

# String Theory and Double Field Theory

Olaf Hohm

- W. Siegel, hep-th/9305073, O. H., C. Hull, B. Zwiebach arXiv: 1003.5027, 1006.4823
- O. H., W. Siegel, B. Zwiebach arXiv: 1306.2970
- O. H., B. Zwiebach arXiv: 1407.0708, 1407.3803
- O. H., D. Lüst, B. Zwiebach arXiv:1309.2977

Corfu, September 2014

## Plan of the talks:

### Part I: Duality covariant Geometry of DFT

- Efficient reformulation of supergravity ('generalized geometry')
- Gauge structure of DFT:  
generalized diffeomorphisms, duality-covariantized Courant bracket
- extension: heterotic, type II, Romans mass deformation,  
generalized Scherk-Schwarz, non-geometric fluxes, etc.

### Part II: Higher-derivative $\alpha'$ deformations

- exact deformation of gauge structure
- physical interpretation on physical subspace  
 $\Rightarrow$  Green-Schwarz mechanism and  $\alpha'$ -deformed Courant bracket
- further deformations from bosonic closed SFT
- Conclusions and Outlook

# Part I: Duality covariant Geometry of DFT

String theory: consistent quantum gravity in  $D = 10$  (or  $D = 26$ )

massless fields:  $g_{ij}$  ,  $b_{ij} = -b_{ji}$  ,  $\phi$

Spacetime action for massless string fields:

$$S = \int d^D x \sqrt{-g} e^{-2\phi} \left[ R + 4(\partial\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{12} H^{ijk} H_{ijk} \right]$$

where

$$H_{ijk} = \partial_i b_{jk} + \partial_j b_{ki} + \partial_k b_{ij}$$

Two gauge symmetries: 1) general coordinate invariance,

$$2) \quad \delta_{\tilde{\xi}} b_{ij} = \partial_i \tilde{\xi}_j - \partial_j \tilde{\xi}_i$$

action not uniquely determined by bosonic symmetries (only SUSY)

infinite number of higher-derivative  $\alpha'$  corrections

$\Rightarrow$  spacetime action for massless string fields:

$$S = \int d^D x \sqrt{-g} e^{-2\phi} \left[ R + 4(\partial\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{12} H^{ijk} H_{ijk} \right. \\ \left. + \alpha' \left( \frac{1}{4} R^{ijkl} R_{ijkl} + R H H + H^4 + \dots \right) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha'^2) \right]$$

largely ambiguous, not determined by symmetries

in string theory couplings uniquely determined, compatible with T-duality

T-duality group  $O(D, D)$  :  $\eta_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  ,  $M, N = 1, \dots, 2D$

suggests that fundamental field in string theory

$$\mathcal{E}_{ij} = g_{ij} + b_{ij} \quad \text{or} \quad \mathcal{H}_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} g^{ij} & -g^{ik} b_{kj} \\ b_{ik} g^{kj} & g_{ij} - b_{ik} g^{kl} b_{lj} \end{pmatrix}$$

$\Rightarrow$  Double Field Theory based on doubled coordinates  $X^M = (\tilde{x}_i, x^i)$ ,

## Two-derivative Double Field Theory

Reformulation (Extension?) of spacetime action for massless string fields:

$$S_{\text{NS}} = \int d^D x \sqrt{-g} e^{-2\phi} \left[ R + 4(\partial\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{12} H^{ijk} H_{ijk} + \frac{1}{4} \alpha' R^{ijkl} R_{ijkl} + \dots \right]$$

generalized metric and doubled coordinates  $X^M = (\tilde{x}_i, x^i)$ ,

$$\mathcal{H}_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} g^{ij} & -g^{ik} b_{kj} \\ b_{ik} g^{kj} & g_{ij} - b_{ik} g^{kl} b_{lj} \end{pmatrix} \in O(D, D)$$

DFT Action (dilaton density  $e^{-2d} = e^{-2\phi} \sqrt{-g}$ ):

$$S_{\text{DFT}} = \int d^{2D} X e^{-2d} \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{H}, d) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\partial}^i=0} S_{\text{NS}}|_{\alpha'=0}$$

generalized curvature scalar

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R} \equiv & 4 \mathcal{H}^{MN} \partial_M \partial_N d - \partial_M \partial_N \mathcal{H}^{MN} - 4 \mathcal{H}^{MN} \partial_M d \partial_N d + 4 \partial_M \mathcal{H}^{MN} \partial_N d \\ & + \frac{1}{8} \mathcal{H}^{MN} \partial_M \mathcal{H}^{KL} \partial_N \mathcal{H}_{KL} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{H}^{MN} \partial_M \mathcal{H}^{KL} \partial_K \mathcal{H}_{NL} \end{aligned}$$

