Non-equilibrium current and relaxation dynamics of a charge-fluctuating quantum dot

S. Andergassen

C. Karrasch, M. Pletyukhov, D. Schuricht, V. Meden, H. Schoeller

L. Borda (Budapest University)

Corfu, 13.9.2010





Motivation

Study of *non-equilibrium current and relaxation dynamics* with two complementary RG approaches

- \rightarrow analytic solution of flow equations
 - identification of microscopic cutoff scales
 - description of relaxation dynamics into steady state
- \rightarrow access *all* parameter regimes
 - interesting non-equilibrium effects away from p-h or left-right symmetry and resonances at $\epsilon = \pm V/2$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

 \rightarrow time dependence

Outline

- $\rightarrow \text{ Model}$
- $\rightarrow\,$ Methods: Real-time and functional RG

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

- $\rightarrow~\mbox{Results:}$
 - steady-state quantities
 - time evolution
- \rightarrow Summary

Interacting resonant level model

minimal model for charge-fluctuating quantum dot

$$H = \sum_{k\alpha} (\epsilon_{k\alpha} + \mu_{\alpha}) a^{\dagger}_{k\alpha} a_{k\alpha} + \epsilon c^{\dagger} c \qquad U_{L} \qquad U_{R}$$
$$+ \sum_{k\alpha} t_{\alpha} (a^{\dagger}_{k\alpha} c + \text{h.c.}) \qquad \mu_{L} \qquad \stackrel{\leftarrow}{\underset{L}{\longrightarrow}} t_{L} \qquad \stackrel{\leftarrow}{\underset{L}{\longrightarrow}} t_{R} \qquad \mu_{R}$$
$$+ (\hat{n} - \frac{1}{2}) \sum_{kk'\alpha} U_{\alpha} : a^{\dagger}_{k\alpha} a_{k'\alpha} :$$

with $\alpha = L, R$, hybridization $\Gamma_{\alpha}^{0} = 2\pi t_{\alpha}^{2}$, and $\mu_{L/R} = \pm V/2$

scaling limit:

 $\begin{array}{ll} t_L, \ t_R \to 0, \quad D \to \infty \quad \text{and} \ T_K \text{ constant} \\ \text{where} \ T_K = \sum_{\alpha} T_K^{\alpha}, \quad T_K^{\alpha} = \Gamma_{\alpha}^0 \left(\frac{D}{T_K} \right)^{2U_{\alpha}} \end{array}$

Previous approaches

equilibrium:

- relation to x-ray edge problem Nozière, De Dominicis '69
- mapping to Kondo model Wiegmann, Finkelstein '78
- Bethe Ansatz Filyov, Wiegmann '80
- perturbative RG Schlottmann '80

non-equilibrium:

- Scattering BA Mehta, Andrei '06
- Scattering states, perturbation theory Doyon '07
- Perturbative RG, equilibrium NRG Borda et al. '07

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- TD-DMRG, Field Theory Boulat et al. '08

Previous results





-

- negative differential conductance for large V
- power-law behavior of current in scaling limit

open questions:

- \rightarrow non-equilibrium effects beyond V as additional cutoff ?
- \rightarrow access all parameter regimes
- \rightarrow time dependence

Functional RG and real-time RG in frequency space

both for small to intermediate Coulomb interactions

- → Functional RG (FRG) captures steady state for *arbitrary* system parameters
- \rightarrow Real-time RG in frequency space (RTRG-FS) allows for *analytic* description of steady state *and* relaxation dynamics in scaling limit

 \Rightarrow combined use leads to reliable and comprehensive physical picture

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Gezzi et al., Jakobs et al. '07; Jakobs et al., Schoeller '09

Method: functional renormalization group

- non-equilibrium Green's function $\mathcal{G}_{ij}(\omega) = \begin{pmatrix} G_{ij}^{--}(\omega) & G_{ij}^{-+}(\omega) \\ G_{ij}^{+-}(\omega) & G_{ij}^{++}(\omega) \end{pmatrix}$ $\mu_{L} = \frac{V}{2} \cdots \underbrace{t}_{t} \underbrace{\bigcup_{t_{L}} \underbrace{\bigcup_{t_{R}} \underbrace{\bigcup_{t_{R}}$

- cutoff via auxiliary reservoir $t_\Lambda=\sqrt{\Lambda}\,t\,$ with $\Lambda=\infty\,\rightarrow\,0$
- hierarchy of flow equations truncated by $\Gamma^{\Lambda}=\Gamma^{\Lambda_0}$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \Lambda} \Sigma^{\Lambda} = \prod_{\Gamma^{\Lambda}}^{S^{\Lambda}} S^{\Lambda} = -\mathcal{G}^{\Lambda} \left[\partial_{\Lambda} (\mathcal{G}_{0}^{\Lambda})^{-1} \right] \mathcal{G}^{\Lambda}$$

