# Anatomy of a deformed symmetry: field quantization curved momentum space

Michele Arzano

Spinoza Institute and Institute for Theoretical Physics Utrecht University

September 11, 2010

Free quantum fields are boring...

for inertial observers

• Inertial observers same notion of energy  $\longrightarrow$  same vacuum state

Free quantum fields are boring...

for inertial observers

- Inertial observers same notion of energy  $\longrightarrow$  same vacuum state
- Observers who measure different energies —> different vacuum states...

Free quantum fields are boring...

for inertial observers

- Inertial observers same notion of energy  $\longrightarrow$  same vacuum state
- Observers who measure different energies —> different vacuum states...
   Inertial vs. accelerated observers: Unruh effect
   Free falling vs. fiducial observer in Schwarzschild background: Hawking effect
   Observers in an expanding universe: cosmological particle creation (Parker)

the free field comes alive!

Free quantum fields are boring...

for inertial observers

- Inertial observers same notion of energy  $\longrightarrow$  same vacuum state
- Observers who measure different energies —> different vacuum states...
   Inertial vs. accelerated observers: Unruh effect
   Free falling vs. fiducial observer in Schwarzschild background: Hawking effect
   Observers in an expanding universe: cosmological particle creation (Parker)

the free field comes alive!

Less known context in which free QFT manifests non-trivial features field quantization on curved momentum space

# Outline

- Relativistic particles, fields and quantization: a (pedantic) review
- Bending phase space and a new quantization ambiguity
- $\kappa$ -quantum fields, the "fine structure" of  $\kappa$ -Fock space and hidden entanglement

Phase space of a classical relativistic particle  $\Gamma \equiv co$ -adjoint orbit of the Lorentz group

Phase space of a classical relativistic particle  $\Gamma \equiv co$ -adjoint orbit of the Lorentz group

• General formulation of phase space of G-symmetric mechanical systems

Phase space of a classical relativistic particle  $\Gamma \equiv co$ -adjoint orbit of the Lorentz group

- General formulation of phase space of G-symmetric mechanical systems
  - Phase space manifold = orbits of G on g\*

Phase space of a classical relativistic particle  $\Gamma \equiv co$ -adjoint orbit of the Lorentz group

- General formulation of phase space of G-symmetric mechanical systems
  - Phase space manifold = orbits of G on g\*
  - ► Symplectic structure obtained from the natural Poisson brackets on C<sup>∞</sup>(g<sup>\*</sup>)

 $\{f,g\}(Y) \equiv \langle Y, [(df)_Y, (dg)_Y] \rangle, \qquad (df)_Y \in (\mathfrak{g}^*)^* \simeq \mathfrak{g}$ 

Phase space of a classical relativistic particle  $\Gamma \equiv co$ -adjoint orbit of the Lorentz group

- General formulation of phase space of G-symmetric mechanical systems
  - Phase space manifold = orbits of G on g\*
  - Symplectic structure obtained from the natural Poisson brackets on  $C^{\infty}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$

 $\{f,g\}(Y) \equiv \langle Y, [(df)_Y, (dg)_Y] \rangle, \qquad (df)_Y \in (\mathfrak{g}^*)^* \simeq \mathfrak{g}$ 

• Spinning relativistic particle  $\Gamma \equiv \mathcal{O}_{m,s}$ ; m, s labels of Casimir ops.  $\mathcal{C}_1(p), \mathcal{C}_2(w)$ 

Phase space of a classical relativistic particle  $\Gamma \equiv co$ -adjoint orbit of the Lorentz group

- General formulation of phase space of G-symmetric mechanical systems
  - Phase space manifold = orbits of G on g\*
  - ► Symplectic structure obtained from the natural Poisson brackets on C<sup>∞</sup>(g<sup>\*</sup>)

$$\{f,g\}(Y) \equiv \langle Y, [(df)_Y, (dg)_Y] \rangle, \qquad (df)_Y \in (\mathfrak{g}^*)^* \simeq \mathfrak{g}$$

- Spinning relativistic particle  $\Gamma \equiv O_{m,s}$ ; m, s labels of Casimir ops.  $C_1(p)$ ,  $C_2(w)$
- Momentum sector of  $\mathcal{O}_{m,0}$  = restriction to the *abelian* sub-algebra  $\mathfrak{t}^* \subset \mathfrak{iso}^*(3,1)$  dual to the algebra of translation generators  $\mathfrak{t}$ : "mass shell"  $M_m \subset \mathfrak{t}^* \equiv \mathbb{R}^{3,1}$

$$C_1(p) = (p^0)^2 - \mathbf{p}^2 = m^2$$

Phase space of a classical relativistic particle  $\Gamma \equiv co$ -adjoint orbit of the Lorentz group

- General formulation of phase space of G-symmetric mechanical systems
  - Phase space manifold = orbits of G on g\*
  - Symplectic structure obtained from the natural Poisson brackets on  $C^{\infty}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$

$$\{f,g\}(Y) \equiv \langle Y, [(df)_Y, (dg)_Y] \rangle, \qquad (df)_Y \in (\mathfrak{g}^*)^* \simeq \mathfrak{g}$$

- Spinning relativistic particle  $\Gamma \equiv O_{m,s}$ ; m, s labels of Casimir ops.  $C_1(p)$ ,  $C_2(w)$
- Momentum sector of  $\mathcal{O}_{m,0}$  = restriction to the *abelian* sub-algebra  $\mathfrak{t}^* \subset \mathfrak{iso}^*(3,1)$  dual to the algebra of translation generators  $\mathfrak{t}$ : "mass shell"  $M_m \subset \mathfrak{t}^* \equiv \mathbb{R}^{3,1}$

$$C_1(p) = (p^0)^2 - \mathbf{p}^2 = m^2$$

• Take  $\phi \in C^{\infty}(M_m)$ , under Fourier transform

$$(\mathcal{C}_1(p)-m^2) ilde{\phi}(p)=0 \iff (\Box+m^2)\phi(x)=0$$

 $\phi(x) \in \mathcal{S}$  equipped with  $\omega(\phi_1, \phi_2) = \int_{\Sigma} (\phi_2 \nabla_{\mu} \phi_1 - \phi_1 \nabla_{\mu} \phi_2) d\Sigma^{\mu}$ 

Phase space of a classical relativistic particle  $\Gamma \equiv co$ -adjoint orbit of the Lorentz group

- General formulation of phase space of G-symmetric mechanical systems
  - Phase space manifold = orbits of G on g\*
  - Symplectic structure obtained from the natural Poisson brackets on  $C^{\infty}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$

$$\{f,g\}(Y) \equiv \langle Y, [(df)_Y, (dg)_Y] \rangle, \qquad (df)_Y \in (\mathfrak{g}^*)^* \simeq \mathfrak{g}$$

- Spinning relativistic particle  $\Gamma \equiv O_{m,s}$ ; m, s labels of Casimir ops.  $C_1(p)$ ,  $C_2(w)$
- Momentum sector of  $\mathcal{O}_{m,0}$  = restriction to the *abelian* sub-algebra  $\mathfrak{t}^* \subset \mathfrak{iso}^*(3,1)$  dual to the algebra of translation generators  $\mathfrak{t}$ : "mass shell"  $M_m \subset \mathfrak{t}^* \equiv \mathbb{R}^{3,1}$

$$C_1(p) = (p^0)^2 - \mathbf{p}^2 = m^2$$

• Take  $\phi \in C^{\infty}(M_m)$ , under Fourier transform

$$(\mathcal{C}_1(p)-m^2) ilde{\phi}(p)=0 \iff (\Box+m^2)\phi(x)=0\,,$$

 $\phi(x)\in \mathcal{S}$  equipped with  $\omega(\phi_1,\phi_2)=\int_{\Sigma}(\phi_2
abla_\mu\phi_1-\phi_1
abla_\mu\phi_2)d\Sigma^\mu$ 

Phase space of a classical Klein-Gordon field  $\{\mathcal{S}, \omega\}$ 

Classical fields	Quantum fields
$state = point \; in \; phase \; space \; \phi \in \mathcal{S}$	$state = ray$ in complex Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$
<b>observable</b> = function on $S$	${f observable}={\it self-adjoint\ operator\ on\ }{\cal H}$
joint system $= \mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{A}} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{B}}$	joint system $= \mathcal{H}^{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{B}$

Classical fields	Quantum fields
$state = point \; in \; phase \; space \; \phi \in \mathcal{S}$	state = ray in complex Hilbert space H
<b>observable</b> = function on $S$	${f observable}={\it self}{\it -adjoint} {\it operator} {\it on} {\it {\cal H}}$
joint system $= \mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{A}} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{B}}$	joint system $= \mathcal{H}^{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{B}$