# Gauge transformations and generalized Lie derivatives

In DFT gauge invariance governed by generalized Lie derivatives

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_\xi \mathcal{H}_{MN} = \xi^P \partial_P \mathcal{H}_{MN} + (\partial_M \xi^P - \partial^P \xi_M) \mathcal{H}_{PN} + (\partial_N \xi^P - \partial^P \xi_N) \mathcal{H}_{MP}$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_\xi (e^{-2d}) = \partial_M (\xi^M e^{-2d})$$

Invariance and closure,  $[\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\xi_1}, \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\xi_2}] = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{[\xi_1, \xi_2]_C}$ ,

$$[\xi_1, \xi_2]_C^M = \xi_1^N \partial_N \xi_2^M - \xi_2^N \partial_N \xi_1^M - \frac{1}{2} \xi_{1N} \partial^M \xi_2^N + \frac{1}{2} \xi_{2N} \partial^M \xi_1^N$$

modulo strong constraint

$$\eta^{MN} \partial_M \partial_N = 2\tilde{\partial}^i \partial_i = 0 \quad \eta_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

solved by

$$\partial_M = \begin{cases} \partial_i & \text{if } M = i \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

$O(D, D)$  covariant, captures IIA/M-theory & IIB simultaneously

## Conventional gauge transformations and Courant bracket

Setting  $\tilde{\partial}^i = 0$  gauge transformations imply for  $\xi^M = (\tilde{\xi}_i, \xi^i)$

$$\delta g = \mathcal{L}_\xi g, \quad \delta b = d\tilde{\xi} + \mathcal{L}_\xi b$$

Viewing  $\xi + \tilde{\xi}$  as section in  $T \oplus T^*$  ('generalized geometry')

C-bracket reduces to Courant bracket

$$\left[ \xi_1 + \tilde{\xi}_1, \xi_2 + \tilde{\xi}_2 \right] = \left[ \xi_1, \xi_2 \right] + \mathcal{L}_{\xi_1} \tilde{\xi}_2 - \mathcal{L}_{\xi_2} \tilde{\xi}_1 - \frac{1}{2} d(i_{\xi_1} \tilde{\xi}_2 - i_{\xi_2} \tilde{\xi}_1)$$

exact term not fixed by closure but by gauge covariance of C-bracket

or 'B automorphism' of Courant bracket

# Large Gauge Transformations and Non-Geometric Spaces

Generalized g.c.t. that reproduce this infinitesimally:

$$S'(X') = S(X) \quad A'_M(X') = \mathcal{F}_M^N A_N(X)$$

and analogously on higher tensors, where [O.H., Zwiebach, 1207.4198]

$$\mathcal{F}_M^N \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial X^P}{\partial X'^M} \frac{\partial X'_P}{\partial X_N} + \frac{\partial X'_M}{\partial X_P} \frac{\partial X^N}{\partial X'^P} \right) \in O(D, D)$$

Setting  $X'^M = X^M - \xi^M(X)$  we get  $\delta_\xi = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_\xi$ .

- $x^{i'} = x^{i'}(x)$ ,  $\tilde{x}'_i = \tilde{x}_i$  leads to usual g.c.t.,  
 $\tilde{x}'_i = \tilde{x}_i - \tilde{\xi}_i(x)$ ,  $x^{i'} = x^i$  leads to  $b_{ij} \rightarrow b_{ij} + \partial_i \tilde{\xi}_j - \partial_j \tilde{\xi}_i$
- composition according to BCH of C-bracket,  
 equivalent to  $\exp(\hat{\mathcal{L}}_\xi)$  [Berman, Cederwall, Perry (2014)]
- truly non-geometric spaces [O.H., D. Lüst & B. Zwiebach (2013)]



# Supersymmetric and Heterotic Extensions

(Generalized) vielbein formalism required [Siegel (1993), O.H. & Ki Kwak (2010)]

$$\mathcal{H}^{MN} = \hat{\eta}^{AB} E_A^M E_B^N, \quad \hat{\eta}_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} \eta_{ab} & 0 \\ 0 & \eta_{\bar{a}\bar{b}} \end{pmatrix}$$

local  $SO(1, 9)_L \times SO(1, 9)_R$  Lorentz symmetry

Gauge fixing to diagonal subgroup

$$E_A^M = \begin{pmatrix} E_{ai} & E_a^i \\ E_{\bar{a}i} & E_{\bar{a}}^i \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} e_{ia} + b_{ij}e_a^j & e_a^i \\ -e_{i\bar{a}} + b_{ij}e_{\bar{a}}^j & e_{\bar{a}}^i \end{pmatrix}$$

