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## Outline

$\checkmark$ On-shell gluon scattering amplitudes
$\checkmark$ Iterative structure at weak/strong coupling in $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM
$\checkmark$ Dual conformal invariance - hidden symmetry of planar amplitudes
$\checkmark$ Maximally helicity violating (MHV) scattering amplitude/Wilson loop duality in $\mathcal{N}=4 \mathrm{SYM}$


## Why is $\mathcal{N}=4$ super Yang-Mills theory interesting?

$\checkmark$ Four-dimensional gauge theory with extended spectrum of physical states/symmetries

$$
2 \text { gluons with helicity } \pm 1, \quad 6 \text { scalars with helicity } 0, \quad 8 \text { gauginos with helicity } \pm \frac{1}{2}
$$

all in the adjoint of the $S U\left(N_{c}\right)$ gauge group
$\checkmark$ Classical symmetries survive at the quantum level:
$x \beta$-function vanishes to all loops $\Longrightarrow$ the theory is (super)conformal
$\times$ Only two free parameters: 't Hooft coupling $\lambda=g_{\mathrm{YM}}^{2} N_{c}$ and number of colors $N_{c}$
$\checkmark$ Why is $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM fascinating?
$\times$ At weak coupling, $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{N}=4}$ is more complicated than $\mathcal{L}_{Q C D}$, the number of Feynman integrals contributing to amplitudes is MUCH bigger compared to QCD ... but the final answer is MUCH simpler (examples to follow)
$\times$ At strong coupling, the conjectured AdS/CFT correspondence [Maldacena],[Gubser,Klebanov,Polyakov],[Witten]

$$
\text { Strongly coupled planar } \mathcal{N}=4 \text { SYM } \Longleftrightarrow \text { Weakly coupled string theory on } \text { AdS }_{5} \times \mathrm{S}^{5}
$$

$x$ Final goal (dream):
$\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM is the unique example of a four-dimensional gauge theory that can be/ should be/ will be solved exactly for arbitrary values of the coupling !!!

## Why scattering amplitudes?


$\checkmark$ On-shell matrix elements of $S$-matrix:
$x$ Probe (hidden) symmetries of gauge theory
$x$ Are independent of gauge choice
$\times$ Nontrivial functions of Mandelstam's variables $s_{i j}=\left(p_{i}+p_{j}\right)^{2}$
$\checkmark$ Simpler than QCD amplitudes but they share many properties
$\checkmark$ In planar $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM they have a remarkable structure
$\checkmark$ All-order conjectures and a proposal for strong coupling via AdS/CFT
$\checkmark$ New dynamical symmetry - dual superconformal invariance

## On-shell gluon scattering amplitudes in $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM

$\checkmark$ Gluon scattering amplitudes in $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM

$\checkmark$ Color-ordered planar partial amplitudes

$$
\mathcal{A}_{n}=\operatorname{tr}\left[T^{a_{1}} T^{a_{2}} \ldots T^{a_{n}}\right] A_{n}^{h_{1}, h_{2}, \ldots, h_{n}}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n}\right)+[\text { Bose symmetry }]
$$

$\times$ Color-ordered amplitudes are classified according to their helicity content $h_{i}= \pm 1$
$x$ Supersymmetry relations:

$$
A^{++\ldots+}=A^{-+\ldots+}=0, \quad A^{(\mathrm{MHV})}=A^{--+\ldots+}, \quad A^{(\mathrm{next}-\mathrm{to}-\mathrm{MHV})}=A^{---+\ldots+},
$$

$\times$ The $n=4$ and $n=5$ planar gluon amplitudes are all MHV

$$
\left\{A_{4}^{++--}, \quad A_{4}^{+-+-}, \ldots\right\}, \quad\left\{A_{5}^{+++--}, \quad A_{5}^{+-+--}, \ldots\right\}
$$

x Weak/strong coupling corrections to all MHV amplitudes are described by a single function of the 't Hooft coupling and kinematical invariants!
[Parke,Taylor]

## Four-gluon amplitude in $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM at weak coupling

$$
M_{4}(s, t) \equiv \mathcal{A}_{4} / \mathcal{A}_{4}^{(\text {tree })}=1+a \underbrace{2}_{1} \square_{4}^{3}+O\left(a^{2}\right), \quad a=\frac{g_{\mathrm{YM}}^{2} N_{c}}{8 \pi^{2}}, \quad s=\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right)^{2}, t=\left(p_{3}+p_{4}\right)^{2}
$$

All-order planar amplitudes can be split into (universal) IR divergent and (nontrivial) finite part

$$
M_{4}(s, t)=\operatorname{Div}\left(s, t, \epsilon_{\mathrm{IR}}\right) \operatorname{Fin}(s / t)
$$

