Anomaly-Free Constraints in Neutrino Seesaw Models

David EMMANUEL-COSTA (CFTP, IST, Portugal)

In Collaboration with

E. Franco, R. González Felipe, Phys. Rev. D 79 (2009) 115001

9th Corfu Summer Institute of Elementary Particle Physics and Gravity

Workshops on Standard Model and Beyond and Standard Cosmology

Κέρκυρα, 5th September 2009

◀ Introduction

Extra $U(1)_X$ Gauge Group

Seesaw mechanisms: type-II, type-III, type-III

∢ Anomaly-free Constraints

∢ Conclusions

Motivations for an extra $U(1)_X$ gauge symmetry

Extension of the Standard Model gauge group

[review see: Langacker 2008]

- > The most simplest Abelian extension: $SU(3)_{C} \times SU(2)_{L} \times U(1)_{Y} \times U(1)_{X}$
- GUT SO(10) or E₆ have rank larger than 4
- Superstrings often involve large gauge symmetries which break to SM with many U(1) in 4D
- Little Higgs models which typically involve extended gauge structures

- Extra dimen. models, e.g.: orbifold GUT's SO(10) in 6D
- The U(1)_X symmetry associated with a possible heavy X would have profound implications for particle physics and cosmology
- Could be accessible and detectable at the LHC

[[]Buchmüller, Covi, E.-C., Sören]

Particle content and charge assignments

Multiplets	$SU(3)_{C} imes S$	$U(2)_L imes U(1)_Y imes U(2)_Y$	$U(1)_{X}$	
	$q \equiv (u, d)_{I}^{T}$	(3, 2, 1/6)	Xq	
	u _R	(3, 1, 2/3)	x _u	
	d_R	(3, 1, -1/3)	Xd	
	$\ell \equiv (u, e)_L^T$	(1, 2, -1/2)	x_ℓ	
	e _R	(1, 1, -1)	x _e	
	$ u_R$	(1, 1, 0)	$X_{ u}$	
	Т	(1, 3, 0)	x _T	
	$H_{u,\nu}$	(1, 2, -1/2)	$Z_{u,\nu}$	
	$H_{d,e}$	(1, 2, 1/2)	$Z_{d,e}$	
	H_T	(1, 2, -1/2)	ZT	
	H_{δ}	(1, 2, -1/2)	Z_{δ}	
	Δ	(1, 3, 1)	Z_{Δ}	
	ϕ	(1, 1, 0)	z_{ϕ}	

CORFU 2009 Anomaly-Free Constraints in Neutrino Seesaw Models

[Barr, Bednarz, Benesh;Babu, Mohapatra

Ma; Adhikari, Erler, Ma; ...]

Lagrangian for Yukawa Couplings

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{L}_{Y} = & Y_{u} \, \bar{q}_{L} u_{R} H_{u} + Y_{d} \, \bar{q}_{L} d_{R} H_{d} + Y_{e} \, \bar{\ell}_{L} e_{R} H_{e} + Y_{\nu} \, \bar{\ell}_{L} \nu_{R} H_{\nu} \\ &+ Y_{T} \, \bar{\ell}_{L} i \tau_{2} \, T H_{T} + Y_{I} \, \nu_{R}^{T} \, C \nu_{R} \phi + Y_{II} \, \ell_{L}^{T} \, C i \tau_{2} \ell_{L} \Delta \\ &+ Y_{III} \, \mathrm{Tr} \left(T^{T} \, C T \right) \phi + \lambda_{\Delta} H_{\delta} H_{\delta} \Delta + \mathrm{H.c.} \end{aligned}$$

Bosonic Charges Constraints

$$\begin{array}{ll} -x_{q} + x_{u} + z_{u} = 0 & -x_{q} + x_{d} + z_{d} = 0 \\ -x_{\ell} + x_{e} + z_{e} = 0 & -x_{\ell} + x_{\nu} + z_{\nu} = 0 \\ -x_{\ell} + x_{T} + z_{T} = 0 & 2x_{\nu} + z_{\phi} = 0 \\ 2x_{\ell} + z_{\Delta} = 0 & 2x_{T} + z_{\phi} = 0 \\ 2z_{\delta} + z_{\Delta} = 0 \end{array}$$

[Barr, Bednarz, Benesh;Babu, Mohapatra

Ma; Adhikari, Erler, Ma; ...]

