

## CLIC THE COMPACT LINEAR COLLIDER

Emmanuel Tsesmelis Directorate Office, CERN

9<sup>th</sup> Corfu Summer Institute 4 September 2009



# THE CLIC ACCELERATOR

# Linear Collider Baseline

#### LEP: 209 GeV

next Electron-Positron Collider

- Centre-of-mass-energy:
- 0.5 3 TeV
- Luminosity: >2\*10<sup>34</sup>

Physics motivation: "Physics at the CLIC Multi-TeV Linear Collider: Report of the CLIC Physics Working Group," CERN Report 2004-5

Storage Ring not possible, energy loss  $\Delta E \sim E^4$ 

➔ two linacs, experiment at centre



- total energy gain in one pass: high acceleration gradient
- beam can only be used once: small beam dimensions at crossing point

Boundary conditions: site length Power consumption

### High Energy Physics after LHC

In 1999 ICFA issued a statement on Linear Colliders, .... that there would be compelling and unique scientific opportunities at a linear electronpositron collider in the TeV energy range. Such a facility is a necessary complement to the LHC hadron collider.

**Two options: ILC - CLIC** 

Collaboration on common issues

The European strategy for particle physics

Unanimously approved by the CERN Council at the special Session held in Lisbon on 14 July 2006

- 4. In order to be in the position to push the energy and luminosity frontier even further it is vital to strengthen the advanced accelerator R&D programme; a coordinated programme should be intensified, to develop the CLIC technology and high performance magnets for future accelerators, and to play a significant role in the study and development of a high-intensity neutrino facility.
- 5. It is fundamental to complement the results of the LHC with measurements at a linear collider. In the energy range of 0.5 to 1 TeV, the ILC, based on superconducting technology, will provide a unique scientific opportunity at the precision frontier; there should be a strong well-coordinated European activity, including CERN, through the Global Design Effort, for its design and technical preparation towards the construction decision, to be ready for a new assessment by Council around 2010.

Basic	Difference : Superconducting RF	<b>EXAMPLE 2</b> CLIC: normal conducting copper RF				
Accelerating gradient:	<b>31.5 MV/m</b> (35 MV/m target)	100 MV/m				
RF Peak power: 0.3 RF average power:	7 MW/m , 1.6 ms, 5 Hz 2.9 kW/m	275 MW/m, 240 ns, 50 Hz 3.7 kW/m				
Total length: Site power :	31 km 230 MW	48.4 km 392 MW				
Beam structure:						
Particles per bunch:	20 * 10 <sup>9</sup>	3.7 * 10 <sup>9</sup>				
2625 b	ounches / pulse of 0.96 m	s 312 bunches / pulse of 156 ns				
Bunch spacing	369 ns	0.5 ns				

### **CLIC Acceleration System**

CLIC = Compact Linear Collider (length < 50 km)

CLIC parameters:

Acceleration in travelling wave structures:



total active length for 1.5 TeV: 15'000 m

Pulse length 240 ns, 50 Hz

#### Efficient RF power production !!!!!

### The CLIC Two Beam Scheme

Individual RF power sources ?→ Not for the 1.5 TeV linacs

Two Beam Scheme: Drive Beam supplies RF power

- 12 GHz bunch structure
- low energy (2.4 GeV 240 MeV)
- high current (100A)



### **CLIC Drive Beam Generation**

Accelerate long bunch train with low bunch rep rate (500 MHz)

interleave bunches between each other to generate short (280 ns) trains with high bunch rep rate (12 GHz)



# The Full CLIC scheme



### Why 100 MV/m and 12 GHz?

#### **Optimisation: (A.Grudiev)**

#### Structure limits:

- RF breakdown scaling
- RF pulse heating

#### Beam dynamics:

- emittance preservation wake fields
- Luminosity, bunch population, bunch spacing
- efficiency total power

#### Figure of merit:

Luminosity per linac input power

#### Take into account cost model

after > 60 \* 10<sup>6</sup> structures: 100 MV/m 12 GHz chosen, previously 150 MV/m, 30 GHz



## **CLIC Accelerating Module**



# **Accelerating Structures**

Objective:

• Withstand of 100 MV/m without

damage

- breakdown rate < 10<sup>-7</sup>
- Strong damping of HOMs

Technologies:

Brazed disks - milled quadrants





Collaboration: CERN, KEK, SLAC

### **Power Extraction : PETS**



Travelling wave structures 136 MW RF @ 240 ns per PETS
 Small R/Q : 2.2 kΩ/m (2 accelerating structures) (accelerating structure: 15-18 kΩ/m)
 100 A beam current total number : 35'703 per linac

