Nongeometric fluxes, asymmetric strings and nonassociative geometry

Erik Plauschinn

Utrecht University

Corfu — 09.09.2011

This talk is based on:

• Nonassociative Gravity in String Theory? with R. Blumenhagen

arXiv:1010.1263 J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 44 (2011) 015401

 Non-geometric Fluxes, Asymmetric Strings and Nonassociative Geometry with R. Blumenhagen, A. Deser, D. Lüst, and F. Rennecke

> arXiv:1106.0316 J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 44 (2011) 385401

String theory provides a unified framework for:

gauge theories

(open strings)

gravity (closed strings) String theory provides a unified framework for:



String theory provides a unified framework for:



But, noncommutativity first appeared in the gauge theory sector to which open strings belong to ...

Consider open strings ending on a D-brane with 2-form flux \mathcal{F} .



The open string coordinates satisfy (where $\theta^{ab} = 2\pi \alpha' \left[(1 - \mathcal{F}^2)^{-1} \mathcal{F} \right]^{ab}$)

$$\begin{bmatrix} X^a(\sigma_1,\tau), X^b(\sigma_2,\tau) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{cases} +i\theta^{ab} & \sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = 0, \\ -i\theta^{ab} & \sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = \pi, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Correlation functions of vertex operators $T = :e^{i p \cdot X(\sigma, \tau)}:$ satisfy (with $\epsilon(\tau) = \pm 1$) $\langle T_1 T_2 \rangle = \exp\left[-\frac{i}{2} p_{1,a} \theta^{ab} p_{2,b} \epsilon(\tau_1 - \tau_2)\right] \times \langle T_1 T_2 \rangle_{\theta=0}.$

A product reproducing this phase is the Moyal-Weyl star-product

$$f_1(x) \star f_2(x) := \exp\left[\frac{i}{2} \,\theta^{ab} \,\partial_a^{x_1} \,\partial_b^{x_2}\right] f_1(x_1) \,f_2(x_2) \Big|_{x_1 = x_2 = x}$$

From correlators such as above

- an action can be constructed using the star-product
- which describes noncommutative gauge theories.

Can this structure be generalized to **closed strings**?

Can this structure be generalized to **closed strings**?

1. Compute correlation functions

2. Determine an algebra of functions

3. Construct a theory of gravity using such an algebra

Can this structure be generalized to closed strings?

1. Compute correlation functions

2. Determine an algebra of functions

3. Construct a theory of gravity using such an algebra

- \rightarrow which background?
- \rightarrow how to do computations?
- \rightarrow mathematical properties?
- \rightarrow correct physical properties?

 $\rightarrow \dots$

 \rightarrow ...

- 1. Motivation
- 2. Background
- 3. CFT
- 4. Some results

1. Motivation

2. Background

- a) Preliminaries
- b) Three-bracket
- c) Summary

3. CFT

4. Some results

- 1. Motivation
- 2. Background
 - a) Preliminaries
 - b) Three-bracket
 - c) Summary
- 3. CFT
- 4. Some results

Origin of noncommutativity for open strings:

- Two vertex operators are inserted on the boundary of a disk.
- The two-form flux \mathcal{F} is sensitive to the ordering.





Origin of noncommutativity for open strings:

- Two vertex operators are inserted on the boundary of a disk.
- The two-form flux \mathcal{F} is sensitive to the ordering.

Noncommutativity for closed strings?

Two vertex operators on a sphere cannot be ordered.





Origin of noncommutativity for open strings:

- Two vertex operators are inserted on the boundary of a disk.
- The two-form flux \mathcal{F} is sensitive to the ordering.

Noncommutativity for **closed strings**?

- Two vertex operators on a sphere cannot be ordered.
- Though, a loop connecting three nearby points has an orientation.
- A three-form flux can be sensitive to this ordering.





Therefore, for closed strings one might consider

- not a single commutator involving two fields,
- but a double commutator involving three fields

 $\left[[X^a(\sigma_1,\tau), X^b(\sigma_2,\tau)], X^c(\sigma_3,\tau) \right] + \operatorname{cyclic}.$

Therefore, for closed strings one might consider

- not a single commutator involving two fields,
- but a double commutator involving three fields

$$[X^a, X^b, X^c] := \lim_{\sigma_i \to \sigma} \left[[X^a(\sigma_1, \tau), X^b(\sigma_2, \tau)], X^c(\sigma_3, \tau) \right] + \text{cyclic}$$

The corresponding three-bracket

Therefore, for closed strings one might consider

- not a single commutator involving two fields,
- but a double commutator involving three fields

$$[X^a, X^b, X^c] := \lim_{\sigma_i \to \sigma} \left[[X^a(\sigma_1, \tau), X^b(\sigma_2, \tau)], X^c(\sigma_3, \tau) \right] + \text{cyclic}$$

The corresponding three-bracket

- vanishes for an associative product (Jacobi identity),
- while a non-zero result indicates a

noncommutative and nonassociative (NCA) structure.

