

# Quantum Nambu Geometry from D1-Strings in Large RR Flux

Chong-Sun Chu

Durham University, UK

to appear  
with Gurdeep Sehmbi

Corfu, Sept 9, 2011

# Outline

- 1 Motivations
- 2 D1-branes in large RR  $F_3$  background
- 3 Representation of Nambu-Heisenberg commutation relation
- 4 Physics of D1-strings Matrix Model
- 5 Conclusions

# Outline

- 1 Motivations
- 2 D1-branes in large RR  $F_3$  background
- 3 Representation of Nambu-Heisenberg commutation relation
- 4 Physics of D1-strings Matrix Model
- 5 Conclusions

# Quantized spacetime

- It is generally expected that the usual description of spacetime in terms of a Riemannian geometry would break down above the Planck energy scale.
- A possibility is that geometry is quantized and spacetime coordinates become quantum operators.
- In this case, traditional spacetime concepts such as locality and causality and even the fundamental nature of spacetime itself, will have to be re-examined.

Q. What can one learn from string theory?

# Quantized spacetime

- It is generally expected that the usual description of spacetime in terms of a Riemannian geometry would break down above the Planck energy scale.
- A possibility is that geometry is quantized and spacetime coordinates become quantum operators.
- In this case, traditional spacetime concepts such as locality and causality and even the fundamental nature of spacetime itself, will have to be re-examined.

Q. What can one learn from string theory?

# Lie algebra type NCG in string: $B$ – field

- Example 1: The worldvolume of a D-brane become noncommutative when a constant NSNS  $B$ -field is turned on:

$$\text{Noncommutative geometry: } [X^i, X^j] = i\theta^{ij}.$$

Connes, Douglas, Schwarz (1997); Douglas, Hull (1997); Chu, Ho (1998); Schomerus (1999); Seiberg, Witten (1999)

- This result can be derived by quantizing open string in NSNS  $B$ -field. One obtains:
  1. the NCG for the endpoint of the open string, i.e. the D-brane.
  2. moreover one obtains automatically the open string metric.

Chu, Ho (1998)

# Lie algebra type NCG in string: Marix model

- Example 2: NCG could be obtained as classical solution of equation of motion of BFSS or IKKT matrix models
- BFSS matrix model

$$S = \int dt (D_t X^I)^2 - [X^I, X^J]^2 + \text{fermions}$$

- EOM

$$D_t^2 X^I - [X^J, [X^I, X^J]] = 0$$

has time independent soln

$$[X^I, X^J] = i\theta^{IJ}.$$

These can be interpreted as the spacetime coordinates of the worldvolume of a D-brane in  $B$ -field. Seiberg (2000)

# Lie algebra type NCG in string: Myers effect

- **Example 3: NCG also arises due to Myers effect**
- In the presence of a RR-flux, a collection of D0-branes can expand and become a D2-brane whose worldvolume is described by a fuzzy sphere

$$[X^i, X^j] = i\epsilon^{ijk} X^k, \quad X^2 = R^2.$$

Myers (1999)

- also derived using open string without using Myers effective action  
Alekseev, Recknagel, Schomerus (2000)

All very nice!

But ....

Q2. Any example which is not Lie-algebraic type?



# Lie algebra type NCG in string: Myers effect

- **Example 3: NCG also arises due to Myers effect**
- In the presence of a RR-flux, a collection of D0-branes can expand and become a D2-brane whose worldvolume is described by a fuzzy sphere

$$[X^i, X^j] = i\epsilon^{ijk} X^k, \quad X^2 = R^2.$$

Myers (1999)

- also derived using open string without using Myers effective action  
Alekseev, Recknagel, Schomerus (2000)

All very nice!

But ....

Q2. Any example which is not Lie-algebraic type?

# Lie algebra type NCG in string: Myers effect

- **Example 3: NCG also arises due to Myers effect**
- In the presence of a RR-flux, a collection of D0-branes can expand and become a D2-brane whose worldvolume is described by a fuzzy sphere

$$[X^i, X^j] = i\epsilon^{ijk} X^k, \quad X^2 = R^2.$$

Myers (1999)

- also derived using open string without using Myers effective action  
Alekseev, Recknagel, Schomerus (2000)

All very nice!

But ....

Q2. Any example which is not Lie-algebraic type?