Fermions: singlets under  $O(10, 10)$  and  $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_\xi$

[Coimbra, Strickland-Constable, Waldram, 1107.1733; O.H., S. Ki Kwak, 1111.7293]

- $\Psi_a$  : vector of  $SO(1, 9)_L$ , spinor of  $SO(1, 9)_R$
- $\rho$  : spinor of  $SO(1, 9)_R$ ,
- $\epsilon$  : spinor of  $SO(1, 9)_R$

$\mathcal{N} = 1$  supersymmetric Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = e^{-2d} \left( \mathcal{R}(E, d) - \bar{\Psi}^a \gamma^{\bar{b}} \nabla_{\bar{b}} \Psi_a + \bar{\rho} \gamma^{\bar{a}} \nabla_{\bar{a}} \rho + 2 \bar{\Psi}^a \nabla_a \rho \right)$$

$\mathcal{N} = 1$  supersymmetry transformations

$$E_{\bar{b}}^M \delta_\epsilon E_{aM} = \frac{1}{2} \bar{\epsilon} \gamma_{\bar{b}} \Psi_a \quad \delta_\epsilon d = -\frac{1}{4} \bar{\epsilon} \rho \quad \delta_\epsilon \Psi_a = \nabla_a \epsilon \quad \delta_\epsilon \rho = \gamma^{\bar{a}} \nabla_{\bar{a}} \epsilon$$

Proof of supersymmetric invariance: variation of bosonic term

$$e^{2d} \delta_\epsilon \mathcal{L}_B = \frac{1}{2} \bar{\epsilon} \rho \mathcal{R} + \bar{\epsilon} \gamma^{\bar{b}} \Psi^a \mathcal{R}_{a\bar{b}}$$

variation of fermionic terms

$$\begin{aligned} e^{2d} \delta_\epsilon \mathcal{L}_F &= -2 \bar{\Psi}^a \gamma^{\bar{b}} \nabla_{\bar{b}} \nabla_a \epsilon + 2 \bar{\rho} \gamma^{\bar{a}} \nabla_{\bar{a}} (\gamma^{\bar{b}} \nabla_{\bar{b}} \epsilon) + 2 \nabla^a \bar{\epsilon} \nabla_a \rho + 2 \bar{\Psi}^a \nabla_a (\gamma^{\bar{b}} \nabla_{\bar{b}} \epsilon) \\ &= -2 \bar{\Psi}^a \left[ \gamma^{\bar{b}} \nabla_{\bar{b}}, \nabla_a \right] \epsilon + 2 \bar{\rho} \left( \gamma^{\bar{a}} \nabla_{\bar{a}} \gamma^{\bar{b}} \nabla_{\bar{b}} - \nabla^a \nabla_a \right) \epsilon \\ &= \bar{\Psi}^a \gamma^{\bar{b}} \mathcal{R}_{a\bar{b}} \epsilon - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\rho} \mathcal{R} \epsilon = -\frac{1}{2} \bar{\epsilon} \rho \mathcal{R} - \bar{\epsilon} \gamma^{\bar{b}} \Psi^a \mathcal{R}_{a\bar{b}} \end{aligned}$$

Thus:  $\delta_\epsilon (S_B + S_F) = 0$

Add vector multiplets:  $SO(1, 9 + n) \times SO(1, 9) \subset O(10 + n, 10)$

( $n = 16$ : heterotic string truncated to Cartan of  $E_8 \times E_8$  or  $SO(32)$ )

Frame field:  $A = (a, \bar{a}) = (\underline{a}, \underline{\alpha}, \bar{a})$ ,  $\underline{a} = 0, \dots, 9$ ,  $\underline{\alpha} = 1, \dots, n$

$$E_A^M = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} e_{i\underline{a}} - e_{\underline{a}}^k c_{ki} & -e_{\underline{a}}^k A_k^\beta & e_{\underline{a}}^i \\ \sqrt{2} A_{i\underline{\alpha}} & \sqrt{2} \delta_{\underline{\alpha}}^\beta & 0 \\ -e_{i\bar{a}} - e_{\bar{a}}^k c_{ki} & -e_{\bar{a}}^k A_k^\beta & e_{\bar{a}}^i \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $c_{ij} = b_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} A_i^\alpha A_{j\alpha}$

Additional gauginos  $\chi_\alpha$  encoded in

$$\Psi_a = (\Psi_{\underline{a}}, \Psi_{\underline{\alpha}}) \equiv (e_{\underline{a}}^i \Psi_i, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \chi_{\underline{\alpha}})$$

Formally same Lagrangian and supersymmetry variations as above!

