1 September 2013
Corfu Summer Institute and Workshop

Dark Matter Indirect Detection:

some recent developments and perspectives

Marco Cirelli

(CNRS IPhT Saclay)

1 September 2013
Corfu Summer Institute and Workshop

Dark Matter Indirect Detection:

some recent developments and perspectives

Marco Cirelli

(CNRS IPhT Saclay)

Summary

Summary

Data hangover

Summary

Data hangover

Summary

Data hangover

You know when you have a lot of fun all night long and everything looks great, you are excited with all those inputs and you are convinced you'll have it all...

Summary

Data hangover

You know when you have a lot of fun all night long and everything looks great, you are excited with all those inputs and you are convinced you'll have it all...
but then things start to blur and some fog gets into the way and doing things suddenly seems so complicated...

Summary

Data hangover

You know when you have a lot of fun all night long and everything looks great, you are excited with all those inputs and you are convinced you'll have it all...
but then things start to blur and some fog gets into the way and doing things suddenly seems so complicated...
and then you wake up with almost nothing except a headache and a much better knowledge of your limits.

Charged CRs



1. the PAMELA/Fermi/HESS ‘excesses’

Indirect Detection: basics

$\bar{p}$ and e^+ from DM annihilations in halo



Indirect Detection: basics

$\bar{p}$ and e^+ from DM annihilations in halo



Indirect Detection: basics

$\bar{p}$ and e^+ from DM annihilations in halo



Indirect Detection: basics

$\bar{p}$ and e^+ from DM annihilations in halo



Indirect Detection: basics

$\bar{p}$ and e^+ from DM annihilations in halo



Indirect Detection: basics

$\bar{p}$ and e^+ from DM annihilations in halo



Indirect Detection: basics

$\bar{p}$ and e^+ from DM annihilations in halo



Salati, Chardonnay, Barrau, Donato, Taillet, Fornengo, Maurin, Brun... '90s, '00s

spectrum

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} - K(E)\cdot\nabla^2 f - \frac{\partial}{\partial E}\left(b(E) f\right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}(V_c f) = Q_{\rm inj} - 2h\delta(z)\Gamma_{\rm spall} f$$

diffusion | energy loss | convective wind | source | spallations

[uncert]

Indirect Detection: basics

$\bar{p}$ and e^+ from DM annihilations in halo



What sets the overall expected flux?

$$\text{flux} \propto n^2 \, \sigma_{\text{annihilation}}$$

Indirect Detection: basics

$\bar{p}$ and e^+ from DM annihilations in halo



What sets the overall expected flux?

$$\text{flux} \propto \underbrace{n^2}_{\text{astro\& cosmo}} \underbrace{\sigma_{\text{annihilation}}}_{\text{particle}}$$

Indirect Detection: basics

$\bar{p}$ and e^+ from DM annihilations in halo



What sets the overall expected flux?

$$\text{flux} \propto \underbrace{n^2}_{\text{astro\&cosmo}} \underbrace{\sigma_{\text{annihilation}}}_{\text{particle}}$$

reference cross section:

$$\sigma v = 3 \cdot 10^{-26} \text{cm}^3/\text{sec}$$

DM halo profiles

From N-body numerical simulations:

$$\text{NFW}: \quad \rho_{\rm NFW}(r) = \rho_s \frac{r_s}{r}\left(1+\frac{r}{r_s}\right)^{-2}$$

$$\text{Einasto}: \quad \rho_{\rm Ein}(r) = \rho_s \exp\left\{-\frac{2}{\alpha}\left[\left(\frac{r}{r_s}\right)^{\alpha}-1\right]\right\}$$

$$\text{Isothermal}: \quad \rho_{\rm Iso}(r) = \frac{\rho_s}{1+(r/r_s)^2}$$

$$\text{Burkert}: \quad \rho_{\rm Bur}(r) = \frac{\rho_s}{(1+r/r_s)(1+(r/r_s)^2)}$$

$$\text{Moore}: \quad \rho_{\rm Moo}(r) = \rho_s \left(\frac{r_s}{r}\right)^{1.16}\left(1+\frac{r}{r_s}\right)^{-1.84}$$

DM halo	α	r_s [kpc]	ρ_s [GeV/cm^3]
NFW	–	24.42	0.184
Einasto	0.17	28.44	0.033
EinastoB	0.11	35.24	0.021
Isothermal	–	4.38	1.387
Burkert	–	12.67	0.712
Moore	–	30.28	0.105

At small r: $\rho(r) \propto 1/r^{\gamma}$

6 profiles:

cuspy: NFW, Moore

mild: Einasto

smooth: isothermal, Burkert

EinastoB = steepened Einasto

(effect of baryons?)