## 3-bracket geometry: M5 brane with constant 3-form $C$

- Aim was achieved partially recently
- Consistency between the different descriptions of the M2-M5 intersecting branes system implies that the M5-brane geometry in the presence of a constant 3-form  $C$ -field takes the form of

$$[X^i, X^j, X^k] = i\theta\epsilon^{ijk}, \quad i, j, k = 2, 3, 4$$

Chu, Smith (2009)

- The reason why a Lie 3-bracket appears is because the geometry of the M5-brane was inferred from the boundary dynamics of the open M2-branes (BLG theory) which end on it
- In a QFT, necessary to understand the relation as an operator relation. However so far no representation of Lie 3-algebra have been constructed.

Need to consider different possibilities

## 3-bracket geometry: M5 brane with constant 3-form $C$

- Aim was achieved partially recently
- Consistency between the different descriptions of the M2-M5 intersecting branes system implies that the M5-brane geometry in the presence of a constant 3-form  $C$ -field takes the form of

$$[X^i, X^j, X^k] = i\theta\epsilon^{ijk}, \quad i, j, k = 2, 3, 4$$

Chu, Smith (2009)

- The reason why a Lie 3-bracket appears is because the geometry of the M5-brane was inferred from the boundary dynamics of the open M2-branes (BLG theory) which end on it
- In a QFT, necessary to understand the relation as an operator relation. However so far no representation of Lie 3-algebra have been constructed.

Need to consider different possibilities

# Quantum Nambu geometry from D1-branes in large RR-flux

- We will show that:  
the 3-bracket geometry arises as classical solution of matrix model of D1-strings in a background of large RR 3-form field strength due to Myer effects
- The 3-bracket is given by

$$[f, g, h] := fgh + ghf + hfg - fhg - gfh - hgf,$$

defined on ordinary operators.

- This 3-bracket was originally proposed by Nambu (1973), so we will refer the geometry as Quantum Nambu geometry

# Quantum Nambu geometry from D1-branes in large RR-flux

- We will show that:  
the 3-bracket geometry arises as classical solution of matrix model of D1-strings in a background of large RR 3-form field strength due to Myer effects
- The 3-bracket is given by

$$[f, g, h] := fgh + ghf + hfg - fhg - gfh - hgf,$$

defined on ordinary operators.

- This 3-bracket was originally proposed by Nambu (1973), so we will refer the geometry as **Quantum Nambu geometry**

# Outline

- 1 Motivations
- 2 D1-branes in large RR  $F_3$  background
- 3 Representation of Nambu-Heisenberg commutation relation
- 4 Physics of D1-strings Matrix Model
- 5 Conclusions

# Exact IIB background with $F_3$ flux

- An exact IIB supergravity background with constant RR  $F_3$  flux can be constructed Chu, Ho (2010)
- start with  $AdS_5 \times S^5$  with

$$F_5 = \begin{cases} c\epsilon_5 & 01234, \\ c\epsilon'_5 & 56789, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and turns on a constant  $F_3$  flux in the 123 direction

$$F_3 = f\epsilon_{ijk}, \quad i, j, k = 1, 2, 3,$$

- Both  $F_3$  and  $F_5$  contributes a term like a cosmological constant to the Einstein equation.



# Exact IIB background with $F_3$ flux

- Can adjust  $f \sim c$  to balanced out the contribution of  $F_5$  to the Einstein equation and flatten a 3d part of  $AdS_5$  to  $R^3 \times AdS_2$ .
- The metric is  $R^3 \times AdS_2 \times S^5$

$$ds^2 = \sum_{i=1}^3 (dX^i)^2 + R^2 \left( \frac{-dt^2 + dU^2}{U^2} \right) + R'^2 d\Omega_{S^5}^2,$$

where

$$R^2 = 2e^{-2\Phi}/f^2, \quad R'^2 = 40R^2,$$

- Nonsupersymmetric due to nonzero  $F_3$ .

# D1-strings action

- The worldvolume action for the D1-branes is given by the Non-abelian Born-Infeld action plus the Chern-Simons term of the Myers type

- 

$$S_{CS} = \mu_1 \int \text{Tr} P(e^{i\lambda i_\Phi i_\Phi} \sum_n C_n) e^{\lambda F}.$$

Myers (1999)

- For us,

$$\begin{aligned} S_{CS} &= \mu_1 \int \text{Tr} \left[ \lambda F \chi + P C_2 + i\lambda^2 F i_\Phi i_\Phi C_2 + i\lambda P i_\Phi i_\Phi C_4 - \frac{\lambda^3}{2} F i_\Phi^4 C_4 \right] \\ &:= S_\chi + S_{C_2} + S_{C_4}, \end{aligned}$$

where,  $C_2 = f \epsilon_{ijk} X^i dX^j dX^k$ .