Classical fields	Quantum fields
$state = point \; in \; phase \; space \; \phi \in \mathcal{S}$	state = ray in complex Hilbert space H
<b>observable</b> = function on $S$	${f observable}={\it self}{\it -adjoint} {\it operator} {\it on} {\it {\cal H}}$
joint system $= \mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{A}} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{B}}$	joint system $= \mathcal{H}^{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{B}$

Quantization: "Recipe for going from the left to the right"

• complexify the space of real solutions  $\mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{C}} \simeq \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ 

Classical fields	Quantum fields
$state = point \; in \; phase \; space \; \phi \in \mathcal{S}$	state = ray in complex Hilbert space H
<b>observable</b> = <i>function</i> on $S$	${f observable}={\it self}{\it -adjoint} {\it operator} {\it on} {\it {\cal H}}$
joint system $= \mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{A}} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{B}}$	joint system $= \mathcal{H}^{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{B}$

- complexify the space of real solutions  $\mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{C}} \simeq \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathbb{C}$
- define an inner product  $(\phi_1, \phi_2) \equiv -i\omega(\bar{\phi_1}, \phi_2)$

Classical fields	Quantum fields
$state = point \ in \ phase \ space \ \phi \in \mathcal{S}$	$state = ray$ in complex Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$
<b>observable</b> = <i>function</i> on $S$	${f observable}={\it self-adjoint\ operator\ on\ }{\cal H}$
joint system $= \mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{A}} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{B}}$	joint system $= \mathcal{H}^{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{B}$

- complexify the space of real solutions  $\mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{C}} \simeq \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathbb{C}$
- define an *inner product*  $(\phi_1, \phi_2) \equiv -i\omega(\bar{\phi_1}, \phi_2)$
- restrict to "positive" energy subspace  $S^{\mathbb{C}+}$  on which  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  is positive definite

Classical fields	Quantum fields
$state = point \; in \; phase \; space \; \phi \in \mathcal{S}$	state = ray in complex Hilbert space H
<b>observable</b> = <i>function</i> on $S$	${f observable}={\it self}{\it -adjoint} {\it operator} {\it on} {\it {\cal H}}$
joint system $= \mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{A}} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{B}}$	joint system $= \mathcal{H}^{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{B}$

- complexify the space of real solutions  $\mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{C}} \simeq \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathbb{C}$
- define an *inner product*  $(\phi_1, \phi_2) \equiv -i\omega(ar{\phi_1}, \phi_2)$
- restrict to "positive" energy subspace  $\mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{C}^+}$  on which  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  is positive definite
- introduce a "complex structure" on S (J : S → S with J<sup>2</sup>=-1); S<sup>C+</sup> spanned by φ<sup>±</sup>: J(φ<sup>±</sup>) = ±i(φ<sup>±</sup>)

Classical fields	Quantum fields
$state = point \; in \; phase \; space \; \phi \in \mathcal{S}$	state = ray in complex Hilbert space H
<b>observable</b> = <i>function</i> on $S$	${f observable}={\it self}{\it -adjoint} {\it operator} {\it on} {\it {\cal H}}$
joint system $= \mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{A}} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{B}}$	joint system $= \mathcal{H}^{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{B}$

- complexify the space of real solutions  $\mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{C}} \simeq \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathbb{C}$
- define an *inner product*  $(\phi_1, \phi_2) \equiv -i\omega(ar{\phi_1}, \phi_2)$
- restrict to "positive" energy subspace  $S^{\mathbb{C}+}$  on which  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  is positive definite
- introduce a "complex structure" on S (J : S → S with J<sup>2</sup>=-1); S<sup>C+</sup> spanned by φ<sup>±</sup>: J(φ<sup>±</sup>) = ±i(φ<sup>±</sup>)
- "One-particle" Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H} \equiv (\mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{C}+}, (\cdot, \cdot))$

Classical fields	Quantum fields
$state = point \; in \; phase \; space \; \phi \in \mathcal{S}$	state = ray in complex Hilbert space H
<b>observable</b> = function on $S$	${f observable}={\it self-adjoint\ operator\ on\ }{\cal H}$
joint system $= \mathcal{S}^{A} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{B}$	joint system $= \mathcal{H}^{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{B}$

Quantization: "Recipe for going from the left to the right"

- complexify the space of real solutions  $\mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{C}} \simeq \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathbb{C}$
- define an inner product  $(\phi_1,\phi_2)\equiv -i\omega(ar{\phi_1},\phi_2)$
- restrict to "positive" energy subspace  $S^{\mathbb{C}+}$  on which  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  is *positive definite*
- introduce a "complex structure" on S (J : S → S with J<sup>2</sup>=-1); S<sup>C+</sup> spanned by φ<sup>±</sup>: J(φ<sup>±</sup>) = ±i(φ<sup>±</sup>)
- "One-particle" Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H} \equiv (\mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{C}+}, (\cdot, \cdot))$
- "n-particle" Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n} = \underbrace{\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H} ... \otimes \mathcal{H}}_{::};$

for *n*-identical particles  $S_n \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$  with  $S_n = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in P_n} \sigma$ 

Classical fields	Quantum fields
$state = point \; in \; phase \; space \; \phi \in \mathcal{S}$	state = ray in complex Hilbert space H
<b>observable</b> = function on $S$	${f observable}={\it self-adjoint\ operator\ on\ }{\cal H}$
joint system $= \mathcal{S}^{A} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{B}$	joint system $= \mathcal{H}^{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{B}$

Quantization: "Recipe for going from the left to the right"

- complexify the space of real solutions  $\mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{C}} \simeq \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathbb{C}$
- define an *inner product*  $(\phi_1, \phi_2) \equiv -i\omega(ar{\phi_1}, \phi_2)$
- restrict to "positive" energy subspace  $\mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{C}^+}$  on which  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  is positive definite
- introduce a "complex structure" on S (J : S → S with J<sup>2</sup>=-1); S<sup>C+</sup> spanned by φ<sup>±</sup>: J(φ<sup>±</sup>) = ±i(φ<sup>±</sup>)
- "One-particle" Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}\equiv(\mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{C}+},(\cdot,\cdot))$
- "n-particle" Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n} = \underbrace{\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H} ... \otimes \mathcal{H}}_{::};$

for *n*-identical particles  $S_n \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$  with  $S_n = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in P_n} \sigma$ 

• Fock space 
$$\mathcal{F}_s(\mathcal{H}) = igoplus_{n=0}^\infty S_n \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$$

classical observables = functions on phase space

classical observables = functions on phase space

#### Quantization:

• to each classical observable  $\psi$  associate an operator  $\mathcal{O}_\psi$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ 

classical observables = functions on phase space

#### Quantization:

- to each classical observable  $\psi$  associate an operator  $\mathcal{O}_\psi$  on  $\mathcal H$
- "2nd quantization" of a 1-particle operator  $\mathcal{O}$  (Cook 1953)

 $d\Gamma(\mathcal{O}) \equiv 1 + \mathcal{O} + (\mathcal{O} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathcal{O}) + (\mathcal{O} \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathcal{O} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \mathcal{O}) + ...$ 

classical observables = functions on phase space

#### Quantization:

- to each classical observable  $\psi$  associate an operator  $\mathcal{O}_\psi$  on  $\mathcal H$
- "2nd quantization" of a 1-particle operator  $\mathcal{O}$  (Cook 1953)

 $d\Gamma(\mathcal{O}) \equiv 1 + \mathcal{O} + (\mathcal{O} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathcal{O}) + (\mathcal{O} \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathcal{O} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \mathcal{O}) + \dots$ 

such construction naturally leads to the notion of coproduct  $\Delta \mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathcal{O}$ 

 $d\Gamma(\mathcal{O}) \equiv 1 + \mathcal{O} + \Delta \mathcal{O} + \Delta_2 \mathcal{O} + \ldots + \Delta_n \mathcal{O} + \ldots$ 

with  $\Delta_n \mathcal{O} = (\Delta \otimes 1) \circ \Delta_{n-1}$ ,  $\Delta_1 \equiv \Delta$  and  $n \geq 2$ 

classical observables = functions on phase space

#### Quantization:

- to each classical observable  $\psi$  associate an operator  $\mathcal{O}_\psi$  on  $\mathcal H$
- "2nd quantization" of a 1-particle operator  $\mathcal O$  (Cook 1953)

 $d\Gamma(\mathcal{O}) \equiv 1 + \mathcal{O} + (\mathcal{O} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathcal{O}) + (\mathcal{O} \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathcal{O} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \mathcal{O}) + ...$ 

such construction naturally leads to the notion of coproduct  $\Delta \mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathcal{O}$ 

