Emergence of new laws with Functional Renormalization



different laws at different scales

- fluctuations wash out many details of microscopic laws
- new structures as bound states or collective phenomena emerge
- elementary particles earth Universe : key problem in Physics !

scale dependent laws

scale dependent (running or flowing) couplings

flowing functions

flowing functionals

flowing action



flowing action



effective theories

- planets
 - fundamental microscopic law for matter in solar system:
- Schroedinger equation for many electrons and nucleons,
- in gravitational potential of sun
- with electromagnetic and gravitational interactions (strong and weak interactions neglected)

effective theory for planets

at long distances, large time scales : point-like planets, only mass of planets plays a role

- effective theory : Newtonian mechanics for point particles
- loss of memory
- new simple laws
- only a few parameters : masses of planets
- determined by microscopic parameters + history

QCD : Short and long distance degrees of freedom are different !

> Short distances : quarks and gluons Long distances : baryons and mesons

How to make the transition?

confinement/chiral symmetry breaking

functional renormalization

- transition from microscopic to effective theory is made continuous
- effective laws depend on scale k
- flow in space of theories
- flow from simplicity to complexity
 - if theory is simple for large k
- or opposite, if theory gets simple for small k

Scales in strong interactions

	C		
$\gtrsim 1.5{ m GeV}$	quarks, gluons	QCD	simple
k_{Φ} (600-700 MeV)	$+ { m mesons} \ \langle ar \psi \psi angle = 0$	linear quark– meson model	complicated
$k_{\chi SB} \ (\sim 400 \ { m MeV})$	-quarks χ SB $\langle \bar{\psi}\psi angle eq 0$	linear or nonlinear sigma model	simple

flow of functions

Effective potential includes all fluctuations

Average potential U_k

 $\equiv scale dependent effective$ potential $\equiv coarse grained free energy$

Only fluctuations with momenta $q^2 > k^2$ included

k: infrared cutoff for fluctuations, "average scale" Λ : characteristic scale for microphysics

 $U_{\Lambda} \approx S \to U_0 \equiv U$

Scalar field theory

 $\varphi_a(x)$: magnetization, density, chemical concentration, Higgs field, meson field, inflaton, cosmon

O(N)-symmetry:





Flow equation for average potential

 $\partial_k U_k(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \int \frac{d^d q}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{\partial_k R_k(q^2)}{Z_k q^2 + R_k(q^2) + \bar{M}_{k,i}^2(\varphi)} \bigg|$

$$ar{M}_{k,ab}^2 = rac{\partial^2 U_k}{\partial \varphi_a \partial \varphi_b}$$
: Mass matrix
 $ar{M}_{k,i}^2$: Eigenvalues of mass matrix

$$\begin{array}{lll} R_k & : & \text{IR-cutoff} \\ \text{e.g} & R_k & = \frac{Z_k q^2}{e^{q^2/k^2} - 1} \\ \text{or} & R_k & = Z_k (k^2 - q^2) \Theta(k^2 - q^2) \end{array} (\text{Litim}) \end{array}$$

 $\lim_{k \to 0} R_k = 0$ $\lim_{k \to \infty} R_k \to \infty$

Simple one loop structure – nevertheless (almost) exact



Infrared cutoff

 $R_k : \text{IR-cutoff}$ e.g $R_k = \frac{Z_k q^2}{e^{q^2/k^2} - 1}$ or $R_k = Z_k (k^2 - q^2) \Theta(k^2 - q^2) \quad \text{(Litim)}$

 $\lim_{k \to 0} R_k = 0$ $\lim_{k \to \infty} R_k \to \infty$

Wave function renormalization and anomalous dimension

 Z_k : wave function renormalization

 $k\partial_k Z_k = -\eta_k Z_K$

 η_k : anomalous dimension

 $t = \ln(k/\Lambda)$

 $\partial_t \ln Z = -\eta$

for $Z_k(\varphi,q^2)$: flow equation is exact !