Positrons & Electrons

positron fraction



antiprotons



electrons + positrons



Positrons & Electrons

Positrons from PAMELA:

- steep e^+ excess above 10 GeV!
- very large flux!

positron fraction: $\dfrac{e^+}{e^+ + e^-}$

(9430 e^+ initially collected)

(errors statistical only in this plot, that's why larger at high energy)



Adriani et al., Nature 458 (2009) 607; ApP 34 (2010) 1

[backgnd]

Positrons & Electrons

Positrons from PAMELA and FERMI and AMS-02:

- steep e^+ excess above 10 GeV!
- very large flux!

positron fraction: $\frac{e^+}{e^+ + e^-}$



Adriani et al., Nature 458 (2009) 607; ApP 34 (2010) 1

Fermi coll., 1109.0521 **AMS-02 coll., PRL 110, 141102 (2013)**

Positrons & Electrons

positron fraction



antiprotons



electrons + positrons



Are these signals of Dark Matter?

Positrons & Electrons

positron fraction



antiprotons



electrons + positrons



Are these signals of Dark Matter?

YES: few TeV, leptophilic DM
with huge $\langle \sigma v \rangle \approx 10^{-23}\,\mathrm{cm}^3/\mathrm{sec}$

Positrons & Electrons

positron fraction



antiprotons



electrons + positrons



Are these signals of Dark Matter?

YES: few TeV, leptophilic DM
with huge $\langle \sigma v \rangle \approx 10^{-23}\,\mathrm{cm}^3/\mathrm{sec}$

NO: a formidable ‘background’ for future searches

PS: post AMS 2013

positron fraction



antiprotons



electrons + positrons



Are these signals of Dark Matter?

YES: one TeV, leptophilic DM
with huge $\langle \sigma v \rangle \approx 10^{-23}\,\mathrm{cm}^3/\mathrm{sec}$
'tension' between positron frac and $e^+ + e^-$

Addendum (2013) to Cirelli, Kadastik, Raidal, Strumia 0809.2409 (2008)

PS: post AMS 2013









Addendum (2013) to Cirelli, Kadastik, Raidal, Strumia 0809.2409 (2008)

Theorist's reaction

Theorist's reaction

1. the 'PAMELA frenzy'

Challenges for the 'conventional' DM candidates

Needs:	SuSy DM	KK DM
- TeV or multi-TeV masses	difficult	ok
- no hadronic channels	difficult	difficult
- very large flux	no	ok

for any Majorana DM,
s-wave annihilation cross section

$$\sigma_{\rm ann}(\mathrm{DM}\,\mathrm{D\bar{M}} \to f\bar{f}) \propto \left(\frac{m_f}{M_{\rm DM}}\right)^2$$

Enhancement

How to reconcile $\sigma = 3 \cdot 10^{-26} \mathrm{cm}^3/\mathrm{sec}$ with $\sigma \simeq 10^{-23} \mathrm{cm}^3/\mathrm{sec}$?

- DM is produced non-thermally: the annihilation cross section today is unrelated to the production process

	at freeze-out	***today***
- astrophysical boost	no clumps	clumps
- resonance effect	off-resonance	on-resonance
- Sommerfeld effect	$v/c \simeq 0.1$	$v/c \simeq 10^{-3}$
+ (Wimponium)		

Sommerfeld Enhancement

NP QM effect that can enhance the annihilation cross section by orders of magnitude in the regime of small velocity and relatively long range force.

Sommerfeld Enhancement

NP QM effect that can enhance the annihilation cross section by orders of magnitude in the regime of small velocity and relatively long range force.

In terms of Feynman diagrams:

Hisano et al. hep-ph/0412403

First order cross section:



Adding a rung to the ladder: $\times \left(\frac{\alpha M}{m_W}\right)$



For $\alpha M/m_V \gtrsim 1$ the perturbative expansion breaks down,
need to resum all orders
i.e.: keep the full interaction potential.