 $d\Gamma(\mathcal{O}) \equiv 1 + \mathcal{O} + \Delta \mathcal{O} + \Delta_2 \mathcal{O} + \ldots + \Delta_n \mathcal{O} + \ldots$ 

with  $\Delta_n \mathcal{O} = (\Delta \otimes 1) \circ \Delta_{n-1}$ ,  $\Delta_1 \equiv \Delta$  and  $n \geq 2$ 

classical observables = functions on phase space

#### Quantization:

- to each classical observable  $\psi$  associate an operator  $\mathcal{O}_\psi$  on  $\mathcal H$
- "2nd quantization" of a 1-particle operator  $\mathcal O$  (Cook 1953)

 $d\Gamma(\mathcal{O}) \equiv 1 + \mathcal{O} + (\mathcal{O} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathcal{O}) + (\mathcal{O} \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathcal{O} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \mathcal{O}) + ...$ 

such construction naturally leads to the notion of coproduct  $\Delta \mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathcal{O}$ 

$$d\Gamma(\mathcal{O}) \equiv 1 + \mathcal{O} + \Delta \mathcal{O} + \Delta_2 \mathcal{O} + \dots + \Delta_n \mathcal{O} + \dots$$

with 
$$\Delta_n \mathcal{O} = (\Delta \otimes 1) \circ \Delta_{n-1}$$
,  $\Delta_1 \equiv \Delta$  and  $n \geq 2$ 

Space-time symmetry generators are special observables

•  $\mathcal{H}$  constructed from S (solutions of K-G equation)  $\longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$  is a unitary irreps of the Poincaré algebra  $\mathcal{P}$ 

classical observables = functions on phase space

#### Quantization:

- to each classical observable  $\psi$  associate an operator  $\mathcal{O}_\psi$  on  $\mathcal H$
- "2nd quantization" of a 1-particle operator  $\mathcal{O}$  (Cook 1953)

 $d\Gamma(\mathcal{O}) \equiv 1 + \mathcal{O} + (\mathcal{O} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathcal{O}) + (\mathcal{O} \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathcal{O} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \mathcal{O}) + ...$ 

such construction naturally leads to the notion of coproduct  $\Delta \mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathcal{O}$ 

$$d\Gamma(\mathcal{O}) \equiv 1 + \mathcal{O} + \Delta \mathcal{O} + \Delta_2 \mathcal{O} + ... + \Delta_n \mathcal{O} + ...$$

with 
$$\Delta_n \mathcal{O} = (\Delta \otimes 1) \circ \Delta_{n-1}$$
,  $\Delta_1 \equiv \Delta$  and  $n \geq 2$ 

- $\mathcal{H}$  constructed from S (solutions of K-G equation)  $\longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$  is a unitary irreps of the Poincaré algebra  $\mathcal{P}$
- We have a natural action of the generators of  $\mathcal P$  as one-particle operators

classical observables = functions on phase space

#### Quantization:

- to each classical observable  $\psi$  associate an operator  $\mathcal{O}_\psi$  on  $\mathcal H$
- "2nd quantization" of a 1-particle operator  $\mathcal{O}$  (Cook 1953)

 $d\Gamma(\mathcal{O}) \equiv 1 + \mathcal{O} + (\mathcal{O} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathcal{O}) + (\mathcal{O} \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathcal{O} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \mathcal{O}) + ...$ 

such construction naturally leads to the notion of coproduct  $\Delta \mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathcal{O}$ 

$$d\Gamma(\mathcal{O}) \equiv 1 + \mathcal{O} + \Delta \mathcal{O} + \Delta_2 \mathcal{O} + \dots + \Delta_n \mathcal{O} + \dots$$

with 
$$\Delta_n \mathcal{O} = (\Delta \otimes 1) \circ \Delta_{n-1}$$
,  $\Delta_1 \equiv \Delta$  and  $n \geq 2$ 

- $\mathcal{H}$  constructed from S (solutions of K-G equation)  $\longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$  is a unitary irreps of the Poincaré algebra  $\mathcal{P}$
- We have a natural action of the generators of  $\mathcal P$  as one-particle operators
- A commuting set of such operators used to label one-particle states (e.g.  ${f P} o |{f p}
  angle)$

classical observables = functions on phase space

#### Quantization:

- to each classical observable  $\psi$  associate an operator  $\mathcal{O}_\psi$  on  $\mathcal H$
- "2nd quantization" of a 1-particle operator  $\mathcal O$  (Cook 1953)

 $d\Gamma(\mathcal{O}) \equiv 1 + \mathcal{O} + (\mathcal{O} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathcal{O}) + (\mathcal{O} \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathcal{O} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \mathcal{O}) + ...$ 

such construction naturally leads to the notion of coproduct  $\Delta \mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathcal{O}$ 

$$d\Gamma(\mathcal{O}) \equiv 1 + \mathcal{O} + \Delta \mathcal{O} + \Delta_2 \mathcal{O} + \dots + \Delta_n \mathcal{O} + \dots$$

with 
$$\Delta_n \mathcal{O} = (\Delta \otimes 1) \circ \Delta_{n-1}$$
,  $\Delta_1 \equiv \Delta$  and  $n \geq 2$ 

- $\mathcal{H}$  constructed from S (solutions of K-G equation)  $\longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$  is a unitary irreps of the Poincaré algebra  $\mathcal{P}$
- We have a natural action of the generators of  $\mathcal P$  as one-particle operators
- A commuting set of such operators used to label one-particle states (e.g.  ${f P} o |{f p}
  angle)$
- The coproduct  $\Delta$  extends the action of elements of  $\mathcal P$  to multiparticle states

# "Bending" phase space

# "Bending" phase space

What about "curved momentum space" and symmetry deformation?
What about "curved momentum space" and symmetry deformation?

• Momenta of a classical relativistic particle given by "coordinates"  $(p^0, p^i)$  on  $\mathfrak{t}^*$ 

What about "curved momentum space" and symmetry deformation?

- Momenta of a classical relativistic particle given by "coordinates" (p<sup>0</sup>, p<sup>i</sup>) on t<sup>\*</sup>
- (On-shell) momenta label |p⟩ basis of H, irreps of ISO(3,1). Such basis can be built from orbit of characters (plane waves) e<sub>p</sub> ∈ T\*

What about "curved momentum space" and symmetry deformation?

- Momenta of a classical relativistic particle given by "coordinates"  $(p^0, p^i)$  on  $\mathfrak{t}^*$
- (On-shell) momenta label |p⟩ basis of H, irreps of ISO(3,1). Such basis can be built from orbit of characters (plane waves) e<sub>p</sub> ∈ T\*

"Curving" momentum space = introduce a non-trivial Lie bracket on  $\mathfrak{t}^*$ 

Two main consequences:

- "non-commuting coordinates"  $\longrightarrow [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{t}^*} \neq 0$
- group-like plane waves:  $\longrightarrow e_p e_q \equiv e_{p \oplus q} \neq e_{q \oplus p} \equiv e_q e_p$ ,  $(e_p)^{-1} \equiv e_{\ominus p}$

What about "curved momentum space" and symmetry deformation?

- Momenta of a classical relativistic particle given by "coordinates"  $(p^0, p^i)$  on  $\mathfrak{t}^*$
- (On-shell) momenta label |p⟩ basis of H, irreps of ISO(3,1). Such basis can be built from orbit of characters (plane waves) e<sub>p</sub> ∈ T\*

"Curving" momentum space = introduce a non-trivial Lie bracket on  $\mathfrak{t}^*$  Two main consequences:

- "non-commuting coordinates"  $\longrightarrow [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{t}^*} \neq 0$
- group-like plane waves:  $\longrightarrow e_{\rho}e_q \equiv e_{p\oplus q} \neq e_{q\oplus p} \equiv e_q e_p$ ,  $(e_p)^{-1} \equiv e_{\ominus p}$

**consequence** for translation generator observables:  $\Delta P_{\mu} \neq P_{\mu} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes P_{\mu}$ , and

$$\pi_{12}\Delta P_{\mu} \neq \Delta P_{\mu} , \quad \pi_{12}(a \otimes b) \equiv (b \otimes a)$$

#### i.e. "non-Leibniz" and "non-symmetric" action on multi-particle states

Why group valued momenta?  $\longrightarrow$  motivation from 3d (quantum) gravity

Why group valued momenta? ---- motivation from 3d (quantum) gravity

• Phase space of relativistic free particle in 3d Minkowski space  $p_a$ ,  $j_a$ 

$$\{j_a, j_b\} = -\epsilon_{abc} j^c, \ \{j_a, p_b\} = -\epsilon_{abc} p^c, \ \{p_a, p_b\} = 0$$