The three-form flux(es) of interest should originate in the NS-NS sector:

H-flux
$$H_{xyz}$$
geometric flux ω_{xy}^{z}
nongeometric flux Q_{x}^{yz}
R-flux R^{xyz}

These fluxes are related by T-duality:

$$H_{xyz} \xleftarrow{T_z} \omega_{xy}^z \xleftarrow{T_y} Q_x^{yz} \xleftarrow{T_x} R^{xyz}$$

The three-form flux(es) of interest should originate in the NS-NS sector:

H-flux	H_{xyz}
geometric flux	$\omega_{xy}{}^{z}$
nongeometric flux	Q_x^{yz}
R-flux	R^{xyz}

These fluxes are related by T-duality:

Shelton, Taylor, Wecht - 2005

Goal :

- Determine properties of backgrounds for closed string noncommutativity.
- \rightarrow Compute a cyclic double-commutator in an H-flux background.

- 1. Motivation
- 2. Background
 - a) Preliminaries
 - b) Three-bracket
 - c) Summary
- 3. CFT
- 4. Some results

Consider backgrounds with H-flux.

- Due to Einstein's equation, these spaces are curved.
- Solvable examples of such NLSMs are WZW models.

A WZW model for a group *G* is described by

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{S} &= \frac{k}{16\pi} \int_{\partial \Sigma} d^2 x \operatorname{Tr} \left[(\partial_{\alpha} g) (\partial^{\alpha} g^{-1}) \right] \\ &- \frac{ik}{24\pi} \int_{\Sigma} d^3 y \, \epsilon^{\tilde{\alpha} \tilde{\beta} \tilde{\gamma}} \operatorname{Tr} \left[(g^{-1} \partial_{\tilde{\alpha}} g) (g^{-1} \partial_{\tilde{\beta}} g) (g^{-1} \partial_{\tilde{\gamma}} g) \right] \,, \end{split}$$

- where Σ is an euclidean three-manifold with boundary $\partial\Sigma$,
- $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ denotes the level,
- and $g \in G$.

Consider backgrounds with H-flux.

- Due to Einstein's equation, these spaces are curved.
- Solvable examples of such NLSMs are WZW models.

A WZW model for a group *G* is described by

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{S} &= \frac{k}{16\pi} \int_{\partial \Sigma} d^2 x \operatorname{Tr} \left[(\partial_{\alpha} g) (\partial^{\alpha} g^{-1}) \right] \\ &- \frac{ik}{24\pi} \int_{\Sigma} d^3 y \, \epsilon^{\tilde{\alpha} \tilde{\beta} \tilde{\gamma}} \operatorname{Tr} \left[(g^{-1} \partial_{\tilde{\alpha}} g) (g^{-1} \partial_{\tilde{\beta}} g) (g^{-1} \partial_{\tilde{\gamma}} g) \right] \,, \end{split}$$

- where Σ is an euclidean three-manifold with boundary $\partial\Sigma$,
- $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ denotes the level,
- and $g \in G$.

The WZW model on SU(2) with level k corresponds to

- a NLSM on S³ with radius $R = \sqrt{k}$
- and H-flux through the sphere.

Witten - 1984 Gepner, Witten - 1986 The conserved currents are $(z = \exp(x^1 + ix^2), \sigma^a \dots$ Pauli matrices)

$$J = J^a \frac{\sigma^a}{\sqrt{2}} = -k \left(\partial_z g\right) g^{-1}, \qquad \overline{J} = \overline{J}^a \frac{\sigma^a}{\sqrt{2}} = +k g^{-1} \left(\partial_{\overline{z}} g\right).$$

The eom require these currents to be (anti-)holomorphic.