→ reduces to standard action and SUSY rules setting  $\tilde{\partial}^i = 0$

## Comparison: standard $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supergravity action

$$\begin{aligned}
 S = \int d^{10}x e e^{-2\phi} & \left[ \left( R + 4\partial^i \phi \partial_i \phi - \frac{1}{12} \hat{H}^{ijk} \hat{H}_{ijk} - \frac{1}{4} F_{ij} F^{ij} \right) \right. \\
 & - \bar{\psi}_i \gamma^{ijk} D_j \psi_k - 2\bar{\lambda} \gamma^i D_i \lambda - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\chi}^\alpha \not{D} \chi_\alpha \\
 & + 2\bar{\psi}^i (\partial_i \phi) \gamma^j \psi_j - \bar{\psi}_i (\not{\partial} \phi) \gamma^i \lambda - \frac{1}{4} \bar{\chi}_\alpha \gamma^i \gamma^{jk} F_{jk}{}^\alpha (\psi_i + \frac{1}{6} \gamma_i \lambda) \\
 & + \frac{1}{24} \hat{H}_{ijk} \left( \bar{\psi}_m \gamma^{mijkn} \psi_n + 6\bar{\psi}^i \gamma^j \psi^k - 2\bar{\psi}_m \gamma^{ijk} \gamma^m \lambda + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\chi}^\alpha \gamma^{ijk} \chi_\alpha \right) \\
 & \left. + \text{quartic fermions} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\hat{H}_{ijk} = 3 \left( \partial_{[i} b_{jk]} - A_{[i}{}^\alpha \partial_j A_{k]\alpha} \right)$$

## Comparison: standard $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry rules

$$\delta_\epsilon e_i^a = \frac{1}{2} \bar{\epsilon} \gamma^a \psi_i - \frac{1}{4} \bar{\epsilon} \lambda e_i^a ,$$

$$\delta_\epsilon \phi = -\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \quad , \quad \delta_\epsilon A_i^\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \bar{\epsilon} \gamma_i \chi^\alpha \quad ,$$

$$\delta_\epsilon \chi^\alpha = -\frac{1}{4} \gamma^{ij} F_{ij}^\alpha \epsilon$$

$$\delta_\epsilon \psi_i = D_i \epsilon - \frac{1}{8} \gamma_i (\not{\partial} \phi) \epsilon + \frac{1}{96} (\gamma_i{}^{klm} - 9 \delta_i^k \gamma^{lm}) \hat{H}_{klm} \epsilon ,$$

$$\delta_\epsilon \lambda = -\frac{1}{4} (\not{\partial} \phi) \epsilon + \frac{1}{48} \gamma^{ijk} \hat{H}_{ijk} \epsilon ,$$

$$\delta_\epsilon b_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} (\bar{\epsilon} \gamma_i \psi_j - \bar{\epsilon} \gamma_j \psi_i) - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\epsilon} \gamma_{ij} \lambda + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\epsilon} \gamma_{[i} \chi^\alpha A_{j]\alpha} .$$

## Type II Double Field Theory

NS-NS: dilaton  $d$ , lift of  $\mathcal{H} \in O(10, 10)$  to  $\mathbb{S} \in Spin(10, 10)$

RR: Majorana-Weyl spinor  $\chi$  of  $O(10, 10)$

Action:

$$S = \int dx d\tilde{x} \left( e^{-2d} \mathcal{R} + \frac{1}{4} (\not{\partial}\chi)^\dagger \mathbb{S} \not{\partial}\chi \right)$$

Dirac operator in terms of raising and lowering operators  $\psi_i, \tilde{\psi}^i$  of  $O(10, 10)$

$$\not{\partial} \equiv \psi^i \partial_i + \tilde{\psi}_i \tilde{\partial}^i \quad \Rightarrow \quad \not{\partial}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \eta^{MN} \partial_M \partial_N = 0$$

(Self-)duality constraint ( $C$ : charge conjugation matrix)

$$\not{\partial}\chi = -\mathcal{K} \not{\partial}\chi \quad \mathcal{K} \equiv C^{-1} \mathbb{S}$$

Reduces to democratic type IIA (or IIB) supergravity for  $\tilde{\partial}^i = 0$ ,  
where conventional RR p-forms  $C^{(p)}$  encoded as

$$\chi = \sum_p \frac{1}{p!} C_{i_1 \dots i_p} \psi^{i_1} \dots \psi^{i_p} |0\rangle$$