$\checkmark$ IR divergences appear at all loops as poles in $\epsilon_{\text {IR }}$ (in dimreg with $D=4-2 \epsilon_{\text {IR }}$ )
$\checkmark$ IR divergences exponentiate (in any gauge theory!)

$$
\operatorname{Div}\left(s, t, \epsilon_{\mathrm{IR}}\right)=\exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} a^{l}\left(\frac{\Gamma_{\mathrm{cusp}}^{(l)}}{\left(l \epsilon_{\mathrm{IR}}\right)^{2}}+\frac{G^{(l)}}{l \epsilon_{\mathrm{IR}}}\right)\left[\left(-s / \mu^{2}\right)^{l \epsilon_{\mathrm{IR}}}+\left(-t / \mu^{2}\right)^{l \epsilon_{\mathrm{IR}}}\right]\right\}
$$

$\checkmark$ IR divergences are in one-to-one correspondence with UV divergences of cusped Wilson loops
$\Gamma_{\text {cusp }}(a)=\sum_{l} a^{l} \Gamma_{\text {cusp }}^{(l)}=$ cusp anomalous dimension of Wilson loops
$G(a)=\sum_{l} a^{l} G_{\text {cusp }}^{(l)}=$ collinear anomalous dimension
$\checkmark$ What about the finite part of the amplitude $\operatorname{Fin}(s / t)$ ? Does it have a simple structure?
$\operatorname{Fin}_{\mathrm{QCD}}(s / t)=[4$ pages long mess $], \quad \operatorname{Fin}_{\mathcal{N}=4}(s / t)=$ BDS conjecture

