{\pm} \otimes k_i^{\pm}$$

$$\delta_{\mathcal{U}}(X_i^{\pm}) = X_i^{\pm} \otimes k_i + k_i^{-1} \otimes X_i^{\pm}$$

$$\varepsilon_{\mathcal{U}}(k_i^{\pm}) = 1 , \quad \varepsilon_{\mathcal{U}}(X_i^{\pm}) = 0 \tag{2.9}$$

$$\gamma_{\mathcal{U}}(k_i) = k_i^{-1} , \quad \gamma_{\mathcal{U}}(X_i^{\pm}) = -q^{\pm 1} X_i^{\pm}$$

where $k_i^+ = k_i$, $k_i^- = k_i^{-1}$. Further, we denote the generator of $\mathcal{Z}$ by H and the generators of $U_q(\mathcal{Z})$ by $k = q^{H/2}$, $k^{-1} = q^{-H/2}$, $kk^{-1} = k^{-1}k = 1_{\mathcal{U}_g}$. The generators k, k^{-1} commute with the generators of $\mathcal{U}$, and their coalgebra relations are as those of any k_i.

The bilinear form giving the duality between $\mathcal{U}_g$ and $\mathcal{A}_g$ is given by [D]:

$$\langle\, k_i \,,\, a_{j\ell} \,\rangle = \delta_{j\ell}\, q^{(\delta_{ij} - \delta_{i,j+1})/2} \tag{2.10a}$$

$$\langle\, X_i^+ \,,\, a_{j\ell} \,\rangle = \delta_{j+1,\ell}\delta_{ij} \tag{2.10b}$$

$$\langle\, X_i^- \,,\, a_{j\ell} \,\rangle = \delta_{j-1,\ell}\delta_{i\ell} \tag{2.10c}$$

$$\langle\, k \,,\, a_{j\ell} \,\rangle = \delta_{j\ell}\, q^{1/2} \tag{2.10d}$$

The pairing between arbitrary elements of $\mathcal{U}_g$ and f follows then from the properties of the duality pairing. The pairing (2.10) is standardly supplemented with

$$\langle\, y \,,\, 1_{\mathcal{A}_g} \,\rangle = \varepsilon_{\mathcal{U}_g}(y) \tag{2.11}$$

3. Representations of $\mathcal{U}_g$ and $\mathcal{U}$

We begin by defining *two actions* of the dual algebra $\mathcal{U}_g$ on the basis (2.7) of $\mathcal{A}_g$.

First we introduce the *left regular representation* of $\mathcal{U}_g$ for which in the $q = 1$ case we need the infinitesimal version of :

$$\pi(Y) \, M \;\; = \;\; Y^{-1} \, M \; , \quad Y, M \; \in \; GL(n) \quad (3.1)$$

Explicitly, we define the action of $\mathcal{U}_g$ on $\mathcal{A}_g$ as follows (cf. also (1.5)):

$$\pi(y) \, a_{i\ell} \; \dot{=} \; \left(F \left(\gamma_{\mathcal{U}}^0(y) \right) M \right)_{i\ell} \; =$$

$$= \sum_j F \left(\gamma_{\mathcal{U}}^0(y) \right)_{ij} \, a_{j\ell} \; = \; \sum_j \langle \, \gamma_{\mathcal{U}}^0(y) \, , \, a_{ij} \, \rangle \, a_{j\ell}$$

$$(3.2)$$

where y denotes the generators of $\mathcal{U}_g$ and $\gamma_{\mathcal{U}}^0(y)$ is the antipode action for $q = 1$.

In order to derive the action of $\pi(y)$ on arbitrary elements of the basis (2.7), we use the twisted derivation rule consistent with the coproduct and the representation structure, namely, we take:
$\pi(y)\varphi\psi \; = \; \pi(\delta'_{\mathcal{U}_g}(y))(\varphi \otimes \psi)$, where $\delta'_{\mathcal{U}_g} \; = \; \sigma \circ \delta_{\mathcal{U}_g}$

is the opposite coproduct, (σ is the permutation operator). Thus, we have:

$$\pi(k_i)\varphi\psi \;=\; \pi(k_i)\varphi \cdot \pi(k_i)\psi \qquad (3.3a)$$

$$\pi(X_i^{\pm})\varphi\psi \;=\; \pi(X_i^{\pm})\varphi \cdot \pi(k_i^{-1})\psi \;+$$
$$\qquad\qquad (3.3b)$$
$$+\; \pi(k_i)\varphi \cdot \pi(X_i^{\pm})\psi$$

$$\pi(k)\varphi\psi \;=\; \pi(k)\varphi \cdot \pi(k)\psi \qquad (3.3c)$$

Analogously, we introduce the *right action* for which in the classical case one needs the infinitesimal counterpart of :

$$\pi_R(Y)\,M \;=\; M\,Y\,, \quad Y, M \;\in\; GL(n) \qquad (3.4)$$

Thus, we define the right action of $\mathcal{U}_g$ as follows:

$$\pi_R(y)\,a_{i\ell} \;=\; (MF(y))_{i\ell} \;=\; \sum_j a_{ij}\,F(y)_{j\ell} \;=$$

$$=\; \sum_j a_{ij}\,\langle\, y\,,\,a_{j\ell}\,\rangle$$

$$\qquad\qquad (3.5)$$

where y denotes the generators of $\mathcal{U}_g$

The twisted derivation rule is now given by $\pi_R(y)\varphi\psi = \pi_R(\delta_{\mathcal{U}_g}(y))(\varphi \otimes \psi)$, i.e.,

$$\pi_R(k_i)\varphi\psi = \pi_R(k_i)\varphi \cdot \pi_R(k_i)\psi \qquad (3.6a)$$

$$\pi_R(X_i^\pm)\varphi\psi = \pi_R(X_i^\pm)\varphi \cdot \pi_R(k_i)\psi +$$
$$+ \pi_R(k_i^{-1})\varphi \cdot \pi_R(X_i^\pm)\psi \qquad (3.6b)$$

$$\pi_R(k)\varphi\psi = \pi_R(k)\varphi \cdot \pi_R(k)\psi , \qquad (3.6c)$$

Let us now introduce the elements φ as formal power series of the basis (2.7):

$$\varphi = \sum_{\bar{m},\bar{\ell},\bar{n}\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \mu_{\bar{m},\bar{\ell},\bar{n}} \, f_{\bar{m},\bar{\ell},\bar{n}} \qquad (3.7)$$

As in the classical case the left and right actions commute, and as in [D] we shall use the right covariance to reduce the left regular representation. In particular, we require the right action to mimic properties of a highest weight module, i.e., annihilation by the raising generators X_i^+ and scalar action by the (exponents of the) Cartan operators k_i, k. However, first we have to make a change of basis using the q-analogue of the classical Gauss decomposition.

