DM interactions

Céline Boehm

LAPTH, Annecy





Corfu, 16 Septembre 2015

Dark Matter is a key element to structure formation



It is supposed to be a collisionless fluid \Rightarrow never sensitive to the DM interactions....

That is not quite true!

One can constrain the DM microphysics using cosmology!

A particle solution to the DM problem?

Weakly InteractingMassiveParticleInvisibleClustered

$$\begin{split} \dot{\theta}_{\rm b} &= k^2 \psi - \mathcal{H} \theta_{\rm b} + c_s^2 k^2 \delta_{\rm b} - R^{-1} \dot{\kappa} (\theta_{\rm b} - \theta_{\gamma}) \\ \dot{\theta}_{\gamma} &= k^2 \psi + k^2 \left(\frac{1}{4} \delta_{\gamma} - \sigma_{\gamma} \right) - \dot{\kappa} (\theta_{\gamma} - \theta_{\rm b}) , \\ \dot{\theta}_{\rm DM} &= k^2 \psi - \mathcal{H} \theta_{\rm DM} , \end{split}$$

which DM mass? which DM cross section?

The CDM microphysics is absent from the equations!

(we do not include them!)

Which mass?

Heavy WIMPs

Particle of a 3 keV



Observations agree better in the case of WDM but it is not the end of the story

This picture is valid if DM is collisionless but ...

How weakly interacting?

We already have a partial answer



"WIMPs" : no electromagnetic interaction based on the SM.

But how invisible the DM really needs to be?

Let us introduce $dm - \gamma$ interactions

Collisional (Silk) damping in modern Cosmology

astro-ph/0112522

without DM interactions

with DM interactions

$$\begin{split} \dot{\theta}_{b} &= k^{2} \psi - \mathcal{H} \theta_{b} + c_{s}^{2} k^{2} \delta_{b} - R^{-1} \dot{\kappa} (\theta_{b} - \theta_{\gamma}) \\ \dot{\theta}_{\gamma} &= k^{2} \psi + k^{2} \left(\frac{1}{4} \delta_{\gamma} - \sigma_{\gamma} \right) - \dot{\kappa} (\theta_{\gamma} - \theta_{b}) , \\ \dot{\theta}_{DM} &= k^{2} \psi - \mathcal{H} \theta_{DM} , \end{split}$$

$$\dot{\kappa} = a\sigma_{\mathrm{Th}}n_e$$

$$\begin{split} \dot{\theta}_{b} &= k^{2} \psi - \mathcal{H} \theta_{b} + c_{s}^{2} k^{2} \delta_{b} - R^{-1} \dot{\kappa} (\theta_{b} - \theta_{\gamma}) \\ \dot{\theta}_{\gamma} &= k^{2} \psi + k^{2} \left(\frac{1}{4} \delta_{\gamma} - \sigma_{\gamma} \right) \\ - \dot{\kappa} (\theta_{\gamma} - \theta_{b}) - \dot{\mu} (\theta_{\gamma} - \theta_{DM}) , \\ \dot{\theta}_{DM} &= k^{2} \psi - \mathcal{H} \theta_{DM} - S^{-1} \dot{\mu} (\theta_{DM} - \theta_{\gamma}) . \end{split}$$

 $\dot{\mu} \equiv a \sigma_{\gamma-\text{dm}} n_{\text{dm}}$

$$S \equiv \frac{3}{4} \frac{\rho_{\rm DM}}{\rho_{\gamma}}$$





depends on whether the species that is interacting with DM is collisional or not

Collisional (Silk) damping in modern Cosmology



Translation in terms of Cosmological perturbations

without DM interactions with DM interactions astro-ph/0112522

.

$$\begin{split} \dot{\theta}_{b} &= k^{2} \Psi - \mathcal{H} \theta_{b} + c_{s}^{2} k^{2} \delta_{b} - R^{-1} \dot{\kappa} (\theta_{b} - \theta_{\gamma}) \\ \dot{\theta}_{\gamma} &= k^{2} \Psi + k^{2} \left(\frac{1}{4} \delta_{\gamma} - \sigma_{\gamma} \right) - \dot{\kappa} (\theta_{\gamma} - \theta_{b}) , \\ \dot{\theta}_{DM} &= k^{2} \Psi - \mathcal{H} \theta_{DM} , \end{split}$$
$$\dot{\kappa} &= a \sigma_{Th} n_{e}$$

$$\begin{split} \dot{\theta}_{\rm b} &= k^2 \psi - \mathcal{H} \theta_{\rm b} + c_s^2 k^2 \delta_{\rm b} - R^{-1} \dot{\kappa} (\theta_{\rm b} - \theta_{\gamma}) \\ \dot{\theta}_{\gamma} &= k^2 \psi + k^2 \left(\frac{1}{4} \delta_{\gamma} - \sigma_{\gamma} \right) \\ &- \dot{\kappa} (\theta_{\gamma} - \theta_{\rm b}) - \dot{\mu} (\theta_{\gamma} - \theta_{\rm DM}) , \\ \dot{\theta}_{\rm DM} &= k^2 \psi - \mathcal{H} \theta_{\rm DM} - S^{-1} \dot{\mu} (\theta_{\rm DM} - \theta_{\gamma}) . \end{split}$$
$$\dot{\mu} \equiv a \sigma_{\gamma - \rm DM} n_{\rm DM} \quad S \equiv \frac{3}{4} \frac{\rho_{\rm DM}}{\rho_{\gamma}} \end{split}$$

DM-photon interactions

astro-ph/0112522

1 parameter (the ratio of cross section to the DM mass)



Thomson cross section; dark matter would be a baryon... it is excluded!