## Finite part of four-gluon amplitude in QCD at two loops

$\operatorname{Fin}_{\mathrm{QCD}}{ }^{(2)}(s, t, u)=A(x, y, z)+O\left(1 / N_{c}^{2}, n_{f} / N_{c}\right)$
with notations $x=-\frac{t}{s}, y=-\frac{u}{s}, z=-\frac{u}{t}, X=\log x, Y=\log y, S=\log z$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\left\{\left(48 \operatorname{Li}_{4}(x)-48 \operatorname{Li}_{4}(y)-128 \operatorname{Li}_{4}(z)+40 \operatorname{Li}_{3}(x) X-64 \operatorname{Li}_{3}(x) Y-\frac{98}{3} \operatorname{Li}_{3}(x)+64 \operatorname{Li}_{3}(y) X-40 \operatorname{Li}_{3}(y) Y+18 \operatorname{Li}_{3}(y)\right.\right. \\
& +\frac{98}{3} \operatorname{Li}_{2}(x) X-\frac{16}{3} \operatorname{Li}_{2}(x) \pi^{2}-18 \operatorname{Li}_{2}(y) Y-\frac{37}{6} X^{4}+28 X^{3} Y-\frac{23}{3} X^{3}-16 X^{2} Y^{2}+\frac{49}{3} X^{2} Y-\frac{35}{3} X^{2} \pi^{2}-\frac{38}{3} X^{2} \\
& -\frac{22}{3} S X^{2}-\frac{20}{3} X Y^{3}-9 X Y^{2}+8 X Y \pi^{2}+10 X Y-\frac{31}{12} X \pi^{2}-22 \zeta_{3} X+\frac{22}{3} S X+\frac{37}{27} X+\frac{11}{6} Y^{4}-\frac{41}{9} Y^{3}-\frac{11}{3} Y^{2} \pi \\
& -\frac{22}{3} S Y^{2}+\frac{266}{9} Y^{2}-\frac{35}{12} Y \pi^{2}+\frac{418}{9} S Y+\frac{257}{9} Y+18 \zeta_{3} Y-\frac{31}{30} \pi^{4}-\frac{11}{9} S \pi^{2}+\frac{31}{9} \pi^{2}+\frac{242}{9} S^{2}+\frac{418}{9} \zeta_{3}+\frac{2156}{27} S \\
& \left.-\frac{11093}{81}-8 S \zeta_{3}\right) \frac{t^{2}}{s^{2}}+\left(-256 \mathrm{Li}_{4}(x)-96 \mathrm{Li}_{4}(y)+96 \mathrm{Li}_{4}(z)+80 \mathrm{Li}_{3}(x) X+48 \mathrm{Li}_{3}(x) Y-\frac{64}{3} \mathrm{Li}_{3}(x)-48 \mathrm{Li}_{3}(y) X\right. \\
& +96 \mathrm{Li}_{3}(y) Y-\frac{304}{3} \mathrm{Li}_{3}(y)+\frac{64}{3} \mathrm{Li}_{2}(x) X-\frac{32}{3} \mathrm{Li}_{2}(x) \pi^{2}+\frac{304}{3} \mathrm{Li}_{2}(y) Y+\frac{26}{3} X^{4}-\frac{64}{3} X^{3} Y-\frac{64}{3} X^{3}+20 X^{2} Y^{2} \\
& +\frac{136}{3} X^{2} Y+24 X^{2} \pi^{2}+76 X^{2}-\frac{88}{3} S X^{2}+\frac{8}{3} X Y^{3}+\frac{104}{3} X Y^{2}-\frac{16}{3} X Y \pi^{2}+\frac{176}{3} S X Y-\frac{136}{3} X Y-\frac{50}{3} X \pi^{2} \\
& -48 \zeta_{3} X+\frac{2350}{27} X+\frac{440}{3} S X+4 Y^{4}-\frac{176}{9} Y^{3}+\frac{4}{3} Y^{2} \pi^{2}-\frac{176}{3} S Y^{2}-\frac{494}{9} Y \pi^{2}+\frac{5392}{27} Y-64 \zeta_{3} Y+\frac{496}{45} \pi^{4} \\
& \left.-\frac{308}{9} S \pi^{2}+\frac{200}{9} \pi^{2}+\frac{968}{9} S^{2}+\frac{8624}{27} S-\frac{44372}{81}+\frac{1864}{9} \zeta_{3}-32 S \zeta_{3}\right) \frac{t}{u}+\left(\frac{88}{3} \operatorname{Li}_{3}(x)-\frac{88}{3} \operatorname{Li}_{2}(x) X+2 X^{4}-8 X^{3} Y\right. \\
& -\frac{220}{9} X^{3}+12 X^{2} Y^{2}+\frac{88}{3} X^{2} Y+\frac{8}{3} X^{2} \pi^{2}-\frac{88}{3} S X^{2}+\frac{304}{9} X^{2}-8 X Y^{3}-\frac{16}{3} X Y \pi^{2}+\frac{176}{3} S X Y-\frac{77}{3} X \pi^{2} \\
& +\frac{1616}{27} X+\frac{968}{9} S X-8 \zeta_{3} X+4 Y^{4}-\frac{176}{9} Y^{3}-\frac{20}{3} Y^{2} \pi^{2}-\frac{176}{3} S Y^{2}-\frac{638}{9} Y \pi^{2}-16 \zeta_{3} Y+\frac{5392}{27} Y-\frac{4}{15} \pi^{4}-\frac{308}{9} \\
& \left.-20 \pi^{2}-32 S \zeta_{3}+\frac{1408}{9} \zeta_{3}+\frac{968}{9} S^{2}-\frac{44372}{81}+\frac{8624}{27} S\right) \frac{t^{2}}{u^{2}}+\left(\frac{44}{3} L_{i}(x)-\frac{44}{3} L_{i}(x) X-X^{4}+\frac{110}{9} X^{3}-\frac{22}{3} X^{2} Y\right. \\
& +\frac{14}{3} X^{2} \pi^{2}+\frac{44}{3} S X^{2}-\frac{152}{9} X^{2}-10 X Y+\frac{11}{2} X \pi^{2}+4 \zeta_{3} X-\frac{484}{9} S X-\frac{808}{27} X+\frac{7}{30} \pi^{4}-\frac{31}{9} \pi^{2} \\
& \left.+\frac{11}{9} S \pi^{2}-\frac{418}{9} \zeta_{3}-\frac{242}{9} S^{2}-\frac{2156}{27} S+8 S \zeta_{3}+\frac{11093}{81}\right) \frac{u t}{s^{2}}+\left(-176 \operatorname{Li}_{4}(x)+88 \operatorname{Li}_{3}(x) X-168 \operatorname{Li}_{3}(x) Y-\ldots\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

## Four-gluon amplitude in $\mathcal{N}=4 \mathrm{SYM}$ at weak coupling II

$\checkmark$ Bern-Dixon-Smirnov (BDS) conjecture:

$$
\operatorname{Fin}_{4}(s / t)=1+\frac{a}{2} \ln ^{2}(s / t)+O\left(a^{2}\right) \stackrel{\text { all loops }}{\Longrightarrow} \exp \left[\frac{1}{4} \Gamma_{\text {cusp }}(a) \ln ^{2}(s / t)\right]
$$

x Compared to QCD,
(i) the complicated functions of $s / t$ are replaced by the elementary function $\ln ^{2}(s / t)$;
(ii) the coefficient of $\ln ^{2}(s / t)$ is determined by the cusp anomalous dimension $\Gamma_{\text {cusp }}(a)$ just like the coefficient of the double IR pole.
$x$ The conjecture has been verified up to three loops
$\times$ A similar conjecture exists for $n$-gluon MHV amplitudes
$\times$ It has been confirmed for $n=5$ at two loops
$x$ Agrees with the strong coupling prediction from the AdS/CFT correspondence
$\checkmark$ Surprising features of the finite part of the MHV amplitudes in planar $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM:
Why should finite corrections exponentiate? and be related to the cusp anomaly of Wilson loops?

