Non-supersymmetric duality and EWSB

SAA, Matt Buican, Zohar Komargodski, PRD84 (2011) 045005, ArXiV:1105.2885

Outline

- Lightning review of supersymmetric dualities
- Conserved currents and soft-terms
- Mapping anomalous currents
- Application: radiative EWSB in models of composite/fat higgs

Simplest $SU(N_c)$ $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SQCD form introduced by Seiberg: the electric model consists of

 $SU(N_c) \quad SU(N_f) \times SU(N_f) \quad U(1)_R \quad U(1)_B$ $Q \quad \mathbf{N_c} \qquad \mathbf{N_f} \times \mathbf{1} \qquad 1 - \frac{N_c}{N_f} \qquad 1$ $\widetilde{Q} \quad \overline{\mathbf{N_c}} \qquad \mathbf{1} \times \overline{\mathbf{N_f}} \qquad 1 - \frac{N_c}{N_f} \qquad -1$

The magnetic description, found by matching moduli spaces, global anomalies ...

	$SU(N_f - N_c)$	$SU(N_f) \times SU(N_f)$	$U(1)_R$	$U(1)_B$
q	${f N_f}-{f N_c}$	$\overline{\mathbf{N}}_{\mathbf{f}} imes 1$	$\frac{N_c}{N_f}$	$\frac{N_c}{N_f - N_c}$
\widetilde{q}	$\overline{\mathbf{N}}_{\mathbf{f}} - \overline{\mathbf{N}}_{\mathbf{c}}$	$1 imes \mathbf{N_f}$	$\frac{N_c}{N_f}$	$-\frac{N_c}{N_f - N_c}$
M	1	${f N_f} imes {f N_f}$	$2 - 2 \frac{N_c}{N_f}$	0

Under this duality we can map operators in the chiral-ring e.g. mesons and baryons

$$\begin{array}{cccc} Q \tilde{Q} & \to & M \\ Q^{N_c} & \to & q^{N_f - N_c} \end{array}$$

Can also compute magnetic superpotential, $W_{mag} = h\Phi q. \tilde{q}$

where $h \sim 1$ and ...

$$\Phi = M/\Lambda$$

Luty, Cohen Kaplan Nelson, Kitazawa

Various sorts of behaviour possible ...

Free-electric phase: $N_f \ge 3N_c$ Interacting - IR fixed point: $\frac{3N_c}{2} < N_f < 3N_c$ Free-magnetic phase: $N_c + 1 < N_f < \frac{3}{2}N_c$ s-confining: $N_f = N_c + 1$ Chiral symmetry breaking: $N_f = N_c$ No vacuum: $N_f < N_c$

The same duality in terms of quiver diagrams ...



• It would be great to be able to map non-supersymmetric theories, but we lose the most powerful tests (or at least they are much less convincing).

- It would be great to be able to map non-supersymmetric theories, but we lose the most powerful tests (or at least they are much less convincing).
- But if supersymmetry is softly broken all is not lost ...

- It would be great to be able to map non-supersymmetric theories, but we lose the most powerful tests (or at least they are much less convincing).
- But if supersymmetry is softly broken all is not lost ...

- It would be great to be able to map non-supersymmetric theories, but we lose the most powerful tests (or at least they are much less convincing).
- But if supersymmetry is softly broken all is not lost ...

In the past people tried many ideas for working out how softly broken theories map:

Evans, Hsu, Schwetz, Selipsky Aharony, Sonnenschein, Peskin, Yankielowicz Cheng, Shadmi Arkani-Hamed, Rattazzi Karch, Kobayashi, Kubo, Zoupanos Luty, Rattazzi Kobayashi, Yoshioka Nelson, Strassler ...

In the past people tried many ideas for working out how softly broken theories map:

Evans, Hsu, Schwetz, Selipsky Aharony, Sonnenschein, Peskin, Yankielowicz Cheng, Shadmi Arkani-Hamed, Rattazzi Karch, Kobayashi, Kubo, Zoupanos Luty, Rattazzi Kobayashi, Yoshioka Nelson, Strassler ...

Our method is related to Luty and Rattazzi's idea of gauging R-symmetry to follow the flow, but is based on conserved global currents, making it very simple and general.