Model building

- Minimal extensions of the SM:
  heavy WIMPS (Minimal DM, Inert Doublet)

Cirelli, Strumia et al. 2005-2009 Tytgat et al. 0901.2556

- More drastic extensions:

New models with a rich Dark sector

M.Pospelov and A.Ritz, 0810.1502: Secluded DM - A.Nelson and C.Spitzer, 0810.5167: Slightly Non-Minimal DM - Y.Nomura and J.Thaler, 0810.5397: DM through the Axion Portal - R.Harnik and G.Kribs, 0810.5557: Dirac DM - D.Feldman, Z.Liu, P.Nath, 0810.5762: Hidden Sector - T.Hambye, 0811.0172: Hidden Vector - K.Ishiwata, S.Matsumoto, T.Moroi, 0811.0250: Superparticle DM - Y.Bai and Z.Han, 0811.0387: sUED DM - P.Fox, E.Poppitz, 0811.0399: Leptophilic DM - C.Chen, F.Takahashi, T.T.Yanagida, 0811.0477: Hidden-Gauge-Boson DM - E.Ponton, L.Randall, 0811.1029: Singlet DM - S.Baek, P.Ko, 0811.1646: U(1) Lmu-Ltau DM - I.Cholis, G.Dobler, D.Finkbeiner, L.Goodenough, N.Weiner, 0811.3641: 700+ GeV WIMP - K.Zurek, 0811.4429: Multicomponent DM - M.Ibe, H.Murayama, T.T.Yanagida, 0812.0072: Breit-Wigner enhancement of DM annihilation - E.Chun, J.-C.Park, 0812.0308: sub-GeV hidden U(1) in GMSB - M.Lattanzi, J.Silk, 0812.0360: Sommerfeld enhancement in cold substructures - M.Pospelov, M.Trott, 0812.0432: super-WIMPs decays DM - Zhang, Bi, Liu, Liu, Yin, Yuan, Zhu, 0812.0522: Discrimination with SR and IC - Liu, Yin, Zhu, 0812.0964: DMnu from GC - M.Pohl, 0812.1174: electrons from DM - J.Hisano, M.Kawasaki, K.Kohri, K.Nakayama, 0812.0219: DMnu from GC - R.Allahverdi, B.Dutta, K.Richardson-McDaniel, Y.Santoso, 0812.2196: SuSy B-L DM - S.Hamaguchi, K.Shirai, T.T.Yanagida, 0812.2374: Hidden-Fermion DM decays - D.Hooper, A.Stebbins, K.Zurek, 0812.3202: Nearby DM clump - C.Delaunay, P.Fox, G.Perez, 0812.3331: DMnu from Earth - Park, Shu, 0901.0720: Split-UED DM - .Gogoladze, R.Khalid, Q.Shafi, H.Yuksel, 0901.0923: cMSSM DM with additions - Q.H.Cao, E.Ma, G.Shaughnessy, 0901.1334: Dark Matter: the leptonic connection - E.Nezri, M.Tytgat, G.Vertongen, 0901.2556: Inert Doublet DM - J.Mardon, Y.Nomura, D.Stolarski, J.Thaler, 0901.2926: Cascade annihilations (light non-abelian new bosons) - P.Meade, M.Papucci, T.Volansky, 0901.2925: DM sees the light - D.Phalen, A.Pierce, N.Weiner, 0901.3165: New Heavy Lepton - T.Banks, J.-F.Fortin, 0901.3578: Pyrma baryons - K.Bae, J.-H. Huh, J.Kim, B.Kyae, R.Viollier, 0812.3511: electrophilic axion from flipped-SU(5) with extra spontaneously broken symmetries and a two component DM with Z_2 parity - ...

- Decaying DM

Ibarra et al., 2007-2009 Nardi, Sannino, Strumia 0811.4153

A.Arvanitaki, S.Dimopoulos, S.Dubovsky, P.Graham, R.Harnik, S.Rajendran, 0812.2075

Decaying DM

DM need not be absolutely stable,
just $\tau_{\rm DM} \gtrsim \tau_{\rm universe} \simeq 4.3\ 10^{17}{\rm sec}$.

The current CR anomalies can be due to decay with:

$$\tau_{\rm decay} \approx 10^{26}{\rm sec}$$

Motivations from theory?