## Dual conformal symmetry

Examine one-loop 'scalar box' diagram
$\checkmark$ Change variables to go to a dual 'coordinate space' picture (not a Fourier transform!)

$$
p_{1}=x_{1}-x_{2} \equiv x_{12}, \quad p_{2}=x_{23}, \quad p_{3}=x_{34}, \quad p_{4}=x_{41}, \quad k=x_{15}
$$



$$
=\int \frac{d^{4} k\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right)^{2}\left(p_{2}+p_{3}\right)^{2}}{k^{2}\left(k-p_{1}\right)^{2}\left(k-p_{1}-p_{2}\right)^{2}\left(k+p_{4}\right)^{2}}=\int \frac{d^{4} x_{5} x_{13}^{2} x_{24}^{2}}{x_{15}^{2} x_{25}^{2} x_{35}^{2} x_{45}^{2}}
$$

Check conformal invariance by inversion $x_{i}^{\mu} \rightarrow x_{i}^{\mu} / x_{i}^{2}$
[Broadhurst],[Drummond,Henn,Smirnov,ES]
$\checkmark$ The integral is invariant under $S O(2,4)$ conformal transformations in dual space!
$\checkmark$ This symmetry is not related to the $S O(2,4)$ conformal symmetry of $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM
$\checkmark$ All scalar integrals contributing to $A_{4}$ up to 4 loops are dual conformal! [Bern,Czakon,Dixon,Kosower,Smirnov]
$\checkmark$ The dual conformal symmetry allows us to determine four- and five-gluon planar scattering amplitudes to all loops!
[Drummond,Henn,Korchemsky,ES],[Alday,Maldacena]
$\checkmark$ Dual conformality is "slightly" broken by the infrared regulator
$\checkmark$ For planar integrals only!

## From gluon amplitudes to Wilson loops

Properties of gluon scattering amplitudes in $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM:
(1) IR divergences of $M_{4}$ exactly match UV divergences of cusped Wilson loops
(2) Perturbative corrections to $M_{4}$ possess a hidden dual conformal symmetry

Is it possible to find an $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM object for which both properties are manifest?
Yes! The expectation value of a light-like Wilson loop in $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM

$$
W\left(C_{4}\right)=\frac{1}{N_{c}}\langle 0| \operatorname{Tr} \mathrm{P} \exp \left(i g \oint_{C_{4}} d x^{\mu} A_{\mu}(x)\right)|0\rangle,
$$


$\checkmark$ Gauge invariant functional of the integration contour $C_{4}$ in Minkowski space-time
$\checkmark$ The contour is made out of 4 light-like segments $C_{4}=\ell_{1} \cup \ell_{2} \cup \ell_{3} \cup \ell_{4}$ joining the cusp points $x_{i}^{\mu}$

$$
x_{i}^{\mu}-x_{i+1}^{\mu}=p_{i}^{\mu}=\text { on-shell gluon momenta }
$$

$\checkmark$ The contour $C_{4}$ has four light-like cusps $\mapsto W\left(C_{4}\right)$ has UV divergences
$\checkmark$ Conformal symmetry of $\mathcal{N}=4 \mathrm{SYM} \mapsto$ conformal invariance of $W\left(C_{4}\right)$ in dual coordinates $x^{\mu}$

## Cusp anomalous dimension

$\checkmark$ Cusp anomaly is a very 'unfortunate' feature of Wilson loops evaluated on a Euclidean closed contour with a cusp - generates an anomalous dimension

$$
\left\langle\operatorname{tr} \mathrm{P} \exp \left(i \oint_{C} d x \cdot A(x)\right)\right\rangle \sim\left(\Lambda_{\mathrm{UV}}\right)^{\Gamma_{\text {cusp }}(g, \vartheta)}
$$


$\checkmark$ A very 'fortunate' property of Wilson loops - the cusp anomaly controls the infrared asymptotics of scattering amplitudes in gauge theories
$x$ The integration contour $C$ is defined by the particle momenta
$x$ The cusp angle $\vartheta$ is related to the scattering angles in Minkowski space-time, $|\vartheta| \gg 1$

$$
\Gamma_{\text {cusp }}(g, \vartheta)=\vartheta \Gamma_{\text {cusp }}(g)+O\left(\vartheta^{0}\right),
$$

$\checkmark$ The cusp anomalous dimension $\Gamma_{\text {cusp }}(g)$ is an observable in gauge theories appearing in many contexts:
$x$ Logarithmic scaling of anomalous dimensions of high-spin Wilson operators;
$x$ IR singularities of on-shell gluon scattering amplitudes;
$x$ Gluon Regge trajectory;
$x$ Sudakov asymptotics of elastic form factors;
X ...

