Asymptotic analysis of 4d spinfoam models

Winston J. Fairbairn

School of Mathematical Sciences Nottingham University



Corfu - September, 14th 2009

< A >

4 3 6 4 3

Introduction

- A spinfoam model is a discretised functional integral usually constructed using:
 - A triangulation of the spacetime manifold ('foam')
 - Local variables ('spins')
 - Local amplitudes for the simplexes of the triangulation
- Main problem: how do spinfoam models relate to low energy physics?
- Key step: study the asymptotics (semi-classical limit) of the amplitude for the 4-simplexes
- Talk based on joined work with JW Barrett, RJ Dowdall, H Gomes, F Hellmann, and R Pereira

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

Outline 4-simplex amplitudes for SU(2) BF and QG Asymptotic formulae

Proof of the asymptotic results (Lorentzian QG)

(1) 4-simplex amplitudes for SU(2) BF and QG

2 Asymptotic formulae

Proof of the asymptotic results (Lorentzian QG)

伺 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト

Boundary state space for the Ooguri and EPRL models

- Let σ be a 4-simplex and consider the Lie group ${
 m SU}(2)$
- Consider the assignments
 - k: triangles \rightarrow Irrep(SU(2)) \cong { $k, k \in \mathbb{N}/2$ }
- One can then associate to each tetrahedron a state

$$\Psi \in \mathcal{H}_{tet} = \mathrm{Inv}_{\mathrm{SU}(2)}(k_1 \otimes ... \otimes k_4)$$

• The state space for the boundary of σ yields

$$\mathcal{H}_{\partial\sigma} = \bigotimes_{\mathrm{tet}} \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{tet}},$$

• The amplitude for a 4-simplex σ is given by a map

$$A_{\sigma}:\mathcal{H}_{\partial\sigma}\to\mathbb{C}$$

Geometry of the boundary: coherent states

- Space $\bigoplus_k \mathcal{H}_{ ext{tet}}$: quantum tetrahedron [Barbieri 98; Baez, Barrett 99]
- Parametrisation of \mathcal{H}_{tet} : coherent states
- A coherent state for the direction **n** and spin k is a unit vector ξ in k defined up to a phase and satisfying $(\mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{n}) \xi = ik \xi$
- Coherent tetrahedron [Livine, Speziale 07]

$$\Psi = \int_{\mathrm{SU}(2)} dX \, X \, \xi_1 \, \otimes ... \otimes X \, \xi_4 \quad \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{tet}}$$



• Coherent triangulated 3-manifold described by a state:

$$\Psi(k,\mathbf{n}) = \bigotimes_{\mathrm{tet}} \Psi,$$

together with a canonical choice of phase (Regge-like)

Amplitude for the Ooguri model [Ooguri - 92; Baez - 99; Livine, Speziale - 07]

- Ingredients:
 - SU(2) anti-linear structure $J : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2$; $(z_0, z_1) \mapsto (-\bar{z_1}, \bar{z_0})$
 - $\bullet\,$ Hermitian inner product \langle,\rangle on \mathbb{C}^2
- If a = 1,...,5 labels the five tetrahedra of ∂σ, the couple ab labels the triangle shared by tetrahedra a and b
- Let $\Psi(k_{ab}, \mathbf{n}_{ab})$ be a coherent state for $\partial \sigma$
- The amplitude $A_\sigma(\Psi)\in\mathbb{C}$ is given by

$$15j(k_{ab},\mathbf{n}_{ab}) = \int_{\mathrm{SU}(2)^5} \prod_a dX_a \prod_{a < b} \langle J\xi_{ab}, X_a^{-1}X_b \xi_{ba} \rangle^{2k_{ab}},$$

where $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^2$ is a coherent state in the fundamental representation

Amplitude for the Euclidean EPRL model [Engle, Livine, Pereira, Rovelli - 08]

- QG with Immirzi $\gamma \neq \mathbf{0}$
- Model based on $G = \mathrm{SU}(2) imes \mathrm{SU}(2)$, $\mathsf{Irrep}(G) = \{(j_+, j_-)\}$
- Idea: the boundary representation k is mapped to the highest or lowest diagonal SU(2) subgroup factor of (j_+, j_-)

$$\phi: k
ightarrow \left(rac{1}{2}(1+\gamma)k, rac{1}{2}|1-\gamma|k
ight) \subset \mathsf{Irrep}({\mathcal G})$$

• In the $\gamma < 1$ case, for a boundary state $\Psi(k_{ab}, \mathbf{n}_{ab})$:

$$A_{\sigma}(k_{ab}, \mathbf{n}_{ab}) = \int_{G^5} \prod_{a} dX_a^+ dX_a^- \prod_{a < b} \langle J\xi_{ab}, (X_a^+)^{-1} X_b^+ \xi_{ba} \rangle^{2j_{ab}^+} \\ \times \langle J\xi_{ab}, (X_a^-)^{-1} X_b^- \xi_{ba} \rangle^{2j_{ab}^-}$$