Status: CTF3: up to 45 MW peak (3 A beam, recirculation) SLAC: 125 MW @ 266 ns

aampea

on-off possibility







ref: Igor Syratchev

#### **CLIC Main Parameters**

http://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/1132079?In=fr http://clic-meeting.web.cern.ch/clic-meeting/clictable2007.html

Center-of-mass energy	CLIC 500 G		CLIC 3 TeV		
Beam parameters	Conservative	Nominal	Conservative	Nominal	
Accelerating structure	502		G		
Total (Peak 1%) luminosity	0,9(0,6)·10 <sup>34</sup>	2,3(1,4)·10 <sup>34</sup>	1.5(0.73) 1034	5,9(2,0)·10 <sup>34</sup>	
Repetition rate (Hz)	50				
Loaded accel. gradient MV/m	80		100		
Main linac RF frequency GHz	12				
Bunch charge10 <sup>9</sup>	6,8		3,72		
Bunch separation (ns)	0.5				
Beam pulse duration (ns)	177		156		
Beam power/beam (MWatts)	4.9		14		
Hor./vert. norm. emitt (10 <sup>-6</sup> /10 <sup>-9</sup> )	3/40	2.4/25	2.4/20	0.66/20	
Hor/Vert FF focusing (mm)	10/0.4	8 / 0.1	8 / 0.3	4 / 0.07	
Hor./vert. IP beam size (nm)	248 / 5.7	202 / 2.3	83 / 2.0	40 / 1.0	
Hadronic events/crossing at IP	0.07	0.19	0.57	2.7	
Coherent pairs at IP	10	100	5 10 <sup>7</sup>	3.8 10 <sup>8</sup>	
BDS length (km)	1.87		2.75		
Total site length km	13,0		48.3		
Wall plug to beam transfert eff	7.5%		6.8%		
Total neuron consumption 1414/	120.4		/4 /15		

#### **CLIC Test Facility CTF3**

# Provide answers for CLIC specific issues → Write CDR in 2010

Two main missions:

Prove CLIC RF power source scheme:

- bunch manipulations, beam stability,
- Drive Beam generation
- 12 GHz extraction

Demonstration of "relevant" linac sub-unit:

acceleration of test beam

Provide RF power for validation of CLIC components: accelerating structures, RF distribution, PETS (Power extraction and Transfer Structure)

### **CTF3 Building Blocks**

Infrastructure from LEP





#### World-wide CLIC&CTF3 Collaboration





Aarhus University (Denmark) Ankara University (Turkey) Argonne National Laboratory (USA) Athens University (Greece) BINP (Russia) CERN CIEMAT (Spain) Cockcroft Institute (UK) Gazi Universities (Turkey)

#### 33 Institutes involving 21 funding agencies and 18 countries

Helsinki Institute of Physics (Finland) IAP (Russia) IAP NASU (Ukraine) INFN / LNF (Italy) Instituto de Fisica Corpuscular (Spain) IRFU / Saclay (France) Jefferson Lab (USA) John Adams Institute (UK)

JINR (Russia) Karlsruhe University (Germany) KEK (Japan) LAL / Orsay (France) LAPP / ESIA (France) NCP (Pakistan) North-West. Univ. Illinois (USA) <u>Oslo University (Norway)</u> Patras University (Greece) Polytech. University of Catalonia (Spain) PSI (Switzerland) RAL (UK) RRCAT / Indore (India) SLAC (USA) Thrace University (Greece) Uppsala University (Sweden)



# **DETECTORS & PHYSICS**

# **CLIC Physics**

- New physics expected in the TeV energy range
   Higgs, Supersymmetry, extra dimensions,...?
- LHC will indicate what physics and at which energy scale
  - Is 500 GeV enough or is there a need for a multi-TeV machine?
- Even if multi-TeV is the final goal, CLIC will most likely operate over a range of energies (e.g. 0.5 – 3.0 TeV)

## Heavy Mass SUSY Particles

#### e.g. $e^+e^- \rightarrow H^0A^0$ production



 $\begin{array}{l} m_{H,A} \approx 1 \; TeV \\ \text{Yellow dots mostly from } \gamma\gamma \end{array}$ 

### $e^+e^- \rightarrow H^0A^0$ at 3 TeV



## **Example of CLIC SUSY Search**

#### Dilepton spectrum in neutralino decay

#### Reach in parameter space



### **Universal Extra Dimensions**

Extra Dimensions and SUSY have rather similar signatures at LHC. Clean final states and control of CM energy at CLIC allows separation. Example: pair produced KK muons and SUSY smuons:  $\rightarrow \mu^+\mu^- E$ 



### Extra Dimensions Graviton Production

In UED theories, TeV-scale Graviton resonances are predicted, decaying into  $\gamma\gamma$ , *gg* or ffbar pairs. Cross sections are large.