## MHV scattering amplitudes/Wilson loop duality I

The one-loop expression for the light-like Wilson loop (with $x_{j k}^{2}=\left(x_{j}-x_{k}\right)^{2}$ )
$\ln W\left(C_{4}\right)=$


$$
=\frac{g^{2}}{4 \pi^{2}} C_{F}\left\{-\frac{1}{\epsilon_{\mathrm{UV}}{ }^{2}}\left[\left(-x_{13}^{2} \mu^{2}\right)^{\epsilon_{\mathrm{UV}}}+\left(-x_{24}^{2} \mu^{2}\right)^{\epsilon_{\mathrm{UV}}}\right]+\frac{1}{2} \ln ^{2}\left(\frac{x_{13}^{2}}{x_{24}^{2}}\right)+\mathrm{const}\right\}+O\left(g^{4}\right)
$$

The one-loop expression for the gluon scattering amplitude

$$
\ln M_{4}(s, t)=\frac{g^{2}}{4 \pi^{2}} C_{F}\left\{-\frac{1}{\epsilon_{\mathrm{IR}}^{2}}\left[\left(-s / \mu_{\mathrm{IR}}^{2}\right)^{\epsilon_{\mathrm{IR}}}+\left(-t / \mu_{\mathrm{IR}}^{2}\right)^{\epsilon_{\mathrm{IR}}}\right]+\frac{1}{2} \ln ^{2}\left(\frac{s}{t}\right)+\mathrm{const}\right\}+O\left(g^{4}\right)
$$

$\checkmark$ Identity the light-like segments with the on-shell gluon momenta $x_{i, i+1}^{\mu} \equiv x_{i}^{\mu}-x_{i+1}^{\mu}:=p_{i}^{\mu}$ :

$$
x_{13}^{2} \mu^{2}:=s / \mu_{\mathrm{IR}}^{2}, \quad x_{24}^{2} \mu^{2}:=t / \mu_{\mathrm{IR}}^{2}, \quad x_{13}^{2} / x_{24}^{2}:=s / t
$$

UV divergences of the light-like Wilson loop match IR divergences of the gluon amplitude the finite $\sim \ln ^{2}(s / t)$ corrections coincide at one loop!

## MHV scattering amplitudes/Wilson loop duality II

Conjecture: MHV gluon amplitudes are dual to light-like Wilson loops

$$
\ln \mathcal{A}_{4}=\ln W\left(C_{4}\right)+O\left(1 / N_{c}^{2}, \epsilon_{\mathrm{IR}}\right)
$$

$\checkmark$ At strong coupling, the relation holds to leading order in $1 / \sqrt{\lambda}$
$\checkmark$ At weak coupling, the relation was verified at two loops
[Drummond,Henn,Korchemsky,ES]

$\checkmark$ Generalization to $n \geq 5$ gluon MHV amplitudes

$$
\ln \mathcal{A}_{n}^{(\mathrm{MHV})}=\ln W\left(C_{n}\right)+O\left(1 / N_{c}^{2}\right), \quad C_{n}=\text { light-like } n-\text { (poly) gon }
$$

$\times$ At weak coupling, matches the $n$-gluon amplitude at one loop
$x$ The duality relation for $n=5$ (pentagon) was verified at two loops

## Conformal Ward identities for light-like Wilson loops

Main idea: Make use of the conformal invariance of light-like Wilson loops in $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM + duality relation to constrain the finite part of $n$-gluon amplitudes
$\checkmark$ Conformal transformations map the light-like polygon $C_{n}$ into another light-like polygon $C_{n}^{\prime}$
$\checkmark$ If the Wilson loop $W\left(C_{n}\right)$ were well defined (=finite) in $D=4$ dimensions, we would have

$$
W\left(C_{n}\right)=W\left(C_{n}^{\prime}\right)
$$

$\checkmark \ldots$ but $W\left(C_{n}\right)$ has cusp UV singularities $\mapsto$ dimreg breaks conformal invariance

$$
W\left(C_{n}\right)=W\left(C_{n}^{\prime}\right) \times[\text { cusp anomaly }]
$$

$\checkmark$ All-loop anomalous conformal Ward identities for the finite part of the Wilson loop

$$
W\left(C_{n}\right)=\exp \left(F_{n}\right) \times[\mathrm{UV} \text { divergences }]
$$

