

UV BEHAVIOR OF THE EINSTEIN–YANG-MILLS SYSTEM

[J.-E. DAUM, U. HARST AND M. REUTER, JHEP 01 (2010) 084.]

Ulrich Harst

Institut für Physik - THEP
Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz

Corfu Summer Institute, ERG 2010, 17/9/2010



JOHANNES GUTENBERG
UNIVERSITÄT MAINZ

INTRODUCTION - RECENT RESULTS

Are there gravity corrections to the beta function of the Yang-Mills (YM) coupling constant?

- ▶ perturbative calculations by several authors:

[Robinson and Wilczek (2006), Pietrykowski (2007), Toms (2007),
Ebert, Plefka, Rodigast (2008)]

- ▶ only the former find a non-trivial result
 - ▶ problematic either due to dimensional or cutoff regularization
- ▶ Tang and Wu (“loop regularization”) find a non-zero correction [Tang and Wu (2008)]

INTRODUCTION - THE ERG APPROACH

We analyzed the running of the gauge coupling constant in the Asymptotic Safety scenario for quantum gravity.

Main tools:

- ▶ FRGE for the effective average action
- ▶ the background field method

Advantages:

- ▶ sensitive to quadratic divergences
- ▶ background field method preserves gauge invariance

Nevertheless:

- ▶ resulting beta-function may still be gauge fixing dependent

THE TRUNCATION

$$\Gamma_k = \Gamma_k^{\text{EH}} + \Gamma_k^{\text{YM}} + \Gamma_k^{\text{gf}} + S_{\text{gh}} = \check{\Gamma}_k + S_{\text{gh}}$$

with

$$\Gamma_k^{\text{EH}}[g] = \frac{Z_N(k)}{16\pi \hat{G}} \int d^4x \sqrt{g} \left(-R(g) + 2\bar{\lambda}(k) \right)$$

$$\Gamma_k^{\text{YM}}[g, A] = \frac{Z_F(k)}{4 \hat{g}_{\text{YM}}^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{g} g^{\mu\rho} g^{\nu\sigma} F_{\mu\nu}^a F_{\rho\sigma}^a$$

$$\Gamma_k^{\text{gf}}[\bar{h}, \bar{a}; \bar{g}, \bar{A}] = \int d^4x \sqrt{\bar{g}} \left(\frac{Z_N(k)}{2\alpha_{\text{D}}} \bar{g}^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}^a F_{\rho\sigma}^a + \frac{Z_F(k)}{2\alpha_{\text{YM}}} G^a G^a \right)$$

Motivation:

- ▶ Γ_k^{EH} contains the all essential features of gravity close to the non-Gaussian fixed point (NGFP)
- ▶ in pure YM theory Γ_k^{YM} approximates the perturbative 2-loop result within a few percent

GAUGE FIXING AND GHOST ACTION

Gauge conditions:

$$F_\mu(\bar{h}; \bar{g}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{16\pi\hat{G}}} \left(\delta_\mu^\beta \bar{g}^{\alpha\gamma} \bar{D}_\gamma - \frac{1}{2} \bar{g}^{\alpha\beta} \bar{D}_\mu \right) \bar{h}_{\alpha\beta}$$

$$G^a(\bar{a}; \bar{g}, \bar{A}) = \hat{g}_{\text{YM}}^{-1} \bar{g}^{\mu\nu} \bar{D}_\mu \bar{a}_\nu^a$$

S_{gh} is then obtained by the Faddeev-Popov method

Further simplifications:

- ▶ neglect renormalization effects in the ghost sector
→ fluctuations in S_{gh} are set to zero
- ▶ gauge parameters chosen to $\alpha_{\text{D}} = 1 = \alpha_{\text{YM}}$

GAUGE FIXING AND GHOST ACTION

Gauge conditions:

$$F_\mu(\bar{h}; \bar{g}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{16\pi\hat{G}}} \left(\delta_\mu^\beta \bar{g}^{\alpha\gamma} \bar{D}_\gamma - \frac{1}{2} \bar{g}^{\alpha\beta} \bar{D}_\mu \right) \bar{h}_{\alpha\beta}$$

$$G^a(\bar{a}; \bar{g}, \bar{A}) = \hat{g}_{\text{YM}}^{-1} \bar{g}^{\mu\nu} \bar{D}_\mu \bar{a}_\nu^a$$