Therefore, one can perform Laurent expansions

of the form

$$J^{a}(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} j_{n}^{a} z^{-n-1} , \qquad \overline{J}^{a}(\overline{z}) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{j}_{n}^{a} \overline{z}^{-n-1} ,$$

where the modes satisfy Kac-Moody algebras

$$\begin{bmatrix} j_m^a, j_n^b \end{bmatrix} = i f^{ab}{}_c j_{m+n}^c + k m \,\delta_{m+n} \,\delta^{ab} ,$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \overline{j}_m^a, \overline{j}_n^b \end{bmatrix} = i f^{ab}{}_c \,\overline{j}_{m+n}^c + k m \,\delta_{m+n} \,\delta^{ab} , \qquad f^{abc} = \sqrt{2} \,\epsilon^{abc}$$

Similarly as in flat space, one can introduce fields $X^a(z, \overline{z}) = X^a(z) + \overline{X}^a(\overline{z})$ via

$$J^{a}(z) = -i\sqrt{k}\partial_{z}X^{a}(z,\overline{z}), \qquad \overline{J}^{a}(z) = -i\sqrt{k}\partial_{\overline{z}}X^{a}(z,\overline{z}).$$

Integrating the mode expansion of the current $J^{a}(z)$, one finds

- The algebra involving x_0^a is not known.
- The modes j_n^a satisfy a Kac-Moody algebra.

• The contribution from x_0^a is so far undetermined

 $\left[\mathbf{x}^{a},\mathbf{x}^{b},\mathbf{x}^{c}\right]+\left[\mathbf{x}^{a},\mathbf{x}^{b},\cdot\right]+\left[\mathbf{x}^{a},\cdot,\cdot\right]+\ldots=\mathcal{P}^{abc}(z_{1},z_{2},z_{3}).$

• The contribution from x_0^a is so far undetermined

 $\left[\mathbf{x}^{a},\mathbf{x}^{b},\mathbf{x}^{c}\right]+\left[\mathbf{x}^{a},\mathbf{x}^{b},\cdot\right]+\left[\mathbf{x}^{a},\cdot,\cdot\right]+\ldots=\mathcal{P}^{abc}(z_{1},z_{2},z_{3}).$

The bracket involving only j_0^a vanishes via the Jacobi identity

 $\left[\mathbf{p}^{a}(z_{1}),\mathbf{p}^{b}(z_{2}),\mathbf{p}^{c}(z_{3})\right]\sim\left(f^{ab}{}_{u}f^{uc}{}_{v}+f^{bc}{}_{u}f^{ua}{}_{v}+f^{ca}{}_{u}f^{ub}{}_{v}\right)j_{0}^{v}=0,$

• The contribution from x_0^a is so far undetermined

 $[\mathbf{x}^a, \mathbf{x}^b, \mathbf{x}^c] + [\mathbf{x}^a, \mathbf{x}^b, \cdot] + [\mathbf{x}^a, \cdot, \cdot] + \ldots = \mathcal{P}^{abc}(z_1, z_2, z_3).$

- The bracket involving only j_0^a vanishes via the Jacobi identity $\left[\mathbf{p}^a(z_1), \mathbf{p}^b(z_2), \mathbf{p}^c(z_3)\right] \sim \left(f^{ab}_{\ u} f^{uc}_{\ v} + f^{bc}_{\ u} f^{ua}_{\ v} + f^{ca}_{\ u} f^{ub}_{\ v}\right) j_0^v = 0$,
- and similarly for

 $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{p}^{a}(z_{1}), \mathbf{p}^{b}(z_{2}), \mathbf{j}^{c}(z_{3}) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{p}^{a}(z_{1}), \mathbf{j}^{b}(z_{2}), \mathbf{p}^{c}(z_{3}) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{j}^{a}(z_{1}), \mathbf{p}^{b}(z_{2}), \mathbf{p}^{c}(z_{3}) \end{bmatrix} = 0,$ $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{p}^{a}(z_{1}), \mathbf{j}^{b}(z_{2}), \mathbf{j}^{c}(z_{3}) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{j}^{a}(z_{1}), \mathbf{p}^{b}(z_{2}), \mathbf{j}^{c}(z_{3}) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{j}^{a}(z_{1}), \mathbf{j}^{b}(z_{2}), \mathbf{p}^{c}(z_{3}) \end{bmatrix} = 0.$

• The contribution from x_0^a is so far undetermined

 $[\mathbf{x}^a, \mathbf{x}^b, \mathbf{x}^c] + [\mathbf{x}^a, \mathbf{x}^b, \cdot] + [\mathbf{x}^a, \cdot, \cdot] + \ldots = \mathcal{P}^{abc}(z_1, z_2, z_3).$