Under dilatations, $\mathbb{D}$, and special conformal transformations, $\mathbb{K}^{\mu}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{D} F_{n} & \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i} \cdot \partial_{x_{i}}\right) F_{n}=0 \\
\mathbb{K}^{\mu} F_{n} & \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[2 x_{i}^{\mu}\left(x_{i} \cdot \partial_{x_{i}}\right)-x_{i}^{2} \partial_{x_{i}}^{\mu}\right] F_{n}=\frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{\operatorname{cusp}}(a) \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i, i+1}^{\mu} \ln \left(\frac{x_{i, i+2}^{2}}{x_{i-1, i+1}^{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The same relations also hold at strong coupling

## Finite part of MHV amplitudes

Corollaries of the conformal WI for the finite part of the Wilson loop/ MHV scattering amplitudes:
$\checkmark n=4,5$ are special: there are no conformal invariants (too few distances due to $x_{i, i+1}^{2}=0$ )
$\Longrightarrow$ the Ward identity has a unique all-loop solution (up to an additive constant)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{4}=\frac{1}{4} \Gamma_{\text {cusp }}(a) \ln ^{2}\left(\frac{x_{13}^{2}}{x_{24}^{2}}\right)+\text { const }, \\
& F_{5}=-\frac{1}{8} \Gamma_{\text {cusp }}(a) \sum_{i=1}^{5} \ln \left(\frac{x_{i, i+2}^{2}}{x_{i, i+3}^{2}}\right) \ln \left(\frac{x_{i+1, i+3}^{2}}{x_{i+2, i+4}^{2}}\right)+\text { const }
\end{aligned}
$$

Exactly the functional forms of the BDS ansatz for the 4- and 5-point MHV amplitudes!
$\checkmark$ Starting from $n=6$ there are conformal invariants in the form of cross-ratios, e.g.

$$
u_{1}=\frac{x_{13}^{2} x_{46}^{2}}{x_{14}^{2} x_{36}^{2}}, \quad u_{2}=\frac{x_{24}^{2} x_{15}^{2}}{x_{25}^{2} x_{14}^{2}}, \quad u_{3}=\frac{x_{35}^{2} x_{26}^{2}}{x_{36}^{2} x_{25}^{2}}
$$

Hence the general solution of the Ward identity for $W\left(C_{n}\right)$ with $n \geq 6$ contains an arbitrary function of the conformal cross-ratios.
$\checkmark$ The BDS ansatz is a solution of the conformal Ward identity for arbitrary $n$ but does it actually work for $n \geq 6$ ?
If not, what is the "remainder" function of $u_{1,2,3}$ ?

## Remainder function

$\checkmark$ We computed the two-loop hexagon Wilson loop $W\left(C_{6}\right)$...

... and found a discrepancy

$$
\ln W\left(C_{6}\right) \neq \ln \mathcal{M}_{6}^{(\mathrm{BDS})}
$$

$\checkmark$ Bern-Dixon-Kosower-Roiban-Spradlin-Vergu-Volovich computed the 6-gluon 2-loop amplitude
$\mathcal{M}_{6}^{(\mathrm{MHV})}=$

... and found a discrepancy

$$
\ln \mathcal{M}_{6}^{(\mathrm{MHV})} \neq \ln \mathcal{M}_{6}^{(\mathrm{BDS})}
$$

The BDS ansatz fails for $n=6$ starting from two loops.
... but the Wilson loop/MHV amplitude duality still holds

$$
\ln \mathcal{M}_{6}^{(\mathrm{MHV})}=\ln W\left(C_{6}\right)
$$

## All-order MHV superamplitude

$\checkmark$ All MHV amplitudes can be combined into a single superamplitude

$$
\mathcal{A}_{n}^{\mathrm{MHV}}\left(p_{1}, \eta_{1} ; \ldots ; p_{n}, \eta_{n}\right)=i(2 \pi)^{4} \frac{\delta^{(4)}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}\right) \delta^{(8)}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}^{\alpha} \eta_{i}^{A}\right)}{\langle 12\rangle\langle 23\rangle \ldots\langle n 1\rangle} M_{n}^{(\mathrm{MHV})},
$$