# D1-strings action

- **Nonabelian Born-Infeld in curved space is much less understood**
- **Two sources of difficulties:**
  1. ambiguity associated with ordering of  $F$
  2. incorporation of a curved metric  $G_{IJ}(X)$
- A natural proposal is to promote the metric to become a matrix  $G_{IJ}(X)$  and to incorporate the effect of curved space with the action,

$$S_X/\mu_1 := \int d^{p+1}\sigma \sqrt{-\det G_{\alpha\beta}} \left( G_{IJ}(X) D_\alpha X^I D_\beta X^J G^{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{\alpha'} G_{IJ}(X) G_{KL}(X) [X^I, X^K] [X^J, X^L] \right),$$

It was proposed that the ambiguities in  $G_{IJ}(X)$  could be resolved by requiring that the IR gravitational physics be correctly reproduced.

- For  $p = 0$ , it was proposed that the action gives the Matrix theory in curved space.

Douglas (1999)

# D1-strings action

- **Nonabelian Born-Infeld in curved space is much less understood**
- **Two sources of difficulties:**
  - 1, ambiguity associated with ordering of  $F$
  2. incorporation of a curved metric  $G_{IJ}(X)$
- A natural proposal is to promote the metric to become a matrix  $G_{IJ}(X)$  and to incorporate the effect of curved space with the action,

$$S_X/\mu_1 := \int d^{p+1}\sigma \sqrt{-\det G_{\alpha\beta}} \left( G_{IJ}(X) D_\alpha X^I D_\beta X^J G^{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{\alpha'} G_{IJ}(X) G_{KL}(X) [X^I, X^K][X^J, X^L] \right),$$

It was proposed that the ambiguities in  $G_{IJ}(X)$  could be resolved by requiring that the IR gravitational physics be correctly reproduced.

- For  $p = 0$ , it was proposed that the action gives the Matrix theory in curved space.

Douglas (1999)

# D1-strings action

- **Nonabelian Born-Infeld in curved space is much less understood**
- **Two sources of difficulties:**
  - 1, ambiguity associated with ordering of  $F$
  2. incorporation of a curved metric  $G_{IJ}(X)$
- A natural proposal is to promote the metric to become a matrix  $G_{IJ}(X)$  and to incorporate the effect of curved space with the action,

$$S_X/\mu_1 := \int d^{p+1}\sigma \sqrt{-\det G_{\alpha\beta}} \left( G_{IJ}(X) D_\alpha X^I D_\beta X^J G^{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{\alpha'} G_{IJ}(X) G_{KL}(X) [X^I, X^K][X^J, X^L] \right),$$

It was proposed that the ambiguities in  $G_{IJ}(X)$  could be resolved by requiring that the IR gravitational physics be correctly reproduced.

- For  $p = 0$ , it was proposed that the action gives the Matrix theory in curved space.

Douglas (1999)

# D1-strings action

- Nonabelian Born-Infeld in curved space is much less understood
- Two sources of difficulties:
  - 1, ambiguity associated with ordering of  $F$
  2. incorporation of a curved metric  $G_{IJ}(X)$
- A natural proposal is to promote the metric to become a matrix  $G_{IJ}(X)$  and to incorporate the effect of curved space with the action,

$$S_X/\mu_1 := \int d^{p+1}\sigma \sqrt{-\det G_{\alpha\beta}} \left( G_{IJ}(X) D_\alpha X^I D_\beta X^J G^{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{\alpha'} G_{IJ}(X) G_{KL}(X) [X^I, X^K][X^J, X^L] \right),$$

It was proposed that the ambiguities in  $G_{IJ}(X)$  could be resolved by requiring that the IR gravitational physics be correctly reproduced.

- For  $p = 0$ , it was proposed that the action gives the Matrix theory in curved space.

Douglas (1999)

# D1-strings action

- Full D1 strings action is

$$S := S_X + S_{CS} + S_{YM},$$

where the Yang-Mills term is

$$S_{YM}/\mu_1 = \alpha'^2 \int \sqrt{-\det G_{\alpha\beta}} F_{\alpha\beta} F_{\alpha'\beta'} G^{\alpha\alpha'} G^{\beta\beta'}$$

- Due to the specific form of our background, the scalars  $X^i (i = 1, 2, 3)$  and  $X^{i'} (i' = 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, \text{ sphere directions})$  decoupled from each other.
- Consistent to set  $X^{i'} = 0$  and focus on the sector of  $X^i$  and gauge fields.