For this we have to suppose that the principal minor determinants of M :

$$D_m = \sum_{\rho \in S_m} \epsilon(\rho)\, a_{1,\rho(1)} \ldots a_{m,\rho(m)} =$$

$$= \sum_{\rho \in S_m} \epsilon(\rho)\, a_{\rho(1),1} \ldots a_{\rho(m),m} \ , \quad m \le n$$

$$(3.8)$$

are invertible; note that $D_n = D, \; D_{n-1} = A_{nn}$.

Further, for the ordered sets $I = \{i_1 < \cdots < i_r\}$ and $J = \{j_1 < \cdots < j_r\}$, let ξ_J^I be the r-minor determinant with respect to rows I and columns J such that

$$\xi_J^I = \sum_{\rho \in S_r} \epsilon(\rho)\, a_{i_{\rho(1)} j_1} \cdots a_{i_{\rho(r)} j_r} \qquad (3.9)$$

Note that $\xi_{1\,\cdots\,i}^{1\,\cdots\,i} = D_i$. Then we have $(i, j, \ell = 1, \ldots, n)$:

$$a_{i\ell} = \sum_j \tilde{Y}_{ij} D_{jj} Z_{j\ell} \ ,$$

$$\tilde{Y}_{ij} = \xi_{1\,\cdots\,j}^{1\,\cdots\,j-1\,i} D_j^{-1} \qquad (3.10)$$

$$D_{jj} = D_j D_{j-1}^{-1} \ ,$$

$$Z_{j\ell} = D_j^{-1} \xi_{1\,\cdots\,j-1\,\ell}^{1\,\cdots\,j}$$

$\tilde{Y}_{ij} = 0$ for $i < j$, $Z_{j\ell} = 0$ for $j > \ell$, $D_0 \equiv 1_{\mathcal{A}_g}$.
Then $\tilde{Y}_{ij}$, $i > j$, may be regarded as a q-analogue of
local coordinates of the q - deformed flag manifold
$GL(n)/DZ$, while $Z_{j\ell}$, $j < \ell$, may be regarded as
a q-analogue of local coordinates of the q - deformed
flag manifold $\tilde{Y}D\backslash GL(n)$.

Clearly, we can replace the basis (2.7) of $\mathcal{A}_g$ with
a basis in terms of $\tilde{Y}_{i\ell}$, $i > \ell$, D_ℓ, $Z_{i\ell}$, $i < \ell$. (Note
that $\tilde{Y}_{ii} = Z_{ii} = 1_{\mathcal{A}_g}$.) Thus, we consider formal
power series:

$$\tilde{\varphi} = \sum_{\substack{\bar{m}, \bar{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \\ \bar{\ell} \in \mathbb{Z}}} \mu'_{\bar{\ell}, \bar{m}, \bar{n}} \, (\tilde{Y}_{21})^{m_{21}} \ldots (\tilde{Y}_{n,n-1})^{m_{n,n-1}} \times$$

$$\times (D_1)^{\ell_1} \ldots (D_n)^{\ell_n} (Z_{n-1,n})^{n_{n-1,n}} \ldots (Z_{12})^{n_{12}}$$

$$(3.11)$$

Now, let us impose right covariance with respect
to X_i^+ :

$$\pi_R(X_i^+) \, \tilde{\varphi} = 0 \qquad\qquad (3.12)$$

This is fulfilled automatically for D_j and $\tilde{Y}_{j\ell}$, but
not for $Z_{j\ell}$, which simply means that our functions
$\tilde{\varphi}$ do not depend on $Z_{j\ell}$. Thus, the functions

obeying (3.12) are:

$$\tilde{\varphi} = \sum_{\bar{\ell} \in \mathbb{Z} ,\ \bar{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \mu_{\bar{\ell},\bar{m}} \ (\tilde{Y}_{21})^{m_{21}} \ldots (\tilde{Y}_{n,n-1})^{m_{n,n-1}} \times$$

$$\times (D_1)^{\ell_1} \ldots (D_n)^{\ell_n}$$

$$(3.13)$$

Next, we impose right covariance with respect to k_i, k :

$$\pi_R(k_i) \ \tilde{\varphi} \ = \ q^{r_i/2} \ \tilde{\varphi} \qquad (3.14a)$$

$$\pi_R(k) \ \tilde{\varphi} \ = \ q^{\hat{r}/2} \ \tilde{\varphi} \qquad (3.14b)$$

As a consequence we obtain that the powers of D_i in (3.13) are fixed: $\ell_i = r_i$, for $i < n$, $\sum_{j=1}^{n} j\ell_j = \hat{r}$. This means that $r_i, \hat{r} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and that there is no summation in ℓ_i, also $\ell_n = (\hat{r} - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} jr_j)/n \equiv \tilde{r}$.

Thus, the reduced functions obeying (3.12) and (3.14) are:

$$\tilde{\varphi} = \sum_{\bar{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \mu_{\bar{m}} \ (\tilde{Y}_{21})^{m_{21}} \ldots (\tilde{Y}_{n,n-1})^{m_{n,n-1}} \times$$

$$\times (D_1)^{r_1} \ldots (D_{n-1})^{r_{n-1}} (D_n)^{\tilde{r}}$$

$$(3.15)$$

Next we derive the $\mathcal{U}_g$ - action π on $\tilde{\varphi}$. First, we notice that $\mathcal{U}$ acts trivially on $D_n = D$:

$$\pi(X_i^\pm) \, D \;=\; 0 \;, \quad \pi(k_i) \, D \;=\; D \qquad (3.16)$$

Then we note:

$$\pi(k) \, D_j \;=\; q^{-j/2} \, D_j \;, \quad \pi(k) \, \tilde{Y}_{j\ell} \;=\; \tilde{Y}_{j\ell} \quad (3.17)$$

from which follows:

$$\pi(k) \, \tilde{\varphi} \;=\; q^{-\hat{r}/2} \, \tilde{\varphi} \qquad (3.18)$$

Thus, the action of $\mathcal{U}$ involves only the parameters r_i, $i < n$, while the action of $U_q(\mathcal{Z})$ involves only the parameter $\hat{r}$. Thus we can consistently also from the representation theory point of view restrict to the matrix quantum group $SL_q(n)$, i.e., we set:

$$D \;=\; D^{-1} \;=\; 1_{\mathcal{A}_g} \qquad (3.19)$$

Then the dual algebra is $\mathcal{U} = U_q(sl(n))$. This is justified as in the $q = 1$ case [D] since for our considerations only the semisimple part of the algebra is important. (This would not be possible for the multiparameter deformation of $GL(n)$ since there D is not central. Nevertheless, we expect most of the

essential features of our approach to be preserved since the dual algebra can be transformed as a commutation algebra to the one-parameter $\mathcal{U}_g$, with the extra parameters entering only the co-algebra structure [D].)