Lagrangian for Yukawa Couplings

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{L}_{Y} = & Y_{u} \, \bar{q}_{L} u_{R} H_{u} + Y_{d} \, \bar{q}_{L} d_{R} H_{d} + Y_{e} \, \bar{\ell}_{L} e_{R} H_{e} + Y_{\nu} \, \bar{\ell}_{L} \nu_{R} H_{\nu} \\ &+ Y_{T} \, \bar{\ell}_{L} i \tau_{2} \, T H_{T} + Y_{I} \, \nu_{R}^{T} C \nu_{R} \phi + Y_{II} \, \ell_{L}^{T} \, C i \tau_{2} \ell_{L} \Delta \\ &+ Y_{III} \, \mathrm{Tr} \left(T^{T} \, C T \right) \phi + \lambda_{\Delta} H_{\delta} H_{\delta} \Delta + \mathrm{H.c.} \end{aligned}$$

Bosonic Charges Constraints

$$\begin{aligned} z_u &= x_q - x_u \\ z_e &= x_\ell - x_e \\ z_T &= x_\ell - x_T \\ z_\Delta &= -2x_\ell \\ z_\delta &= x_\ell \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} z_d &= x_q - x_d \\ z_{\phi} &= -2x_{\nu} \\ z_{\phi} &= -2x_{\tau} \\ z_{\phi} &= -2x_T \\ z_{\phi} &= -2x_T \end{aligned}$$

Local Anomaly-free Conditions

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathsf{U}(1)_{\mathsf{X}} \left[\mathsf{SU}(3)_{\mathsf{C}} \right]^{2} \\ & A_{1} = N_{g} \left(2 \, x_{q} - x_{u} - x_{d} \right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathsf{U}(1)_{\mathsf{X}} \left[\mathsf{SU}(2)_{\mathsf{L}} \right]^{2} \\ & A_{2} = \frac{N_{g}}{2} \left(x_{\ell} + 3 x_{q} \right) - 2 N_{T} \, x_{T} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathsf{U}(1)_{\mathsf{X}} \left[\mathsf{U}(1)_{\mathsf{Y}} \right]^{2} \\ & A_{3} = N_{g} \left(6 y_{q}^{2} \, x_{q} + 2 y_{\ell}^{2} \, x_{\ell} - 3 y_{u}^{2} \, x_{u} - 3 y_{d}^{2} \, x_{d} - y_{e}^{2} \, x_{e} \right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & [\mathsf{U}(1)_{\mathsf{X}}]^{2} \, \mathsf{U}(1)_{\mathsf{Y}} \\ & A_{4} = N_{g} \left(6 y_{q} \, x_{q}^{2} + 2 y_{\ell} \, x_{\ell}^{2} - 3 y_{u} \, x_{u}^{2} - 3 y_{d} \, x_{d}^{2} - y_{e} \, x_{e}^{2} \right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & [\mathsf{U}(1)_{\mathsf{X}}]^{3} \\ & A_{5} = N_{g} \left(6 \, x_{q}^{3} + 2 \, x_{\ell}^{3} - 3 \, x_{u}^{3} - 3 \, x_{d}^{3} - x_{e}^{3} \right) - N_{R} \, x_{\nu}^{3} - 3 \, N_{T} \, x_{T}^{3} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathsf{U}(1)_{\mathsf{X}} \times \left[\mathsf{gravity} \right]^{2} \\ & A_{6} = N_{g} \left(6 x_{q} + 2 \, x_{\ell} - 3 x_{u} - 3 x_{d} - x_{e} \right) - N_{R} \, x_{\nu} - 3 N_{T} \, x_{T} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Anomaly-free Constraints and Solutions

$$x_{q}, x_{\ell}, x_{u}, x_{d} \text{ as functions of } x_{e}, x_{R}, x_{T}$$

$$x_{q} = -\frac{N_{g} x_{e} + N_{R} x_{\nu} - 5 N_{T} x_{T}}{6 N_{g}}$$

$$x_{\ell} = \frac{N_{g} x_{e} + N_{R} x_{\nu} + 3 N_{T} x_{T}}{2 N_{g}}$$

$$x_{u} = -\frac{2 N_{g} x_{e} - N_{R} x_{\nu} - N_{T} x_{T}}{3 N_{g}}$$

$$x_{d} = \frac{N_{g} x_{e} - 2 N_{R} x_{\nu} + 4 N_{T} x_{T}}{3 N_{g}}$$

Anomaly-free Conditions (cont.)

> A_4 anomaly-free condition

$$A_4 = -\frac{4N_RN_Tx_\nu x_T}{N_g} = 0$$

only the trivial solutions N_R = 0, N_T = 0, x_ν = 0 or x_T = 0
 It is not possible to have an anomaly-free local U(1)_X and, simultaneously, type-I (N_R ≠ 0) and type-III (N_T ≠ 0) seesaw models, unless U(1)_X is proportional to the hypercharge U(1)_Y

 \blacktriangleright A₅ anomaly-free condition

$$A_{5} = (N_{R}^{2} - N_{g}^{2})N_{R}x_{\nu} + 3(N_{T}^{2} - N_{g}^{2})N_{T}x_{T} - \frac{3}{4}A_{4}(4x_{e} - N_{R}x_{\nu} + 5N_{T}x_{T})N_{g}^{2}$$

Anomaly-free Conditions (cont.)