- dim 6 suppressed operator in GUT Arvanitaki, Dimopoulos et al., 2008+09

$$\tau_{\rm DM} \simeq 3 \cdot 10^{27}{\rm sec} \left(\frac{1\ {\rm TeV}}{M_{\rm DM}}\right)^5 \left(\frac{M_{\rm GUT}}{2 \cdot 10^{16}\,{\rm GeV}}\right)^4$$

- or in TechniColor Nardi, Sannino, Strumia 2008
- gravitino in SuSy with broken R-parity...

Indirect Detection

$\bar{p}$ and e^+ from DM decay in halo



What sets the overall expected flux?

$$\text{flux} \propto n\ \Gamma_{\text{decay}}$$

$$\Gamma^{-1}_{\text{decay}} = \tau_{\text{decay}} \approx 10^{26}\text{sec}$$

Decaying DM

Which DM spectra can fit the data?

E.g. a fermionic $\mathrm{DM} \to \mu^+\mu^-\nu$ with $M_{\mathrm{DM}} = 3.5\,\mathrm{TeV}$:



E.g. a scalar $\mathrm{DM} \to \mu^+\mu^-$ with $M_{\mathrm{DM}} = 2.5\,\mathrm{TeV}$:



Ibarra, Tran, Weniger 2009

Decaying DM

But, again: gamma ray constraints

(although: no radio, neutrino constraints)







Cirelli, Moulin, Panci, Serpico, Viana 1205.5283

The PAMELA and FERMI regions are in conflict with these gamma constraints.

Model building

- Minimal extensions of the SM:
  heavy WIMPS (Minimal DM, Inert Doublet)

Cirelli, Strumia et al. 2005-2009 Tytgat et al. 0901.2556

- More drastic extensions:

New models with a rich Dark sector

M.Pospelov and A.Ritz, 0810.1502: Secluded DM - A.Nelson and C.Spitzer, 0810.5167: Slightly Non-Minimal DM - Y.Nomura and J.Thaler, 0810.5397: DM through the Axion Portal - R.Harnik and G.Kribs, 0810.5557: Dirac DM - D.Feldman, Z.Liu, P.Nath, 0810.5762: Hidden Sector - T.Hambye, 0811.0172: Hidden Vector - K.Ishiwata, S.Matsumoto, T.Moroi, 0811.0250: Superparticle DM - Y.Bai and Z.Han, 0811.0387: sUED DM - P.Fox, E.Poppitz, 0811.0399: Leptophilic DM - C.Chen, F.Takahashi, T.T.Yanagida, 0811.0477: Hidden-Gauge-Boson DM - E.Ponton, L.Randall, 0811.1029: Singlet DM - S.Baek, P.Ko, 0811.1646: U(1) Lmu-Ltau DM - I.Cholis, G.Dobler, D.Finkbeiner, L.Goodenough, N.Weiner, 0811.3641: 700+ GeV WIMP - K.Zurek, 0811.4429: Multicomponent DM - M.Ibe, H.Murayama, T.T.Yanagida, 0812.0072: Breit-Wigner enhancement of DM annihilation - E.Chun, J.-C.Park, 0812.0308: sub-GeV hidden U(1) in GMSB - M.Lattanzi, J.Silk, 0812.0360: Sommerfeld enhancement in cold substructures - M.Pospelov, M.Trott, 0812.0432: super-WIMPs decays DM - Zhang, Bi, Liu, Liu, Yin, Yuan, Zhu, 0812.0522: Discrimination with SR and IC - Liu, Yin, Zhu, 0812.0964: DMnu from GC - M.Pohl, 0812.1174: electrons from DM - J.Hisano, M.Kawasaki, K.Kohri, K.Nakayama, 0812.0219: DMnu from GC - R.Allahverdi, B.Dutta, K.Richardson-McDaniel, Y.Santoso, 0812.2196: SuSy B-L DM - S.Hamaguchi, K.Shirai, T.T.Yanagida, 0812.2374: Hidden-Fermion DM decays - D.Hooper, A.Stebbins, K.Zurek, 0812.3202: Nearby DM clump - C.Delaunay, P.Fox, G.Perez, 0812.3331: DMnu from Earth - Park, Shu, 0901.0720: Split-UED DM - .Gogoladze, R.Khalid, Q.Shafi, H.Yuksel, 0901.0923: cMSSM DM with additions - Q.H.Cao, E.Ma, G.Shaughnessy, 0901.1334: Dark Matter: the leptonic connection - E.Nezri, M.Tytgat, G.Vertongen, 0901.2556: Inert Doublet DM - J.Mardon, Y.Nomura, D.Stolarski, J.Thaler, 0901.2926: Cascade annihilations (light non-abelian new bosons) - P.Meade, M.Papucci, T.Volansky, 0901.2925: DM sees the light - D.Phalen, A.Pierce, N.Weiner, 0901.3165: New Heavy Lepton - T.Banks, J.-F.Fortin, 0901.3578: Pyrma baryons - K.Bae, J.-H. Huh, J.Kim, B.Kyae, R.Viollier, 0812.3511: electrophilic axion from flipped-SU(5) with extra spontaneously broken symmetries and a two component DM with Z_2 parity - ...