Thus, the reduced functions for the $\mathcal{U}$ action are:

$$
\tilde{\varphi}(\bar{Y}, \bar{D}) = \sum_{\bar{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \mu_{\bar{m}} \, (\tilde{Y}_{21})^{m_{21}} \ldots (\tilde{Y}_{n,n-1})^{m_{n,n-1}} \times
$$

$$
\times \, (D_1)^{r_1} \ldots (D_{n-1})^{r_{n-1}} = \qquad (3.20a)
$$

$$
= \, \hat{\varphi}(\bar{Y}) \, (D_1)^{r_1} \ldots (D_{n-1})^{r_{n-1}} \qquad (3.20b)
$$

where $\bar{Y}, \bar{D}$ denote the variables $\tilde{Y}_{il}$, $i > \ell$, D_i, $i < n$.

From (3.20) it is clear that the parameters r_i indeed characterize the representation of $\mathcal{U}$.

Furthermore, we can introduce the restricted functions $\hat{\varphi}(\bar{Y})$ by the formula which is prompted in (3.20b) :

$$
\hat{\varphi}(\bar{Y}) \, \doteq \, \tilde{\varphi}(\bar{Y}, D_j = 1_{\mathcal{A}_g}) =
$$

$$
= \sum_{\bar{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \mu_{\bar{m}} \, (\tilde{Y}_{21})^{m_{21}} \ldots (\tilde{Y}_{n,n-1})^{m_{n,n-1}} \qquad (3.21)
$$

We have defined the representations $\hat{\pi}_{\bar{r}}$ for $r_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. However, notice that we can consider

the restricted functions $\hat{\varphi}(\bar{Y})$ for arbitrary complex r_i. We shall make these extension from now on, since this gives the same set of representations for $U_q(sl(n))$ as in the case $q = 1$.

For the more compact exposition of the representation formulae we shall need below also the following operators (corresponding to each $\tilde{Y}_{j\ell}$) :

$$\hat{M}_{j\ell} \; \hat{\varphi}(\bar{Y}) \;=\; \sum_{\bar{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \mu_{\bar{m}} \; \hat{M}_{j\ell} \; \tilde{f}_{\bar{m}} \qquad (3.22a)$$

$$T_{j\ell} \; \hat{\varphi}(\bar{Y}) \;=\; \sum_{\bar{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \mu_{\bar{m}} \; T_{j\ell} \; \tilde{f}_{\bar{m}} \qquad (3.22b)$$

$$\hat{M}_{j\ell} \tilde{f}_{\bar{m}} \;=\; (\tilde{Y}_{21})^{m_{21}} \dots (\tilde{Y}_{j\ell})^{m_{j\ell}+1} \dots \times$$
$$\times \; \dots (\tilde{Y}_{n,n-1})^{m_{n,n-1}} \qquad (3.23a)$$

$$T_{j\ell} \; \tilde{f}_{\bar{m}} \;=\; q^{m_{j\ell}} \; \tilde{f}_{\bar{m}} \qquad (3.23b)$$

$$\tilde{f}_{\bar{m}} \;=\; (\tilde{Y}_{21})^{m_{21}} \dots (\tilde{Y}_{n,n-1})^{m_{n,n-1}} \qquad (3.23c)$$

Using this we define the q-difference operators by:

$$\hat{\mathcal{D}}_{j\ell} \; \hat{\varphi}(\bar{Y}) \;=\; \frac{1}{\lambda} \; \hat{M}_{j\ell}^{-1} \left(T_{j\ell} - T_{j\ell}^{-1} \right) \; \hat{\varphi}(\bar{Y}) \quad (3.24)$$

from which follows:
$$\hat{\mathcal{D}}_{j\ell} \; \tilde{f}_{\bar{m}} \;=$$
$$=\; [m_{j\ell}]_q \; (\tilde{Y}_{21})^{m_{21}} \dots (\tilde{Y}_{j\ell})^{m_{j\ell}-1} \dots (\tilde{Y}_{n,n-1})^{m_{n,n-1}}$$
$$(3.25)$$

Of course, for $q \to 1$ we have $\hat{\mathcal{D}}_{j\ell} \to \partial_{Y_{j\ell}} \equiv \partial/\partial Y_{j\ell}$. (Note that the above operators for different variables commute, i.e., with these we have actually passed to commuting variables.)

4. The case of $U_q(sl(4))$

Here we consider in more detail the case $n = 4$. It is convenient (also for the comparison with the $q = 1$ case) to make the following change of variables:

$$Y_{31} = \tilde{Y}_{31} - q\tilde{Y}_{21}\tilde{Y}_{32}, \quad Y_{41} = \tilde{Y}_{41} - q\tilde{Y}_{21}\tilde{Y}_{42}$$

$$Y_{21} = -q\tilde{Y}_{21}, \quad Y_{43} = q\tilde{Y}_{43}$$

$$Y_{ij} = \tilde{Y}_{ij}, \quad \text{for } (ij) = (32), (42)$$

$$(4.1)$$

For the commutation properties we have:

$$Y_{i\ell}Y_{ij} = q^{1-\delta_{\ell 2}}Y_{ij}Y_{i\ell}$$

$$(4.2a)$$

$$4 \geq i > \ell > j \geq 1$$

$$Y_{kj}Y_{ij} = q^{1-\delta_{i2}}Y_{ij}Y_{kj}$$

$$(4.2b)$$

$$4 \geq k > i > j \geq 1$$

$$Y_{41}Y_{32} = Y_{32}Y_{41} + \lambda Y_{31}Y_{42} \qquad (4.2c)$$

$$Y_{4i}Y_{j1} = Y_{j1}Y_{4i}, \quad (ij) = (23), (32), \quad (4.2d)$$

$$Y_{ki}Y_{ij} = q^{1-2\delta_{i3}}Y_{ij}Y_{ki} - (-1)^{\delta_{i3}}\lambda Y_{kj}$$

$$(4.2e)$$

$$4 \geq k > i > j \geq 1$$

(each of $(4.2a, b, e)$ has four cases).

Note that for q a phase ($|q| = 1$) the q - deformed flag manifold in the Y coordinates is invariant under the anti-linear anti-involution ω acting as:

$$\omega(Y_{j\ell}) = Y_{5-\ell, 5-j} \qquad (4.3)$$

Thus it can be considered as a q - deformed flag manifold of the quantum group $SU_q(2,2)$.

The restricted functions for the $\mathcal{U}$ action are (cf. (3.21)):

$$\hat{\varphi}(\bar{Y}) = \sum_{i,j,k,\ell,m,n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \mu_{ijk\ell mn} \, \hat{\varphi}_{ijk\ell mn}$$

$$\hat{\varphi}_{ijk\ell mn} = (Y_{21})^i \, (Y_{31})^j \, (Y_{32})^k \, (Y_{41})^\ell \, (Y_{42})^m \, (Y_{43})^n$$
$$(4.4)$$

Recall that we consider the representations $\hat{\pi}_{\bar{r}}$ for arbitrary complex r_i and we know from the general analysis of [D] that whenever some $m_i = r_i + 1$ or $m_{ij} = m_i + \cdots + m_j, (i < j)$ is a positive integer the representations are reducible and there exist invariant subspaces. We give now two simple examples.