• Consider the following (strange looking) mass-squared term in the electric theory (in the free-magnetic window)

$$\delta \mathcal{L}_{\rm el} = -m^2 \left(Q^i Q_i^{\dagger} - \widetilde{Q}^i \widetilde{Q}_i^{\dagger} \right)$$

• Consider the following (strange looking) mass-squared term in the electric theory (in the free-magnetic window)

$$\delta \mathcal{L}_{\rm el} = -m^2 \left(Q^i Q_i^{\dagger} - \widetilde{Q}^i \widetilde{Q}_i^{\dagger} \right)$$

- This term can be related to the conserved U(1)-baryon current
- Indeed, generally one can build a real linear current superfield, obeying

$$\overline{D}^2 \mathcal{J} = D^2 \mathcal{J} = 0$$

• In components ...

$$\mathcal{J} = J + i\theta j - i\overline{\theta}\overline{j} - \theta\sigma^{\mu}\overline{\theta}j_{\mu} + \frac{1}{2}\theta\theta\overline{\theta}\overline{\sigma}^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}j - \frac{1}{2}\overline{\theta}\overline{\theta}\theta\sigma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\overline{j} - \frac{1}{4}\theta\theta\overline{\theta}\overline{\theta}\overline{\theta}\Box J$$

• Our soft-terms can be identified with the bottom component of the Baryon current, which obeys

$$\overline{D}^2 \left(Q^i Q_i^\dagger - \widetilde{Q}^i \widetilde{Q}_i^\dagger \right) = 0$$

• Since B is conserved, they map directly to the baryon current in the magnetic theory which can be read off the table...

$$QQ^{\dagger} - \widetilde{Q}\widetilde{Q} \longrightarrow \frac{N_c}{N_f - N_c} \left(|q|^2 - |\widetilde{q}|^2 \right)$$

• Our soft-terms can be identified with the bottom component of the Baryon current, which obeys

$$\overline{D}^2 \left(Q^i Q_i^\dagger - \widetilde{Q}^i \widetilde{Q}_i^\dagger \right) = 0$$

• Since B is conserved, they map directly to the baryon current in the magnetic theory which can be read off the table...

$$QQ^{\dagger} - \widetilde{Q}\widetilde{Q} \longrightarrow \frac{N_c}{N_f - N_c} \left(|q|^2 - |\widetilde{q}|^2 \right)$$

• Note that this is completely general: wherever there is a weakly coupled description along the flow we can write the soft-terms (as long as we can identify the charges there).

What about more general soft-terms? Would like to be able to map

 $c_i^j Q^i Q_j^\dagger + \widetilde{c}_i^j \widetilde{Q}^i \widetilde{Q}_j^\dagger$

What about more general soft-terms? Would like to be able to map

$$c_i^j Q^i Q_j^{\dagger} + \widetilde{c}_i^j \widetilde{Q}^i \widetilde{Q}_j^{\dagger}$$

Clearly soft-terms proportional to flavour currents can be mapped in a similarly trivial manner: i.e. linear combinations of

$$QT^aQ^\dagger$$
 and $\widetilde{Q}T^a\widetilde{Q}^\dagger$

(Note that the mapping of conserved flavour currents can be used in normal QCD to map correlation functions corresponding to flavour currents into pion correlation functions)

However there is one operator (the trace part) that is not trivial to map:

 $J_A = QQ^{\dagger} + \widetilde{Q}\widetilde{Q}^{\dagger}$

However there is one operator (the trace part) that is not trivial to map:

$$J_A = QQ^{\dagger} + \widetilde{Q}\widetilde{Q}^{\dagger}$$

Indeed it is the anomalous axial current. In superfield notation the ABJ eqn is:

However there is one operator (the trace part) that is not trivial to map:

$$J_A = QQ^{\dagger} + \widetilde{Q}\widetilde{Q}^{\dagger}$$

Indeed it is the anomalous axial current. In superfield notation the ABJ eqn is:

$$\overline{D}^2 J_A \sim W_\alpha^2$$

However there is one operator (the trace part) that is not trivial to map:

$$J_A = QQ^{\dagger} + \widetilde{Q}\widetilde{Q}^{\dagger}$$

Indeed it is the anomalous axial current. In superfield notation the ABJ eqn is:

$$\overline{D}^2 J_A \sim W_\alpha^2$$

So knowing how to map the soft-terms is equivalent to knowing how to map both conserved and anomalous currents

A theory with an R-symmetry has an R-supermultiplet (does the same job as J)

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mu} = R_{\mu} + \theta S_{\mu} + c.c. + \theta \sigma^{\nu} \overline{\theta} (T_{\mu\nu} + \dots$$