# **Indicative Physics Reach**

#### Units : TeV (except W<sub>L</sub>W<sub>L</sub> reach)

Ellis, Gianotti, de Roeck Hep-ex/0112004 + updates

PROCESS	LHC	sLHC	LC	LC
	14 TeV	14 TeV	0.8 TeV	5 TeV
	100 fb <sup>-1</sup>	1000 fb <sup>-1</sup>	500 fb <sup>-1</sup>	1000 fb <sup>-1</sup>
Squarks	2.5	3	0.4	2.5
W <sub>L</sub> W <sub>L</sub>	2σ	4σ	6 <b>0</b>	30σ
Z'	5	6	8†	30†
Extra-dim (δ=2)	9	12	5-8.5†	30-55†
q*	6.5	7.5	0.8	5
۸compositeness	30	40	100	400
TGC (λ <sub>γ</sub> )	0.0014	0.0006	0.0004	0.00008

† indirect search (from precision measurements)

### Linear Collider Detector Project at CERN

#### **Motivation:**

- Substantial CLIC accelerator effort towards Conceptual Design Report (CDR) for end 2010
- Include CDR chapters on the CLIC physics potential, CLIC detector concepts and their related technological issues

CLIC detector concept will be very similar to ILC concepts A few challenging differences:

- Higher energy
- Increased background conditions
- Difference in time structure

Profit from many years of investment in ILC e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> physics/detector simulations, hardware R&D and detector concepts

LCD@CERN: Working together with the **ILC detector concepts** and with the linear collider detector **technology collaborations** to study **modifications to the ILC concepts for CLIC energies and beam conditions.** 

### Detectors

#### ILC: IDAG (International Detector Advisory Group Physics and Experiments Board

LOI's



## **CLIC Detector Issues**



#### 3 main differences with ILC:

- •Energy 500 GeV => 3 TeV
- More severe background conditions
  - •Due to higher energy
  - •Due to smaller beam sizes
- Time structure of the accelerator

### How is physics changing from LEP to CLIC ?





## **CLIC Time Structure**



#### **Consequences for CLIC detector:**

Need for detection layers with time-stamping
Innermost tracker layer with ~ns resolution
or .... all-detector time stamping at the 10 ns level
Readout/DAQ electronics will be different from ILC
Power pulsing has to work at 50 Hz instead of 5 Hz

# **Beam-induced Background**

Background sources: CLIC and ILC similar Due to the higher beam energy and small bunch sizes they are significantly more severe at CLIC.



#### Main backgrounds:

- CLIC 3TeV beamstrahlung  $\Delta E/E = 29\% (10 \times ILC_{value})$ 
  - Coherent pairs (3.8×10<sup>8</sup> per bunch crossing) <= disappear in beam pipe</li>
  - Incoherent pairs (3.0×10<sup>5</sup> per bunch crossing) <= suppressed by strong solenoid-field</li>
  - γγ interactions => hadrons (2.7 hadron events per bunch crossing)
- Muon background from upstream linac
  - More difficult to stop due to higher CLIC energy (active muon shield)

#### Tentative long-term CLIC scenario Shortest, Success Oriented, Technically Limited Schedule

Technology evaluation and Physics assessment based on LHC

results

for a possible decision on Linear Collider with staged

construction starting with the lonest energy required by Physics

2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023



## Conclusion

- Future course of high-energy physics to be determined by results from LHC.
- Although we do not yet know what the LHC will (or will not) find, many of the physics scenarios that have been studied would best be explored further with a high-energy e+e- collider.
- CLIC will provide unique, high-precision physics at the energy frontier up to 3 TeV centre-of-mass energy.
  - Provide access to heavy Higgs boson, supersymmetry and extra dimensions.
- Prudent to have the widest possible technology available (CLIC and ILC) to make a choice when LHC results appear.
  - In the meantime, CLIC and ILC teams are working together in studies of positron sources, damping rings, beam dynamics, beam delivery, interaction regions, detectors and costing.