Scaling form of evolution equation

$$egin{aligned} u &= rac{U_k}{k^d} \ ilde{
ho} &= Z_k k^{2-d}
ho \ u' &= rac{\partial u}{\partial ilde{
ho}} \ ext{ etc.} \end{aligned}$$

$$\partial_t u|_{\tilde{\rho}} = -\frac{du}{dt} + (\frac{d}{dt} - 2 + \eta)\tilde{\rho}u' + 2v_d \{ l_0^d(u' + 2\tilde{\rho}u''; \eta) + (N-1) l_0^d(u'; \eta) \}$$

$$v_d^{-1} = 2^{d+1} \pi^{d/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)$$

linear cutoff:

$$l_0^d(w;\eta) = \frac{2}{d}\left(1-\frac{\eta}{d+2}\right)\frac{1}{1+w}$$

On r.h.s. : neither the scale k nor the wave function renormalization Z appear explicitly.

Scaling solution: no dependence on t; corresponds to second order phase transition.

Tetradis ...

unified approach

choose N
choose d
choose initial form of potential
run !

(quantitative results : systematic derivative expansion in second order in derivatives)

unified description of scalar models for all d and N

Flow of effective potential

Ising model



Critical exponents

 η

0.0292

0.0356

0.0385

0.0380

0.0363

0.025

0.003

1

d = 3

N

0 0.590

1 0.6307

2 0.666

3 0.704

4 0.739

10 0.881

100 0.990

Critical exponents ν and η

V

0.5878 0.039

0.6308 0.0467

0.6714 0.049

0.7102 0.049

0.7474 0.047

0.028

0.0030

"average" of other methods

 $(typically \pm (0.0010 - 0.0020))$

0.886

0.980 ↑





Experiment :

T_{*} =304.15 K p_{*} =73.8.bar ρ_{*} = 0.442 g cm-2

S.Seide ...

critical exponents, BMW approximation

N	η	η (other)	ν	ν (other)	ω	ω
					(prelim.)	(other)
0	0.033(3)	0.028(3) [1]	0.588	0.588(1) [1]	0.80	
1	0.039(3)	0.0364(2) [2]	0.6298(4)	0.6301(2) [2]	0.78	0.79(1) [1]
		0.0368(2) [3]		0.6302(1) [3]		
		0.033(3) [1]		0.630(1) [1]		
2	0.041(3)	0.0381(2) [4]	0.6719(4)	0.6717(1) [4]	0.78	0.79(1) [1]
		0.035(3) [1]		0.670(2) [1]		
3	0.040(3)	0.0375(5) [5]	0.709	0.7112(5) [5]	0.73	
		0.036(3) [1]		0.707(4) [1]		
4	0.038(3)	0.035(5)[1]	0.738	0.741(6) [1]	0.74	0.77(2) [1]
		0.037(1) [6]		0.749(2) [6]		
5	0.035(3)	0.031(3) [8]	0.768	0.764(4) [8]	0.73	0.77(2) [1]
		0.034(1) [7]		0.779(3) [7]		
10	0.022(2)	0.024 [9]	0.860	0.859 [9]	0.81	
20	0.012(1)	0.014 [9]	0.929	0.930 [9]	0.94	
100	0.0023(2)	0.0027 [10]		0.989 [10]	0.99	

[1] R. Guida and J. Zinn-Justin '98. [2] M. Campostrini, A. Pelissetto, P. Rossi, E. Vicari '02.

- [3] Y. Deng and H. W. J. Blote '03. [4] M. Campostrini, M. Hasenbusch, A. Pelissetto, E. Vicari '06.
- [5] M. Campostrini, M. Hasenbusch, A. Pelissetto, P. Rossi, E. Vicari '02. [6] M. Hasenbusch '01.
- [7] M. Hasenbusch, A. Pelissetto, E. Vicari '05. [8] A. Butti and F. Parisen Toldin '05.
- [9] S. A. Antonenko and A. I. Sokolov '95. [10] M. Moshe and J. Zinn-Justin '03.