- The bracket involving only j_0^a vanishes via the Jacobi identity $\left[\mathbf{p}^a(z_1), \mathbf{p}^b(z_2), \mathbf{p}^c(z_3)\right] \sim \left(f^{ab}_{\ u} f^{uc}_{\ v} + f^{bc}_{\ u} f^{ua}_{\ v} + f^{ca}_{\ u} f^{ub}_{\ v}\right) j_0^v = 0$,
- and similarly for

 $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{p}^{a}(z_{1}), \mathbf{p}^{b}(z_{2}), \mathbf{j}^{c}(z_{3}) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{p}^{a}(z_{1}), \mathbf{j}^{b}(z_{2}), \mathbf{p}^{c}(z_{3}) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{j}^{a}(z_{1}), \mathbf{p}^{b}(z_{2}), \mathbf{p}^{c}(z_{3}) \end{bmatrix} = 0,$ $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{p}^{a}(z_{1}), \mathbf{j}^{b}(z_{2}), \mathbf{j}^{c}(z_{3}) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{j}^{a}(z_{1}), \mathbf{p}^{b}(z_{2}), \mathbf{j}^{c}(z_{3}) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{j}^{a}(z_{1}), \mathbf{j}^{b}(z_{2}), \mathbf{p}^{c}(z_{3}) \end{bmatrix} = 0.$

- The bracket involving only j_n^a can be written as

$$\left[\mathbf{j}^{a}(z_{1}),\mathbf{j}^{b}(z_{2}),\mathbf{j}^{c}(z_{3})\right] = -\frac{f^{abc}}{\sqrt{k}} \sum_{\substack{n,m\neq0\\n+m\neq0}} \frac{1}{n\,m} \left(\frac{z_{3}}{z_{1}}\right)^{n} \left(\frac{z_{3}}{z_{2}}\right)^{m} + \text{cyclic} \ .$$

To evaluate the sum, recall $z_i = \exp(\tau + i\sigma_i)$ and compute

$$\begin{split} \Gamma(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3) &= -\sum_{\substack{n, m \neq 0 \\ n+m \neq 0}} \frac{1}{n \, m} \left(\frac{z_3}{z_1}\right)^n \left(\frac{z_3}{z_2}\right)^m + \text{cyclic} \\ &= \begin{cases} -\pi^2 & \sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = \sigma_3 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}, \end{split}$$

Combining these results with the anti-holomorphic sector, one finds

$$\begin{bmatrix} X^a(z_1,\overline{z}_1), X^b(z_2,\overline{z}_2), X^c(z_3,\overline{z}_3) \end{bmatrix}$$

= $\mathcal{P}^{abc}(z_1,z_2,z_3) + \overline{\mathcal{P}}^{abc}(\overline{z}_1,\overline{z}_2,\overline{z}_3) + 2 \frac{f^{abc}}{\sqrt{k}} \Gamma(\sigma_1,\sigma_2,\sigma_3).$

Zero-mode contribution \mathcal{P}^{abc} :

- The algebra of the modes x_0^a is not known.
- The observed structure is very similar to the open string case.

Zero-mode contribution \mathcal{P}^{abc} :

- The algebra of the modes x_0^a is not known.
- The observed structure is very similar to the open string case.

We assume that

- the zero-mode contribution $\mathcal{P} + \overline{\mathcal{P}}$ is continuous,
- and for **generic** points z_i
- the equal-time double commutator has to vanish.

Zero-mode contribution \mathcal{P}^{abc} :

- The algebra of the modes x_0^a is not known.
- The observed structure is very similar to the open string case.

We assume that

- the zero-mode contribution $\mathcal{P} + \overline{\mathcal{P}}$ is continuous,
- and for **generic** points z_i
- the equal-time double commutator has to vanish.

Combining these arguments, one then arrives at

$$\begin{bmatrix} X^a(z_1,\overline{z}_1), X^b(z_2,\overline{z}_2), X^c(z_3,\overline{z}_3) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{cases} -\frac{2\pi^2}{\sqrt{k}} f^{abc} & \sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = \sigma_3 \\ 0 & \text{else} . \end{cases}$$

- 1. Motivation
- 2. Background
 - a) Preliminaries
 - b) Three-bracket
 - c) Summary
- 3. CFT
- 4. Some results

To study noncommutativity for closed strings,

- one may consider backgrounds with H-, ω -, Q- or R-flux.
- Noncommutative effects can be seen already at linear order in the flux.