Why group valued momenta? —> motivation from 3d (quantum) gravity

• Phase space of relativistic free particle in 3d Minkowski space  $p_a$ ,  $j_a$ 

$$\{j_a, j_b\} = -\epsilon_{abc} j^c, \ \{j_a, p_b\} = -\epsilon_{abc} p^c, \ \{p_a, p_b\} = 0$$

• upon quantization  $j_a \to J_a$ ,  $p_a \to P_a$ , observables which belong to  $U(\mathfrak{p}_3)$ 

Why group valued momenta? — motivation from 3d (quantum) gravity

• Phase space of relativistic free particle in 3d Minkowski space  $p_a$ ,  $j_a$ 

$$\{j_a, j_b\} = -\epsilon_{abc} j^c$$
,  $\{j_a, p_b\} = -\epsilon_{abc} p^c$ ,  $\{p_a, p_b\} = 0$ 

- upon quantization  $j_a \to J_a$ ,  $p_a \to P_a$ , observables which belong to  $U(\mathfrak{p}_3)$
- Chern-Simons formulation of 2 + 1 gravity + point particles (Schroers 0710.5844)

Why group valued momenta? —> motivation from 3d (quantum) gravity

• Phase space of relativistic free particle in 3d Minkowski space  $p_a$ ,  $j_a$ 

$$\{j_a, j_b\} = -\epsilon_{abc} j^c$$
,  $\{j_a, p_b\} = -\epsilon_{abc} p^c$ ,  $\{p_a, p_b\} = 0$ 

- upon quantization  $j_a \to J_a$ ,  $p_a \to P_a$ , observables which belong to  $U(\mathfrak{p}_3)$
- Chern-Simons formulation of 2+1 gravity + point particles (Schroers 0710.5844)

quantization of Poisson brackets for p<sub>a</sub> and j<sub>a</sub>

 $D(U(\mathfrak{su}(1,1))\equiv U(\mathfrak{su}(1,1))\ltimes \mathbb{C}(SU(1,1))$ 

Why group valued momenta? —> motivation from 3d (quantum) gravity

• Phase space of relativistic free particle in 3d Minkowski space  $p_a$ ,  $j_a$ 

$$\{j_a, j_b\} = -\epsilon_{abc} j^c$$
,  $\{j_a, p_b\} = -\epsilon_{abc} p^c$ ,  $\{p_a, p_b\} = 0$ 

- upon quantization  $j_a \to J_a$ ,  $p_a \to P_a$ , observables which belong to  $U(\mathfrak{p}_3)$
- Chern-Simons formulation of 2+1 gravity + point particles (Schroers 0710.5844)

quantization of Poisson brackets for p<sub>a</sub> and j<sub>a</sub>

 $D(U(\mathfrak{su}(1,1))\equiv U(\mathfrak{su}(1,1))\ltimes \mathbb{C}(SU(1,1))$ 

• momenta become co-ordinate functions on SU(1,1)

Why group valued momenta? —> motivation from 3d (quantum) gravity

• Phase space of relativistic free particle in 3d Minkowski space  $p_a$ ,  $j_a$ 

$$\{j_a, j_b\} = -\epsilon_{abc} j^c, \ \{j_a, p_b\} = -\epsilon_{abc} p^c, \ \{p_a, p_b\} = 0$$

- upon quantization  $j_a \to J_a$ ,  $p_a \to P_a$ , observables which belong to  $U(\mathfrak{p}_3)$
- Chern-Simons formulation of 2+1 gravity + point particles (Schroers 0710.5844)

quantization of Poisson brackets for p<sub>a</sub> and j<sub>a</sub>

 $D(U(\mathfrak{su}(1,1)) \equiv U(\mathfrak{su}(1,1)) \ltimes \mathbb{C}(SU(1,1))$ 

• momenta become co-ordinate functions on SU(1,1)

$$\Delta P_{a} = P_{a} \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes P_{a} + M_{p}^{-1} \epsilon_{abc} P_{b} \otimes P_{c} + \dots$$

Why group valued momenta? —> motivation from 3d (quantum) gravity

• Phase space of relativistic free particle in 3d Minkowski space  $p_a$ ,  $j_a$ 

$$\{j_a, j_b\} = -\epsilon_{abc} j^c, \ \{j_a, p_b\} = -\epsilon_{abc} p^c, \ \{p_a, p_b\} = 0$$

- upon quantization  $j_a \to J_a$ ,  $p_a \to P_a$ , observables which belong to  $U(\mathfrak{p}_3)$
- Chern-Simons formulation of 2+1 gravity + point particles (Schroers 0710.5844)

quantization of Poisson brackets for p<sub>a</sub> and j<sub>a</sub>

 $D(U(\mathfrak{su}(1,1)) \equiv U(\mathfrak{su}(1,1)) \ltimes \mathbb{C}(SU(1,1))$ 

• momenta become co-ordinate functions on SU(1,1)

$$\Delta P_{a} = P_{a} \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes P_{a} + M_{p}^{-1} \epsilon_{abc} P_{b} \otimes P_{c} + \dots$$

(see also Noui et al. 0806.4121, Freidel & Livine hep-th/0512113)

# $\kappa$ -Poincaré l

Looking for 4d analogues? Our model:  $\kappa$ -Poincaré

# $\kappa$ -Poincaré l

Looking for 4d analogues? Our model:  $\kappa$ -Poincaré

• κ-Poincaré shares an important feature with Lorentz double

### $\kappa$ -Poincaré I

Looking for 4d analogues? Our model:  $\kappa$ -Poincaré

• κ-Poincaré shares an important feature with Lorentz double

Non-trivial Lie bracket on t<sup>\*</sup> = b  $[P^*_{\mu}, P^*_{\nu}] = -\frac{1}{\kappa} (P^*_{\mu} \delta^0_{\nu} - P^*_{\nu} \delta^0_{\mu}).$ momenta: coordinates on (non-abelian) T<sup>\*</sup> = B sub-manifold of dS<sub>4</sub>  $-\eta^2_0 + \eta^2_1 + \eta^2_2 + \eta^2_3 + \eta^2_4 = \kappa^2; \quad \eta_0 + \eta_4 > 0$ with  $\kappa \sim E_{Planck}$ 

### $\kappa$ -Poincaré I

Looking for 4d analogues? Our model:  $\kappa$ -Poincaré

• κ-Poincaré shares an important feature with Lorentz double

• Non-trivial Lie bracket on  $\mathfrak{t}^* \equiv \mathfrak{b}$   $[P^*_{\mu}, P^*_{\nu}] = -\frac{1}{\kappa} (P^*_{\mu} \delta^0_{\nu} - P^*_{\nu} \delta^0_{\mu}).$ • momenta: coordinates on (non-abelian)  $T^* = B$  sub-manifold of  $dS_4$   $-\eta^2_0 + \eta^2_1 + \eta^2_2 + \eta^2_3 + \eta^2_4 = \kappa^2; \quad \eta_0 + \eta_4 > 0$ with  $\kappa \sim E_{Planck}$ 

for plane waves in B consider a one-parameter splitting

$$e_{p} \equiv e^{-irac{1-eta}{2}p^{0}P_{0}^{*}}e^{ip^{j}P_{j}^{*}}e^{-irac{1+eta}{2}p^{0}P_{0}^{*}}$$

 $0 \leq |\beta| \leq 1$  , with momentum composition rules and "antipodes"

$$p \oplus_{\beta} q = (p^0 + q^0; p^j e^{\frac{1-\beta}{2\kappa}q^0} + q^j e^{-\frac{1+\beta}{2\kappa}p^0}), \qquad \ominus_{\beta} p = (-p^0; -e^{\frac{-\beta}{\kappa}p^0}p^j).$$

each choice of  $\beta$  corresponds to a *choice of coordinates* on the manifold *B*.