Blaizot, Benitez, ..., Wschebor

Solution of partial differential equation :

yields highly nontrivial non-perturbative results despite the one loop structure !

Example: Kosterlitz-Thouless phase transition

Essential scaling : d=2,N=2

MR ~ exp{- 1/2}, T>To



 Flow equation contains correctly the nonperturbative information !
 (essential scaling usually described by vortices)

Von Gersdorff ...

Kosterlitz-Thouless phase transition (d=2,N=2)

Correct description of phase with Goldstone boson (infinite correlation length) for T<T_c

Temperature dependent anomalous dimension η



 T/T_{c}

Running renormalized d-wave superconducting order parameter \varkappa in doped Hubbard (-type) model



Renormalized order parameter \varkappa and gap in electron propagator Δ in doped Hubbard model



 T/T_{c}

unification



flow of functionals



Exact renormalization group equation

Exact flow equation

for scale dependence of average action

$$\partial_k \Gamma_k[\varphi] = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \left(\Gamma_k^{(2)}[\varphi] + R_k \right)^{-1} \partial_k R_k \right\}$$

'92

$$\left(\Gamma_k^{(2)} \right)_{ab} (q, q') = \frac{\delta^2 \Gamma_k}{\delta \varphi_a(-q) \delta \varphi_b(q')}$$

Tr : $\sum_a \int \frac{d^d q}{(2\pi)^d}$

(fermions : STr)

some history ... (the parents)

exact RG equations :

Symanzik eq., Wilson eq., Wegner-Houghton eq., Polchinski eq., mathematical physics

1PI : RG for 1PI-four-point function and hierarchy Weinberg formal Legendre transform of Wilson eq. Nicoll, Chang

non-perturbative flow :

d=3 : sharp cutoff , no wave function renormalization or momentum dependence Hasenfratz²

flow equations and composite degrees of freedom

Flowing quark interactions

quark - model (gluons integrated out) Yough truncation : (with U. Ellwanger) M[24] = M2,2 + M4,2 $\Pi_{2_{f}\&} = \int \frac{d^{4}q}{(2\pi)^{4}} \overline{\psi}_{a}^{i}(q) \not q \psi_{i}^{a}(q)$ $\Gamma_{\psi_1 \psi_2}^{\prime} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\theta=1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{d^* p_e}{(2\pi)^*} \right) (2\pi)^* \delta^* (p_1 + p_2 - p_3 - p_4) \frac{1}{2} (p_3 p_2, p_3, p_4) \mathcal{M}$ $\mathcal{M} = \left[\overline{\psi}_{a}^{\flat}(-p_{1}) \psi_{i}^{\flat}(p_{2}) \right] \left[\overline{\psi}_{b}^{\flat}(p_{1}) \psi_{j}^{\ast}(-p_{3}) \right]$ - [\$\vec{\phi}_{a}(-\mathcal{P}_{1}) \mathcal{F}_{5} \$\vec{\phi}_{b}(\mathcal{P}_{2})] [\$\vec{\phi}_{b}^{2}(\mathcal{P}_{4}) \$\mathcal{F}_{5} \$\vec{\phi}_{5}^{a}(-\mathcal{P}_{3})] \$}] i = 1 ... Ne colour index a = 1 --- NF flavour index M is not the most general chirally invariant four point function! Projection on colour singlet scalars