- Decaying DM

Ibarra et al., 2007-2009 Nardi, Sannino, Strumia 0811.4153

A.Arvanitaki, S.Dimopoulos, S.Dubovsky, P.Graham, R.Harnik, S.Rajendran, 0812.2075

Model building

- Minimal extensions of the SM:
  heavy WIMPS (Minimal DM, Inert Doublet)

Cirelli, Strumia et al. 2005-2009 Tytgat et al. 0901.2556

- More drastic extensions:
  New models with a rich Dark sector
  - TeV mass DM
  - new forces (that Sommerfeld enhance)
  - leptophilic because: - kinematics (light mediator)
    - DM carries lepton #

- Decaying DM

Ibarra et al., 2007-2009 Nardi, Sannino, Strumia 0811.4153
A.Arvanitaki, S.Dimopoulos, S.Dubovsky, P.Graham, R.Harnik, S.Rajendran, 0812.2075

The "Theory of DM"

Arkani-Hamed, Weiner, Finkbeiner et al. 0810.0713
0811.3641

Basic ingredients:

χ Dark Matter particle, decoupled from SM, mass $M \sim 700+$ GeV

ϕ new gauge boson ("Dark photon"),
couples only to DM, with typical gauge strength, $m_\phi \sim$ few GeV
- mediates Sommerfeld enhancement of $\chi\bar{\chi}$ annihilation:
$\alpha M/m_V \gtrsim 1$ fulfilled

- decays only into e^+e^- or $\mu^+\mu^-$
for kinematical limit



The "Theory of DM"

Arkani-Hamed, Weiner, Finkbeiner et al. 0810.0713
0811.3641

Basic ingredients:

χ Dark Matter particle, decoupled from SM, mass $M \sim 700+$ GeV

ϕ new gauge boson ("Dark photon"),
couples only to DM, with typical gauge strength, $m_\phi \sim$ few GeV
- mediates Sommerfeld enhancement of $\chi\bar{\chi}$ annihilation:
$\alpha M/m_V \gtrsim 1$ fulfilled

- decays only into e^+e^- or $\mu^+\mu^-$
for kinematical limit



Extras:

χ is a multiplet of states and ϕ is non-abelian gauge boson:
splitting $\delta M \sim 200$ KeV (via loops of non-abelian bosons)
- inelastic scattering explains DAMA
- eXcited state decay $\chi\chi \to \chi\chi^*$ explains INTEGRAL
$\hookrightarrow e^+e^-$

Gamma rays

2. the ‘135 GeV line’

[skip to next]

Basic picture: targets

γ from DM annihilations in galactic center





$$W^-, Z, b, \tau^-, t, h \ldots \rightsquigarrow e^{\mp},\ \overset{(-)}{p},\ \overset{(-)}{D}\ \ldots \text{ and } \gamma$$

$$W^+, Z, \bar{b}, \tau^+, \bar{t}, h \ldots \rightsquigarrow e^{\pm},\ \overset{(-)}{p},\ \overset{(-)}{D}\ \ldots \text{ and } \gamma$$

Prompt emission: line(s)



primary
channels

Prompt emission: line(s)



primary channels

$$E_\gamma = m_{\rm DM}$$



Prompt emission: line(s)



primary channels

$$E_\gamma = m_{\rm DM}$$

$$E_\gamma = m_{\rm DM}\left(1 - \frac{m_Z^2}{4\,m_{\rm DM}^2}\right)$$



Prompt emission: line(s)



primary channels

$$E_\gamma = m_{\rm DM}$$

$$E_\gamma = m_{\rm DM}\left(1 - \frac{m_Z^2}{4\,m_{\rm DM}^2}\right)$$



So what are the particle physics parameters?

