Dark Matter Searches in the X-ray Band & the 3.55 keV Line



Esra Bulbul Harvard-Smithsonian CfA



- Zwicky: "missing mass" in the orbital velocities of galaxies
- Total mass of a galaxy cluster ~ 100 times the mass in stars

THE ASTROPHYSICAL JOURNAL

AN INTERNATIONAL REVIEW OF SPECTROSCOPY AND ASTRONOMICAL PHYSICS

VOLUME 86

OCTOBER 1937

NUMBER 3

ON THE MASSES OF NEBULAE AND OF CLUSTERS OF NEBULAE

F. ZWICKY

ABSTRACT

Present estimates of the masses of nebulae are based on observations of the *luminosities* and *internal rotations* of nebulae. It is shown that both these methods are unreliable; that from the observed luminosities of extragalactic systems only lower limits for the values of their masses can be obtained (sec. i), and that from internal rotations alone no determination of the masses of nebulae is possible (sec. ii). The observed internal motions of nebulae can be understood on the basis of a simple mechanical model, some properties of which are discussed. The essential feature is a central core whose internal *viscosity* due to the gravitational interactions of its component masses is so high as to cause it to rotate like a solid body.

NASA/CXC/ Clowe & Markevitch et al. 2006; Optical: NASA/STScl

How to Catch A DM Particle?



Indirect Detection

 Look for the secondary products of annihilation or decay



Indirect Detection

- Look for the secondary products of annihilation or decay
- Deep observations of astrophysical probes



Indirect Detection

- Look for the secondary products of annihilation or decay
- Deep observations of astrophysical probes
- Cold DM: WIMPs, axions
- Hot DM: e, μ, τ neutrinos
- Warm DM: sterile neutrinos



Sterile Neutrino

From astrophysical considerations:

- Mass is in keV range
- Decays into a photon
- E = m_s / 2 and a standard neutrino
- Decay rate is such that it may be detectable from galaxy clusters, etc.



X-ray View of the Universe



Chandra 0.5 – 7 keV ACIS-S, ACIS-I



Suzaku 0.5 – 7 keV XIS 0, 1, 3



XMM-Newton 0.3 – 10 keV MOS, PN

Dark Matter Searches in the X-ray band



Galaxy Clusters Composite (%15)



Bright XMM-Newton Clusters

- A1060 A209 A383 A478 A496 A520 A665 ZW3146 A545 A262 A754 A773 A963 A1068 A781 A1201 A1413 A3128 A1758 A1763 A1835 A1914 A2034 A2063 A2163 A2204 A1689 A2218 A2254 A2319 A2345 A2390 A2597 A2811 MS0735 A2667 A3571 A3888 A3112 A4038 A4059 AS1101 A2147 AS592 AS1063 Bullet Centaurus Com Hydra A MACSJ1532 Cygnus A A3571 AWM7 MACSJ2229 MS2137 Ophiuchus PKS0745 Perseus **RXCJ0145 RXCJ0605 RXCJ0616 RXCJ0958** Tiangulum RXCJ2014 **RXCJ1504 RXCJ1720 RXCJ2129 RXCJ2218 RXCJ1044 RXCJ1314** Australe .
- 73 Clusters
- 0.01 < z < 0.35
- ~ 6 MS
- >10⁶ photons
- Redshift
 Smearing!

Smearing Background Features



Smearing Background Features



Detected a weak excess at ~3.55 keV (rest frame energy)!

Line Detected in the Full Sample



with a Gaussian Line Added...



Detections (Bulbul+14)

•	XMM MOS	 Full Sample Bright nearby Clusters (Coma+Ophiuchus+Centaurus) Distant Clusters Perseus Cluster
•	XMM PN	 Full Sample Distant Clusters
•	Chandra	 Perseus cluster
•	Suzaku	 Perseus cluster (Franse & Bulbul+15)

Line detected in five different subsamples with >3 σ with six different detectors (Bulbul+2014)

• No plausible astrophysical lines at this energy

- No plausible astrophysical lines at this energy
- What is the origin of the line?

Possible Interpretations

- Instrumental/Background Artifact
- Nearby Astrophysical Lines
- Dark Matter?

Astrophysical Origin?

- Radiative Recombination Feature
- Charge Exchange

Nearby Lines?

- K XVIII at 3.51keV
- Ar XVII DR at 3.62 keV
- CLXVILLy β at 3.51keV



Astrophysical Line?