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & P_{2} & P_{4} \\
 & & & & \\
 \hline q \\
 \hline q \\
 \hline q \\
 \hline q \\
 \hline P_{4} & P_{3} \\
 \hline \end{array} \qquad : \begin{array}{c}
 \lambda_{2} \left(p_{4}, p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{4} \right) \\
 & & \\
 \hline p_{4} & P_{3} \\
 \hline \end{array}$$

initial conditions λ_{B_0} , $B_0 \approx 1.5 \text{ GeV}$ $\lambda_{B_0} = \frac{2\pi\alpha_s}{t} + \frac{8\pi\lambda}{t^2} (= \frac{1}{v}V(t))$ $s = (p_A + p_2)^2 = (p_s + p_4)^2$ $t = (p_A - p_s)^2 = (p_v - p_v)^2$ $\lambda \sim 0.18 \text{ GeV}^2 \text{ (string tension)}$ $\kappa_s \sim 0.3$ $(\lambda_{B_0} \text{ should be given in next step}$ by integrating cot g/acons)

U. Ellwanger,... Nucl.Phys.B423(1994)137

Flowing four-quark vertex



$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \lambda_{g} \left(p_{4} p_{2} p_{3} p_{4} \right) = - \frac{g N_{c}}{k^{2}} \cdot$$

$$\cdot \int \frac{d^{4}q}{(2\pi)^{4}} \lambda_{\xi} (p_{4}, p_{2}, q_{1} - q + p_{4} + p_{2}) \lambda_{\xi} (q_{1} - q + p_{4} + p_{2}, B_{1}, p_{4})$$

$$\cdot \left[\frac{q^{4}(q - p_{4} - p_{2})_{\mu}}{q^{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(q - p_{4} - p_{2})^{2}}{R^{2}}\right) \cdot \left(\exp\left(-\frac{q^{2}}{R_{2}}\right) - \exp\left(-\frac{q^{2}}{R^{2}}\right)\right) + q \rightarrow p_{4} + p_{2} - q \right]$$

At $k \approx 0.65$ GeV λ_k becomes, independent of t" Then: "poles" in 5 - channel appear

emergence of mesons
BCS – BEC crossover



changing degrees of freedom

Anti-ferromagnetic order in the Hubbard model

transition from microscopic theory for fermions to macroscopic theory for bosons

> T.Baier, E.Bick, ... C.Krahl, J.Mueller, S.Friederich

Hubbard model

Functional integral formulation

$$Z[\eta] = \int_{\hat{\psi}(\beta) = -\hat{\psi}(0), \hat{\psi}^{*}(\beta) = -\hat{\psi}^{*}(0)} \mathcal{D}(\hat{\psi}^{*}(\tau), \hat{\psi}(\tau))$$

$$\exp\left(-\int_{0}^{\beta} d\tau \left(\sum_{\mathbf{x}} \hat{\psi}_{\mathbf{x}}^{\dagger}(\tau) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} - \mu\right) \hat{\psi}_{\mathbf{x}}(\tau)\right)$$

$$+ \sum_{\mathbf{xy}} \hat{\psi}_{\mathbf{x}}^{\dagger}(\tau) \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{xy}} \hat{\psi}_{\mathbf{y}}(\tau)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} U \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \left(\hat{\psi}_{\mathbf{x}}^{\dagger}(\tau) \hat{\psi}_{\mathbf{x}}(\tau)\right)^{2}$$

$$- \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \left(\eta_{\mathbf{x}}^{\dagger}(\tau) \hat{\psi}_{\mathbf{x}}(\tau) + \eta_{\mathbf{x}}^{T}(\tau) \hat{\psi}_{\mathbf{x}}^{*}(\tau)\right)\right)$$

U > 0 : repulsive local interaction

next neighbor interaction

$$\mathcal{T}_{xy} = \begin{cases} -t & \text{, if } \boldsymbol{x} \text{ and } \boldsymbol{y} \text{ are nearest neighbors} \\ 0 & \text{, else} \end{cases}$$

External parameters T : temperature μ : chemical potential (doping)

Fermion bilinears

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\rho}(X) \ &= \ \hat{\psi}^{\dagger}(X) \hat{\psi}(X), \\ \tilde{\vec{m}}(X) \ &= \ \hat{\psi}^{\dagger}(X) \vec{\sigma} \hat{\psi}(X) \end{split}$$

