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## Measurements

## Discovery

## Searches

## Measurements

## Low scales, high cross section: Inelastic cross section \& rapidity gaps





## Jets at the highest scales

- Highest transverse momentum jets; at the TeV scale
- arXiv:1009.5908 (EPJC), arXiv: 1112.6297 (PRD)
- arXiv:1106.0208 (PRL)



## Jets at the highest scales

- Highest transverse momentum jets; at the TeV scale
- arXiv: 1009.5908 (EPJC),arXiv: 1112.6297 (PRD)
- arXiv:1106.0208 (PRL)
- General agreement with NLO QCD calculations (after soft corrections) Significant spread in "NLO" predictions. ME/PS matching? MC tune (UE)? PDFs?


```
\(L \mathrm{dt}=37 \mathrm{pb}^{-1}\) \(\sqrt{s}=7 \mathrm{TeV}\) anti- \(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{t}}\) jets, \(R=0.4\)
```

-- Data with
statistical error
Systematic uncertainties

NLOJET++ (CT10, $\left.\mu=p_{T}^{\text {max }}\right) \times$
Non-pert. corr.
POWHEG

- $\quad\left(\mathrm{CT} 10, \mu=p_{T}^{\text {Borm }}\right) \otimes$ PYTHIA AUET2B

POWHEG

- $\quad\left(\right.$ CT10,$\left.\mu=p_{T}^{\text {Borf }}\right) \otimes$

PYTHIA Perugia2011
POWHEG

- $\quad\left(\mathrm{CT} 10, \mu=p_{T}^{\text {Borm }}\right) \otimes$

HERWIG AUET2
POWHEG fixed order

- (CT10, $\left.\mu=p_{T}^{\text {Borm }}\right) \times$

Non-pert. corr.

## Jets as a probe of the proton

## - Use 2.76 TeV CM

 data to measure cross sections.
## Ratios;

- in $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{T}}$, many theory uncertainties
~cancel (same x, different $Q^{2}$ )



ATLAS
$\int L \mathrm{dt}=0.20 \mathrm{pb}^{-1}$ $\rho=\left[\frac{2.76 \mathrm{TeV}}{7 \mathrm{TeV}}\right]^{3} \frac{\sigma_{\text {et }}^{2.76 \mathrm{TeV}}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{jet}}^{7 \mathrm{TeV}}}$ anti- $k_{t} \mathrm{R}=0.4$

Data with

$\rightarrow$ statistical uncertaintySystematic uncertainties NLO pQCD $\otimes$
$\triangle$ non-pert. corr. (CT10, $\mu=p_{T}^{\text {max }}$ )

## Jets as a probe of the proton

- Use 2.76 TeV CM data to measure cross sections.


## Ratios;

- in $x_{T}$, many theory uncertainties
~cancel (same x, different $Q^{2}$ )
- In $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}$, jet energy scale ~cancels (dominant experimental uncertainty)


arXiv:1304.4739Systematic uncertainties NLO PQCD *


## Jets as a probe of the proton

- Illustrative fit to HERA and ATLAS data
- Valence quarks heavily constrained by HERA
- High x gluon and sea quarks modified by addition of ATLAS data



## Running of the strong coupling



## Jet properties

- Final stage of jet structure is "soft" non-perturbative QCD.
- Formation of hadrons from gluons, 100 MeV energy scales ( $\Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}}$ )
- Vast phase space between quark-gluon scatter (100's GeV, few TeV) and $\Lambda_{\text {QCD }}$
- Most of jet substructure can be analysed perturbatively
- EWSB scale ( $\sim 100 \mathrm{GeV}$ ) lies in this region
- Jets may contain objects with EW-scale mass (W,Z,H,t,?)


## Jet "grooming" and subjets




arXiv:1203.4606

## Jet grooming and subjets

- $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{T}}$ scale, N -subjettiness


arXiv:1203.4606


## Vector bosons and (b) jets



arXiv:1304.7098 arxiv:1302:2929

## Lepton pairs




## A word on Photons

- Similar physics, complementary systematics to jet studies
- Key background for Higgs



## A word on Photons

- Similar physics, complementary systematics to jet studies
- Key background for Higgs
- Diphoton + jet measurements badly needed!






## AMCL



## (Parenthesis)

- First measurements of minimum bias, charged particle multiplicities, underlying event all vital for this precision.
- Underlying event contribution from double-parton-interactions
- Rare "clean" events
- Probes confinement in a new way
- Significant background to some exotica (like-sign etc)


## Double-parton interactions




## Discovery







## $A 1 / C 1$




| $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{W}, \mathbf{Z ~ H ~} \rightarrow \mathbf{b} \overline{\mathbf{b}} \\ \text { Preliminary } \end{array} \\ & \mu=0.2_{-0.6}^{+0.7} \end{aligned}$ | $\pm 0.5$ <br> $\pm 0.4$ <br> $<0.1$ |  | $\longrightarrow$ | ATLAS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{H} \rightarrow \tau \tau \quad$ (8TeV: 13 <br> Preliminary <br> $\mu=0.7_{-0.6}^{+0.7}$ |  |  |  | ATLAS-CONF-2012-160 |
| $\begin{array}{llccc} \sqrt{s}=7 \mathrm{TeV} \int \operatorname{Ldt}=4.6-4.8 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}-0.5 & 0 & 0.5 & 1 & 1.5 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |



Pure spin 2 excluded at $>99.9 \%$

## Searches

## WZ/WW resonances



## Substructure in searches (boosted top, boosted W)



## Substructure in searches

tagging rejection


ATLAS SUSY Searches* - 95\% CL Lower Limits
Status: EPS 2013

ATLAS Preliminary
$\int \mathcal{L} d t=(4.4-22.9) \mathrm{fb}^{-1} \quad \sqrt{s}=7,8 \mathrm{TeV}$