A theory with an R-symmetry has an R-supermultiplet (does the same job as J)

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mu} = R_{\mu} + \theta S_{\mu} + c.c. + \theta \sigma^{\nu} \bar{\theta} (T_{\mu\nu} + \dots$$

The conservation equations are contained in ...

$$\overline{D}^{\dot{\alpha}}\mathcal{R}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = \overline{D}^2 D_{\alpha} U$$

A theory with an R-symmetry has an R-supermultiplet (does the same job as J)

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mu} = R_{\mu} + \theta S_{\mu} + c.c. + \theta \sigma^{\nu} \bar{\theta} (T_{\mu\nu} + \dots$$

The conservation equations are contained in ...

$$\overline{D}^{\dot{\alpha}}\mathcal{R}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = \overline{D}^2 D_{\alpha} U$$

When there are many abelian symmetries, there can be many different Rcurrent supermultiplets on the LHS of this equation. However there is one special one $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^{CFT}$.

As we approach a conformal fixed point in the IR (even a Gaussian free field one), we have

$$\overline{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} \mathcal{R}^{CFT}_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}} = 0$$

Any R-current can be related to the CFT one by (an improvement transformation)

$$\mathcal{R}^{CFT}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = \mathcal{R}^{IR}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} - [D_{\alpha}, \overline{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}]J , \qquad U^{CFT} = U^{IR} - \frac{3}{2}J = 0$$

Any R-current can be related to the CFT one by (an improvement transformation)

$$\mathcal{R}^{CFT}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = \mathcal{R}^{IR}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} - [D_{\alpha}, \overline{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}]J , \qquad U^{CFT} = U^{IR} - \frac{3}{2}J = 0$$

Here J parameterizes the difference between the CFT R-symmetry and the Rsymmetry we are interested in. In other words for any R-symmetry ...

$$U \to \frac{3}{2}J$$

Any R-current can be related to the CFT one by (an improvement transformation)

$$\mathcal{R}^{CFT}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = \mathcal{R}^{IR}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} - [D_{\alpha}, \overline{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}]J , \qquad U^{CFT} = U^{IR} - \frac{3}{2}J = 0$$

Here J parameterizes the difference between the CFT R-symmetry and the Rsymmetry we are interested in. In other words for any R-symmetry ...

$$U \to \frac{3}{2}J$$

The point: U can include the anomalous currents we are interested in. If we can find the corresponding R-current, then we can use that to follow U along the flow. (Note that U is NOT just the difference in R-currents, but, the superfield in the "improvement term".)

Example 1: SQCD in the free magnetic range $N_c + 1 < N_f < \frac{3}{2}N_c$



For free theories, $\overline{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} \mathcal{R}_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}} = \overline{D}^2 D_{\alpha} U$ can be solved to give

$$\mathcal{R}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = \sum_{i} \left(2D_{\alpha}\Phi_{i}\overline{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}\overline{\Phi}^{i} - r_{i}[D_{\alpha},\overline{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}]\Phi_{i}\overline{\Phi}^{i} \right)$$
$$U = \sum_{i} \left(1 - \frac{3r_{i}}{2} \right) \overline{\Phi}^{i}\Phi_{i} .$$

For free theories, $\overline{D}^{\dot{\alpha}}\mathcal{R}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = \overline{D}^2 D_{\alpha}U$ can be solved to give

$$\mathcal{R}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = \sum_{i} \left(2D_{\alpha}\Phi_{i}\overline{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}\overline{\Phi}^{i} - r_{i}[D_{\alpha},\overline{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}]\Phi_{i}\overline{\Phi}^{i} \right) ,$$
$$U = \sum_{i} \left(1 - \frac{3r_{i}}{2} \right) \overline{\Phi}^{i}\Phi_{i} .$$

Notice that if the corresponding R-symmetry is the CFT one, then r=2/3 at the Gaussian fixed point, so (as expected) U vanishes there.