### $\kappa$ -Poincaré II

for  $\beta=1$  we have "flat slicing" coordinates

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_0(p_0,\mathbf{p}) &= \kappa \sinh p_0/\kappa + \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2\kappa} e^{p_0/\kappa}, \\ \eta_i(p_0,\mathbf{p}) &= p_i e^{p_0/\kappa}, \\ \eta_4(p_0,\mathbf{p}) &= \kappa \cosh p_0/\kappa - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2\kappa} e^{p_0/\kappa}. \end{aligned}$$

corresponds to "bicrossproduct" basis of U(t) introduced in Majid-Ruegg ('94) to prove that

$$\mathcal{P}_{\kappa} = U(\mathfrak{so}(3,1)) 
ightarrow \mathbb{C}(B)$$

### $\kappa$ -Poincaré II

for  $\beta=1$  we have "flat slicing" coordinates

$$\begin{split} \eta_0(p_0,\mathbf{p}) &= \kappa \sinh p_0/\kappa + \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2\kappa} e^{p_0/\kappa}, \\ \eta_i(p_0,\mathbf{p}) &= p_i e^{p_0/\kappa}, \\ \eta_4(p_0,\mathbf{p}) &= \kappa \cosh p_0/\kappa - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2\kappa} e^{p_0/\kappa}. \end{split}$$

corresponds to "bicrossproduct" basis of U(t) introduced in Majid-Ruegg ('94) to prove that

$$\mathcal{P}_{\kappa} = U(\mathfrak{so}(3,1)) 
ightarrow \mathbb{C}(B)$$

• deformed boost action

$$[N_j, P_l] = i\delta_{lj} \left(\frac{\kappa}{2} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{2P_0}{\kappa}}\right) + \frac{1}{2\kappa}\vec{P}^2\right) + \frac{i}{\kappa}P_lP_j$$

### $\kappa\text{-Poincaré II}$

for  $\beta=1$  we have "flat slicing" coordinates

$$\begin{split} \eta_0(p_0,\mathbf{p}) &= \kappa \sinh p_0/\kappa + \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2\kappa} e^{p_0/\kappa}, \\ \eta_i(p_0,\mathbf{p}) &= p_i e^{p_0/\kappa}, \\ \eta_4(p_0,\mathbf{p}) &= \kappa \cosh p_0/\kappa - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2\kappa} e^{p_0/\kappa}. \end{split}$$

corresponds to "bicrossproduct" basis of U(t) introduced in Majid-Ruegg ('94) to prove that

$$\mathcal{P}_{\kappa} = U(\mathfrak{so}(3,1)) 
ightarrow \mathbb{C}(B)$$

deformed boost action

$$[N_j, P_l] = i\delta_{lj} \left(\frac{\kappa}{2} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{2P_0}{\kappa}}\right) + \frac{1}{2\kappa}\vec{P}^2\right) + \frac{i}{\kappa}P_lP_j$$

and co-products

$$\begin{split} \Delta(N_j) &= N_j \otimes 1 + e^{-P_0/\kappa} \otimes N_j + \frac{\epsilon_{jkl}}{\kappa} P_k \otimes M_l \\ \Delta(P_0) &= P_0 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes P_0, \quad \Delta(P_i) = P_i \otimes 1 + \exp(-P_0/\kappa) \otimes P_k \\ \Delta(M_i) &= M_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes M_i \end{split}$$

#### $\kappa$ -Poincaré II

for  $\beta = 1$  we have "flat slicing" coordinates

$$\begin{split} \eta_0(p_0,\mathbf{p}) &= \kappa \sinh p_0/\kappa + \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2\kappa} e^{p_0/\kappa}, \\ \eta_i(p_0,\mathbf{p}) &= p_i e^{p_0/\kappa}, \\ \eta_4(p_0,\mathbf{p}) &= \kappa \cosh p_0/\kappa - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2\kappa} e^{p_0/\kappa}. \end{split}$$

corresponds to "bicrossproduct" basis of U(t) introduced in Majid-Ruegg ('94) to prove that

$$\mathcal{P}_{\kappa} = U(\mathfrak{so}(3,1)) 
ho \blacksquare \mathbb{C}(B)$$

deformed boost action

$$[N_j, P_l] = i\delta_{lj} \left(\frac{\kappa}{2} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{2P_0}{\kappa}}\right) + \frac{1}{2\kappa}\vec{P}^2\right) + \frac{i}{\kappa}P_lP_j$$

and co-products

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(N_j) &= N_j \otimes 1 + e^{-P_0/\kappa} \otimes N_j + \frac{\epsilon_{jkl}}{\kappa} P_k \otimes M_l \\ \Delta(P_0) &= P_0 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes P_0, \quad \Delta(P_i) = P_i \otimes 1 + \exp(-P_0/\kappa) \otimes P_k \\ \Delta(M_i) &= M_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes M_i \end{aligned}$$

in the limit  $\kappa \longrightarrow \infty$  recover ordinary Poincaré algebra

Michele Arzano — Anatomy of a deformed symmetry: field quantization curved momentum space

Functions on the deformed mass-shell  $\phi \in C^\infty(M^\kappa_m)$  defined by the "wave equation"

$$C_1^{\kappa}(P)\,\phi=m^2\phi$$

 $C_1^{\kappa}(P)$  defined by the Lorentz invariant hyperboloid on B:  $\eta_4 = \tilde{m}$ 

Functions on the deformed mass-shell  $\phi \in C^\infty(M_m^\kappa)$  defined by the "wave equation"

$$C_1^{\kappa}(P)\phi=m^2\phi$$

 $C_1^{\kappa}(P)$  defined by the Lorentz invariant hyperboloid on B:  $\eta_4 = \tilde{m}$ 

• On  $\phi \in C^{\infty}(M_m^{\kappa})$  measure  $d\mu(p) \ \delta(\mathcal{C}_1^{\kappa}(p))$  which we can use to define an inner product

Functions on the deformed mass-shell  $\phi \in C^\infty(M_m^\kappa)$  defined by the "wave equation"

$$C_1^{\kappa}(P)\phi=m^2\phi$$

 $C_1^{\kappa}(P)$  defined by the Lorentz invariant hyperboloid on B:  $\eta_4 = \tilde{m}$ 

- On  $\phi \in C^\infty(M^\kappa_m)$  measure  $d\mu(p) \ \delta(\mathcal{C}^\kappa_1(p))$  which we can use to define an inner product
- to define  $\kappa$ -Hilbert space need to split  $M_m^{\kappa}$  in positive and negative energy subspaces!

Functions on the deformed mass-shell  $\phi \in C^{\infty}(M_m^{\kappa})$  defined by the "wave equation"

$$C_1^{\kappa}(P)\phi=m^2\phi$$

 $C_1^{\kappa}(P)$  defined by the Lorentz invariant hyperboloid on B:  $\eta_4 = \tilde{m}$ 

- On  $\phi \in C^{\infty}(M_m^{\kappa})$  measure  $d\mu(p) \ \delta(\mathcal{C}_1^{\kappa}(p))$  which we can use to define an inner product
- to define  $\kappa$ -Hilbert space need to split  $M_m^{\kappa}$  in positive and negative energy subspaces!
- in ordinary QFT in Minkowski space define a *complex structure*  $J = \frac{-\partial_t}{(-\partial_t \partial_t)^{1/2}}$  from killing vector  $\partial_t$ . In terms of  $P_0 = i\partial_t$  we have a *positive energy projector*

$$P^+ = rac{1}{2} \left( 1 + rac{P_0}{|P_0|} 
ight)$$

Functions on the deformed mass-shell  $\phi \in C^{\infty}(M_m^{\kappa})$  defined by the "wave equation"

$$C_1^{\kappa}(P)\phi=m^2\phi$$

 $C_1^{\kappa}(P)$  defined by the Lorentz invariant hyperboloid on B:  $\eta_4 = \tilde{m}$ 

- On  $\phi \in C^{\infty}(M_m^{\kappa})$  measure  $d\mu(p) \ \delta(\mathcal{C}_1^{\kappa}(p))$  which we can use to define an inner product
- to define  $\kappa$ -Hilbert space need to split  $M_m^{\kappa}$  in positive and negative energy subspaces!
- in ordinary QFT in Minkowski space define a *complex structure*  $J = \frac{-\partial_t}{(-\partial_t \partial_t)^{1/2}}$  from killing vector  $\partial_t$ . In terms of  $P_0 = i\partial_t$  we have a *positive energy projector*

$$P^+ = rac{1}{2} \left( 1 + rac{P_0}{|P_0|} 
ight)$$

preferred choice of (local) "primitive" generators  $P_0$ ,  $P_i$  for which  $C_1(P) = P_0^2 - \mathbf{P}_i^2$  and

$$\Delta P_{\mu} = P_{\mu} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes P_{\mu}$$

Functions on the deformed mass-shell  $\phi \in C^\infty(M_m^\kappa)$  defined by the "wave equation"

$$C_1^{\kappa}(P)\phi=m^2\phi$$

 $C_1^{\kappa}(P)$  defined by the Lorentz invariant hyperboloid on B:  $\eta_4 = \tilde{m}$ 

- On  $\phi \in C^{\infty}(M_m^{\kappa})$  measure  $d\mu(p) \ \delta(\mathcal{C}_1^{\kappa}(p))$  which we can use to define an inner product
- to define  $\kappa$ -Hilbert space need to split  $M_m^{\kappa}$  in positive and negative energy subspaces!
- in ordinary QFT in Minkowski space define a *complex structure*  $J = \frac{-\partial_t}{(-\partial_t \partial_t)^{1/2}}$  from killing vector  $\partial_t$ . In terms of  $P_0 = i\partial_t$  we have a *positive energy projector*

$$P^+ = rac{1}{2} \left( 1 + rac{P_0}{|P_0|} 
ight)$$

preferred choice of (local) "primitive" generators  $P_0$ ,  $P_i$  for which  $C_1(P) = P_0^2 - \mathbf{P}_i^2$  and

$$\Delta P_{\mu} = P_{\mu} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes P_{\mu}$$

For U(b) there is no choice of primitive elements to decompose the Casimir! The action
of translation generators will be non-Leibniz and non-symmetric for ANY choice of basis!.