[^0]Large ED (ADD) : monojet $+E_{T, \text { miss }}$ Large ED (ADD) : monophoton $+E_{T, \text { miss }}$ Large ED (ADD) : diphoton \& dilepton, $m_{y y / 1}$ UED : diphoton $+E_{T, \text { miss }}$ $S^{1} / Z_{2}$ ED : dilepton, $m_{\|}$ RS1 : dilepton, $m_{1}$
RS1 : WW resonance, $m_{T, \text { wN }}$ Bulk RS: ZZ resonance, $m_{\text {III }}$ RS $\mathrm{g}_{\text {Kk }} \rightarrow \mathrm{ti}(B R=0.925): \mathrm{tt} \rightarrow 1+\mathrm{jets}, \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{u}}$ ADD BH $\left(M_{\text {TH }} / M_{\mathrm{D}}=3\right)$ : SS dimuon, $N_{\text {ch.par }}$ ADD BH ( $M_{T H} / M_{D}=3$ ) : leptons + jets, $\Sigma$ ch $p$

Quantum black hole : dijet, $F_{( }\left(m_{3}\right)$ qqqq con contact interacactiòn : $\chi(m)$
qqII CI: ee $\& \mu \mu, m_{\|}$
uutt $\mathrm{Cl}: S S$ dilepton + jets $+\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{T} \text {, miss }}$
Z' (SSM) : $m_{\text {eef } / \mu}$ $Z^{\prime}(S S M): m_{\text {ux }}$
$Z^{\prime}$ (leptophobic topcolor): $\mathrm{tt} \rightarrow \mid+j$ jets, $m_{u}$ $W^{\prime}$ (SSM) : $m_{\text {Te, }}$ $\mathrm{W}^{\prime}\left(\rightarrow \mathrm{tq}, \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{g}}=1\right): m_{\mathrm{ta}}$ $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{R}}(\rightarrow \mathrm{tb}$, LRSM $): m_{m}$
 Scalar LQ pair ( $\beta=1$ ) : kin. vars. in eejj, ev] Scalar LQ pair $(\beta=1):$ kin. vars. in $\mu \mu j j_{j}, \mu v j \mathrm{j}$ Scalar LQ pair ( $\beta=1$ ): kin. vars. in $\tau \tau \mathrm{j}$, $\tau \mathrm{vj}$ 4th generation: $\mathrm{b}^{\prime} \mathrm{b}^{4}$ generation: $\mathrm{tr}^{\mathrm{tt} \rightarrow \mathrm{WbWb}}$

Vector-like quark: $\mathrm{T} \rightarrow \rightarrow \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{X}$ Vector-like quark: $\mathrm{CC}, m_{\text {ve }}$ Excited quarks: $\boldsymbol{y} \boldsymbol{-}$-et resonance, $m$ Excited quarks : dijet resonance, $m_{\text {I }}$ Excited b quark : W-t resonance, $m_{\text {wi }}$ Excited leptons: $1-\gamma$ resonance, $m$
Techni-hadrons (LSTC) : dilepton, $m_{\text {eef }}^{\text {elp }}$, Techni-hadrons (LSTC) : WZ resonance (vil), $m_{w}$

Major. neutr. (LRSM, no mixing) : 2 -lep + jets
Heavy lepton $\mathrm{N}^{\perp}$ (type III seesaw) : $Z$-I resonance, $m_{z}$ $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{L}}$ (DY prod., BR( $\left.\mathrm{H}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{ll}\right)=1$ ) : SS ee $(\mu \mu), m$

Color octet scalar : dijet resonance, $m_{\text {m }}$ Multi-charged particles (DY prod.) : highly ionizing tracks Magnetic monopoles (DY prod.): highly ionizing tracks

ATLAS Exotics Searches* - 95\% CL Lower Limits (Status: May 2013)


## And finally... what do we actually measure?

- Difference between "efficiency corrections" or "unfolding", and "acceptance corrections".
- The first two generally mean correction for detector effects which no one but the experimentalists can do.
- The third means extrapolating into kinematic regions which have not been measured at all
- Beware of the third, especially as we go to higher energies...

Unfold

## Increase acceptance

## Increase acceptance



## Extrapolate




## But how reliably?



## And finally... what do we actually measure?

- Defining a region in which acceptance is
~100\%,




## ion

- ATLAS WW cross section (to e, $\mu$ ), 7 TeV
- efficiency/detector corrections to obtain fiducial cross section, 0.4-0.7
- acceptance (phase space) , 0.07-0.16
- That missing $90 \%$ is stuff we don't measure
- The efficiency/detector efficiency won't change much at 13 TeV
- The acceptance may well drop further
- Garbage in, garbage out.


## 屰 $\square$ $0 \rightarrow \square$

## For example... Top cross section

- Current measurements extrapolate to $4 \pi, 4 \mathrm{TeV}>\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}>0$
- Often not even possible to extract the acceptance from the papers (convoluted with efficiencies and migrations)
- Means for some, non-trivially-different, regions of phase space, we are just buying the theory
- Will be even more of a problem at higher beam energies.
- (see LHC Top Working group discussions, e.g. talk by Will Bell, 19/7/2012 )
"Looking and not finding is not the same as not looking! ${ }^{3}$
— Hiranya Peiris, Cosmologist

CERN



[^0]:    *Only a selection of the available mass limits on new states or phenomena is shown. All limits quoted are observed minus $1 \sigma$ theoretical signal cross section uncertainty.