For free theories, $\overline{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} \mathcal{R}_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}} = \overline{D}^2 D_{\alpha} U$ can be solved to give

$$\mathcal{R}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = \sum_{i} \left(2D_{\alpha}\Phi_{i}\overline{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}\overline{\Phi}^{i} - r_{i}[D_{\alpha},\overline{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}]\Phi_{i}\overline{\Phi}^{i} \right)$$
$$U = \sum_{i} \left(1 - \frac{3r_{i}}{2} \right) \overline{\Phi}^{i}\Phi_{i} .$$

Hence find
$$U^{UV} = \left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{3N_c}{2N_f}\right) \left(QQ^{\dagger} + \widetilde{Q}\widetilde{Q}^{\dagger}\right)$$

maps to $U^{IR} = \left(1 - \frac{3N_c}{2N_f}\right) \left(qq^{\dagger} + \tilde{q}\tilde{q}^{\dagger}\right) - \left(2 - \frac{3N_c}{N_f}\right) MM^{\dagger}.$

Back to soft-terms

$$\delta \mathcal{L}_{\rm el} = -m^2 J_A |-m_\lambda (W_{\alpha,\rm el}^2 + \rm hc)| = -m^2 \left(Q Q^{\dagger} + \widetilde{Q} \widetilde{Q}^{\dagger} \right) + m_\lambda (\lambda_{\rm el}^2 + c.c.)$$

Back to soft-terms

$$\delta \mathcal{L}_{el} = -m^2 J_A |-m_\lambda (W_{\alpha,el}^2 + hc)| = -m^2 \left(Q Q^{\dagger} + \widetilde{Q} \widetilde{Q}^{\dagger} \right) + m_\lambda (\lambda_{el}^2 + c.c.)$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$\delta \mathcal{L}_{mag} = -m^2 \cdot \frac{2N_f - 3N_c}{3N_c - N_f} \left(q q^{\dagger} + \widetilde{q} \widetilde{q}^{\dagger} - 2MM^{\dagger} \right) + m_\lambda \cdot \frac{2N_f - 3N_c}{3N_c - N_f} (\lambda_{mag}^2 + c.c.)$$

Back to soft-terms

δ.

$$\delta \mathcal{L}_{el} = -m^2 J_A |-m_\lambda (W_{\alpha,el}^2 + hc)| = -m^2 \left(QQ^{\dagger} + \tilde{Q}\tilde{Q}^{\dagger} \right) + m_\lambda (\lambda_{el}^2 + c.c.)$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{mag} = -m^2 \cdot \frac{2N_f - 3N_c}{3N_c - N_f} \left(qq^{\dagger} + \tilde{q}\tilde{q}^{\dagger} - 2MM^{\dagger} \right) + m_\lambda \cdot \frac{2N_f - 3N_c}{3N_c - N_f} (\lambda_{mag}^2 + c.c.)$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$\Lambda pply ABJ equations to J to get this$$

Back to soft-terms

 δ

$$\delta \mathcal{L}_{el} = -m^2 J_A |-m_\lambda (W_{\alpha,el}^2 + hc)| = -m^2 \left(Q Q^{\dagger} + \tilde{Q} \tilde{Q}^{\dagger} \right) + m_\lambda (\lambda_{el}^2 + c.c.)$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{mag} = -m^2 \cdot \frac{2N_f - 3N_c}{3N_c - N_f} \left(q q^{\dagger} + \tilde{q} \tilde{q}^{\dagger} - 2MM^{\dagger} \right) + m_\lambda \cdot \frac{2N_f - 3N_c}{3N_c - N_f} (\lambda_{mag}^2 + c.c.)$$

$$\downarrow$$

Apply ABJ equations to J to get this

Agrees with previous results but follows much more simply (IMHO) from the Rsupermultiplet relation.

Example 2: SQCD with an adjoint in the conformal window.

 $SU(N_c) \quad SU(N_f) \times SU(N_f) \quad U(1)_R \quad U(1)' \quad U(1)_B$ $Q \quad \mathbf{N_c} \qquad \mathbf{N_f} \times \mathbf{1} \qquad 1 - \frac{2N_c}{3N_f} \qquad 1 \qquad 1$ $\widetilde{Q} \quad \overline{\mathbf{N_c}} \qquad \mathbf{1} \times \overline{\mathbf{N_f}} \qquad 1 - \frac{2N_c}{3N_f} \qquad 1 \qquad -1$ $X \quad \mathbf{N_c^2} - \mathbf{1} \qquad \mathbf{1} \times \mathbf{1} \qquad 2/3 \qquad -1 \qquad 0$

Example 2: SQCD with an adjoint in the conformal window.

Depending on the choice of colours and flavours, some mesons decouple and become free-fields: $M_i = QX^i \tilde{Q}$. Use a-maximization to find out when. (Kutasov, Parnachev, Sahakyan).