• Rem: A_σ is an 'unbalanced' square of the 15j ($\gamma < 1$)

Amplitude for the Lorentzian EPRL model [Engle, Livine, Pereira, Rovelli - 08]

- Model based on $G = SL(2, \mathbb{C})$, Irrep $(G) = \{(n, p), n \in \mathbb{Z}/2, p \in \mathbb{R}\}$
- Idea: the boundary representation k is identified with the lowest SU(2) subgroup factor of the (n, p) representation

$$\phi: \mathbf{k} \to (\mathbf{k}, \gamma \mathbf{k}) \subset \mathsf{Irrep}(\mathbf{G})$$

• For a boundary state $\Psi(k_{ab}, \mathbf{n}_{ab})$:

$$A_{\sigma}(k_{ab}, \mathbf{n}_{ab}) = \int_{G^{5}} \prod_{a} dX_{a} \,\delta(X_{5}) \prod_{a < b} P_{ab},$$

where
$$P_{ab} = c_{ab} \int_{\mathbb{CP}^{1}} \Omega_{z} \langle X_{a}^{\dagger} z, X_{a}^{\dagger} z \rangle^{-1 - ip_{ab} - k_{ab}} \langle X_{a}^{\dagger} z, \xi_{ab} \rangle^{2k_{ab}}$$
$$\times \langle X_{b}^{\dagger} z, X_{b}^{\dagger} z \rangle^{-1 + ip_{ab} - k_{ab}} \langle J\xi_{ba}, X_{b}^{\dagger} z \rangle^{2k_{ab}},$$

with a in \mathbb{C}^{2} and Ω_{a} the standard two form on \mathbb{C}^{2} . (0)

with z in \mathbb{C}^2 and Ω_z the standard two-form on $\mathbb{C}^2 - \{0\}$

Asymptotic results

- Assumption: the boundary data is Regge-like and the phase of the boundary state is the canonical phase
- For large spins k:
 - If boundary data is that of an Euclidean 4-simplex σ_E :
 - Ooguri : $A_{\sigma} \sim ae^{iS_E} + be^{-iS_E}$
 - Euclidean EPRL : $A_{\sigma} \sim c \cos \gamma S_E + a e^{iS_E} + b e^{-iS_E}$
 - Lorentzian EPRL : $A_{\sigma} \sim ae^{iS_E} + be^{-iS_E}$
 - If boundary data is that of a Lorentzian 4-simplex σ_L :
 - Ooguri : $A_{\sigma} \sim 0$
 - Euclidean EPRL : $A_{\sigma} \sim 0$
 - Lorentzian EPRL : $A_{\sigma} \sim c e^{i \gamma S_L} + c' e^{-i \gamma S_L}$
- The Regge action

$$S = \sum_{a < b} k_{ab} \Theta_{ab}, \quad \Theta_{ab}$$
 dihedral angle,

is noted S_E (resp. S_L) for a simplex σ_E (resp. σ_L)

Stationary phase framework

• The 4-simplex amplitude can be re-expressed as

$$A_{\sigma} = \int_{(\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{C}))^5} \delta(X_5) \prod_a dX_a \int_{(\mathbb{CP}^1)^{10}} \prod_{a < b} \Omega_{ab} e^{S}$$

• The action S for the asymptotic problem is given by

$$S[X,z] = \sum_{a < b} k_{ab} \ln \frac{\langle Z_{ab}, \xi_{ab} \rangle^2 \langle J\xi_{ba}, Z_{ba} \rangle^2}{\langle Z_{ab}, Z_{ab} \rangle \langle Z_{ba}, Z_{ba} \rangle} + i p_{ab} \ln \frac{\langle Z_{ba}, Z_{ba} \rangle}{\langle Z_{ab}, Z_{ab} \rangle},$$

where the notations Z_{ab} and Z_{ba} are used as a shorthand for

$$Z_{ab} = X_a^{\dagger} z_{ab}$$
 and $Z_{ba} = X_b^{\dagger} z_{ab}, \quad \forall a < b$

 The asymptotics of A_σ can therefore be studied using (extended) stationary phase methods

Critical points: I.