1. Dark Matter mass

2. annihilation cross section $\sigma_{\rm ann}$

Fermi 130 GeV line

What if a signal of DM is *already* hidden in Fermi diffuse γ data?





Ch. Weniger, 1204.2797

4.6σ (3.3σ with LEE)

$\langle \sigma v \rangle_{\chi\chi\to\gamma\gamma} \simeq 1.3 \cdot 10^{-27} \mathrm{cm}^3/\mathrm{s}$ (large!)

Fermi 130 GeV line

What if a signal of DM is *already* hidden in Fermi diffuse γ data?





Ch. Weniger, 1204.2797

4.6σ (3.3σ with LEE)

$\langle\sigma v\rangle_{\chi\chi\to\gamma\gamma} \simeq 1.3\cdot 10^{-27}\mathrm{cm}^3/\mathrm{s}$ (large!)

Similar excesses found elsewhere (fluctuation?)



Boyarsky, Malyshev, Ruchayskyi, 1205.4700

The excess is only in the GC (actually, a bit off-set)



Su, Finkbeiner, 1206.1616

And there might be 2 lines: 111 GeV, 129 GeV



Rajaraman, Tait, Whiteson 1205.4723

Su, Finkbeiner 1206.1616

Su Finkbeiner 1207.7060

Fermi 130 GeV line

What if a signal of DM is *already* hidden in Fermi diffuse γ data?





Ch. Weniger, 1204.2797

4.6σ (3.3σ with LEE)

$\langle\sigma v\rangle_{\chi\chi\to\gamma\gamma} \simeq 1.3\cdot 10^{-27}\mathrm{cm}^3/\mathrm{s}$ (large!)

The Fermi coll's cold shower. An instrumental effect?







Theorist's reaction

2. the '130 GeV line' frenzy

It's 'easy' to make a line: any 2-body final state with at least one γ. But:

Challenges

DM is neutral: need '**something**' to couple to γ

Challenges

DM is <u>neutral</u>: need '**something**' to couple to γ

a loop



'Higgs in space!' 0912.0004
Kyae, Park 1205.4151
Cline 1205.2688

Chern-Simons



Dudas et al., 1205.1520

axions



Lee & Park[2] 1205.4675

magn dipole



Heo, Kim 1207.1341

...

$X \in$ SM
MSSM
dark sector...

Challenges

DM is neutral: need '*something*' to couple to γ



The '*something*' implies usually a suppression,

Challenges

DM is <u>neutral</u>: need '**something**' to couple to γ

The '**something**' implies usually a suppression,
but one needs a large $\gamma\gamma$ cross section ($o(10^{27}\,\mathrm{cm}^3/\mathrm{s})$)

Challenges

DM is neutral: need '*something*' to couple to γ

The '*something*' implies usually a suppression,
but one needs a large $\gamma\gamma$ cross section ($o(10^{27}\,\mathrm{cm}^3/\mathrm{s})$)

so the corresponding unsuppressed processes
are **too** large:

- may overshoot other observations
- too large annihilation in the EU

Buchmuller, Garny 1206.7056
Cohen et al. 1207.0800
Cholis, Tavakoli, Ullio 1207.1468
Huang et al. 1208.0267

Challenges

DM is neutral: need '**something**' to couple to γ

The '**something**' implies usually a suppression,
but one needs a large $\gamma\gamma$ cross section ($o(10^{27}\,cm^3/s)$)

so the corresponding unsuppressed processes
are **too** large:

- may overshoot other observations
- too large annihilation in the EU



Challenges

DM is neutral: need '**something**' to couple to γ



The '**something**' implies usually a suppression,
but one needs a large $\gamma\gamma$ cross section (o(10^{27} cm^3/s))

so the corresponding unsuppressed processes
are **too** large:

- may overshoot other observations
- too large annihilation in the EU



But solutions exist

Model building

not exhaustive!

Ex. 1: 'resonance, loop and forbidden channel'

(a) DM charged under U'(1)
(b) Z' is t_R-philic
(c) $m_{DM} \lesssim m_{top}$

Jackson, Servant, Shaughnessy, Tait, Taoso, 'Higgs in space', 0912.0004



➡ line(s)

with large rate
if on resonance (a)
(masses & couplings)





today:
kinematically forbidden (c)
little in other channels (b) (only via Z-Z' mixing)
➡ small continuum

Early Universe:
➡ relic abundance

However:
- anomalies, need to UV complete (b)

Model building

not exhaustive!