Introduce sources for bilinears

Functional variation with respect to sources J yields expectation values and correlation functions

$$S_F = S_{F,\text{kin}} + \frac{1}{2}U(\hat{\psi}^{\dagger}\hat{\psi})^2 - J_{\rho}\tilde{\rho} - \vec{J_m}\tilde{\vec{m}}$$

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}(\psi^*, \psi) \exp\left(-\left(S_F + S_\eta\right)\right)$$
$$S_\eta = -\eta^{\dagger} \psi - \eta^T \psi^*$$

Partial Bosonisation

- collective bosonic variables for fermion bilinears
 insert identity in functional integral (Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation)
 replace four fermion interaction by equivalent bosonic interaction (e.g. mass and Yukawa terms)
- problem : decomposition of fermion interaction into bilinears not unique (Grassmann variables)

$$(\hat{\psi}^{\dagger}(X)\hat{\psi}(X))^{2} = \tilde{\rho}(X)^{2} = -\frac{1}{3}\tilde{\vec{m}}(X)^{2}$$

Partially bosonised functional integral

$$Z[\eta, \eta^*, J_{\rho}, \vec{J_m}] = \int \mathcal{D}(\psi^*, \psi, \hat{\rho}, \hat{\vec{m}}) \exp\left(-\left(S + S_{\eta} + S_J\right)\right)$$

$$S = S_{F,kin} + \frac{1}{2}U_{\rho}\hat{\rho}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}U_{m}\hat{\vec{m}}^{2} - U_{\rho}\hat{\rho}\tilde{\rho} - U_{m}\hat{\vec{m}}\tilde{\vec{m}},$$

$$S_{J} = - J_{\rho}\hat{\rho} - \vec{J}_{m}\hat{\vec{m}}$$

equivalent to fermionic functional integral

$$U = -U_{\rho} + 3U_m$$

Bosonic integration is Gaussian

or:

solve bosonic field equation as functional of fermion fields and reinsert into action

$$\hat{\rho} = \tilde{\rho} + \frac{J_{\rho}}{U_{\rho}}, \qquad \hat{\vec{m}} = \tilde{\vec{m}} + \frac{\vec{J}_m}{U_m}$$

more bosons ...

additional fields may be added formally :

only mass term + source term : decoupled boson

introduction of boson fields not linked to Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation

fermion – boson action

$$S = S_{F,\text{kin}} + S_B + S_Y + S_J,$$

fermion kinetic term

$$S_{F,\text{kin}} = \sum_{Q} \hat{\psi}^{\dagger}(Q)(i\omega_F - \mu - 2t(\cos q_1 + \cos q_2))\hat{\psi}(Q),$$

boson quadratic term ("classical propagator")

$$S_B = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{Q} \left(U_{\rho} \hat{\rho}(Q) \hat{\rho}(-Q) + U_m \hat{\vec{m}}(Q) \hat{\vec{m}}(-Q) \right),$$

Yukawa coupling

$$S_Y = -\sum_{QQ'Q''} \delta(Q - Q' + Q'') \times (U_\rho \hat{\rho}(Q) \hat{\psi}^{\dagger}(Q') \hat{\psi}(Q'') + U_m \hat{\vec{m}}(Q) \hat{\psi}^{\dagger}(Q') \vec{\sigma} \hat{\psi}(Q'')),$$

source term

$$S_J = -\sum_Q \left(J_\rho(-Q)\hat{\rho}(Q) + \vec{J}_m(-Q)\hat{\vec{m}}(Q) \right)$$

is now linear in the bosonic fields

effective action treats fermions and composite bosons on equal footing !

Mean Field Theory (MFT)

Evaluate Gaussian fermionic integral in background of bosonic field, e.g.