- \rightarrow transport by Landauer-Büttiker:
 - $I_L = 2\pi i t^2 \int \rho_{\text{bath}} \left[f_L(\omega) G_{ii}^{+-}(\omega) + (1 f_L(\omega)) G_{ii}^{-+}(\omega) \right] d\omega$

Wetterich '93; Morris '94; Metzner '99; Salmhofer and Honerkamp '01, 👘 🚽

Flow equations of rates Γ_{α} (scaling limit)

$$\frac{d\Gamma_{\alpha}}{d\Lambda} = -2U_{\alpha}\Gamma_{\alpha}\frac{\Lambda+\Gamma/2}{(\mu_{\alpha}-\epsilon)^{2}+(\Lambda+\Gamma/2)^{2}} \quad \rightarrow \quad \Gamma_{\alpha} = \Gamma_{\alpha}^{0}\left(\frac{\Lambda_{0}}{\Lambda_{c,\alpha}}\right)^{2U_{\alpha}}$$

with $\Gamma = \sum_{\alpha} \Gamma_{\alpha}$, $\Lambda_0 \sim D$, and cutoff $\Lambda_{c,\alpha} = \max\{|\mu_{\alpha} - \epsilon|, \Gamma/2\}$

$$V \gg \Gamma \Rightarrow off$$
-resonance $|\frac{V}{2} - \epsilon| > \Gamma \rightarrow \Lambda_{c,\alpha} = V$
on-resonance $\frac{V}{2} = \epsilon \rightarrow \Lambda_{c,L} = \Gamma/2$ and $\Lambda_{c,R} = V$

scaling limit: $\Lambda_0 \to \infty, \ \Gamma^0_\alpha \to 0$

 \rightarrow express in terms of invariant scale $T_K = \sum_{\alpha} \Gamma^0_{\alpha} \left(\frac{2\Lambda_0}{T_K}\right)^{2U_{\alpha}}$ and asymmetry parameter $c^2 = T_K^L/T_K^R$

$$\Rightarrow \Gamma_L = c T_K \left(\frac{T_K}{2\Lambda_{L,\alpha}}\right)^{2U_L} \frac{c}{1+c^2}$$
$$\Gamma_R = \frac{1}{c} T_K \left(\frac{T_K}{2\Lambda_{R,\alpha}}\right)^{2U_R} \frac{c}{1+c^2}$$

power laws with U-dependent exp.

$$I = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\Gamma_L \Gamma_R}{\Gamma} \left[\arctan \frac{V - 2\epsilon}{\Gamma} + \arctan \frac{V + 2\epsilon}{\Gamma} \right]$$

off-resonance $I \approx \frac{\Gamma_L \Gamma_R}{\Gamma} = T_K \frac{\left(\frac{T_K}{|V - 2\epsilon|}\right)^{2U_L} \left(\frac{T_K}{|V + 2\epsilon|}\right)^{2U_R}}{c \left(\frac{T_K}{|V - 2\epsilon|}\right)^{2U_L} + \frac{1}{c} \left(\frac{T_K}{|V + 2\epsilon|}\right)^{2U_R}} \frac{c}{1 + c^2} \quad \text{for } V \gg \Gamma$



power law only for left-right symmetry $U_L = U_R$: $I \sim V^{-2U}$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─臣 ─のへで

 $I = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\Gamma_L \Gamma_R}{\Gamma} \left[\arctan \frac{V - 2\epsilon}{\Gamma} + \arctan \frac{V + 2\epsilon}{\Gamma} \right]$ off-resonance $I \approx \frac{\Gamma_L \Gamma_R}{\Gamma} = T_K \frac{\left(\frac{T_K}{|V - 2\epsilon|}\right)^{2U_L} \left(\frac{T_K}{|V + 2\epsilon|}\right)^{2U_R}}{c \left(\frac{T_K}{|V - 2\epsilon|}\right)^{2U_L} + \frac{1}{c} \left(\frac{T_K}{|V + 2\epsilon|}\right)^{2U_R}} \frac{c}{1 + c^2} \quad \text{for } V \gg \Gamma$