# Large $F_3$ double scaling limit

- Turns out there is a double scaling limit  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha' &\sim \epsilon, \\ f &\sim \epsilon^{-a}, \quad a > 0,\end{aligned}$$

with  $1/2 < a < 2$ , the low energy action of  $N$  D1-branes in a large  $F_3$  background is given by the  $C_2$  terms  $S_{C_2}$ :

$$\mu_1 f \int d^2\sigma \text{Tr} \left( \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijk} X^i D_\alpha X^j D_\beta X^k \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \right) + \mu_1 f \int d^2\sigma \text{Tr} (i F X^i X^j X^k \epsilon_{ijk})$$

such that the dominant terms in the EOM are reproduced.

- This matrix model described the physics of D1-strings in a large  $F_3$  background.



## Remarks:

- The dominance of the system by a topological term is similar to what happened in the discussions of Susskind et al (2001) where the effects of a Lorentz force term

$$L = \frac{\mu_0 H_3}{2} \epsilon_{ij} \text{Tr} X^i D_t X^j, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

on the physics of a system of  $N$  D0-branes dissolved in a D2-brane (whose spatial directions are  $i = 1, 2$ ) was studied.

- The equation of motion of  $L$  is solved with any configuration  $D_t X^i = 0$ .
- A specific solution  $[x^i, x^j] = i\theta \epsilon^{ij}$  which corresponds to a D2-brane charge density were considered.

# Quantum Nambu geometry as classical solution

- The matrix model has classical solution

$$D_\alpha X^i = 0. \quad F = 0.$$

- Moyal type noncommutative geometry

$$[X^i, X^j] = i\theta^{ij}$$

is allowed. But there is also the new solution

$$[X^i, X^j, X^k] = i\theta\epsilon^{ijk},$$

where  $\theta$  is a constant and the 3-bracket is given by the Quantum Nambu bracket.

## Remark 1:

- The 3-bracket was originally introduced by Nambu as a possible candidate of the quantization of the classical Nambu bracket

$$\{f, g, h\} := \epsilon^{ijk} \partial_i f \partial_j g \partial_k h.$$

- Nambu was interested in generalizing the Hamiltonian mechanics to the form (Nambu mechanics)

$$\frac{df}{dt} = \{H_1, H_2, f\},$$

which involves two "Hamiltonians"  $H_1, H_2$ . Fundamental identity was not needed in his consideration (in fact not satisfied).

- The concept of fundamental identity was introduced almost 20 years later by Takhtajan (and Baryen and Flato independently) as a natural condition for his definition of a [Nambu-Poisson manifold](#).
- This allowed him to formulate the Nambu mechanics in an invariant geometric form similar to that of Hamiltonian mechanics.

Remark 2:

- In attempt to interpret the M5-brane geometry in C-field

$$[X^i, X^j, X^k] = i\theta^{ijk}$$

The quantization of the Lie 3-bracket was considered recently:

- - Quantization of Nambu-Poisson manifold  
DeBellis, Samann, Szabo (2011).
- Construction of representation of Lie 3-algebra in terms of a  
Cartan-Weyl basis  
Chu (2010).

For us here,

- no need to worry about fund identity.
- Quantization is made ready as 3-bracket is written in terms of operators.

Need only to construct representation of these operators

Remark 2:

- In attempt to intrepert the M5-brane geometry in C-field

$$[X^i, X^j, X^k] = i\theta^{ijk}$$

The quantization of the Lie 3-bracket was considered recently:

- - Quantization of Nambu-Poisson manifold DeBellis, Samann, Szabo (2011).
- Construction of representation of Lie 3-algebra in terms of a Cartan-Weyl basis Chu (2010).

For us here,

- no need to worry about fund identity.
- Quantization is made ready as 3-bracket is written in terms of operators.

Need only to construct representation of these operators

Remark 2:

- In attempt to interpret the M5-brane geometry in C-field

$$[X^i, X^j, X^k] = i\theta^{ijk}$$

The quantization of the Lie 3-bracket was considered recently:

- - Quantization of Nambu-Poisson manifold  
DeBellis, Samann, Szabo (2011).
- Construction of representation of Lie 3-algebra in terms of a  
Cartan-Weyl basis  
Chu (2010).

For us here,

- no need to worry about fund identity.
- Quantization is made ready as 3-bracket is written in terms of operators.