# Unification of IIA/IIB and relation to generalized geometry

Type II DFT encodes both IIA and IIB for different solutions of constraint

$$\tilde{\partial}^i = 0, \partial_i \neq 0 : \quad \xi_M = (\xi^i, \tilde{\xi}_i) \cong \xi + \tilde{\xi} \in T(M) \oplus T^*(M)$$

$$S_{\text{DFT II}} \Big|_{\tilde{\partial}=0} = S_{\text{type IIA}}$$

For different solution T-dual theory:

$$\tilde{\partial}^i \neq 0, \partial_i = 0 : \quad \xi_M \cong \xi + \tilde{\xi} \in T^*(M) \oplus T(M)$$

$$S_{\text{DFT II}} \Big|_{\partial=0} = S_{\text{type IIA}^*}$$

timelike T-duality: type IIA\* and IIB\* [Hull, hep-th/9806146]

intermediate frames:  $S_{\text{DFT II}} \Big| = S_{\text{type IIB}}$

More intriguing in ExFT; different bundles for different solutions

$$E_{6(6)} \supset SL(6) \times SL(2) : \quad T(M) \oplus \Lambda^2 T^*(M) \oplus \dots$$

Advantage of DFT/EFT: universal (covariant) formulation for all theories

# Massive Type IIA: Romans theory

Massive type IIA obtained for

$$C^{(1)}(x, \tilde{x}) = C_i(x)dx^i + m\tilde{x}_1 dx^1$$

Ansatz consistent because gauge transformations can be re-written

$$\delta_\xi \chi = \xi \not{\partial} \chi$$

so that linear  $\tilde{x}$  dependence drops out.

General field strengths

$$F = \not{\partial} \chi = (\psi^i \partial_i + \psi_i \tilde{\partial}^i) \chi = F_{m=0} + \psi_i \tilde{\partial}^i (m\tilde{x}_1) \psi^1 |0\rangle$$

lead to non-trivial 0-form field strength

$$F^{(0)} = m$$

$\Rightarrow$  ‘(-1)-form’  $\equiv$  1-form depending on  $\tilde{x}$  [Lavrinenko, Lu, Pope, Stelle (1999)]

$\Rightarrow$  Type II DFT reduces to (democratic formulation of) massive Type IIA



## Generalized Scherk-Schwarz compactification

Scherk-Schwarz Reduction of DFT in generalized metric form.

[Aldazabal, Baron, Marques & Nunez; Geissbuhler (2011)]

$$\mathcal{H}_{MN}(x, \mathbb{Y}) = U^A{}_M(\mathbb{Y}) \mathcal{H}_{AB}(x) U^B{}_N(\mathbb{Y}), \quad U \in O(D, D)$$

Flux components in lower-dimensional (4D) theory directly given by

$$F_{ABC} = 3\eta_D[A(U^{-1})^M{}_B(U^{-1})^N{}_C] \partial_M U^D{}_N$$

[see also: Andriot, O.H., Larfors, Lüst, Patalong & Blumenhagen, Deser, Plauschinn, Rennecke]

yields gauged supergravities with ‘non-geometric fluxes’

however, not all gaugings obtained because of strong constraint

⇒ relaxation of strong constraint? [Geissbuhler, Marques, Nunez & Penas (2013)]

Intriguing first steps, but complete picture still elusive

# Summary

## Most conservatively:

- Strong constraint solved by

$$\partial_M = \begin{cases} \partial_i & \text{if } M = i \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} .$$

but technically,  $\partial_i$ ,  $g$ ,  $b$  and  $\phi$  never used!

- (very economic!) *reformulation* of low-energy action for string theory  
⇒ geometry can be thought of as ‘generalized geometry’ [Hitchin, Gualtieri]  
(to the extent it had been developed)

## Concrete reasons for more:

- Full closed string field theory *is* a truly doubled field theory
- mild relaxations of strong constraint possible  
→ massive IIA & gauged supergravity
- potentially: geometry of  $\alpha'$  corrections!

# String Theory and Double Field Theory II: $\alpha'$ Corrections

Olaf Hohm

Corfu, September 2014

## Motivation:

- $\alpha'$  corrections encode truly stringy effects beyond supergravity
- usually written with higher powers of  $R_{mnpq}$  and  $H = db$ ,  
e.g. determined by string S-matrix calculations
- *very messy*. [Metsaev & Tseytlin (1987), Gross & Sloan (1987), Hull & Townsend (1987)]  
Is there some principle? T-duality/U-duality invariance?  
[A. Sen (1991), K. Meissner (1996)]
- Use double field theory to make T-duality manifest  
 $\Rightarrow$  novel (duality-covariant) gauge principle

## T-duality of $\alpha'$ corrections

Obstacle to writing  $(\text{Riem})^2$  in  $O(d, d)$  covariant form:

Is there  $O(d, d)$  scalar  $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{H}, d)$  s.t.  $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{H}, d) \Big|_{\tilde{\partial}=0, b_{ij}=0} = R_{ijkl} R^{ijkl}$  ?