2. Determine an algebra of functions

3. Construct a theory of gravity using such an algebra

- \rightarrow which background?
- \rightarrow how to do computations?
- \rightarrow mathematical properties?
- \rightarrow correct physical properties?
- $\rightarrow \dots$

 $\rightarrow \dots$

2. Determine an algebra of functions

3. Construct a theory of gravity using such an algebra

- \checkmark which background
- \rightarrow how to do computations?
- → mathematical properties?
 → correct physical properties?
- $\rightarrow \dots$

...

 \rightarrow

Blumenhagen, EP - 2010 Blumenhagen, Deser, Lüst, EP, Rennecke - 2011

- 1. Motivation
- 2. Background
- 3. CFT
- 4. Some results

- 1. Motivation
- 2. Background
- 3. CFT
- 4. Some results

Consider the following background (as part of a bosonic string construction)

$$ds^{2} = \sum_{a=1}^{3} (dX^{a})^{2} , \qquad \qquad H = \frac{2}{{\alpha'}^{2}} \theta_{abc} dX^{a} \wedge dX^{b} \wedge dX^{c}$$

At linear order in H (and for constant dilaton Φ), the β -functions are vanishing

$$\beta_{ab}^G = \alpha' R_{ab} - \frac{\alpha'}{4} H_a{}^{cd} H_{bcd} + 2\alpha' \nabla_a \nabla_b \Phi + O({\alpha'}^2) ,$$

$$\beta_{ab}^B = \dots .$$

Goal: construct a CFT describing this background (at linear order in H).

Consider the following background (as part of a bosonic string construction)

$$ds^{2} = \sum_{a=1}^{3} (dX^{a})^{2} , \qquad \qquad H = \frac{2}{{\alpha'}^{2}} \theta_{abc} dX^{a} \wedge dX^{b} \wedge dX^{c}$$

At linear order in H (and for constant dilaton Φ), the β -functions are vanishing

$$\beta_{ab}^G = \alpha' R_{ab} - \frac{\alpha'}{4} H_a{}^{cd} H_{bcd} + 2\alpha' \nabla_a \nabla_b \Phi + O({\alpha'}^2) ,$$

$$\beta_{ab}^B = \dots .$$

Goal: construct a CFT describing this background (at linear order in H).

- \rightarrow Using conformal perturbation theory,
- \rightarrow determine two- and three-point functions.

The sigma-model action for the closed string reads

$$\mathcal{S} = \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \int_{\Sigma} d^2 z \left(g_{ab} + B_{ab} \right) \partial X^a \,\overline{\partial} X^b \,,$$

which (in a convenient gauge) can be written as

$$S = S_0 + S_1$$
 with $S_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \frac{H_{abc}}{3} \int_{\Sigma} d^2 z \, X^a \partial X^b \,\overline{\partial} X^c$

• Correlation functions of operators \mathcal{O}_i are computed as

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_1 \dots \mathcal{O}_N \rangle = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \int [dX] \mathcal{O}_1 \dots \mathcal{O}_N e^{-\mathcal{S}[X]},$$

which can be expanded as

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_1 \dots \mathcal{O}_N \rangle = \langle \mathcal{O}_1 \dots \mathcal{O}_N \rangle_0 - \langle \mathcal{O}_1 \dots \mathcal{O}_N \mathcal{S}_1 \rangle_0 + \mathcal{O}(H^2).$$

Suitable holomorphic and antiholomorphic conformal fields are

$$\mathcal{J}^{a}(z) = i\partial X^{a}(z,\overline{z}) - \frac{1}{2}H^{a}{}_{bc}\partial X^{b}(z,\overline{z})X^{c}_{R}(\overline{z}) ,$$
$$\overline{\mathcal{J}}^{a}(\overline{z}) = i\overline{\partial}X^{a}(z,\overline{z}) - \frac{1}{2}H^{a}{}_{bc}X^{b}_{L}(z)\overline{\partial}X^{c}(z,\overline{z}) .$$