S_{gh} is then obtained by the Faddeev-Popov method

Further simplifications:

- ▶ neglect renormalization effects in the ghost sector
→ fluctuations in S_{gh} are set to zero
- ▶ gauge parameters chosen to $\alpha_{\text{D}} = 1 = \alpha_{\text{YM}}$

FUNCTIONAL RENORMALIZATION GROUP EQUATION

$$\partial_t \Gamma_k = \frac{1}{2} \text{STr} \left[\left(\Gamma_k^{(2)} + \mathcal{R}_k(\Delta) \right)^{-1} \partial_t \mathcal{R}_k(\Delta) \right]$$

Inserting the truncation we have

$$\partial_t \Gamma_k = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left[\frac{\partial_t \mathcal{R}_k(\check{\Delta})}{\check{\Gamma}_k^{(2)} + \mathcal{R}_k(\check{\Delta})} \right] - \text{Tr} \left[\frac{\partial_t \mathcal{R}_k^{\text{gh}}(\Delta_{\text{gh}})}{S_{\text{gh}}^{(2)} + \mathcal{R}_k^{\text{gh}}(\Delta_{\text{gh}})} \right]$$

where

$$\mathcal{R}_k(x) = \mathcal{Z}_k k^2 R^{(0)}(x/k^2), \quad \mathcal{R}_k^{\text{gh}}(x) = \mathcal{Z}_k^{\text{gh}} k^2 R^{(0)}(x/k^2)$$

- ▶ shape function $R^{(0)}(y)$ with $R^{(0)}(0) = 1$ and $\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} R^{(0)}(y) = 0$
- ▶ \mathcal{Z}_k and $\mathcal{Z}_k^{\text{gh}}$ constant matrices in field space, chosen such that modes of $\Gamma_k^{(2)} \rightarrow \Gamma_k^{(2)} + \mathcal{R}_k$ get shifted $\zeta_k p^2 \rightarrow \zeta_k(p^2 + k^2 R^{(0)})$

SPECTRALLY ADJUSTED CUTOFF OPERATOR

As cutoff operator we choose

$$\check{\Delta} = \mathcal{Z}_k^{-1} \check{\Gamma}_k^{(2)} \quad \Delta_{\text{gh}} = \mathcal{Z}_{\text{gh}}^{-1} S_{\text{gh}}^{(2)}$$

This has been called “spectrally adjusted” or “type III” cutoff in the literature.

- ▶ conceptually not as clear as the covariant Laplacian \square
↔ additional terms on RHS due ∂_t acting on $\check{\Delta}$
- ▶ admits a simple spectral representation of the RHS \rightarrow
simplifies evaluation of the traces (no operator inversion necessary)

CALCULATION AND RG IMPROVEMENT

Calculational steps:

- ▶ compute the Hessian $\Gamma_k^{(2)}$ and $S_{\text{gh}}^{(2)}$
- ▶ equate background and full classical fields
($\bar{g}_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu}$, $\bar{A}_\mu^a = A_\mu^a$)
- ▶ expand RHS in A_μ^a to second order to extract the $(F_{\mu\nu}^a)^2$ -term
(we may set $g_{\mu\nu} = \delta_{\mu\nu}$)
- ▶ all terms combine to an F^2 -contribution due to the built-in gauge invariance

Different degrees of RG improvement:

- ▶ ∂_t acts only on explicit k -dependence \leftrightarrow 1-loop calculation
- ▶ ∂_t acts in addition on \mathcal{Z}_k -factors
- ▶ ∂_t acts also on $\check{\Gamma}_k^{(2)}$ in $\check{\Delta}$

CALCULATION AND RG IMPROVEMENT

Calculational steps:

- ▶ compute the Hessian $\Gamma_k^{(2)}$ and $S_{\text{gh}}^{(2)}$
- ▶ equate background and full classical fields
($\bar{g}_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu}$, $\bar{A}_\mu^a = A_\mu^a$)
- ▶ expand RHS in A_μ^a to second order to extract the $(F_{\mu\nu}^a)^2$ -term
(we may set $g_{\mu\nu} = \delta_{\mu\nu}$)
- ▶ all terms combine to an F^2 -contribution due to the built-in gauge invariance

Different degrees of RG improvement:

- ▶ ∂_t acts only on explicit k -dependence \leftrightarrow 1-loop calculation
- ▶ ∂_t acts in addition on \mathcal{Z}_k -factors
- ▶ ∂_t acts also on $\check{\Gamma}_k^{(2)}$ in $\check{\Delta}$

1-LOOP RESULT

Switching to dimensionless couplings

$$g_{\text{YM}}^2(k) \equiv \frac{\hat{g}_{\text{YM}}^2}{Z_F(k)}, \quad g(k) \equiv k^2 \frac{\hat{G}}{Z_N(k)}, \quad \lambda(k) \equiv k^{-2} \bar{\lambda}(k)$$

we obtain the 1-loop result

$$\partial_t g_{\text{YM}}^2 = -\frac{6 \Phi_1^1(0)}{\pi} g g_{\text{YM}}^2 - \frac{11 N}{24 \pi^2} g_{\text{YM}}^4$$

[Daum, U.H., Reuter (2009)]

where

$$\Phi_1^1(w) = \int_0^\infty dz \frac{R^{(0)}(z) - zR^{(0)'}(z)}{z + R^{(0)}(z) + w}$$

CLASSICAL REGIME

Newton's constant

$$G(k) \approx G_0 = \text{const}, \quad g(k) = G_0 k^2$$

For an Abelian field ($N = 0$) we obtain

$$\partial_t g_{\text{YM}}^2 = -\frac{6 \Phi_1^1(0)}{\pi} G_0 k^2 g_{\text{YM}}^2$$

with solution

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\text{YM}}^2(k) &= g_{\text{YM}}^2(0) \cdot \exp\left(-\omega_{\text{YM}}(k/m_{\text{Pl}})^2\right) \\ &= g_{\text{YM}}^2(0) \cdot \left[1 - \omega_{\text{YM}}(k/m_{\text{Pl}})^2 + O(k^4/m_{\text{Pl}}^4)\right] \end{aligned}$$

where $m_{\text{Pl}} = G_0^{-1/2}$ and $\omega_{\text{YM}} = 3\Phi_1^1(0)/\pi$.

ASYMPTOTIC SAFETY

Free Maxwell field does not destroy NGFP of the EH truncation.
Therefore in the UV

$$g(k) \rightarrow g^* \quad \Longrightarrow \quad G(k) = g^*/k^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad k \rightarrow \infty$$

which implies

$$\partial_t g_{\text{YM}}^2 = -\frac{6 \Phi_1^1(0)}{\pi} g^* g_{\text{YM}}^2$$

with solution

$$g_{\text{YM}}^2(k) \propto k^{-\Theta_{\text{YM}}}, \quad \Theta_{\text{YM}} = \frac{6 \Phi_1^1(0)}{\pi} g^*$$

- ▶ total system has a NGFP with $(g_{\text{YM}}^* = 0, g^* > 0, \lambda^* > 0)$
- ▶ gravity speeds up approach of asymptotic freedom in the YM sector (power law instead of logarithmic)

SUMMARY

- ▶ we obtain a gravitational correction to the Yang-Mills beta function in a setting which both preserves gauge invariance and retains quadratic divergences
- ▶ the coefficient of the correction is scheme and gauge fixing dependent, but $g_{\text{YM}}(k)$ is not an observable quantity
- ▶ the form of the correction corresponds to the result of [Tang and Wu (2008)]
- ▶ the result is consistent with the Asymptotic Safety scenario for QEG, with vanishing gauge coupling at the NGFP
- ▶ RG improvements do not change the picture substantially