No!  $\rightarrow$  problematic tensor structure in  $(\text{Riem})^2$  [O. H., B. Zwiebach (2012)]

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \int dx \sqrt{g} \left( R + \frac{1}{4} \alpha' R_{ijkl} R^{ijkl} \right) = \int dx \sqrt{g} R + \frac{1}{4} \alpha' \int dx \partial^k h^{lp} \partial^i h_{pq} \partial_i \partial_k h^q_l + \dots \\ &= \int dx \sqrt{g} R - \frac{1}{8} \alpha' \int dx \square h_{pq} \partial^k h^{lp} \partial_k h_l^q + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Using  $R_{ij} \sim \square h_{ij} + \dots$  problematic structure can be redefined away:

$$h'_{ij} = h_{ij} - \frac{1}{4} \alpha' \partial_k h_i^p \partial^k h_{jp} + \dots$$

Note: cannot be lifted to covariant redefinition  $\delta g_{ij} \sim R_{ij} + \dots$

but compatible with Meissner (1997)!  $\rightarrow \alpha'$  deformed diffeomorphisms!

## Doubled $\alpha'$ Geometry

Geometrical structures for generalized vector  $\Xi \equiv (\xi^M)$  in  $\alpha' = 0$  DFT:

$$\langle \Xi_1 | \Xi_2 \rangle = \xi_1^M \xi_2^N \eta_{MN}, \quad [\Xi_1, \Xi_2]_C^M = \xi_{[1}^N \partial_N \xi_2^M] - \frac{1}{2} \xi_1^K \overleftrightarrow{\partial}^M \xi_{2K}$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{\Xi} V^M = \xi^P \partial_P V^M + (\partial^M \xi_P - \partial_P \xi^M) V^P$$

All receive non-trivial higher-derivative  $\alpha'$  corrections:

$$\langle \Xi_1 | \Xi_2 \rangle = \xi_1^M \xi_2^N \eta_{MN} - (\partial_N \xi_1^M)(\partial_M \xi_2^N)$$

$$[\Xi_1, \Xi_2]_C^M = \xi_{[1}^N \partial_N \xi_2^M] - \frac{1}{2} \xi_1^K \overleftrightarrow{\partial}^M \xi_{2K} + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_K \xi_1^L) \overleftrightarrow{\partial}^M (\partial_L \xi_2^K)$$

$$\mathbf{L}_{\Xi} V^M = \xi^P \partial_P V^M + (\partial^M \xi_P - \partial_P \xi^M) V^P - (\partial^M \partial_K \xi^L) \partial_L V^K$$

Closure and gauge invariance exact! ( $\mathbf{L}_{\Xi} \langle V, W \rangle = \xi^N \partial_N \langle V, W \rangle$ , etc.)

Not removable by  $O(D, D)$  covariant redefinitions

Non-vanishing for  $\tilde{x} = 0 \Rightarrow$  deformation of Courant bracket, etc.

$\alpha' = 0$  DFT relations for  $\mathcal{H} \in O(D, D)$

$$(\mathcal{H}^2)_{MN} \equiv \mathcal{H}_{MK}\mathcal{H}^K{}_N = \eta_{MN} \quad \text{Tr } \mathcal{H} \equiv \eta^{MN}\mathcal{H}_{MN} = 0$$

get  $\alpha'$  deformed  $\Rightarrow$  dynamical equations!

$$(\mathcal{M} \star \mathcal{M})_{MN} \equiv 2(\mathcal{M}^2)_{MN} - \frac{1}{2}\partial_M\mathcal{M}^{PQ}\partial_N\mathcal{M}_{PQ} + \dots = 2\eta_{MN}$$

$$\text{tr } \mathcal{M} \equiv \eta^{MN}\mathcal{M}_{MN} - 3\partial_M\partial_N\mathcal{M}^{MN} + \dots = 0$$

In derivative expansion:

$$\mathcal{O}(\alpha'^0) : \quad \mathcal{M}_{MN} = \mathcal{H}_{MN}, \quad \mathcal{H}^2 = \eta$$

$$\mathcal{O}(\alpha'^1) : \quad \mathcal{M}_{MN} = \mathcal{H}_{MN} + \frac{1}{2}\{\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{V}^{(2)}\}_{MN}$$

Then

$$0 = \text{tr } \mathcal{M} = 3\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{H}, \phi) \quad [\text{dilaton eq.}] \quad \mathcal{V}^{(2)}\mathcal{H} - \mathcal{H}\mathcal{V}^{(2)} = 0 \quad [\text{gravity eq.}]$$

plus infinite tower of higher-derivative  $\alpha'$  corrections!