The nonvanishing (holomorphic) two- and three-point functions read

$$\left\langle \mathcal{J}^{a}(z_{1})\mathcal{J}^{b}(z_{2})\right\rangle = \frac{\alpha'}{2} \frac{1}{(z_{1}-z_{2})^{2}} \,\delta^{ab} ,$$

$$\left\langle \mathcal{J}^{a}(z_{1})\mathcal{J}^{b}(z_{2})\mathcal{J}^{c}(z_{3})\right\rangle = -i \,\frac{{\alpha'}^{2}}{8} \,H^{abc} \,\frac{1}{z_{12} \,z_{23} \,z_{13}}$$

The OPE derived from these correlators reads (up to linear order in H)

$$\mathcal{J}^{a}(z_{1}) \ \mathcal{J}^{b}(z_{2}) = \frac{\alpha'}{2} \frac{\delta^{ab}}{(z_{1}-z_{2})^{2}} - \frac{\alpha'}{4} \frac{i H^{ab}{}_{c}}{z_{1}-z_{2}} \ \mathcal{J}^{c}(z_{2}) + \text{reg.} \ .$$

The energy-momentum tensor (at linear order in H) has the form

$$\mathcal{T}(z) = \frac{1}{\alpha'} \,\delta_{ab} : \mathcal{J}^a \mathcal{J}^b : (z) \; .$$

→ The central charge is that of the free theory. → The fields \mathcal{J}^a are primary with dimension (1,0). Consider the integrated currents $\mathcal{X}_L^a(z)$ (and $\mathcal{X}_R^a(\overline{z})$) with OPE

$$\mathcal{J}^{a}(z_{1}) \mathcal{X}^{b}_{L}(z_{2}) = -i \frac{\alpha'}{2} \frac{\delta^{ab}}{z_{1} - z_{2}} + \frac{\alpha'}{4} H^{ab}{}_{c} \mathcal{J}^{c}(z_{2}) \log(z_{1} - z_{2}) + \text{reg.} .$$

Define vertex operators for the CFT at linear order in H as

$$\mathcal{V}(z,\overline{z}) = :\exp(ik_L \cdot \mathcal{X}_L + ik_R \cdot \mathcal{X}_R):, \qquad k^a_{L/R} = p^a \pm \frac{w^a}{\alpha'}$$

For the tachyon with $k_{L/R}^2 = \frac{4}{\alpha'}$, the vertex operator is primary and has conformal dimensions (1,1).

For a flat background with H-flux

- we have constructed a CFT at linear order in the flux,
- and defined a vertex operator for the tachyon (of the bosonic string).

- 1. Motivation
- 2. Background
- 3. CFT
- 4. Some results

The CFT is defined for H-flux – other flux-backgrounds are related via T-duality.

T-duality can be realized on the world-sheet by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X}_{L}^{a}(z) & & & \text{T-duality} & & +\mathcal{X}_{L}^{a}(z) \\ \mathcal{X}_{R}^{a}(\overline{z}) & & & & -\mathcal{X}_{R}^{a}(\overline{z}) \end{array}$$

Vertex operators with momentum $p^a \neq 0$ and winding $w_a = 0$ are only welldefined for H- and R-flux. First, define a function $\mathcal{L}(z)$ in terms of the Rogers dilogarithm L(z)

 $\mathcal{L}(z) = L(z) + L\left(1 - \frac{1}{z}\right) + L\left(\frac{1}{1-z}\right), \qquad L(z) = \text{Li}_2(z) + \frac{1}{2}\log(z)\log(1-z).$

The three-tachyon correlator (at linear order in the flux) then reads

$$\left\langle \mathcal{V}_{1} \mathcal{V}_{2} \mathcal{V}_{3} \right\rangle^{H/R} = \exp\left[-i\theta^{abc} p_{1,a} p_{2,b} p_{3,c} \left[\mathcal{L}\left(\frac{z_{12}}{z_{13}}\right) \mp \mathcal{L}\left(\frac{\overline{z}_{12}}{\overline{z}_{13}}\right)\right]\right]_{\theta} \left\langle \mathcal{V}_{1} \mathcal{V}_{2} \mathcal{V}_{3} \right\rangle_{0}^{H/R}$$

Using momentum conservation, the flux-dependent part vanishes (at linear order).