The same techniques tell us how UV soft-terms generate soft-terms for those fields that become free in the IR ...

$$\left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{N_c}{N_f}\right) \left(QQ^{\dagger} + \widetilde{Q}\widetilde{Q}^{\dagger}\right) \longrightarrow \sum_{j=0}^{P(N_f/N_c)} \left(1 - \frac{3R(M_j)}{2}\right) M_j M_j^{\dagger} + \cdots$$
$$= -\sum_{i=0}^{P(N_f/N_c)} \left(j + 2 - 2\frac{N_c}{N_f}\right) M_j M_j^{\dagger} + \cdots$$

The same techniques tell us how UV soft-terms generate soft-terms for those fields that become free in the IR ...

$$\left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{N_c}{N_f}\right) \left(QQ^{\dagger} + \widetilde{Q}\widetilde{Q}^{\dagger}\right) \longrightarrow \sum_{j=0}^{P(N_f/N_c)} \left(1 - \frac{3R(M_j)}{2}\right) M_j M_j^{\dagger} + \cdots$$
$$= -\sum_{i=0}^{P(N_f/N_c)} \left(j + 2 - 2\frac{N_c}{N_f}\right) M_j M_j^{\dagger} + \cdots$$

This result is much less obvious. In particular it is exact even though the rest of the theory might be strongly coupled and we don't have a description for it!

Example 3: The technique is also tells us when operators can not be followed. Kutasov duality - add a superpotential term to the previous theory

 $W = s_0 \operatorname{Tr}(X^{k+1})$

Example 3: The technique is also tells us when operators can not be followed. Kutasov duality - add a superpotential term to the previous theory

$$W = s_0 \operatorname{Tr}(X^{k+1})$$

This term dominates the flow (it is dangerously irrelevant) and it breaks the anomaly free symmetry

$$J_X = \frac{N_c}{N_f} \left(Q Q^{\dagger} + \widetilde{Q} \widetilde{Q}^{\dagger} \right) - X X^{\dagger}$$

 $\overline{D}^2 J_X \sim s_0 \operatorname{Tr}(X^{k+1})$

Example 3: The technique is also tells us when operators can not be followed. Kutasov duality - add a superpotential term to the previous theory

$$W = s_0 \operatorname{Tr}(X^{k+1})$$

This term dominates the flow (it is dangerously irrelevant) and it breaks the anomaly free symmetry

$$J_X = \frac{N_c}{N_f} \left(Q Q^{\dagger} + \widetilde{Q} \widetilde{Q}^{\dagger} \right) - X X^{\dagger}$$

 $\overline{D}^2 J_X \sim s_0 \operatorname{Tr}(X^{k+1})$

The RHS is trivial in the chiral ring (i.e. equivalent to zero), so we cannot say anything about the coefficient of J_X in U.

So we can not follow this operator but we can still follow the orthogonal one:

$$U^{UV} = \left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{k+1}\frac{N_c}{N_f}\right)\left(QQ^{\dagger} + \widetilde{Q}\widetilde{Q}^{\dagger}\right) + \left(1 - \frac{3}{k+1}\right)XX^{\dagger}$$

Generally, the more symmetries you can preserve the better, although if the term that breaks it is non-trivial in the chiral ring it should still be possible to follow the current (in principle).

Recall the general result ...

$$\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{mag}} = -m^2 \cdot \frac{2N_f - 3N_c}{3N_c - N_f} \left(qq^{\dagger} + \widetilde{q}\widetilde{q}^{\dagger} - 2MM^{\dagger} \right) + m_\lambda \cdot \frac{2N_f - 3N_c}{3N_c - N_f} (\lambda_{\text{mag}}^2 + c.c.)$$

Recall the general result ...

$$\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{mag}} = -m^2 \cdot \frac{2N_f - 3N_c}{3N_c - N_f} \left(qq^{\dagger} + \widetilde{q}\widetilde{q}^{\dagger} - 2MM^{\dagger} \right) + m_\lambda \cdot \frac{2N_f - 3N_c}{3N_c - N_f} (\lambda_{\text{mag}}^2 + c.c.)$$

Since we are in the free-magnetic regime then $2N_f - 3N_c < 0$ and degenerate positive mass-squareds lead to tachyonic magnetic squarks

Recall the general result ...

$$\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{mag}} = -m^2 \cdot \frac{2N_f - 3N_c}{3N_c - N_f} \left(qq^{\dagger} + \widetilde{q}\widetilde{q}^{\dagger} - 2MM^{\dagger} \right) + m_\lambda \cdot \frac{2N_f - 3N_c}{3N_c - N_f} (\lambda_{\text{mag}}^2 + c.c.)$$

Since we are in the free-magnetic regime then $2N_f - 3N_c < 0$ and degenerate positive mass-squareds lead to tachyonic magnetic squarks

This is the same effect as radiative EWSB in the MSSM being driven by the large top-Yukawa. In this case the large coupling is the one of magnetic QCD, which leads to mass-squared sum-rules (noticed in e.g. Cheng, Shadmi).