Functions on the deformed mass-shell  $\phi \in C^\infty(M^\kappa_m)$  defined by the "wave equation"

$$C_1^{\kappa}(P)\phi=m^2\phi$$

 $C_1^{\kappa}(P)$  defined by the Lorentz invariant hyperboloid on B:  $\eta_4 = \tilde{m}$ 

- On  $\phi \in C^{\infty}(M_m^{\kappa})$  measure  $d\mu(p) \ \delta(\mathcal{C}_1^{\kappa}(p))$  which we can use to define an inner product
- to define  $\kappa$ -Hilbert space need to split  $M_m^{\kappa}$  in positive and negative energy subspaces!
- in ordinary QFT in Minkowski space define a *complex structure*  $J = \frac{-\partial_t}{(-\partial_t \partial_t)^{1/2}}$  from killing vector  $\partial_t$ . In terms of  $P_0 = i\partial_t$  we have a *positive energy projector*

$$P^+ = rac{1}{2} \left( 1 + rac{P_0}{|P_0|} 
ight)$$

preferred choice of (local) "primitive" generators  $P_0$ ,  $P_i$  for which  $C_1(P) = P_0^2 - \mathbf{P}_i^2$  and

$$\Delta P_{\mu} = P_{\mu} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes P_{\mu}$$

For U(b) there is no choice of primitive elements to decompose the Casimir! The action
of translation generators will be non-Leibniz and non-symmetric for ANY choice of basis!.

No preferred choice of translation generators from which we can define an energy coordinate on  $M_m^{\kappa}$  and thus no preferred choice of J and  $P^+$  to define one-particle Hilbert space.

Hilbert space construction for  $\beta = 1$  (bicrossproduct basis), massless case

$$C_1^{\kappa}(P) = \left(2\kappa \sinh\left(\frac{P_0}{2\kappa}\right)\right)^2 - \mathbf{P}^2 e^{P_0/\kappa}$$

Hilbert space construction for  $\beta = 1$  (bicrossproduct basis), massless case

$$\mathcal{C}_{1}^{\kappa}(P) = \left(2\kappa \sinh\left(\frac{P_{0}}{2\kappa}\right)\right)^{2} - \mathbf{P}^{2} e^{P_{0}/\kappa}$$

• Hilbert space =  $M^{\kappa}$  functions on deformed mass shell  $\omega_{\kappa}^{\pm}(\mathbf{k}) = -\kappa \log \left(1 \mp \frac{|\mathbf{k}|}{\kappa}\right)$  equipped with inner product

$$(\phi_1,\phi_2)_\kappa = \int_{M_m^{\kappa+}} \frac{d\mu(\mathbf{p})}{2\omega_\kappa(\mathbf{p})} \ ar{\phi}_1(\mathbf{p}) \phi_2(\mathbf{p})$$

with 
$$d\mu(\mathbf{p}) = rac{e^{3p_0/\kappa}}{(2\pi)^4} \, dp_0 \, d^3\mathbf{p}$$

Hilbert space construction for  $\beta = 1$  (bicrossproduct basis), massless case

$$\mathcal{C}_{1}^{\kappa}(P) = \left(2\kappa \sinh\left(\frac{P_{0}}{2\kappa}\right)\right)^{2} - \mathbf{P}^{2} e^{P_{0}/\kappa}$$

• Hilbert space =  $M^{\kappa}$  functions on deformed mass shell  $\omega_{\kappa}^{\pm}(\mathbf{k}) = -\kappa \log \left(1 \mp \frac{|\mathbf{k}|}{\kappa}\right)$ equipped with inner product

$$(\phi_1,\phi_2)_\kappa = \int_{\mathcal{M}_m^{\kappa+}} rac{d\mu(\mathbf{p})}{2\omega_\kappa(\mathbf{p})} \ ar{\phi}_1(\mathbf{p}) \, \phi_2(\mathbf{p})$$

with 
$$d\mu(\mathbf{p})=rac{e^{3p_0/\kappa}}{(2\pi)^4}\,dp_0\,d^3\mathbf{p}$$

• ... for "transplanckian"  $(|\mathbf{k}| > \kappa)$  modes  $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\kappa}$  is no longer positive definite!

Hilbert space construction for  $\beta = 1$  (bicrossproduct basis), massless case

$$C_1^{\kappa}(P) = \left(2\kappa \sinh\left(\frac{P_0}{2\kappa}\right)\right)^2 - \mathbf{P}^2 e^{P_0/\kappa}$$

• Hilbert space =  $M^{\kappa}$  functions on deformed mass shell  $\omega_{\kappa}^{\pm}(\mathbf{k}) = -\kappa \log \left(1 \mp \frac{|\mathbf{k}|}{\kappa}\right)$  equipped with inner product

$$(\phi_1,\phi_2)_{\kappa} = \int_{M_m^{\kappa+}} \frac{d\mu(\mathbf{p})}{2\omega_{\kappa}(\mathbf{p})} \ ar{\phi}_1(\mathbf{p}) \phi_2(\mathbf{p})$$

with 
$$d\mu(\mathbf{p})=rac{e^{3p_0/\kappa}}{(2\pi)^4}\,dp_0\,d^3\mathbf{p}$$

...for "transplanckian"  $(|\mathbf{k}| > \kappa)$  modes  $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\kappa}$  is no longer positive definite!

 $\begin{array}{l} \kappa\text{-one-particle Hilbert space: } \mathcal{H}_{\kappa} \text{ functions on } M^{\kappa+}, \text{ positive energy, equipped with } (\cdot, \cdot)_{\kappa} \\ \quad \text{ and modes truncated at } \kappa \end{array}$ 

In ordinary QFT the full (bosonic) Fock space is obtained from symmetrized tensor prods of  ${\cal H}$ 

In ordinary QFT the full (bosonic) **Fock space** is obtained from symmetrized tensor prods of  $\mathcal{H}$ In the  $\kappa$ -deformed case try to proceed in an analogous way BUT...

In ordinary QFT the full (bosonic) Fock space is obtained from symmetrized tensor prods of  ${\cal H}$ 

In the  $\kappa$ -deformed case try to proceed in an analogous way BUT... the symmetrized state

$$1/\sqrt{2}\left(\ket{\mathtt{k_1}}\otimes\ket{\mathtt{k_2}}+\ket{\mathtt{k_2}}\otimes\ket{\mathtt{k_1}}
ight)$$

is NOT an eigenstate of  $P_{\mu}$  due to the role of non-trivial coproduct

In ordinary QFT the full (bosonic) Fock space is obtained from  $\underline{symmetrized}$  tensor prods of  $\mathcal H$ 

In the  $\kappa$ -deformed case try to proceed in an analogous way BUT... the symmetrized state

$$1/\sqrt{2}(|\mathbf{k_1}\rangle\otimes|\mathbf{k_2}\rangle+|\mathbf{k_2}\rangle\otimes|\mathbf{k_1}\rangle)$$

is NOT an eigenstate of  $P_{\mu}$  due to the role of non-trivial coproduct

Multi-particle states of  $\kappa$ -Fock-space are built via a "momentum dependent" symmetrization

In ordinary QFT the full (bosonic) **Fock space** is obtained from symmetrized tensor prods of  $\mathcal{H}$ In the  $\kappa$ -deformed case try to proceed in an analogous way BUT...

the symmetrized state

$$1/\sqrt{2}(|\mathbf{k_1}\rangle\otimes|\mathbf{k_2}\rangle+|\mathbf{k_2}\rangle\otimes|\mathbf{k_1}\rangle)$$

is NOT an eigenstate of  $P_{\mu}$  due to the role of non-trivial coproduct

Multi-particle states of  $\kappa$ -Fock-space are built via a "momentum dependent" symmetrization