Let $m_1 = r_1 + 1 \in I\!N$. Then it is clear that functions $\tilde{\varphi}$ with $\mu_{ijk\ell mn} = 0$ if $i \geq m_1$ form an invariant subspace since:

$$\hat{\pi}_{\bar{r}}(X_1^+) \, \tilde{\varphi}_{r_1,jk\ell mn} =$$
$$= q^{(j+m-\ell-2-k)/2} \, [k]_q \, \tilde{\varphi}_{r_1,j+1,k-1,\ell mn} +$$
$$+ q^{(j+\ell-k-2-m)/2} \, [m]_q \, \tilde{\varphi}_{r_1,jk,\ell+1,m-1,n} \tag{4.5}$$

and all other actions either preserve or lower the index i. The same is true for the functions $\hat{\varphi}$. In particular, for $r_1 = 0$ the functions in the invariant subspace do not depend on the variable Y_{21}.

Analogously if $m_3 = r_3 + 1 \in I\!N$ the functions $\tilde{\varphi}$ with $\mu_{ijk\ell mn} = 0$ if $n \geq m_3$ form an invariant subspace. In particular, for $r_3 = 0$ the functions in the invariant subspace do not depend on the variable Y_{43}.

It will be convenient to use also the following notation for the coordinates of the flag manifold:

$$\xi = Y_{21}, \quad x = Y_{31}, \quad u = Y_{32}$$
$$w = Y_{41}, \quad y = Y_{42}, \quad \eta = Y_{43} \tag{4.6}$$

5. Intertwining operators

The general prescription for finding the intertwining operators is as in the classical case (cf. also [D]). In order to apply this procedure we need the explicit action of $\pi_R(X_i^-)$ on our functions. We have:

$$\pi_R(X_1^-) \; \tilde{\varphi}_{ijk\ell mn}^{r_1,r_2,r_3} \; =$$

$$= -q^{i-j-k-\ell-m+(r_1-1)/2} \; [i]_q \tilde{\varphi}_{i-1,jk\ell mn}^{r_1-2,r_2+1,r_3} + \quad (5.1a)$$

$$+ \; q^{(r_1-1)/2} \; [r_1]_q \; \tilde{\varphi}_{ijk\ell mn}^{r_1,r_2,r_3} \; Z_{12}$$

$$\pi_R(X_2^-) \; \tilde{\varphi}_{ijk\ell mn}^{r_1,r_2,r_3} \; =$$

$$= \; q^{2k+\ell+m-n+(r_2-1)/2} \; [j]_q \; \tilde{\varphi}_{i+1,j-1,k\ell mn}^{r_1+1,r_2-2,r_3+1} \; +$$

$$+ \; q^{k+\ell+m-n+(r_2-3)/2} \; [k]_q \; \tilde{\varphi}_{ij,k-1,\ell mn}^{r_1+1,r_2-2,r_3+1} \; +$$

$$+ \, q^{k-j+2m-n+(r_2-3)/2} [\ell]_q \tilde{\varphi}_{i+1,jk,\ell-1,m,n+1}^{r_1+1,r_2-2,r_3+1} + \quad (5.1b)$$

$$+ \; q^{m-n+(r_2-5)/2} \; [m]_q \; \tilde{\varphi}_{ijk\ell,m-1,n+1}^{r_1+1,r_2-2,r_3+1} \; -$$

$$- \; q^{2m-n+(r_2-3)/2} \; \lambda \; [k]_q \; [\ell]_q \; \tilde{\varphi}_{i,j+1,k-1,\ell-1,m,n+1}^{r_1+1,r_2-2,r_3+1} \; +$$

$$+ \; q^{(r_2-1)/2} \; [r_2]_q \; \tilde{\varphi}_{ijk\ell mn}^{r_1,r_2,r_3} \; Z_{23}$$

$$\pi_R(X_3^-) \; \tilde{\varphi}_{ijk\ell mn}^{r_1,r_2,r_3} \; =$$

$$= \; q^{n+(r_3-1)/2} \; [n]_q \; \tilde{\varphi}_{ijk\ell m,n-1}^{r_1,r_2+1,r_3-2} \; + \qquad\qquad (5.1c)$$

$$+ \; q^{(r_3-1)/2} \; [r_3]_q \; \tilde{\varphi}_{ijk\ell mn}^{r_1,r_2,r_3} \; Z_{34}$$

where we have labelled the functions also with the representation parameters r_s. As in the classical case [D] the right action is taking out from the representation space $\mathcal{C}_{\bar{r}}$, and while some of the terms are functions from other representation spaces (depending on which X_s^- is acting), there are terms involving the $Z_{j\ell}$ variables which do not belong to any of our representation spaces. The terms with $Z_{j\ell}$ vanish exactly when $r_s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and we take $(\pi_R(X_s^-))^{m_s}$ [D], $m_s = r_s + 1$.

The explicit expressions for two of these operators are:

$$(\pi_R(X_1^-))^{m_1} \; \tilde{\varphi}_{ijk\ell mn}^{m_1,m_2,m_3} \; =$$

$$= \; (-1)^{m_1} \; q^{m_1(i-m_1/2)} \; \frac{[i]_q!}{[i-m_1]_q!} \; \tilde{\varphi}_{i-m_1,jk\ell mn}^{-m_1,m_{12},m_3}$$

$$(5.2)$$

$$(\pi_R(X_3^-))^{m_3} \; \tilde{\varphi}_{ijk\ell mn}^{m_1,m_2,m_3} \; =$$

$$= \; q^{m_3(i-m_3/2)} \; \frac{[n]_q!}{[n-m_3]_q!} \; \tilde{\varphi}_{ijk\ell m,n-m_3}^{m_1,m_{23},-m_3} \qquad (5.3)$$