Need only to construct representation of these operators

# Outline

- 1 Motivations
- 2 D1-branes in large RR  $F_3$  background
- 3 Representation of Nambu-Heisenberg commutation relation**
- 4 Physics of D1-strings Matrix Model
- 5 Conclusions

# Finite $SU(2)$ representations

- An intermediate observation is that if we start with the standard  $SU(2)$  algebra

$$[l_i, l_j] = i\epsilon_{ijk}l_k,$$

then with  $X^i = \alpha l_i$  for a constant  $\alpha$ , we have

$$[X^i, X^j, X^k] = i\alpha^2 C_R,$$

where  $C_R$  is the quadratic Casimir for the representation  $R$  where  $X^i$  is in.

- For  $N \times N$  matrices,  $C_N = (N^2 - 1)/4$  and so if we choose  $\alpha^2 = \theta/C_N$ , then we can realize the NH relation with  $N \times N$  matrices.

In what sense we have a new quantized geometry?



# Finite $SU(2)$ representations

- An intermediate observation is that if we start with the standard  $SU(2)$  algebra

$$[l_i, l_j] = i\epsilon_{ijk}l_k,$$

then with  $X^i = \alpha l_i$  for a constant  $\alpha$ , we have

$$[X^i, X^j, X^k] = i\alpha^2 C_R,$$

where  $C_R$  is the quadratic Casimir for the representation  $R$  where  $X^i$  is in.

- For  $N \times N$  matrices,  $C_N = (N^2 - 1)/4$  and so if we choose  $\alpha^2 = \theta/C_N$ , then we can realize the NH relation with  $N \times N$  matrices.

In what sense we have a new quantized geometry?

- Turns out in the large  $N$  limit, there are new representations that cannot be considered as limits of the above form, i.e. not representations of  $SU(2)$  or any Lie algebra.
- It is the existence of these new representations that demonstrates the indecomposable and fundamental nature of the Nambu-Heisenberg commutation relation.

# Infinite dimensional representation 1: $Z, \bar{Z}, X$

Two example of representations:

- Hermitian  $X^i$ 's and introduce the complex coordinates

$$Z := X^1 + iX^2, \quad \bar{Z} := X^1 - iX^2, \quad X := X^3.$$

Then  $[X, Z, \bar{Z}] = 2\theta$ .

- Consider an ansatz

$$Z|\omega\rangle = f_1(\omega)|\omega + \beta\rangle + f_2(\omega)|\omega - \beta\rangle,$$

$$\bar{Z}|\omega\rangle = f_2^*(\omega + \beta)|\omega + \beta\rangle + f_1^*(\omega - \beta)|\omega - \beta\rangle,$$

$$X|\omega\rangle = g(\omega)|\omega\rangle,$$

- The introduction of  $Z, \bar{Z}$  is motivated by the creation and annihilation operators for the Heisenberg commutation relation. Thus natural to consider the representation with  $f_2 = 0$  or  $f_1 = 0$ . However this gives a constraint of the form

$$Z\bar{Z} + \bar{Z}Z = \mathcal{Z}(X)$$

for some function  $\mathcal{Z}$  and so describes at most a 2-dimensional spaces.

Therefore we are prompt to the above ansatz.

# Infinite dimensional representation 1: $Z, \bar{Z}, X$

- Skipping the details, a solution can be stated

$$g(\omega) = \cos \alpha \omega,$$

$$|f_1(\omega)|^2 = |f_2(\omega)|^2 = k_0 - \frac{4\theta}{3} \cos \alpha \omega,$$

where  $k_0 > 4\theta/3$ ,  $\alpha = \frac{\pi}{3\beta}(6n \pm 1)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

- The representation space is given by the 1-dimensional lattice

$$\{|\omega + n\rangle : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

and is of countably infinite dimension.

## Infinite dimensional representation 2: $Z_3$ symmetry

- Let  $\rho = e^{2\pi i/3}$ , cubic root of unity and consider

$$\begin{aligned} X_1|\omega\rangle &= (\omega + a)|\omega + 1\rangle, \\ X_2|\omega\rangle &= \rho^2(\omega + a\rho)|\omega + \rho\rangle, \\ X_3|\omega\rangle &= \rho(\omega + a\rho^2)|\omega + \rho^2\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

it is

$$[X_1, X_2, X_3]|\omega\rangle = 3(a^2 - a)(\rho - \rho^2)|\omega\rangle,$$

Thus so long as  $a \neq 1$ , we have a nonzero  $\theta$ .