When permuting two vertex operators,

- in the case of H-flux, tachyon correlators are invariant;
- in the case of R-flux, one finds

$$\langle \mathcal{V}_2 \mathcal{V}_1 \mathcal{V}_3 \rangle^R = \exp\left[i \pi^2 \theta^{abc} p_{1,a} p_{2,b} p_{3,c}\right]_{\theta} \langle \mathcal{V}_1 \mathcal{V}_2 \mathcal{V}_3 \rangle^R$$

One can then define a tri-product as

$$f_1(x) \triangle f_2(x) \triangle f_3(x) := \exp\left(\frac{\pi^2}{2} \,\theta^{abc} \,\partial_a^{x_1} \,\partial_b^{x_2} \,\partial_c^{x_3}\right) f_1(x_1) \,f_2(x_2) \,f_3(x_3)\Big|_{x_1=x_2=x_3=x_3=x_3}$$

When permuting two vertex operators,

- in the case of H-flux, tachyon correlators are invariant;
- in the case of R-flux, one finds

$$\left\langle \mathcal{V}_2 \mathcal{V}_1 \mathcal{V}_3 \right\rangle^R = \exp\left[i\,\pi^2\,\theta^{abc}\,p_{1,a}\,p_{2,b}\,p_{3,c}\right]_{\theta} \left\langle \mathcal{V}_1\,\mathcal{V}_2\,\mathcal{V}_3 \right\rangle^R \,.$$

One can then define a tri-product as

$$f_1(x) \triangle f_2(x) \triangle f_3(x) := \exp\left(\frac{\pi^2}{2} \,\theta^{abc} \,\partial_a^{x_1} \,\partial_b^{x_2} \,\partial_c^{x_3}\right) f_1(x_1) \,f_2(x_2) \,f_3(x_3)\Big|_{x_1=x_2=x_3=x_3=x_3}$$

The tri-product correctly reproduces the three-bracket via

$$[X^{a}, X^{b}, X^{c}] = \sum_{\sigma \in P_{3}} \operatorname{sign}(\sigma) X^{\sigma(a)} \triangle X^{\sigma(b)} \triangle X^{\sigma(c)}$$

Employing the previously defined CFT up to linear order in the flux,

- from tachyon correlation functions
- a tri-product on functions has been defined for the case of R-flux.

$$f_1(x) \triangle f_2(x) \triangle f_3(x) := \exp\left(\frac{\pi^2}{2} \,\theta^{abc} \,\partial_a^{x_1} \,\partial_b^{x_2} \,\partial_c^{x_3}\right) f_1(x_1) \,f_2(x_2) \,f_3(x_3)\Big|_{x_1=x_2=x_3=x} \,.$$

2. Determine an algebra of functions

3. Construct a theory of gravity using such an algebra

- \rightarrow which background?
- \rightarrow how to do computations?
- \rightarrow mathematical properties?
- \rightarrow correct physical properties?
- $\rightarrow \dots$

 $\rightarrow \dots$

2. Determine an algebra of functions

3. Construct a theory of gravity using such an algebra

- \checkmark which background
- \rightarrow how to do computations?
- → mathematical properties?
 → correct physical properties?
- $\rightarrow \dots$
- $\rightarrow \dots$

2. Determine an algebra of functions

3. Construct a theory of gravity using such an algebra

- ✓ which background✓ how to do computations
- → mathematical properties?
 → correct physical properties?
 → ...

 \rightarrow

. . .

2. Determine an algebra of functions

3. Construct a theory of gravity using such an algebra

- ✓ which background✓ how to do computations
- → mathematical properties
 → correct physical properties?
- $\rightarrow \dots$
- $\rightarrow \dots$

- has been computed and the pole structure has been analyzed,
- revealing new tachyons in the spectrum (of the bosonic string).

Conjecture:

- The instability indicated by the tachyons points towards a relation
- between left-right-asymmetric string and R-flux backgrounds.

- has been computed and the pole structure has been analyzed,
- revealing new tachyons in the spectrum (of the bosonic string).

Conjecture:

- The instability indicated by the tachyons points towards a relation
- between left-right-asymmetric string and R-flux backgrounds.

 \mathbb{T}^3 with H-flux

 \mathbb{T}^3 with R-flux

- has been computed and the pole structure has been analyzed,
- revealing new tachyons in the spectrum (of the bosonic string).

Conjecture:

- The instability indicated by the tachyons points towards a relation
- between left-right-asymmetric string and R-flux backgrounds.



 \mathbb{T}^3 with R-flux

- has been computed and the pole structure has been analyzed,
- revealing new tachyons in the spectrum (of the bosonic string).

Conjecture:

- The instability indicated by the tachyons points towards a relation
- between left-right-asymmetric string and R-flux backgrounds.