## CFT Derivation and Action

doubled world-sheet scalars  $X^M(z)$ ,  $M = 1, \dots, 2D$ ,

chirality condition:  $P^M = X'^M \equiv Z^M \quad [ ' = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} ]$

postulate the (two) Virasoro generators

$$\mathcal{S} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(Z^2 - \phi'') \quad \mathcal{T} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{M}^{MN}Z_MZ_N - \frac{1}{2}(\widehat{\mathcal{M}}^M Z_M)'$$

OPE defines (various) 'quantum products'. OPE yields Virasoro<sup>2</sup>

$$\mathcal{S}(z_1)\mathcal{S}(z_2) = \frac{D}{z_{12}^4} + \frac{2\mathcal{S}(z_2)}{z_{12}^2} + \frac{\mathcal{S}'(z_2)}{z_{12}} + \text{finite}, \quad \text{same for } \mathcal{T}$$

$$\mathcal{S}(z_1)\mathcal{T}(z_2) = \frac{2\mathcal{T}(z_2)}{z_{12}^2} + \frac{\mathcal{T}'(z_2)}{z_{12}} + \text{finite}$$

*provided* dilaton and gravity equations hold!

Gauge invariant action

$$\mathcal{S} = \int e^\phi (\langle \mathcal{T} | \mathcal{S} \rangle - \frac{1}{6} \langle \mathcal{T} | \mathcal{T} \star \mathcal{T} \rangle) = \int e^\phi \text{Tr}(\mathcal{M} - \frac{1}{3}\mathcal{M}^3 + \dots)$$



## Interpretation on physical subspace?

(Perturbative) analysis shows that  $b$ -field transforms as

$$\delta_{\xi+\tilde{\xi}} b = d\tilde{\xi} + \mathcal{L}_{\xi} b + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(d(\partial\xi) \wedge \Gamma)$$

with (Christoffel) connection 1-form  $(\Gamma)^k_l \equiv \Gamma^k_{il} dx^i$

deformed gauge invariant 3-form curvature

$$\hat{H}(b, \Gamma) = db + \frac{1}{2} \Omega(\Gamma), \quad \Omega(\Gamma) = \text{tr}(\Gamma \wedge d\Gamma + \frac{2}{3} \Gamma \wedge \Gamma \wedge \Gamma)$$

⇒ Green-Schwarz anomaly cancellation mechanism of heterotic string  
but with deformed diffeomorphisms rather than deformed Lorentz

## Deformation of Courant bracket

Deformed gauge transformations close according to bracket

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ \xi_1 + \tilde{\xi}_1, \xi_2 + \tilde{\xi}_2 \right]' &= \left[ \xi_1, \xi_2 \right] + \mathcal{L}_{\xi_1} \tilde{\xi}_2 - \mathcal{L}_{\xi_2} \tilde{\xi}_1 - \frac{1}{2} d(i_{\xi_1} \tilde{\xi}_2 - i_{\xi_2} \tilde{\xi}_1) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} (\tilde{\varphi}(\xi_1, \xi_2) - \tilde{\varphi}(\xi_2, \xi_1)) \end{aligned}$$

with the map  $\tilde{\varphi}$  that produces a ‘one-form’ from 2 vectors

$$\tilde{\varphi}(V, W) \equiv \text{tr}(d(\partial V) \partial W) \equiv \partial_i \partial_k V^l \partial_l W^k dx^i$$

*not* genuine 1-form  $\Rightarrow$  anomalous transformation under diffeomorphisms

Bracket covariant under *deformed* diffeomorphisms

$$\delta_{\xi + \tilde{\xi}} \tilde{V} \equiv \mathcal{L}_{\xi} \tilde{V} - i_V d\tilde{\xi} - \tilde{\varphi}(\xi, V)$$

# $\alpha'$ Corrections for Bosonic Strings and Closed SFT

$\alpha'$  corrections for bosonic string (Riemann-sq.) ? ( $\mathbb{Z}_2$  invariant  $b \rightarrow -b$ )

Closed bosonic SFT  $\Rightarrow$  deformed gauge algebra for *cubic* theory

$$[\xi_1, \xi_2]_+^M = [\xi_1, \xi_2]_C^M + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\mathcal{H}}^{KL} K_{[1K}{}^P \partial^M K_{2]LP}$$

with  $K_{MN} = 2\partial_{[M}\xi_{N]}$  and background generalized metric  $\bar{\mathcal{H}}_{MN}$

$\Rightarrow$   $\alpha'$ -deformed diffeomorphisms as implied by (perturbative) redefinition

$$h'_{ij} = h_{ij} - \frac{1}{4} \alpha' \partial_k h_i{}^p \partial^k h_{jp} + \dots,$$

agrees with earlier results on duality-invariant Riemann-sq.