asymmetry effects

$$U_{L/R} = (1 \pm \gamma) \, 0.1/\pi$$

 $\epsilon = 0$

 $I = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\Gamma_L \Gamma_R}{\Gamma} \left[\arctan \frac{V - 2\epsilon}{\Gamma} + \arctan \frac{V + 2\epsilon}{\Gamma} \right]$ off-resonance $I \approx \frac{\Gamma_L \Gamma_R}{\Gamma} = T_K \frac{\left(\frac{T_K}{|V - 2\epsilon|}\right)^{2U_L} \left(\frac{T_K}{|V + 2\epsilon|}\right)^{2U_R}}{c \left(\frac{T_K}{|V - 2\epsilon|}\right)^{2U_L} + \frac{1}{c} \left(\frac{T_K}{|V + 2\epsilon|}\right)^{2U_R}} \frac{c}{1 + c^2} \quad \text{for } V \gg \Gamma$



asymmetry effects

$$U_{L/R} = (1 \pm \gamma) \, 0.1/\pi$$

 $\epsilon = 0$

excellent agreement to analytical results

on-resonance $\epsilon = V/2 \rightarrow \text{maximum of } G = dI/dV$

$$I \approx \frac{\Gamma_L \Gamma_R}{2\Gamma} = \frac{T_K}{2} \frac{\left(\frac{T_K}{T}\right)^{2U_L} \left(\frac{T_K}{2V}\right)^{2U_R}}{c\left(\frac{T_K}{T}\right)^{2U_L} + \frac{1}{c}\left(\frac{T_K}{2V}\right)^{2U_R}} \frac{c}{1+c^2}$$



⇒ V not simple infrared cutoff for generic situation physics in non-equilibrium more complex

on-resonance $\epsilon = V/2 \rightarrow \text{maximum of } G = dI/dV$

$$I \approx \frac{\Gamma_L \Gamma_R}{2\Gamma} = \frac{T_K}{2} \frac{\left(\frac{T_K}{\Gamma}\right)^{2U_L} \left(\frac{T_K}{2V}\right)^{2U_R}}{c\left(\frac{T_K}{\Gamma}\right)^{2U_L} + \frac{1}{c} \left(\frac{T_K}{2V}\right)^{2U_R}} \frac{c}{1+c^2}$$



⇒ V not simple infrared cutoff for generic situation physics in non-equilibrium more complex

Comparison to exact results



charge susceptibility $\chi = - \frac{\partial \langle \hat{n} \rangle}{\partial \epsilon} |_{\epsilon=0} ~ \sim ~ \Gamma_0^{\beta}$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Linear Conductance

V=0



- interaction induces enhancement

- non-monotonic behavior
- \rightarrow more accurate results by including two-particle vertex renormalization

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Time evolution

 \rightarrow Talk by M. Pletukhov

long-time behavior off-resonance ($\epsilon, V, |\epsilon - V/2| \gg T_K, 1/t$)

$$egin{aligned} &\langle \hat{n}(t)
angle &\approx ig(1-e^{-\Gamma_1 t}ig) \langle \hat{n}
angle - rac{1}{2\pi} \, e^{-\Gamma_2 t} \, (T_{\mathcal{K}} t)^{1+2U} \ & imes \left[rac{\sinig((\epsilon+rac{V}{2})tig)}{(\epsilon+rac{V}{2})^2 \, t^2} - rac{\pi U}{4} rac{\cosig((\epsilon+rac{V}{2})tig)}{(\epsilon+rac{V}{2})^2 \, t^2} + (V
ightarrow - V)
ight] \end{aligned}$$



ightarrow exponential and power-law decay $\sim t^{\,2U-1}$

▲ロト ▲母 ▶ ▲目 ▶ ▲目 ▶ ▲ 日 ▶

Summary

promising RG approaches for non-equilibrium local quantum system coupled to environment in weak-coupling regime

- provides description for all parameter regimes
- steady-state I(V) exhibits power law only in specific cases
- new scaling behavior on resonance
- analytic description of relaxation dynamics: exponential decay supplemented by characteristic oscillations and power-law behavior

Refs.: - Karrasch, Andergassen, Pletyukhov, Schuricht, Borda, Meden, Schoeller, EPL **90**, 30003 (2010);

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- Karrasch, Pletyukhov, Borda, Meden, PRB 81, 125122 (2010)

Method: real-time RG in frequency space (RTRG-FS)

- $\rightarrow\,$ new aspects of non-eq. RG in Liouville space
 - evolution of density matrix
 - provides dephasing rates as cutoff
- $\rightarrow\,$ Keldysh indices can be avoided
 - effort comparable to equilibrium formalism
- $\rightarrow\,$ expansion in coupling between quantum dot and reservoirs

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- $\rightarrow\,$ description of dynamics at finite bias
 - closed analytic form
 - beyond Markovian theories