Ex. 2: 'resonance, tri-boson vertices, Chern-Simons'

(a) DM charged under U'(1)

(b) anomaly cancellation → tri-boson CS terms

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm CS} = \alpha\, \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\, Z'_\mu Z_\nu F^Y_{\rho\sigma}$$

Dudas, Mambrini, Pokorski, Romagnoni 2009-2012, 1205.1520

(c) $m_{Z'} < m_{DM}$



→ line (b)



→ relic abundance

a different diagram wrt to line, open thanks to (c), works for large gauge coupling and small (loop?) CS coeff

→ Continuum? Under control

Model building

not exhaustive!

Ex. 3: 'pseudo-scalar mediation, p- and s-waves'

(a) DM charged under $U(1)_{PQ}$
(b) anomalies → tri-boson terms

Lee, Park[2], 1205.4675



➡ line (b)

with large rate
if on resonance (a)

➡ Continuum? Assume couplings to W and Z are suppressed





Exchange of s/h is p-wave,
i.e. v dependent.

Suppressed today, large in EU.

➡ relic abundance

Model building

not exhaustive!

Ex. 4: 'magnetic moments and coannihilations'

Tulin, Yu, Zurek 1208.0009
Cline, Moore, Frey 1208.2685

(a) DM has a magnetic moment

$$\mu\, \bar{\chi}_1 \sigma_{\mu\nu} \chi_2\, F^{\mu\nu}$$

(b) DM sits in a multiplet with ~10 GeV splitting



➡ line (a)

with large rate
if μ is large

➡ Continuum? Under control (it's same order as $\gamma\gamma$)



➡ relic abundance

is set by coannihilations,
they would be too effective for large μ,
but the splitting (b) suppresses.

➡ Continuum? Ultra suppressed by the splitting (b)

Model building

not exhaustive!

Nussinov 1985
Kaplan, Luty, Zurek 2009
Cirelli, Panci, Servant, Zaharijas 2011
Tulin, Yu, Zurek 1208.0009

Ex. 5: 'asymmetric DM'

(a) DM-$\overline{\text{DM}}$ initial asymmetry
(b) DM-$\overline{\text{DM}}$ mixing → late time oscillations, re-balance



→ relic abundance (a)

is produced via the asymmetry
is decoupled from the annihilation

Annihilations resume (b) → line
(and the cross section needs to be large)

→ Continuum? Needs to be suppressed in some way today.

Challenges

DM is neutral: need '**something**' to couple to γ



The '**something**' implies usually a suppression,
but one needs a large $\gamma\gamma$ cross section (o(10^{27} cm^3/s))

so the corresponding unsuppressed processes
are **too** large:

- may overshoot other observations
- too large annihilation in the EU



But solutions exist

Model building

- may overshoot other observations
- too large annihilation in the EU

But solutions exist

Model building

- may overshoot other observations
- too large annihilation in the EU

But solutions exist

In summary:

- kinematically forbidden channel
- different diagrams
- s-wave vs p-wave
- coannihilations and splitting
- DM production is decoupled from annihilations
- ...

Summary

Data hangover

Summary

Data hangover

PAMELA was fun,
but it's probably not DM.

Summary

Data hangover

PAMELA was fun,
but it's probably not DM.

The **FERMI line** was fun,
but it's probably not DM.

Summary

Data hangover



PAMELA was fun,
but it's probably not DM.

The **FERMI line** was fun,
but it's probably not DM.

Other **excesses**
(GC light DM)
are less fun,
and are difficult
to ascribe to DM.

Summary

Data hangover

PAMELA was fun,
but it's probably not DM.

The **FERMI line** was fun,
but it's probably not DM.

Other **excesses**
(GC light DM)
are less fun,
and are difficult
to ascribe to DM.

More data!

Summary

Data hangover

PAMELA was fun,
but it's probably not DM.

The **FERMI line** was fun,
but it's probably not DM.

Other **excesses**
(GC light DM)
are less fun,
and are difficult
to ascribe to DM.

More data!

AMS antiprotons.

FERMI gammas.

Neutrino experiments.

Antideuterons.