 $\begin{array}{lll} \hat{\rho}(Q) & \rightarrow & \rho \delta(Q) \\ \hat{\vec{m}}(Q) & \rightarrow & \vec{a} \delta(Q - \Pi) \end{array}$

$$\begin{split} Z_{\rm MF} &= \int \mathcal{D}(\hat{\psi}^*, \hat{\psi}) \exp(-S_{\rm MF}), \\ S_{\rm MF} &= \sum_Q \hat{\psi}^{\dagger}(Q)(i\omega_F - \mu - 2t(\cos q_1 + \cos q_2))\hat{\psi}(Q) \\ &- \sum_Q (U_\rho \rho \hat{\psi}^{\dagger}(Q)\hat{\psi}(Q) + U_m \vec{a} \hat{\psi}^{\dagger}(Q + \Pi) \vec{\sigma} \hat{\psi}(Q)) \\ &+ \frac{V_2}{2T} (U_\rho \rho^2 + U_m \vec{a}^2) - J_\rho(0)\rho - \vec{J}_m(-\Pi) \vec{a} \end{split}$$

 $U = -U_{\rho} + 3U_m$

$$\Gamma_{\rm MF} = -\ln Z_{\rm MF} + J_{\rho}(0)\rho + \vec{J}_m(-\Pi)\vec{a}$$

Mean field phase diagram

for two different choices of couplings - same U !



Mean field ambiguity



Artefact of approximation ...

cured by inclusion of bosonic fluctuations

J.Jaeckel,...

mean field phase diagram

 $U = -U_{\rho} + 3U_m$

partial bosonisation and the mean field ambiguity

Bosonic fluctuations

fermion loops

boson loops





mean field theory

flowing bosonisation

adapt bosonisation to every scale k such that



is translated to bosonic interaction

H.Gies , ...

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_k[\psi,\psi^*,\phi] &= \sum_Q \psi^*(Q) P_{\psi,k} \psi(Q) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_Q \phi(-Q) P_{\phi,k}(Q) \phi(Q) \\ &- \sum_Q h_k(Q) \phi(Q) \tilde{\phi}(-Q) \\ &+ \sum_Q \lambda_{\psi,k}(Q) \tilde{\phi}(Q) \tilde{\phi}(-Q) \end{split}$$

k-dependent field redefinition

$$\phi_k(Q) = \phi_{\bar{k}}(Q) + \Delta \alpha_k(Q) \tilde{\phi}(Q)$$

$$\partial_k \phi_k(Q) = -\partial_k \alpha_k(Q) \tilde{\phi}(Q)$$

absorbs four-fermion coupling

flowing bosonisation

Evolution with k-dependent field variables

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_k \Gamma_k[\psi, \psi^*, \phi_k] &= \partial_k \Gamma_k[\psi, \psi^*, \phi_k]|_{\phi_k} \\ &+ \sum_Q \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta \phi_k} \Gamma[\psi, \psi^*, \phi_k] \right) \partial_k \phi_k \\ &= \partial_k \Gamma_k[\psi, \psi^*, \phi_k]|_{\phi_k} \\ &+ \sum_Q \left(-\partial_k \alpha_k(Q) P_{\phi,k}(Q) \phi_k(Q) \tilde{\phi}(-Q) \right) \\ &+ h_k(Q) \partial_k \alpha_k(Q) \tilde{\phi}(Q) \tilde{\phi}(-Q)) \end{aligned}$$

modified flow of couplings

 $\begin{array}{lll} \partial_k h_k(Q) &=& \partial_k h_k(Q)|_{\phi_k} + \partial_k \alpha_k(Q) P_{\phi,k}(Q), \\ \partial_k \lambda_{\psi,k}(Q) &=& \partial_k \lambda_{\psi,k}(Q)|_{\phi_k} + h_k(Q) \; \partial_k \alpha_k(Q). \end{array}$

Choose α_k in order to absorb the four fermion coupling in corresponding channel

$$\partial_k h_k(Q) = \partial_k h_k(Q)|_{\phi_k} - \frac{P_{\phi,k}(Q)}{h_k(Q)} \partial_k \lambda_{\psi,k}(Q)|_{\phi_k}$$