• "modulated flip" 
$$\sigma^{\kappa} = \mathcal{F}_{\kappa} \sigma \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}^{-1}$$
,  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa} = \exp\left(\frac{1}{\kappa} P_0 \otimes P_j \frac{\partial}{\partial P_j}\right)$  such that  
 $\sigma^{\kappa}(|\mathbf{k}_1\rangle \otimes |\mathbf{k}_2\rangle) = |(1 - \epsilon_1) \mathbf{k}_2\rangle \otimes |(1 - \epsilon_2)^{-1} \mathbf{k}_1\rangle$ ,  $\epsilon_i = \frac{|\mathbf{k}_i|}{\kappa}$
#### Deforming Fock space $1.\kappa$ : multi-particle states

In ordinary QFT the full (bosonic) Fock space is obtained from symmetrized tensor prods of  $\mathcal{H}$ In the  $\kappa$ -deformed case try to proceed in an analogous way BUT...

the symmetrized state

$$1/\sqrt{2}(|\mathbf{k_1}\rangle\otimes|\mathbf{k_2}\rangle+|\mathbf{k_2}\rangle\otimes|\mathbf{k_1}\rangle)$$

is NOT an eigenstate of  $P_{\mu}$  due to the role of non-trivial coproduct

Multi-particle states of  $\kappa$ -Fock-space are built via a "momentum dependent" symmetrization

• "modulated flip" 
$$\sigma^{\kappa} = \mathcal{F}_{\kappa} \sigma \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}^{-1}$$
,  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa} = \exp\left(\frac{1}{\kappa} P_0 \otimes P_j \frac{\partial}{\partial P_j}\right)$  such that  
 $\sigma^{\kappa}(|\mathbf{k}_1\rangle \otimes |\mathbf{k}_2\rangle) = |(1 - \epsilon_1) \mathbf{k}_2\rangle \otimes |(1 - \epsilon_2)^{-1} \mathbf{k}_1\rangle$ ,  $\epsilon_i = \frac{|\mathbf{k}_i|}{\kappa}$ 

• E.g. there will be two 2-particle states

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{k}_{1}\mathbf{k}_{2}\rangle_{\kappa} &= \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[|\,\mathbf{k}_{1}\rangle\otimes\,|\,\mathbf{k}_{2}\rangle+|\,(1-\epsilon_{1})\mathbf{k}_{2}\rangle\otimes\,|\,(1-\epsilon_{2})^{-1}\mathbf{k}_{1}\rangle\right] \\ |\mathbf{k}_{2}\mathbf{k}_{1}\rangle_{\kappa} &= \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[|\,\mathbf{k}_{2}\rangle\otimes\,|\,\mathbf{k}_{1}\rangle+|\,(1-\epsilon_{2})\mathbf{k}_{1}\rangle\otimes\,|\,(1-\epsilon_{1})^{-1}\mathbf{k}_{2}\rangle\right] \end{aligned}$$

with same energy and different linear momentum

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{K}_{12} &= \mathsf{k}_1 \oplus \mathsf{k}_2 = \quad \mathsf{k}_1 + (1 - \epsilon_1) \mathsf{k}_2 \\ \mathsf{K}_{21} &= \mathsf{k}_2 \oplus \mathsf{k}_1 = \quad \mathsf{k}_2 + (1 - \epsilon_2) \mathsf{k}_1 \end{split}$$

#### Deforming Fock space $1.\kappa$ : multi-particle states

In ordinary QFT the full (bosonic) Fock space is obtained from symmetrized tensor prods of  $\mathcal{H}$ In the  $\kappa$ -deformed case try to proceed in an analogous way BUT...

the symmetrized state

$$1/\sqrt{2}(|\mathbf{k_1}\rangle\otimes|\mathbf{k_2}\rangle+|\mathbf{k_2}\rangle\otimes|\mathbf{k_1}\rangle)$$

is NOT an eigenstate of  $P_{\mu}$  due to the role of non-trivial coproduct

Multi-particle states of  $\kappa$ -Fock-space are built via a "momentum dependent" symmetrization

• "modulated flip" 
$$\sigma^{\kappa} = \mathcal{F}_{\kappa} \sigma \mathcal{F}_{\kappa}^{-1}$$
,  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa} = \exp\left(\frac{1}{\kappa} P_0 \otimes P_j \frac{\partial}{\partial P_j}\right)$  such that  
 $\sigma^{\kappa}(|\mathbf{k}_1\rangle \otimes |\mathbf{k}_2\rangle) = |(1 - \epsilon_1) \mathbf{k}_2\rangle \otimes |(1 - \epsilon_2)^{-1} \mathbf{k}_1\rangle$ ,  $\epsilon_i = \frac{|\mathbf{k}_i|}{\kappa}$ 

E.g. there will be two 2-particle states

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{k}_{1}\mathbf{k}_{2}\rangle_{\kappa} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ |\mathbf{k}_{1}\rangle \otimes |\mathbf{k}_{2}\rangle + |(1-\epsilon_{1})\mathbf{k}_{2}\rangle \otimes |(1-\epsilon_{2})^{-1}\mathbf{k}_{1}\rangle \right] \\ |\mathbf{k}_{2}\mathbf{k}_{1}\rangle_{\kappa} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ |\mathbf{k}_{2}\rangle \otimes |\mathbf{k}_{1}\rangle + |(1-\epsilon_{2})\mathbf{k}_{1}\rangle \otimes |(1-\epsilon_{1})^{-1}\mathbf{k}_{2}\rangle \right] \end{aligned}$$

with same energy and different linear momentum

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathsf{K}_{12} = \mathsf{k}_1 \oplus \mathsf{k}_2 = &\mathsf{k}_1 + (1 - \epsilon_1)\mathsf{k}_2 \\ &\mathsf{K}_{21} = \mathsf{k}_2 \oplus \mathsf{k}_1 = &\mathsf{k}_2 + (1 - \epsilon_2)\mathsf{k}_1 \end{aligned}$$

given *n*-different modes one has n! different *n*-particle states, one for each permutation of the *n* modes  $k_1, k_2 \dots k_n$ 

The non-trivial algebraic structure of  $\kappa\text{-translations}$  endows the Fock space with a "fine structure"

The non-trivial algebraic structure of  $\kappa\text{-translations}$  endows the Fock space with a "fine structure"

• the different states can be distinguished measuring their momentum splitting e.g. 
$$\begin{split} |\Delta K_{12}| \equiv |K_{12} - K_{21}| = \frac{1}{\kappa} |\mathbf{k}_1|\mathbf{k}_2| - \mathbf{k}_2 |\mathbf{k}_1|| \leq \frac{2}{\kappa} |\mathbf{k}_1| |\mathbf{k}_2| \\ \text{of order } |\mathbf{k}_i|^2 / \kappa \end{split}$$

The non-trivial algebraic structure of  $\kappa$ -translations endows the Fock space with a "fine structure"

- the different states can be distinguished measuring their momentum splitting e.g.  $|\Delta K_{12}| \equiv |K_{12} K_{21}| = \frac{1}{\kappa} |\mathbf{k}_1| \mathbf{k}_2| \mathbf{k}_2 |\mathbf{k}_1|| \leq \frac{2}{\kappa} |\mathbf{k}_1| |\mathbf{k}_2|$ of order  $|\mathbf{k}_i|^2/\kappa$
- the 2-mode Hilbert space becomes  $\mathcal{H}^2_{\kappa} \cong S_2 \mathcal{H}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ , where  $S_2 \mathcal{H}^2$  is the ordinary symmetrized 2-mode Hilbert space and our states can be written as

$$\begin{array}{lll} |\epsilon\rangle \otimes |\uparrow\rangle & = & |\mathbf{k}_{1}\mathbf{k}_{2}\rangle_{\kappa} \\ |\epsilon\rangle \otimes |\downarrow\rangle & = & |\mathbf{k}_{2}\mathbf{k}_{1}\rangle_{\kappa} \end{array}$$

with  $\epsilon = \epsilon(\mathbf{k_1}) + \epsilon(\mathbf{k_2})$ 

The non-trivial algebraic structure of  $\kappa$ -translations endows the Fock space with a "fine structure"

- the different states can be distinguished measuring their momentum splitting e.g.  $|\Delta K_{12}| \equiv |K_{12} K_{21}| = \frac{1}{\kappa} |\mathbf{k}_1| \mathbf{k}_2| \mathbf{k}_2 |\mathbf{k}_1|| \leq \frac{2}{\kappa} |\mathbf{k}_1| |\mathbf{k}_2|$ of order  $|\mathbf{k}_i|^2/\kappa$
- the 2-mode Hilbert space becomes  $\mathcal{H}^2_{\kappa} \cong S_2\mathcal{H}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ , where  $S_2\mathcal{H}^2$  is the ordinary symmetrized 2-mode Hilbert space and our states can be written as

$$\begin{array}{lll} |\epsilon\rangle \otimes |\uparrow\rangle &=& |\mathbf{k}_1\mathbf{k}_2\rangle_{\kappa} \\ |\epsilon\rangle \otimes |\downarrow\rangle &=& |\mathbf{k}_2\mathbf{k}_1\rangle_{\kappa} \end{array}$$

with  $\epsilon = \epsilon(\mathbf{k_1}) + \epsilon(\mathbf{k_2})$ 

Planckian mode entanglement becomes possible!