We also use *q*-difference operators (using notation (3.22), (3.24), (4.6)):

$$\hat{I}_1 \; = \; - \, q^{(r_1 - 1)/2} \, \hat{\mathcal{D}}_\xi \; T_\xi \; (T_x T_u T_w T_y)^{-1} \; , \quad (5.4a)$$

$$\hat{I}_2 \; = \; q^{(r_2 - 3)/2} \, \Big(q \, \hat{M}_\xi \, \hat{\mathcal{D}}_x \; T_u \; + \; \hat{\mathcal{D}}_u \; +$$

$$+ \; \hat{M}_\xi \, \hat{M}_\eta \, \hat{\mathcal{D}}_w \; (T_x T_w)^{-1} \; T_y \; +$$

$$+ \; q^{-1} \, \hat{M}_\eta \, \hat{\mathcal{D}}_y \; (T_u T_w)^{-1} \; - \qquad (5.4b)$$

$$- \; \lambda \, \hat{M}_x \, \hat{M}_\eta \, \hat{\mathcal{D}}_u \, \hat{\mathcal{D}}_w \; (T_u T_w)^{-1} \; T_y \Big) \times$$

$$\times \; T_u \; T_w \; T_y \; T_\eta^{-1} \; ,$$

$$\hat{I}_3 \; = \; q^{(r_3 - 1)/2} \, \hat{\mathcal{D}}_\eta \; T_\eta \qquad (5.4c)$$

and we note (for $m_s \in I\!N$):

$$\hat{I}_s^{m_s} \; = \; I_s^{m_s} \; = \; (\pi_R(X_s^-))^{m_s} \qquad (5.5)$$

Let us consider now the intertwinig operators corresponding to the two non-simple non-highest roots α_{12}, α_{23} which are realized when $m_{12} \in I\!N$, $m_{23} \in I\!N$, resp. In these cases the intertwining operators (up to an overall multiplicative constant) are

given by :

$$I_{ij}^m = \sum_{k=0}^{m} a_k \, (\pi_R(X_i^-))^{m-k} \times$$

$$\times \, (\pi_R(X_j^-))^m \, (\pi_R(X_i^-))^k \qquad (5.6a)$$

$$m = m_{ij} \, , \quad (ij) = (12), (23),$$

$$a_k = (-1)^k \, a \, \frac{[m_i]_q}{[m_i - k]_q} \binom{m}{k}_q \qquad (5.6b)$$

$$k = 0, \ldots, m, \quad a \neq 0$$

or equivalently, by :

$$I_{ij}^m = \sum_{k=0}^{m} a_k' \, (\pi_R(X_j^-))^{m-k} \times$$

$$\times \, (\pi_R(X_i^-))^m \, (\pi_R(X_j^-))^k \qquad (5.6c)$$

$$m = m_{ij} \, , \quad (ij) = (12), (23),$$

$$a_k' = (-1)^k \, a' \, \frac{[m_j]_q}{[m_j - k]_q} \binom{m}{k}_q \qquad (5.6d)$$

$$k = 0, \ldots, m, \quad a' \neq 0$$

where we are using the explicit formulae for singular vectors, cf. [D].

Let us illustrate the resulting intertwining operators in the cases $m_{12} = 1$, $m_{23} = 1$. We have (after a suitable renormalization) :

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{12}^1\big|_{r_1+r_2=-1} &= -[r_1]_q \, \pi_R(X_1^-) \, \pi_R(X_2^-) + \\
&\quad + [r_1+1]_q \, \pi_R(X_2^-) \, \pi_R(X_1^-) = \\
&= -[r_1]_q \, \hat{I}_1 \, \hat{I}_2 + [r_1+1]_q \, \hat{I}_2 \, \hat{I}_1
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.7}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{23}^1\big|_{r_2+r_3=-1} &= -[r_3]_q \, \pi_R(X_3^-) \, \pi_R(X_2^-) + \\
&\quad + [r_3+1]_q \, \pi_R(X_2^-) \, \pi_R(X_3^-) = \\
&= -[r_3]_q \, \hat{I}_3 \, \hat{I}_2 + [r_3+1]_q \, \hat{I}_2 \, \hat{I}_3
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.8}
$$

6. q - Minkowski space-time and q - Maxwell equations hierarchy from q - conformal invariance

6.1. We start with the $q = 1$ situation and we first write the Maxwell equations in an indexless formulation, trading the indices for two conjugate variables $z, \bar{z}$. This formulation has two advantages.

First, it is very simple, and in fact, just with the introduction of an additional parameter, we can describe a whole infinite hierarchy of equations, which we call the **Maxwell hierarchy** .

Second, we can easily identify the variables $z, \bar{z}$ and the four Minkowski coordinates with the six local coordinates of a flag manifold of $SU(2,2)$, or of $SL(4)$ with the appropriate conjugation. Thus, one may look at this as a nice example of unifying internal and external degrees of freedom.

Next we give the q - analogs using the $U_q(sl(4))$ apparatus of Sections 4 and 5. Thus, we use q - Minkowski coordinates as part of the appropriate q - deformed flag manifold. Using the corresponding representations and intertwiners of $U_q(sl(4))$ we can finally write down the infinite hierarchy of q - Maxwell equations.

6.2.   It is well known that Maxwell equations

$$\partial^\mu F_{\mu\nu} \;=\; J_\nu \;, \quad \partial^{\mu\,*}F_{\mu\nu} \;=\; 0 \qquad (6.1)$$

or, equivalently

$$\partial_k E_k \;=\; J_0 \;, \quad \partial_0 E_k - \varepsilon_{k\ell m}\partial_\ell H_m \;=\; J_k \;,$$
$$\partial_k H_k \;=\; 0 \;, \quad \partial_0 H_k + \varepsilon_{k\ell m}\partial_\ell E_m \;=\; 0 \qquad (6.2)$$

where $E_k \equiv F_{k0}$, $H_k \equiv (1/2)\varepsilon_{k\ell m}F_{\ell m}$, can be rewritten in the following manner:

$$\partial_k F_k^\pm \;=\; J_0 \;, \quad \partial_0 F_k^\pm \pm i\varepsilon_{k\ell m}\partial_\ell F_m^\pm \;=\; J_k \qquad (6.3)$$

where

$$F_k^\pm \;\equiv\; E_k \pm iH_k \qquad (6.4)$$

Not so well known is the fact that the eight equations in (6.3) can be rewritten as two conjugate scalar equations in the following way:

$$I^+ \; F^+(z) \;=\; J(z,\bar{z}) \;, \qquad (6.5a)$$
$$I^- \; F^-(\bar{z}) \;=\; J(z,\bar{z}) \qquad (6.5b)$$

where

$$I^+ = \bar{z}\partial_+ + \partial_v -$$

$$- \frac{1}{2}\left(\bar{z}z\partial_+ + z\partial_v + \bar{z}\partial_{\bar{v}} + \partial_-\right)\partial_z \qquad (6.6a)$$

$$I^- = z\partial_+ + \partial_{\bar{v}} -$$

$$- \frac{1}{2}\left(\bar{z}z\partial_+ + z\partial_v + \bar{z}\partial_{\bar{v}} + \partial_-\right)\partial_{\bar{z}} \qquad (6.6b)$$

$$x_{\pm} \equiv x_0 \pm x_3$$

$$v \equiv x_1 - ix_2, \quad \bar{v} \equiv x_1 + ix_2 \qquad (6.7a)$$

$$\partial_{\pm} \equiv \partial/\partial x_{\pm}, \quad \partial_v \equiv \partial/\partial v, \quad \partial_{\bar{v}} \equiv \partial/\partial\bar{v}, \qquad (6.7b)$$

$$F^+(z) \equiv z^2(F_1^+ + iF_2^+) -$$

$$- 2zF_3^+ - (F_1^+ - iF_2^+) \qquad (6.8a)$$

$$F^-(\bar{z}) \equiv \bar{z}^2(F_1^- - iF_2^-) -$$

$$- 2\bar{z}F_3^- - (F_1^- + iF_2^-) \qquad (6.8b)$$

$$J(z,\bar{z}) \equiv \bar{z}z(J_0 + J_3) + \bar{z}(J_1 - iJ_2) +$$

$$+ z(J_1 + iJ_2) + (J_0 - J_3) \qquad (6.8c)$$

where we continue to suppress the x_μ, resp., $x_\pm, v, \bar{v}$, dependence in F and J. (The conjugation mentioned above is standard and in our terms it is: $I^+ \longleftrightarrow I^-$, $F^+(z) \longleftrightarrow F^-(\bar{z})$.)