Bosonisation cures mean field ambiguity



 U_{ρ}/t

Flow equation for the Hubbard model

T.Baier, E.Bick, ..., C.Krahl, J.Mueller, S.Friederich

Below the critical temperature :

Infinite-volume-correlation-length becomes larger than sample size

finite sample \approx finite k : order remains effectively



Critical temperature For T<T_c: x remains positive for k/t > 10⁻⁹ size of probe > 1 cm



$$\kappa_a = \frac{Z_a t^2}{T} \alpha_0$$

 $T_c = 0.115$

Mermin-Wagner theorem ?

No spontaneous symmetry breaking of continuous symmetry in d=2!

not valid in practice !

Pseudo-critical temperature T_{pc}

Limiting temperature at which bosonic mass term vanishes (x becomes nonvanishing)

It corresponds to a diverging four-fermion coupling

This is the "critical temperature" computed in MFT !

Pseudo-gap behavior below this temperature

Pseudocritical temperature



Below the pseudocritical temperature

the reign of the goldstone bosons

effective nonlinear $O(3) - \sigma$ - model

Critical temperature



 $T_c = 0.115$

critical behavior

for interval T_c < T < T_{pc} evolution as for classical Heisenberg model cf. Chakravarty,Halperin,Nelson

$$k\partial_k\kappa=\frac{1}{4\pi}+\frac{1}{16\pi^2\kappa}+0(\kappa^{-2})$$

dimensionless coupling of non-linear sigma-model : $g^2 \sim \varkappa^{-1}$ two-loop beta function for g

effective theory

non-linear O(3)-sigma-model asymptotic freedom

from fermionic microscopic law to bosonic macroscopic law

transition to linear sigma-model

large coupling regime of non-linear sigma-model :

small renormalized order parameter \varkappa

transition to symmetric phase

again change of effective laws : linear sigma-model is simple, strongly coupled non-linear sigma-model is complicated

critical correlation length

$$\xi t = c(T) \exp\left\{20.7\beta(T)\frac{T_c}{T}\right\}$$

 c,β : slowly varying functions

exponential growth of correlation length compatible with observation !

at T_c: correlation length reaches sample size !

conclusion

- functional renormalization offers an efficient method for adding new relevant degrees of freedom or removing irrelevant degrees of freedom
- continuous description of the emergence of new laws

Unification from Functional Renormalization

- fluctuations in d=0,1,2,3,...
- linear and non-linear sigma models
- vortices and perturbation theory
- bosonic and fermionic models
- relativistic and non-relativistic physics
- classical and quantum statistics
- non-universal and universal aspects
- homogenous systems and local disorder
 equilibrium and out of equilibrium

end

unification: functional integral / flow equation

simplicity of average action
explicit presence of scale
differentiating is easier than integrating...

qualitative changes that make nonperturbative physics accessible :

(1) basic object is simple

average action ~ classical action ~ generalized Landau theory

direct connection to thermodynamics (coarse grained free energy) qualitative changes that make nonperturbative physics accessible :

(2) Infrared scale k instead of Ultraviolet cutoff Λ

short distance memory not lost no modes are integrated out , but only part of the fluctuations is included simple one-loop form of flow simple comparison with perturbation theory
infrared cutoff k

cutoff on momentum resolution or frequency resolution e.g. distance from pure anti-ferromagnetic momentum or from Fermi surface

intuitive interpretation of k by association with physical IR-cutoff, i.e. finite size of system : arbitrarily small momentum differences cannot be resolved ! qualitative changes that make nonperturbative physics accessible :

(3) only physics in small momentum range around k matters for the flow

ERGE regularization

simple implementation on lattice

artificial non-analyticities can be avoided

qualitative changes that make nonperturbative physics accessible :

(4) flexibility

change of fields

microscopic or composite variables

simple description of collective degrees of freedom and bound states

many possible choices of "cutoffs"