Here $p_{i}^{\alpha \dot{\alpha}}=\lambda_{i}^{\alpha} \tilde{\lambda}_{i}^{\dot{\alpha}}$ solves $p_{i}^{2}=0$, and $\eta_{i}^{A}(A=1 \ldots 4)$ are Grassmann variables.
Helicity: $h[\lambda]=1 / 2, h[\tilde{\lambda}]=h[\eta]=-1 / 2$
$\times$ Perturbative corrections to all MHV amplitudes are factorized into a universal factor $M_{n}^{(\mathrm{MHV})}$
$x$ The all-loop MHV amplitudes appear as coefficients in the expansion of $\mathcal{A}_{n}^{\mathrm{MHV}}$ in powers of $\eta$ 's

$$
\mathcal{A}_{n}^{\mathrm{MHV}}=(2 \pi)^{4} \delta^{(4)}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}\right) \sum_{1 \leq j<k \leq n}\left(\eta_{j}\right)^{4}\left(\eta_{k}\right)^{4} A_{n}^{(\mathrm{MHV})}\left(1^{+} \ldots j^{-} \ldots k^{-} \ldots n^{+}\right)+\ldots
$$

× The function $M_{n}^{(\mathrm{MHV})}$ is dual to a light-like $n$-gon Wilson loop

$$
\ln M_{n}^{(\mathrm{MHV})}=\ln W_{n}+O\left(\epsilon, 1 / N^{2}\right)
$$

$\checkmark$ The MHV superamplitude possesses a bigger, dual superconformal symmetry which acts on the dual coordinates $x_{i}^{\mu}$ and their superpartners $\theta_{i \alpha}^{A}$

$$
p_{i}^{\mu}=x_{i}^{\mu}-x_{i+1}^{\mu}, \quad \lambda_{i}^{\alpha} \eta_{i}=\theta_{i}^{\alpha}-\theta_{i+1}^{\alpha}
$$

## Dual superconformal invariance

$\checkmark$ Tree-level MHV superamplitude (in the spinor formalism $\langle i j\rangle=\lambda_{i}^{\alpha} \lambda_{j a}$ )

$$
\mathcal{A}_{n}^{\mathrm{MHV} ; \text { tree }}=i(2 \pi)^{4} \frac{\delta^{(4)}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}\right) \delta^{(8)}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}^{\alpha} \eta_{i}^{A}\right)}{\langle 12\rangle\langle 23\rangle \ldots\langle n 1\rangle}
$$

$\checkmark$ The same amplitude in the dual superspace $\quad p_{i}^{\mu}=x_{i}^{\mu}-x_{i+1}^{\mu}, \quad \lambda_{i}^{\alpha} \eta_{i}=\theta_{i}^{\alpha}-\theta_{i+1}^{\alpha}$

$$
\mathcal{A}_{n}^{\mathrm{MHV} ; \text { tree }}=i(2 \pi)^{4} \frac{\delta^{(4)}\left(x_{1}-x_{n+1}\right) \delta^{(8)}\left(\theta_{1}-\theta_{n+1}\right)}{\langle 12\rangle\langle 23\rangle \ldots\langle n 1\rangle}
$$

$\checkmark$ Define inversions in the dual superspace

$$
I\left[\lambda_{i}^{\alpha}\right]=\left(x_{i}^{-1}\right)^{\dot{\alpha} \beta} \lambda_{i \beta}, \quad I\left[\theta_{i}^{\alpha A}\right]=\left(x_{i}^{-1}\right)^{\dot{\alpha} \beta} \theta_{i}^{\beta A}
$$

Neighbouring contractions are dual conformal covariant

$$
I[\langle i i+1\rangle]=\left(x_{i}^{2}\right)^{-1}\langle i i+1\rangle
$$

$\checkmark$ The tree-level MHV amplitude is covariant under dual conformal inversions

$$
I\left[\mathcal{A}_{n}^{\mathrm{MHV} ; \text { tree }}\right]=\left(x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2} \ldots x_{n}^{2}\right) \times \mathcal{A}_{n}^{\mathrm{MHV} ; \text { tree }}
$$

$\checkmark$ Generalization: dual superconformal covariance is a property of all tree-level superamplitudes (MHV, NMHV, $\mathrm{N}^{2} \mathrm{MHV}, \ldots$ ) in $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM theory

## Conclusions and recent developments

$\checkmark$ MHV amplitudes in $\mathcal{N}=4$ theory
$x$ possess dual conformal symmetry both at weak and at strong coupling
$x$ Dual to light-like Wilson loops
... but what about NMHV, NNMHV, etc. amplitudes?
$\checkmark$ This symmetry is part of a bigger dual superconformal symmetry of all planar tree-level superamplitudes in $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM
[DHKS], [Brandhuber,Heslop,Travaglini]
$\times$ Relates various particle amplitudes with different helicity configurations (MHV, NMHV,...)
$x$ Interesting twistor space structure
[Witten'03], [Arkani-Hamed et al], [Hodges], [Mason,Skinner], [Korcemsky,ES]
$x$ Broken by loop corrections, but how?
$\checkmark$ Dual superconformal symmetry is now explained better through the AdS/CFT correspondence by a combined bosonic [Kallosh,Tseytin] and fermionic T-duality symmetry
$\checkmark$ What is the generalization of the Wilson loop/amplitude duality beyond MHV?
$\checkmark$ What is the role of ordinary superconformal symmetry?
$x$ Exact symmetry at tree level, closure [ordinary, dual] = Yangian
[Drummond,Henn,Plefka]
$x$ Not sufficient to fix the tree, need analytic properties
[Korchemsky,ES], [Beisert et al]
$x$ At loop level broken by IR divergences, hard to control
$\checkmark$ Is the theory integrable (in some sense)?