Moreover if we gauge baryon-number there is a stable minimum which is calculable provided g_B is much bigger than m/Λ

If g_B is small then minimum at $q \sim \frac{m}{g_B} \mathbb{I}$, $\tilde{q} = 0$, M = 0If g_B is large then minimum at $q \sim \tilde{q} \sim m$

A natural idea is that the Higgs is a "magnetic quark" with SU(2) being a gauged part of the flavour symmetry...

A natural idea is that the Higgs is a "magnetic quark" with SU(2) being a gauged part of the flavour symmetry...

 $SU(N_c)$ $SU(2)_L$ $U(1)_Y$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H & \mathbf{N_c} & \mathbf{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \widetilde{H} & \overline{\mathbf{N_c}} & \mathbf{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ \Phi_{i=1...N_c-1} & \mathbf{N_c} & \mathbf{1} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \widetilde{\Phi}_{i=1...N_c-1} & \overline{\mathbf{N_c}} & \mathbf{1} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$$

A natural idea is that the Higgs is a "magnetic quark" with SU(2) being a gauged part of the flavour symmetry...

 $SU(N_c)$ $SU(2)_L$ $U(1)_Y$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H & \mathbf{N_c} & \mathbf{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \widetilde{H} & \overline{\mathbf{N_c}} & \mathbf{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ \Phi_{i=1...N_c-1} & \mathbf{N_c} & \mathbf{1} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \widetilde{\Phi}_{i=1...N_c-1} & \overline{\mathbf{N_c}} & \mathbf{1} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{split} N_f &= N_c + 1 \ \text{ so the confined degrees of freedom are baryons and mesons} \\ B, \widetilde{B} &\equiv (h, \widetilde{h}, \phi_{i=1...N_c-1}, \widetilde{\phi}_{i=1...N_c-1}) \\ M &\equiv \begin{cases} h_{i=1...N_c-1} &= (H\widetilde{\Phi}_i) \\ \widetilde{h}_{i=1...N_c-1} &= (\widetilde{H}\Phi_i) \\ \widetilde{H}_{i=1...N_c-1} &= (H\widetilde{H}) \\ \mathcal{T} + \eta &= (H\widetilde{H}) \\ \eta_{ij} &= (\Phi\widetilde{\Phi}) \end{cases} \end{split}$$

As we have seen, the higgses have a vev in the vacuum. The correct way to think about it is that the magnetic theory of the SQCD with soft-terms, is the theory broken down to $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y \hookrightarrow U(1)_{QED}$

As we have seen, the higgses have a vev in the vacuum. The correct way to think about it is that the magnetic theory of the SQCD with soft-terms, is the theory broken down to $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y \hookrightarrow U(1)_{QED}$

Note that the Yukawa couplings include the familiar NMSSM ones and also SU(2) triplet (TMSSM) couplings: this is a fat higgs model.

As we have seen, the higgses have a vev in the vacuum. The correct way to think about it is that the magnetic theory of the SQCD with soft-terms, is the theory broken down to $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y \hookrightarrow U(1)_{QED}$

Note that the Yukawa couplings include the familiar NMSSM ones and also SU(2) triplet (TMSSM) couplings: this is a fat higgs model.

Possible alternative model-building tool: models with chiral symmetry breaking (same number of colours and flavours) have a stable minimum without gauging baryon number.

Concussion

- Progress in the mapping of anomalous currents (and hence soft-terms)
- Towards non-SUSY dualities although decoupling remains a remote goal
- Seiberg duality is an interesting framework in which to consider radiatively induced EWSB in strongly coupled theories.
- Many other ideas can be looked at in this framework: e.g. emergent supersymmetry, SU(2) as a magnetic gauge theory, brane engineering of the softy deformed dualities, concrete model building ... and so on