It is easy to recover (6.3) from (6.5) - just note that both sides of each equation are first order polynomials in each of the two variables z and $\bar{z}$, then comparing the independent terms in (6.5) one gets at once (6.3).

Writing the Maxwell equations in the simple form (6.5) has also important conceptual meaning. The point is that each of the two scalar operators I^+, I^- is indeed a single object, namely it is an intertwiner of the conformal group, while the individual components in (6.1) - (6.3) do not have this interpretation. This is also the simplest way to see that the Maxwell equations are conformally invariant, since this is equivalent to the intertwining property.

Let us be more explicit. The physically relevant representations T^χ of the 4-dimensional conformal algebra $su(2,2)$ may be labelled by $\chi = [n_1, n_2; d]$, where n_1, n_2 are non-negative integers fixing finite-dimensional irreducible representations of the Lorentz subalgebra, (the dimension being $(n_1 + 1)(n_2 + 1)$), and d is the conformal dimension (or

energy). (In the literature these Lorentz representations are labelled also by $(j_1, j_2) = (n_1/2, n_2/2)$.) Then the intertwining properties of the operators in (6.6) are given by:

$$I^+ : C^+ \longrightarrow C^0 , \quad I^+ \circ T^+ = T^0 \circ I^+$$
$$I^- : C^- \longrightarrow C^0 , \quad I^- \circ T^- = T^0 \circ I^- \tag{6.9}$$

where $T^a = T^{\chi^a}$, $a = 0, +, -$, $C^a = C^{\chi^a}$ are the representation spaces, and the signatures are given explicitly by:

$$\chi^+ = [2, 0; 2] , \quad \chi^- = [0, 2; 2] , \quad \chi^0 = [1, 1; 3] \tag{6.10}$$

as anticipated. Indeed, $(n_1, n_2) = (1, 1)$ is the four-dimensional Lorentz representation, (carried by J_μ above), and $(n_1, n_2) = (2, 0), (0, 2)$ are the two conjugate three-dimensional Lorentz representations, (carried by $F_k^\pm$ above), while the conformal dimensions are the canonical dimensions of a current ($d = 3$), and of the Maxwell field ($d = 2$). We see that the variables $z, \bar{z}$ are related to the spin properties and we shall call them 'spin variables'. [In general, a Lorentz spin-tensor $G(z, \bar{z})$ with signature (n_1, n_2) is a polynomial in $z, \bar{z}$ of order n_1, n_2, resp.]

We can illustrate the above occurrence with the following diagrams of intertwining operators:

Fig. 1.   Simplest example of diagram with conformal invariant operators (arrows are differential operators, dashed arrows are integral operators)

$$\partial_\mu = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu} \, , \quad A_\mu \text{ electromagnetic potential}, \quad \partial_\mu \phi = A_\mu^0$$

$$F \text{ electromagnetic field}, \quad \partial_{[\lambda} A_{\mu]} = \partial_\lambda A_\mu - \partial_\mu A_\lambda \;=\; F_{\lambda\mu}^0$$

$$J_\mu \text{ electromagnetic current}, \quad \partial^\lambda F_{\lambda\mu} = J_\mu^0, \; \partial^\mu J_\mu = \Phi^0$$

superscript '0' indicates that the mapping is not onto

$$\phi \longleftarrow\!\!\!/\!\!\!\longrightarrow\!\!\!/\!\!\!\longrightarrow \Phi$$

$$\partial_\mu \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \partial^\mu$$

$$A_\mu \longleftarrow\!\!\!/\!\!\!\longrightarrow\!\!\!/\!\!\!\longrightarrow J_\mu \cong \chi^0$$

$$I^+ \qquad I^- \qquad\qquad I^- \qquad I^+$$

$$\chi^- \cong F^-_{[\lambda,\mu]} \longleftarrow\!\!\!/\!\!\!\longrightarrow\!\!\!/\!\!\!\longrightarrow F^+_{[\lambda,\mu]} \cong \chi^+$$

Fig. 2.   More precise showing of the simplest example,
using also notations from the text above

Fig. 3.   The general classification of invariant differential operators valid for

$so(4,2)$, $so(5,1)$ and $so(3,3) \cong sl(4,\mathbb{R})$.

p, ν, n are three natural numbers, the shown simplest case is when $p = \nu = n = 1$,

d_1^ν is a linear differential operator of order ν, similarly $d_1''^\nu$, d_2^n, d_3^p

From the last figure we can derive the fact that the Maxwell equations (6.9), (6.10) are part of an infinite hierarchy of couples of first order invariant equations (that would be obtained for $p = n = 1$). Explicitly, instead of (6.9), (6.10) we have [D] :

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_\nu^+ \; &: \; C_\nu^+ \longrightarrow C_\nu^0 \;, \quad I_\nu^+ \circ T_\nu^+ \; = \; T_\nu^0 \circ I_\nu^+ \\
I_\nu^- \; &: \; C_\nu^- \longrightarrow C_\nu^0 \;, \quad I_\nu^- \circ T_\nu^- \; = \; T_\nu^0 \circ I_\nu^-
\end{aligned}
\tag{6.11}
$$

where $T_\nu^a = T^{\chi_\nu^a}$, $C_\nu^a = C^{\chi_\nu^a}$, and the signatures are:

$$
\chi_\nu^+ = [\nu + 1, \nu - 1; 2] \;, \quad \chi_\nu^- = [\nu - 1, \nu + 1; 2]
$$

$$
\chi_\nu^0 = [\nu, \nu; 3] \;, \quad \nu \in I\!N
\tag{6.12}
$$

while instead of (6.5) we have:

$$
I_\nu^+ \; F_\nu^+(z, \bar{z}) \; = \; J_\nu(z, \bar{z})
\tag{6.13a}
$$

$$
I_\nu^- \; F_\nu^-(z, \bar{z}) \; = \; J_\nu(z, \bar{z})
\tag{6.13b}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_\nu^+ \; = \; &\frac{\nu + 1}{2}\Big(\bar{z}\partial_+ + \partial_v\Big) - \\
&- \frac{1}{2}\Big(\bar{z}z\partial_+ + z\partial_v + \bar{z}\partial_{\bar{v}} + \partial_-\Big)\partial_z \;, \quad \nu \in I\!N
\end{aligned}
\tag{6.14a}
$$

$$I_\nu^- = \frac{\nu+1}{2}\left(z\partial_+ + \partial_{\bar{v}}\right) -$$
$$-\frac{1}{2}\left(\bar{z}z\partial_+ + z\partial_v + \bar{z}\partial_{\bar{v}} + \partial_-\right)\partial_{\bar{z}} , \quad \nu \in I\!N \qquad (6.14b)$$

while $F_\nu^+(z,\bar{z})$, $F_\nu^-(z,\bar{z})$, $J_\nu(z,\bar{z})$, are polynomials in $z, \bar{z}$ of degrees $(\nu+1, \nu-1)$, $(\nu-1, \nu+1)$, (ν, ν), resp., as explained above.