- Flux of KVIII:
 - S XVI (2.63 keV), Ca XIX (3.90 keV) & Ca XX (4.11 keV)
- Flux of Ar DR:

observed Ar XVII line (3.12 keV)

- multiplied by 3 and allowed in the fit.
- 3.55 keV line is required by the fit at a flux well above these lines.
- Bulbul 14 conclusion:

To explain the 3.55 keV line, the K XVIII and/or Ar XVII DR lines should be brighter than expected by factor >10.

Counter Arguments

- "Dark matter searches going bananas: the contribution of Potassium (and Chlorine) to the 3.5 keV line" (Jeltema & Profumo arxiv:1408.1699) (a.k.a. "Discovery of a 3.5 keV line in the Galactic Center and a critical look at the origin of the line across astronomical targets", 2015 MNRAS 450, 2143)
- Possible contribution of CI XVII at 3.51 keV
- Line ratios indicate wide and inconsistent plasma temperatures
- a very cool component

CI XVII at 3.51 keV is a
 Ly β line, no brighter
 Ly α

- CI XVII at 3.51 keV is a
 Ly β line, no brighter
 Ly α
- The line ratio temperatures in JP are inconsistent because JP used incorrect atomic data



- CI XVII at 3.51 keV is a
 Ly β line, no brighter
 Ly α
- The line ratio temperatures in JP are inconsistent because JP used incorrect atomic data
- S XV/ SXVI and Ca XIX/ Ca XX are excellent tracers of K XVIII



- 3.55 keV line is required on top of very conservative allowance for the K line
- A further mistake
 in the Ca line ratio
 to temperature
 conversion in v2 of
 the J&P paper ...



Decaying Dark matter?

Flux should scale with mass



Comparison with Earlier Limits



Line Profile



Decaying Dark Matter?

Detections

- Perseus Cluster ✓
 (Bulbul+2014, Urban+2015,
 - Franse & Bulbul+2015)
- 2- Perseus outskirts 🗸 (Boyarsky+2014)
- 3- Stacked clusters \checkmark
- 4- Nearby Clusters \checkmark
- 5- Distant clusters 🗸
- 6- Galactic Center 🗸

(Boyarsky+2015, Jeltema & Profumo 2015)

- 7- Coma, A2199, and A2319 ✓ (lakubovskyi & Bulbul+15)
- 8-M31 🗸

(Boyarsky+2014)

9- Ophiuchus (XMM) ✓ (Taki & Bulbul+2015, in prep)

Non- Detections

1- Virgo Cluster

(Bulbul+2014) consistent 🗸

- 2- Coma, Ophiuchus, Virgo (Suzaku) (Urban+2015) consistent ✓
- 3- Dwarf Spheroidals

(Malyshev+2015) inconsistent

4- Stacked Galaxies

(Anderson+2015) inconsistent

> 200 citations to Bulbul+2014, most proposing DM explanations: eXciting DM: An X-Ray Line from eXciting DM **SIMPle DM:** Self-Interactions and keV Lines Axion: The 7 keV axion DM and the X-ray line signal Axino: X-ray line signal from decaying axino warm DM Gravitino DM: 3.5 keV x-ray line from decaying gravitino DM Scalar DM: 7 keV scalar DM and the anomalous ... Vector DM: Decaying Vector DM as an Explanation Moduli DM: The 3.5 keV X-ray line signal from decaying moduli ... Nonabelian DM: Nonabelian DM models for 3.5 keV X-rays Higgs: X-Ray Lines as Scotogenic Signals DM-philic Higgs WIMP: 3.5-keV X-ray line from nearly-degenerate WIMP DM decays

Tests for Revealing the Origin

Astrophysical Origin:

Stacked Suzaku Observations of Galaxy Clusters (Bulbul+2015)

Dark Matter Origin (General): Perseus Line Profile with Suzaku (Franse & Bulbul+2015)

Annihilating Dark Matter:

Stacked XMM-Newton Observations of Galaxy Clusters (Taki & Bulbul+ 2015)

Decaying Dark Matter:

1.3 Ms XMM-Newton Dwarf Draco Observations (Boyarsky & Bulbul+2016)

Observations with Astro-H



Conclusions

- Attempts to refine upper limits can sometimes fail...
- Detected a weak emission line in the stacked observations of galaxy clusters
- Seen at > 3 σ in five different subsamples
- with six detectors
- No plausible atomic transitions in thermal plasma at this energy
- Could be dark matter!



What is the Contribution of CI XVII Ly β ?