## Back-up slides

## Maximally Helicity Violating (MHV) superamplitude

$\checkmark$ On-shell helicity states in $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM:

$$
\left.G^{ \pm} \text {(gluons } h= \pm 1\right), \quad \Gamma_{A}, \bar{\Gamma}^{A} \text { (gluinos } h=\frac{1}{2} \text { ) }, \quad S_{A B} \text { (scalars } h=0 \text { ) }
$$

$\checkmark$ Self-conjugate under PCT - maximal supersymmetry
$\checkmark$ Can be combined into a single on-shell superstate with Grassmann variables $\eta^{A}, A=1 \ldots 4$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi(p, \eta) & =G^{+}(p)+\eta^{A} \Gamma_{A}(p)+\frac{1}{2} \eta^{A} \eta^{B} S_{A B}(p) \\
& +\frac{1}{3!} \eta^{A} \eta^{B} \eta^{C} \epsilon_{A B C D} \bar{\Gamma}^{D}(p)+\frac{1}{4!} \eta^{A} \eta^{B} \eta^{C} \eta^{D} \epsilon_{A B C D} G^{-}(p)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\checkmark$ Combine all MHV amplitudes into a single MHV superamplitude

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_{n}^{\mathrm{MHV}} & =\left(\eta_{1}\right)^{4}\left(\eta_{2}\right)^{4} \times A\left(G_{1}^{-} G_{2}^{-} G_{3}^{+} \ldots G_{n}^{+}\right) \\
& +\left(\eta_{1}\right)^{4}\left(\eta_{2}\right)^{3} \eta_{3} \times A\left(G_{1}^{-} \bar{\Gamma}_{2} \Gamma_{3} \ldots G_{n}^{+}\right) \\
& +\left(\eta_{1}\right)^{4}\left(\eta_{2}\right)^{2}\left(\eta_{3}\right)^{2} \times A\left(G_{1}^{-} \bar{S}_{2} S_{3} \ldots G_{n}^{+}\right)+\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

Homogenous polynomial in $\eta$ 's of degree 8

$$
\mathcal{A}_{n}^{\mathrm{MHV}}=i(2 \pi)^{4} \delta^{(4)}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}\right) \underbrace{\frac{\delta^{(8)}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}^{\alpha} \eta_{i}^{A}\right)}{\langle 12\rangle\langle 23\rangle \ldots\langle n 1\rangle}}_{\text {tree amplitude }} \times \underbrace{M_{n}^{\mathrm{MHV}}\left(\left\{s_{i, i+1}\right\} ; a\right)}_{\text {universal function }}
$$

## Four-gluon amplitude from AdS/CFT

Alday-Maldacena proposal:
$\checkmark$ On-shell scattering amplitude is described by a classical string world-sheet in $\mathrm{AdS}_{5}$

$\times$ On-shell gluon momenta $p_{1}^{\mu}, \ldots, p_{n}^{\mu}$ define sequence of light-like segments on the boundary
$x$ The closed contour has $n$ cusps with the dual coordinates $x_{i}^{\mu}$ (the same as at weak coupling!)

$$
x_{i, i+1}^{\mu} \equiv x_{i}^{\mu}-x_{i+1}^{\mu}:=p_{i}^{\mu}
$$

The dual conformal symmetry also exists at strong coupling!
$\checkmark$ Is in agreement with the Bern-Dixon-Smirnov (BDS) ansatz for $n=4$ amplitudes
$\checkmark$ Admits generalization to arbitrary $n$-gluon amplitudes but it is difficult to construct explicit solutions for 'minimal surface' in AdS
$\checkmark$ Agreement with the BDS ansatz is also observed for $n=5$ gluon amplitudes [Komargodsk] but disagreement is found for $n \rightarrow \infty \mapsto$ the BDS ansatz needs to be modified [Alday,Maldacena]

The same questions to answer as at weak coupling:
Why should finite corrections exponentiate?
Why should they be related to the cusp anomaly of Wilson loop?