Remark: If we want to use the notation with indices as in (6.1), then $F_\nu^+(z,\bar{z})$ and $F_\nu^-(z,\bar{z})$ correspond to $F_{\lambda\mu,\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_{\nu-1}}$ which is antisymmetric in the indices λ, μ, symmetric in $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{\nu-1}$, and traceless in every pair of indices, while $J_\nu(z,\bar{z})$ corresponds to $J_{\mu,\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_{\nu-1}}$ which is symmetric and traceless in every pair of indices. Note, however, that the analogs of (6.1) would be much more complicated if one wants to write explicitly all components. The crucial advantage of (6.13) is that the operators $I_\nu^\pm$ are given just by a slight generalization of $I^\pm = I_1^\pm$. $\diamond$

We shall call the hierarchy of equations (6.13) the **Maxwell hierarchy** . The Maxwell equations are the zero member of this hierarchy.

To proceed further we rewrite (6.14) in the following form:

$$I_\nu^+ = \frac{1}{2}\Big((\nu+1)I_1 I_2 - (\nu+2)I_2 I_1\Big) \quad (6.15a)$$

$$I_\nu^- = \frac{1}{2}\Big((\nu+1)I_3 I_2 - (\nu+2)I_2 I_3\Big) \quad (6.15b)$$

where

$$I_1 \equiv \partial_z , \quad I_2 \equiv \bar{z}z\partial_+ + z\partial_v + \bar{z}\partial_{\bar{v}} + \partial_- , \quad I_3 \equiv \partial_{\bar{z}} \tag{6.16}$$

We note in passing that group-theoretically the operators I_a correspond to the three simple roots of the root system of $sl(4)$, while the operators $I_\nu^\pm$ correspond to the two non-simple non-highest roots [D].

This is the form that we generalize for the q - deformed case. In fact, we can write at once the general form of the intertwining operators which follows from (5.7), (5.8) (cf. also (5.4)) :

$$_q I_\nu^+ = \frac{1}{2}\Big([\nu+1]_q \hat{I}_1 \hat{I}_2 - [\nu+2]_q \hat{I}_2 \hat{I}_1\Big)$$
$$_q I_\nu^- = \frac{1}{2}\Big([\nu+1]_q \hat{I}_3 \hat{I}_2 - [\nu+2]_q \hat{I}_2 \hat{I}_3\Big)$$
$$\tag{6.17}$$

It is our task (using the previous Sections) to make this form explicit by introducing the appropriate variables and functions.

6.3. The variables $x_\pm, v, \bar{v}, z, \bar{z}$ have definite group-theoretical meaning, namely, they are six local coordinates on the flag manifold $\mathcal{Y} = SL(4)/B$, where B is the Borel subgroup of $SL(4)$ consisting of all upper diagonal matrices. Under the natural conjugation (cf. also below) this is also a flag manifold of the conformal group $SU(2,2)$.

We know from Sections 3. and 4. what are the properties of the non-commutative coordinates on the q - deformed $SL(4)$ flag manifold. We make the following identification (compare with (4.6)) :

$$x_+ = w = Y_{41} \ , \quad x_- = u = Y_{32}$$

$$\text{(6.18}a\text{)}$$

$$v = x = Y_{31} \ , \quad \bar{v} = y = Y_{42}$$

$$z = \xi = Y_{21} \ , \quad \bar{z} = \eta = Y_{43} \qquad \text{(6.18}b\text{)}$$

for the q-Minkowski space-time coordinates and for the spin coordinates, which we denote as their classical counterparts. Thus, we obtain for the commutation rules of the q-Minkowski space-time coordinates (cf. (4.2)) :

$$x_\pm v \ = \ q^{\pm 1} v x_\pm \ , \quad x_\pm \bar{v} \ = \ q^{\pm 1} \bar{v} x_\pm$$

$$\text{(6.19)}$$

$$x_+ x_- - x_- x_+ \ = \ \lambda v \bar{v} \ , \quad \bar{v} v \ = \ v \bar{v}$$

As expected, relations (6.19) coincide with the commutation relations between the translation generators P_μ of the q-conformal algebra [D].

It is also easy to notice that these relations are as the $GL_q(2)$ commutation relations [Ma], if we identify our coordinates with the standard a, b, c, d generators of $GL_q(2)$ as follows:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_+ & v \\ \bar{v} & x_- \end{pmatrix} \qquad (6.20)$$

Thus, the q-Minkowski length is defined as the $GL_q(2)$ q-determinant :

$$\ell_q \doteq \det_q M = ad - qbc = x_+ x_- - q\bar{v}v \qquad (6.21)$$

and hence it commutes with the q-Minkowski coordinates. It has the correct classical limit $\ell_{q=1} = x_0^2 - \vec{x}^2$.

We know from (4.3) that for q phase ($|q| = 1$) the commutation relations (6.19) are preserved by an anti-linear anti-involution ω acting as :

$$\omega(x_\pm) = x_\pm , \quad \omega(v) = \bar{v} \qquad (6.22)$$

from which follows also that $\omega(\ell_q) = \ell_q$.

The commutation rules of the spin variables $\bar z, z$ between themselves, with the q-Minkowski coordinates and with the q-Minkowski length are (cf. (4.2)) :

$$\bar z z = z \bar z$$

$$x_+ z = q^{-1} z x_+ , \quad x_- z = q z x_- - \lambda v$$

$$v z = q^{-1} z v , \quad \bar v z = q z \bar v - \lambda x_+$$

$$\bar z x_+ = q x_+ \bar z , \quad \bar z x_- = q^{-1} x_- \bar z + \lambda \bar v$$

$$\bar z v = q^{-1} v \bar z + \lambda x_+ , \quad \bar z \bar v = q \bar v \bar z$$

$$z \ell_q = \ell_q z , \quad \bar z \ell_q = \ell_q \bar z$$

(6.23)

Certainly, the commutation relations (6.23) are also preserved (for q phase) by the conjugation ω which acts (cf. (4.3)) by : $\omega(z) = \bar z$. Thus, with this conjugation $\mathcal{Y}_q$ becomes a flag manifold of $SU_q(2,2)$.