The non-trivial algebraic structure of  $\kappa$ -translations endows the Fock space with a "fine structure"

- the different states can be distinguished measuring their momentum splitting e.g.  $|\Delta K_{12}| \equiv |K_{12} K_{21}| = \frac{1}{\kappa} |\mathbf{k}_1| \mathbf{k}_2| \mathbf{k}_2 |\mathbf{k}_1|| \leq \frac{2}{\kappa} |\mathbf{k}_1| |\mathbf{k}_2|$ of order  $|\mathbf{k}_i|^2/\kappa$
- the 2-mode Hilbert space becomes  $\mathcal{H}^2_{\kappa} \cong S_2 \mathcal{H}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ , where  $S_2 \mathcal{H}^2$  is the ordinary symmetrized 2-mode Hilbert space and our states can be written as

$$\begin{array}{lll} |\epsilon\rangle \otimes |\uparrow\rangle &=& |{\bf k}_1 {\bf k}_2\rangle_{\kappa} \\ |\epsilon\rangle \otimes |\downarrow\rangle &=& |{\bf k}_2 {\bf k}_1\rangle_{\kappa} \end{array}$$

with  $\epsilon = \epsilon(\mathbf{k_1}) + \epsilon(\mathbf{k_2})$ 

#### Planckian mode entanglement becomes possible!

• e.g. the state superposition of two total "classical" energies  $\epsilon_A = \epsilon(\mathbf{k}_{1A}) + \epsilon(\mathbf{k}_{2A})$  and  $\epsilon_B = \epsilon(\mathbf{k}_{1B}) + \epsilon(\mathbf{k}_{2B})$  can be entangled with the additional hidden modes e.g.

$$|\Psi
angle = 1/\sqrt{2}(|\epsilon_A
angle \otimes |\uparrow
angle + |\epsilon_B
angle \otimes |\downarrow
angle)$$

• consider a quantum system evolving unitarily

 $\rho(t) = U(t)\rho(0)U^{\dagger}(t)$ 

• consider a quantum system evolving unitarily

 $\rho(t) = U(t)\rho(0)U^{\dagger}(t)$ 

• start with a pure state  $\rho(0)$  factorized with respect to the bipartition in  $\mathcal{H}_{\kappa}^{n} \cong S_{n}\mathcal{H}^{n} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n}$ 

• consider a quantum system evolving unitarily

 $\rho(t) = U(t)\rho(0)U^{\dagger}(t)$ 

- start with a pure state  $\rho(0)$  factorized with respect to the bipartition in  $\mathcal{H}_{\kappa}^{n} \cong S_{n}\mathcal{H}^{n} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n}$
- If U(t) acts as an "entangling gate", the state ho(t) will be entangled

• consider a quantum system evolving unitarily

 $\rho(t) = U(t)\rho(0)U^{\dagger}(t)$ 

- start with a pure state  $\rho(0)$  factorized with respect to the bipartition in  $\mathcal{H}_{\kappa}^{n} \cong S_{n}\mathcal{H}^{n} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n}$
- If U(t) acts as an "entangling gate", the state ho(t) will be entangled
- A macroscopic observer who is not able to resolve the planckian degrees of freedom at the beginning will see the reduced system in a pure state

$$\rho_{obs}(0) = \operatorname{Tr}_{PI}\rho(0)$$

• consider a quantum system evolving unitarily

$$\rho(t) = U(t)\rho(0)U^{\dagger}(t)$$

- start with a pure state  $\rho(0)$  factorized with respect to the bipartition in  $\mathcal{H}_{\kappa}^{n} \cong S_{n}\mathcal{H}^{n} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n}$
- If U(t) acts as an "entangling gate", the state ho(t) will be entangled
- A macroscopic observer who is not able to resolve the planckian degrees of freedom at the beginning will see the reduced system in a pure state

$$\rho_{obs}(0) = \operatorname{Tr}_{Pl}\rho(0)$$

As the system evolves she will see the <u>mixed state</u>

$$\rho_{obs}(t) = \operatorname{Tr}_{Pl}\rho(t) = \operatorname{Tr}_{Pl}\left[U(t)\rho(0)U^{\dagger}(t)\right].$$

• consider a quantum system evolving unitarily

 $\rho(t) = U(t)\rho(0)U^{\dagger}(t)$ 

- start with a pure state  $\rho(0)$  factorized with respect to the bipartition in  $\mathcal{H}_{\kappa}^{n} \cong S_{n}\mathcal{H}^{n} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n}$
- If U(t) acts as an "entangling gate", the state  $\rho(t)$  will be entangled
- A macroscopic observer who is not able to resolve the planckian degrees of freedom at the beginning will see the reduced system in a pure state

$$\rho_{obs}(0) = \operatorname{Tr}_{Pl}\rho(0)$$

As the system evolves she will see the <u>mixed state</u>

$$\rho_{obs}(t) = \operatorname{Tr}_{Pl}\rho(t) = \operatorname{Tr}_{Pl}\left[U(t)\rho(0)U^{\dagger}(t)\right].$$

For the *macroscopic* observer, the evolution is not unitary!

• consider a quantum system evolving unitarily

 $\rho(t) = U(t)\rho(0)U^{\dagger}(t)$ 

- start with a pure state  $\rho(0)$  factorized with respect to the bipartition in  $\mathcal{H}_{\kappa}^{n} \cong S_{n}\mathcal{H}^{n} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n}$
- If U(t) acts as an "entangling gate", the state  $\rho(t)$  will be entangled
- A macroscopic observer who is not able to resolve the planckian degrees of freedom at the beginning will see the reduced system in a pure state

$$\rho_{obs}(0) = \operatorname{Tr}_{Pl}\rho(0)$$

As the system evolves she will see the mixed state

$$\rho_{obs}(t) = \operatorname{Tr}_{Pl}\rho(t) = \operatorname{Tr}_{Pl}\left[U(t)\rho(0)U^{\dagger}(t)\right].$$

For the *macroscopic* observer, the evolution is not unitary!

A simple model which exhibits decoherence due to presence of planckian d.o.f...

• consider a quantum system evolving unitarily

 $\rho(t) = U(t)\rho(0)U^{\dagger}(t)$ 

- start with a pure state  $\rho(0)$  factorized with respect to the bipartition in  $\mathcal{H}_{\kappa}^{n} \cong S_{n}\mathcal{H}^{n} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n}$
- If U(t) acts as an "entangling gate", the state  $\rho(t)$  will be entangled
- A macroscopic observer who is not able to resolve the planckian degrees of freedom at the beginning will see the reduced system in a pure state

$$\rho_{obs}(0) = \operatorname{Tr}_{Pl}\rho(0)$$

As the system evolves she will see the mixed state

$$\rho_{obs}(t) = \operatorname{Tr}_{Pl}\rho(t) = \operatorname{Tr}_{Pl}\left[U(t)\rho(0)U^{\dagger}(t)\right].$$

For the macroscopic observer, the evolution is not unitary!

A simple model which exhibits decoherence due to presence of planckian d.o.f...

#### Conclusions

- Relativistic symmetries can be deformed to allow "curvature" for momentum space
- Motivations to look at such deformations come form QG and NCFT scenarios
- Quantization of (free) field theories with group valued momenta leads to **ambiguities** related to the different choices on coordinate functions on the momentum manifold
- Physical interpretation of such ambiguities?
- At the multiparticle level the non-trivial behaviour of field modes leads to a **deformed Fock space**: interesting **entanglement** phenomena can take place
- What role for "trans-planckian" issues in semiclassical gravity (BH evaporation, Inflation)??

#### References

- 1. MA, 1009.1097 [hep-th]; (this week!)
- 2. MA, J. Kowalski-Glikman, 1008.2962 [hep-th];
- 3. MA, J. Kowalski-Glikman and A. Walkus, 0908.1974 [hep-th];
- 4. MA and D. Benedetti, 0809.0889 [hep-th];
- 5. MA, A. Hamma and S. Severini, 0806.2145 [hep-th];
- 6. MA, 0710.1083 [hep-th];
- 7. MA and A. Marciano', 0707.1329 [hep-th]