[Meissner (1996), Hohm & Zwiebach (2011)]

More general  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  even/odd deformations (with parameters  $\gamma^\pm$ )

$$[\xi_1, \xi_2]_{\alpha'}^M = [\xi_1, \xi_2]_C^M + \frac{1}{2} (\gamma^+ \bar{\mathcal{H}}^{KL} - \gamma^- \eta^{KL}) K_{[1K}{}^P \partial^M K_{2]LP}$$

## Cubic Action

$$\begin{aligned}
S &= S^{(2,2)} + S^{(3,2)} \\
&+ \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{R}_{\underline{M} \underline{N} \bar{K} \bar{L}} \mathcal{R}_{\underline{M} \underline{N} \bar{K} \bar{L}} + \frac{1}{4} \phi \mathcal{R}_{\underline{M} \underline{N} \bar{K} \bar{L}} \mathcal{R}_{\underline{M} \underline{N} \bar{K} \bar{L}} \\
&- \frac{1}{8} \left( \Gamma_{\underline{P} \bar{M} \bar{N}} \Gamma_{\bar{M} \underline{K} \underline{L}} \partial_{\underline{P}} \Gamma_{\bar{N} \underline{K} \underline{L}} - \Gamma_{\bar{P} \underline{M} \underline{N}} \Gamma_{\underline{M} \bar{K} \bar{L}} \partial_{\bar{P}} \Gamma_{\underline{N} \bar{K} \bar{L}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \Gamma_{\bar{M} \underline{K} \underline{L}} \Gamma_{\bar{N} \underline{K} \underline{L}} \partial_{\bar{M}} \Gamma_{\bar{N}} + \Gamma_{\underline{M} \bar{K} \bar{L}} \Gamma_{\underline{N} \bar{K} \bar{L}} \partial_{\underline{M}} \Gamma_{\underline{N}} \right) \\
&- \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{R}_{\underline{M} \underline{N} \bar{K} \bar{L}} \Gamma_{\bar{K} \underline{M} \underline{P}} \Gamma_{\bar{L} \underline{N} \underline{P}} + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{R}_{\underline{K} \underline{L} \bar{M} \bar{N}} \Gamma_{\underline{K} \bar{M} \bar{P}} \Gamma_{\underline{L} \bar{N} \bar{P}} \\
&- \frac{1}{2} m_{\underline{M} \bar{N}} \mathcal{R}_{\underline{M} \underline{K} \bar{P} \bar{Q}} \partial^{\bar{N}} \Gamma_{\underline{K} \bar{P} \bar{Q}} + \frac{1}{2} m_{\underline{M} \bar{N}} \mathcal{R}_{\underline{P} \underline{Q} \bar{N} \bar{K}} \partial^{\underline{M}} \Gamma_{\bar{K} \underline{P} \underline{Q}} \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{R}_{\underline{M} \underline{N} \bar{K} \bar{L}} \partial^{\underline{P}} m_{\underline{M} \bar{K}} \partial_{\underline{P}} m_{\underline{N} \bar{L}} .
\end{aligned}$$

## Alternative heterotic construction?

$\mathcal{O}(\alpha')$  corrections to heterotic string theory:

define torsionful spin connection [Bergshoeff & de Roo (1989)]

$$\omega_{\mu ab}^{(\pm)}(e, b) \equiv \omega_{\mu ab}(e) \pm \frac{1}{2}H_{\mu ab}$$

then

$$(\omega_{\mu ab}^{(-)}, \psi_{ab}), \quad \psi_{ab} \equiv D_a^+ \psi_b - D_b^+ \psi_a$$

transforms as  $SO(1, 9)$  vector multiplet under SUSY!

→ super-Yang-Mills action gives Riemann-squared & LCS modifications

Use heterotic DFT for  $O(10, 10 + n)$ ,  $n = \dim(SO(1, 9))$ ,  
identify gauge fields with  $\omega^{(-)}$  [Bedoya, Marques, Nunez (2014)]

drawback: compatibility with  $O(d, d)$  not manifest

## Summary & Outlook

- DFT provides strikingly economic reformulation of supergravity
- Beyond supergravity (non-zero  $\alpha'$ ): duality covariance requires novel field variables with *non-standard* diffeomorphisms
- However, usual diffeomorphism covariance replaced by duality-covariant gauge principle
- so far only partial results:
  - background-independent extension for bosonic strings?
  - Field-dependent gauge algebra? Higher order in  $\alpha'$ ?
  - Type II Strings and M-theory extensions?
- Extension to 'Exceptional Field Theory'
  - with exceptional duality groups  $E_{6(6)}$ ,  $E_{7(7)}$ ,  $E_{8(8)}$ ,  $\dots$  ?