- In this representation the fields  $X_1, X_2, X_3$  are not hermitian. They are however related through a unitary transformation,

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= U^\dagger X_3 U, \\ X_2 &= U^\dagger X_1 U, \\ X_3 &= U^\dagger X_2 U, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$U|\omega\rangle = |\rho^2\omega\rangle,$$

## Infinite dimensional representation 2: $Z_3$ symmetry

- In this construction, the representation space is given by the 2-dimensional lattice

$$\{|m + n\rho\rangle : m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

and is of countably infinite dimension.

## Remarks:

1. Infinite dimensional representation has also been constructed by Takhtajan. However his representation is complex as the operators  $X^i$  are not represented as Hermitian operators there. So his representation is for a deformation of a 6 real dimensional space.
2. There is probably infinite number of inequivalent infinite dimensional representations. We gave two examples here.

Precisely which representation is to be used is a question that depends on the physics under consideration.

# Outline

- 1 Motivations
- 2 D1-branes in large RR  $F_3$  background
- 3 Representation of Nambu-Heisenberg commutation relation
- 4 Physics of D1-strings Matrix Model**
- 5 Conclusions



# Small fluctuations around the Nambu geometry

Recall

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_{C_2} &= \mu_1 f \int d^2\sigma \text{Tr} \left( \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijk} X^i D_\alpha X^j D_\beta X^k \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \right) + \mu_1 f \int d^2\sigma \text{Tr} (i F X^i X^j X^k \epsilon_{ijk}) \\
 &= \mu_1 f (L_1 + L_2)
 \end{aligned}$$

- Consider fluctuation around the classical solution  $X_{cl}^i = x^i$

$$[x^i, x^j, x^k] = i \epsilon^{ijk} \theta.$$

parametrized as

$$X^i = \frac{x^i}{\theta} \mathbf{1}_{K \times K} + \epsilon^{ijk} B_{jk}(\sigma^\alpha, x^i),$$

- The two-form  $B_2$  is analagous to the one-form gauge field  $A$  used in the perturbative solution to non-commutative Yang-Mills.

# Small fluctuations around the Nambu geometry

- We obtain

$$L_1 = \int_x \text{tr}(\epsilon^{l_1 l_2 l_3} \epsilon^{m_1 m_2 m_3} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} (B_{l_1 m_1} D_\alpha B_{l_2 m_2} D_\beta B_{l_3 m_3})),$$

Here  $\int_x$  is an integral on the quantum Nambu geometry which can be constructed from a representation of the geometry.

This term has a form analogous to the kinetic piece of a Chern-Simons theory.

- For  $L_2$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} [X^2, X^3, X^4] &= \frac{i}{\theta^2} + \frac{1}{2\theta^2} [x^i, x^j, B_{ij}] - \frac{1}{4\theta} \epsilon^{ijk} [x^l, B_{li}, B_{jk}] + \frac{1}{3} [B_{ii'}, B_{jj'}, B_{kk'}] \epsilon^{ijk} \epsilon^{i'j'k'} \\ &:= \frac{i}{\theta^2} + H \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$L_2 = i \int_x \text{tr}(FH).$$

- Not clear if the 5-dimensional theory has an interpretation as worldvolume theory of some branes.
- A theory of non-abelian self-dual two forms reduced to 5-dimensions?

# Quantum field theory on quantum Nambu geometry

- Interesting question to construct quantum field theory on the quantum Nambu geometry.
- An integral on the space can be constructed from the representation as a trace.
- The Nambu-Heisenberg relation implies a kind of minimal volume relation. What is its manifestation in a quantum field theory?
- work in progress

# Outline

- 1 Motivations
- 2 D1-branes in large RR  $F_3$  background
- 3 Representation of Nambu-Heisenberg commutation relation
- 4 Physics of D1-strings Matrix Model
- 5 Conclusions**

# Conclusions

- We have obtained Quantum Nambu geometry in string theory
- We have studied representation of the geometry and demonstrated that the geometry is not reducible to known Lie-algebraic type.
- Physics on this kind of quantum spaces may be interesting.
- Small fluctuation of D1-brane around quantum Nambu geometry suggests a formulation of “Non-Abelian” self-dual tensor multiplet on multiple M5-branes?

Thank you!

# Conclusions

- We have obtained Quantum Nambu geometry in string theory
- We have studied representation of the geometry and demonstrated that the geometry is not reducible to known Lie-algebraic type.
- Physics on this kind of quantum spaces may be interesting.
- Small fluctuation of D1-brane around quantum Nambu geometry suggests a formulation of “Non-Abelian” self-dual tensor multiplet on multiple M5-branes?

Thank you!