We know the normally ordered basis of the q-deformed flag manifold $\mathcal{Y}_q$ considered as an associative algebra :

$$\hat\varphi_{ijk\ell mn} = z^i \, v^j \, x_-^k \, x_+^\ell \, \bar v^m \, \bar z^n , \quad i,j,k,\ell,m,n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$$

(6.24)

Let us denote by $\mathcal{Z}$, $\bar{\mathcal{Z}}$, and $\mathcal{M}_q$ the associative algebras with unity generated by z, $\bar z$, and $x_\pm, v, \bar v$,

resp. These three algebras are subalgebras of $\mathcal{Y}_q$, and we notice the following structure of $\mathcal{Y}_q$:

$$\mathcal{Y}_q \;\cong\; \mathcal{Z} \otimes_{\Bbbk} \mathcal{M}_q \otimes_{\Bbbk} \bar{\mathcal{Z}} \qquad (6.25)$$

where $A \otimes_{\Bbbk} B$ denotes the tensor product of A and B with A acting on B.

We introduce now the representation spaces C^χ , $\chi = [n_1, n_2; d]$. The elements of C^χ , which we shall call (abusing the notion) functions, are polynomials in $z, \bar{z}$ of degrees n_1, n_2, resp., and formal power series in the q - Minkowski variables. (In the general $U_q(sl(n))$ situation the signatures n_1, n_2 are complex numbers and the functions are formal power series in $z, \bar{z}$ too, cf. (3.21)b.) Namely, these functions are given by:

$$\hat{\varphi}_{n_1, n_2}(\bar{Y}) \;=\; \sum_{\substack{i,j,k,\ell,m,n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \\ i \leq n_1, \; n \leq n_2}} \mu^{n_1, n_2}_{ijk\ell mn} \, \hat{\varphi}_{ijk\ell mn} \quad (6.26)$$

where $\bar{Y}$ denotes the set of the six coordinates on $\mathcal{Y}_q$. Thus the analogs of $F_\nu^\pm$, J_ν, cf. (6.13), are :

$$_qF_\nu^+ \;=\; \hat{\varphi}_{\nu+1, \nu-1}(\bar{Y}) \,, \quad _qF_\nu^- \;=\; \hat{\varphi}_{\nu-1, \nu+1}(\bar{Y})$$

$$_qJ_\nu \;=\; \hat{\varphi}_{\nu, \nu}(\bar{Y})$$

$$(6.27)$$

Next, analogously to the operators $\hat{M}_{j\ell}$, $T_{j\ell}$, $\hat{\mathcal{D}}_{j\ell}$, from (3.22), (3.23), (3.24), we introduce operators $\hat{M}_\kappa$, T_κ, $\hat{\mathcal{D}}_\kappa$. We use these to write down explicitly the operators ${}_qI_\nu^\pm$ in (6.17). We have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}_qI_\nu^+ \;=\;& \frac{q^2}{2}\,[\nu+1]_q\,\Big(q\,\hat{\mathcal{D}}_v\,T_- \;+ \\[2mm]
&+\; \hat{M}_{\bar{z}}\,\hat{\mathcal{D}}_+\,(T_vT_+)^{-1}\,T_{\bar{v}}\Big)\,T_z^2\,(T_vT_{\bar{z}})^{-1} \;- \\[2mm]
&-\; \frac{1}{2}q^{-\nu-1}\,\Big(q\,\hat{M}_z\,\hat{\mathcal{D}}_v\,T_- \;+\; \hat{\mathcal{D}}_- \;+ \\[2mm]
&+\; \hat{M}_z\,\hat{M}_{\bar{z}}\,\hat{\mathcal{D}}_+\,(T_vT_+)^{-1}\,T_{\bar{v}} \;+ \\[2mm]
&+\; q^{-1}\,\hat{M}_{\bar{z}}\,\hat{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{v}}\,(T_-T_+)^{-1} \;- \\[2mm]
&-\; \lambda\,\hat{M}_v\,\hat{M}_{\bar{z}}\,\hat{\mathcal{D}}_-\,\hat{\mathcal{D}}_+\,(T_-T_+)^{-1}\,T_{\bar{v}}\Big)\,\hat{\mathcal{D}}_z\,T_z\,(T_vT_{\bar{z}})^{-1}
\end{aligned}
\tag{6.28a}
$$

$$_qI_\nu^- = \frac{q}{2}\,[\nu+1]_q\,\left(q^{-1}\,\hat{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{v}}\,(T_-T_+)^{-1} +\right.$$

$$+\ \hat{M}_z\,\hat{\mathcal{D}}_+\,(T_vT_+)^{-1}\,T_{\bar{v}} -$$

$$-\ \lambda\,\hat{M}_v\,\hat{\mathcal{D}}_-\,\hat{\mathcal{D}}_+\,(T_-T_+)^{-1}\,T_{\bar{v}}\left.\right)\,T_-\,T_+\,T_{\bar{v}}\,T_{\bar{z}}\ +$$

$$+\ \frac{1}{2}q^{\nu+2}\,\left(q\,\hat{M}_z\,\hat{\mathcal{D}}_v\,T_-\ +\ \hat{\mathcal{D}}_-\ +\right.\qquad (6.28b)$$

$$+\ \hat{M}_z\,\hat{M}_{\bar{z}}\,\hat{\mathcal{D}}_+\,(T_vT_+)^{-1}\,T_{\bar{v}}\ +$$

$$+\ q^{-1}\,\hat{M}_{\bar{z}}\,\hat{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{v}}\,(T_-T_+)^{-1}\ -$$

$$-\ \lambda\,\hat{M}_v\,\hat{M}_{\bar{z}}\,\hat{\mathcal{D}}_-\,\hat{\mathcal{D}}_+\,(T_-T_+)^{-1}\,T_{\bar{v}}\left.\right)\,\hat{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{z}}\,T_-\,T_+\,T_{\bar{v}}$$

With this the final result for the q - Maxwell hierarchy of equations is (cf. (6.27)) :

$$_qI_\nu^+\ {}_qF_\nu^+\ =\ {}_qJ_\nu$$
$$_qI_\nu^-\ {}_qF_\nu^-\ =\ {}_qJ_\nu \qquad (6.29)$$

We stress that the q-Maxwell hierarchy of equations is a nontrivial deformation since the operators $_qI_\nu^\pm$ from (6.28) contain terms proportional to $\lambda = q - q^{-1}$ that vanish when $q \to 1$.

Equations (6.29) appear on the next diagram:

Fig. 4.   The special case $p = n = 1$ containing the Maxwell hierarchy

Thank you for your attention !