Deviations to LCDM

R. Wilkinson, J. Lesgourgues, CB: arXiv:1309.7588



CMB alone is not probing collisionless DM!

One cannot tell whether DM has small interactions with photons or other particles!

What about structure formation?

The P(k) is different from LCDM

(whatever the interactions)!



(CB, Riazuelo, S. Hansen, R. Schaeffer : astro-ph/0112522)

R. Wilkinson, J. Lesgourgues, C. Boehm: arXiv:1309.7588

Dark Oscillations

Structure formation is sensitive to DM interactions!

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YhJHN6z_0ek







Numbers of MW satellite galaxies

C.B, J. Schewtschenko, R. Wilkinson, C. Baugh, S. Pascoli, arXiv:1404.7012



small satellites

Solve the MW satellite problem!

Sterilise the MW!

$$\sigma \simeq 10^{-33} \left(\frac{m_{DM}}{\text{GeV}}\right) \text{ cm}^2 \qquad \sigma \simeq 10^{-31} \left(\frac{m_{DM}}{\text{GeV}}\right) \text{ cm}^2$$



LSS in the Universe are modified too!



^{10°} **Future: LSS experiments** can set strong bounds



With DESI we gain a factor 10

It will be amazing to see what LSST brings ...

Conclusion

CMB cannot probe LCDM deviations! But P(k) can!

WIMPS are not always equivalent to collisionless particles

Small interactions can drastically change the way structures form and the inner structure of our Milky Way halo



Cosmology can constrain the microphysics of DM!

eutrinos (ν CDM) (Boehm . 2004; Boehm et al. 2005; **W** no et al. 2006; Serra et al. are three reasons for this: the largest energy density -radiation/equality) of any dtare relativistic and that it of small mass overdensi-OM must have been much early times to explain the d be about T_{dec}]

ittering larges-section, kpe nent in the linear matter expected to have a similar

18

Standard

=81.5 / 59

HDM

0.2

log₁₀ k / h Mpc⁻¹

-1

k / h Mpc"

-1.5



an¹ This is illustrated in

non-interacting CDM, γ CDM, ν CDM and WDM.

UM & ESCEPTISH CAR A Values we use throughout this representation as ν CDM and ν CDM cross-sections and the WDM mass are given in Table 1. These parameters are motivated by the constraints obtained in our previous work in Rechtroff, tale 2014) tand have been selected such that the primary scale at which the Aransfer function is suppressed by a factor of two with respect to CDM is identical. This scale is known as the *half-mode* mass, $M_{\rm hm}$, and defines **р. т.** 1 тт. • the transition bet Degion m II. tt ere/are impe tahis differencesobby dependent t difmangentarheith M besomegnen dif erent ferences start ke transfer functic relativistic pit, op Oppregden WhM. v_{rt_0} In the case of a thermastized, non-interacting fer aiothic I particle, the suppression in the matter power spece -fit model can be approximated by the transfer function (Bode 2001)

$$T(k) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + (\alpha k)^{2\mu} \\ T(k) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + (\alpha k)^{2\mu} \\ 1 + (\alpha k)^{2\mu} \end{bmatrix}^{-5/\mu} , \qquad (1)$$

 $[m_{---}]^{-1}$ 15 $[O_{---}]^{0.15}$ $[h_{-}]^{1.3}$ Mpc $\hat{P}_{0.0} = 0.048 \left[\frac{m_{\rm DM}}{\rm keV1} \right]_{15}^{-1.15} \left[\frac{\Omega_{\rm DM}}{0.4} \right]_{15}^{0.15} \left[\frac{h}{0.45} \right]_{1.3}^{1.3} \frac{\rm Mpc}{\rm Mpc}$ $= 0.048 \left[\frac{m_{\rm DM}}{\rm keV} \right]_{15}^{-1.15} \left[\frac{\Omega_{\rm DM}}{0.4} \right]_{15}^{0.15} \left[\frac{h}{0.45} \right]_{1.3}^{1.3} \frac{\rm Mpc}{\rm Mpc}$ <u>3</u>0.0 (2)

 $\Omega_{\rm DM}$ is the DM energy density, h is the reduced Hub--0.5 μ arameter and $\mu \simeq 1.2$ is a fitting parameter². The scale

A more generic case



Lyman-alpha forest constraints

R. Wilkinson, C. Boehm, J. Lesgourgues: arXiv:1401.7597



Gain a factor 100 compared to CMB

 $\frac{Constant\ cross\ section}{\sigma_{\rm DM-\nu}} \lesssim 10^{-33} \left(m_{\rm DM}/{\rm GeV} \right)\ {\rm cm}^2$

 $\frac{T^2 \ cross \ section}{\sigma_{\rm DM-v,0}} \lesssim 10^{-45} \ (m_{\rm DM}/{\rm GeV}) \ {\rm cm}^2$

	$100 \Omega_{\rm b} h^2$	$\Omega_{\rm DM} h^2$	100 h	$10^{+9} A_s$	n_s	z _{reio}	$N_{\rm eff}$
Lyman-α limit	$2.246\substack{+0.039\\-0.042}$	$0.1253\substack{+0.0053\\-0.0056}$	$71.5^{+3.0}_{-3.3}$	$2.254\substack{+0.069\\-0.082}$	$0.979\substack{+0.016\\-0.016}$	$11.7^{+1.2}_{-1.3}$	$3.52\substack{+0.36\\-0.40}$

What is the impact on structure formation?



Impact on Particle Physics

R. Wilkinson, C. Boehm, J. Lesgourgues: arXiv:1401.7597