- The asymptotic formula is dominated by the critical points of S, i.e., stationary points for which $\operatorname{Re} S$ is a maximum
- The real part of the action is negative

$$\operatorname{Re} S = \sum_{a < b} k_{ab} \ln \frac{|\langle Z_{ab}, \xi_{ab} \rangle|^2 |\langle J \xi_{ba}, Z_{ba} \rangle|^2}{\langle Z_{ab}, Z_{ab} \rangle \langle Z_{ba}, Z_{ba} \rangle} \leq 0$$

• The maximality condition $\operatorname{Re} S = 0$ leads to one spinor equation for each triangle *ab*, *a* < *b*,

$$(X_{a}^{\dagger})^{-1}\xi_{ab} = \frac{\|Z_{ba}\|}{\|Z_{ab}\|} e^{i\theta_{ab}} (X_{b}^{\dagger})^{-1} J\xi_{ba},$$
(1)

where θ_{ab} is a phase, and $\parallel Z \parallel^2 = \langle Z, Z \rangle$

Critical points: II.

- The other critical point equations are obtained by evaluating the first variation of the action S w.r.t the variables (X, z) on the motion (1)
- For the spinor variables (\bar{z}_{ab}, z_{ab}) , this leads to the equation

$$X_{a}\xi_{ab} = \frac{\|Z_{ab}\|}{\|Z_{ba}\|} e^{i\theta_{ab}} X_{b} J\xi_{ba},$$
(2)

and its complex conjugate for each triangle *ab*

• For the SL(2, C) variables X_a, we obtain one equation for each tetrahedron a

$$\sum_{b:b\neq a} k_{ab} \mathbf{n}_{ab} = 0, \tag{3}$$

where $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is the unit vector corresponding to the coherent state ξ (i.e. $\langle \xi, \mathbf{J}\xi \rangle = \frac{i}{2}\mathbf{n}$)

Geometry of the critical points: null vectors

- Idea : use the identification between spinors and null vectors
 - Let γ : ℝ^{3,1} → ℍ be the isomorphism between ℝ^{3,1} and the space of 2 × 2 hermitian matrices ℍ (det γ(x) = −η(x,x))
 - Call \mathbb{H}^+_0 the subset defined by

$$\mathbb{H}_0^+ = \{h \in \mathbb{H} \mid \det h = 0, \text{ and } \operatorname{Tr} h > 0\}$$

The isomorphism γ identifies the future null cone ${\cal C}^+$ with \mathbb{H}^+_0 \bullet Therefore, using

$$\zeta: \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{H}_0^+, \quad z \mapsto \zeta(z) = z \otimes z^\dagger,$$

one can construct a map $\iota:\mathbb{C}^2\to \mathit{C}^+\subset\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$

• The map ι associates the two null vectors

$$\iota(\xi)=rac{1}{2}(1,{\sf n})$$
 and $\iota(J\xi)=rac{1}{2}(1,-{\sf n})$

to the coherent state $\boldsymbol{\xi}$

Geometry of the critical points: bivectors

• To each ξ_{ab} , one can associate the space-like bivector

$$b_{ab} = 2 * \iota(J\xi_{ab}) \wedge \iota(\xi_{ab}) = * \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{n}_{ab} \\ -\mathbf{n}_{ab} & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where the star \ast is the Hodge operator on $\Lambda^2(\mathbb{R}^{3,1})$

• Construct ten space-like bivectors by rotating the b_{ab}'s :

$$B_{ab} = k_{ab} \, \hat{X}_a \otimes \hat{X}_a \, b_{ab}$$

• The critical point equations (1), (2), (3) reduce to

$$B_{ab} = -B_{ba}$$
 and $\sum_{b:b \neq a} B_{ab} = 0$

Bivectors constructed as such and satisfying these equations (almost) determine a geometric 4-simplex [Barrett, Crane - 98, 00]

The Regge action

• On all critical points, the action S yields

$$S = i \sum_{a < b} p_{ab} \ln \frac{\|Z_{ba}\|^2}{\|Z_{ab}\|^2} + 2k_{ab} \theta_{ab}$$

• The dihedral angle associated to the triangle *ab* is defined as

$$\cosh \Theta_{ab} := |N_a \cdot N_b| = \cosh r_{ab}, \quad e^{r_{ab}} = \frac{\|Z_{ba}\|^2}{\|Z_{ab}\|^2}$$

where $N_a = X_a X_a^{\dagger}$

- $\bullet\,$ The angle θ_{ab} vanishes with the canonical phase choice
- Thus, the action yields

$$S = i\gamma \sum_{a < b} k_{ab} \, \Theta_{ab} = i\gamma S_{\text{Regge}}$$

• Second term in asymptotic formula: parity related solution

Conclusion

- We have analysed the asymptotic properties of the Ooguri and EPRL models, in both Euclidean and Lorentzian signatures
- All 4-simplex amplitudes are asymptotic to functions of the Regge action
- Unexpected result: this is also the case for BF theory
- We need to go beyond one simplex and look at the asymptotics of the whole state sums