> A_4 anomaly-free condition

$$A_4 = -\frac{4N_RN_Tx_\nu x_T}{N_g} = 0$$

only the trivial solutions N_R = 0, N_T = 0, x_ν = 0 or x_T = 0
 It is not possible to have an anomaly-free local U(1)_X and, simultaneously, type-I (N_R ≠ 0) and type-III (N_T ≠ 0) seesaw models, unless U(1)_X is proportional to the hypercharge U(1)_Y

> A₅ anomaly-free condition

$$A_5 = (N_R^2 - N_g^2) N_R x_{\nu} + 3(N_T^2 - N_g^2) N_T x_T$$

Anomaly-free Conditions (cont.)

> A_4 anomaly-free condition

$$A_4 = -\frac{4 N_R N_T x_{\nu} x_T}{N_g} = 0$$

only the trivial solutions N_R = 0, N_T = 0, x_ν = 0 or x_T = 0
 It is not possible to have an anomaly-free local U(1)_X and, simultaneously, type-I (N_R ≠ 0) and type-III (N_T ≠ 0) seesaw models, unless U(1)_X is proportional to the hypercharge U(1)_Y

A₅ anomaly-free condition

$$A_5 = (N_R^2 - N_g^2)N_R x_{\nu} + 3(N_T^2 - N_g^2)N_T x_T$$

$$N_R = N_g$$
 or $N_T = N_g$

Combined type-II seesaw is always allowed

Ways Out

- Both types of seesaw could coexist if new extra matter content is added to the theory. In such a case, at least two extra singlets charged under $U(1)_X$ are needed to cancel the A_4 and A_5 anomalies, respectively.
- 2 In the case of $N_T = 0$, can we have $N_R \neq N_g$? Yes! Provide we add an extra singlet that cancels the A_5 anomaly. $N_R = 2$ can accommodate oscillation neutrino data, predicts a massless neutrino and a "sterile" neutrino

Type-I/II

$$x_u = (\alpha - 2) x_q$$

$$x_d = (4 - \alpha) x_q$$

$$x_\ell = -3 x_q$$

$$x_\nu = (\alpha - 6) x_q$$

$$\alpha \equiv -x_{e}/x_{q}$$

Type-I/II

$$x_u = 4 x_q$$

$$x_d = -2 x_q$$

$$x_\ell = -3 x_q$$

$$x_\nu = 0$$

Replic of the Hypercharge



Type-I/II

$$x_u = x_q$$

$$x_d = x_q$$

$$x_\ell = -3 x_q$$

$$x_\nu = -3 x_q$$

B-L Symmetry

$$\alpha \equiv -x_{e}/x_{q}$$

Type-I/II

$$x_u = (\alpha - 2) x_q$$

$$x_d = (4 - \alpha) x_q$$

$$x_\ell = -3 x_q$$

$$x_\nu = (\alpha - 6) x_q$$

$$z_u = (3 - \alpha) x_q$$

$$z_d = (\alpha - 3) x_q$$

$$z_e = (\alpha - 3) x_q$$

$$z_{\nu} = (3 - \alpha) x_q$$

$$z_{\phi} = 2 (6 - \alpha) x_q$$

$$z_{\Delta} = 6 x_q$$

$$z_{\delta} = -3 x_q$$

$$\alpha \equiv -x_{e}/x_{q}$$

Type-I/II $x_{\mu} = (\alpha - 2) x_{\alpha}$ $x_d = (4 - \alpha) x_a$ $x_{\ell} = -3 x_{\alpha}$ $x_{\nu} = (\alpha - 6) x_{\alpha}$ $z_{\mu} = (3 - \alpha) x_{\alpha}$ $z_d = (\alpha - 3) x_a$ $z_e = (\alpha - 3) x_a$ $z_{\nu} = (3 - \alpha) x_{\alpha}$ $z_{\phi} = 2(6-\alpha)x_{\sigma}$ $z_{\Lambda} = 6 x_a$ $z_{\delta} = -3 x_{\alpha}$

 $\alpha \equiv -x_{e}/x_{q}$

Type-III/II $x_{u} = \frac{1}{5} (2 + 3\alpha) x_{q}$ $x_{d} = \frac{1}{5} (8 - 3\alpha) x_{q}$ $x_{\ell} = \frac{1}{5} (9 - 4\alpha) x_{q}$ $x_{T} = \frac{1}{5} (6 - \alpha) x_{q}$

Type-I/II $x_{\mu} = (\alpha - 2) x_{\alpha}$ $x_d = (4 - \alpha) x_a$ $x_{\ell} = -3 x_{\alpha}$ $x_{\nu} = (\alpha - 6) x_{\alpha}$ $z_{\mu} = (3 - \alpha) x_{\alpha}$ $z_d = (\alpha - 3) x_a$ $z_e = (\alpha - 3) x_a$ $z_{\nu} = (3 - \alpha) x_{\alpha}$ $z_{\phi} = 2(6-\alpha)x_{a}$ $z_{\Lambda} = 6 x_a$ $z_{\delta} = -3 x_{\alpha}$



 $\alpha \equiv -x_e/x_q$

Type-I/II $x_{\mu} = (\alpha - 2) x_{\alpha}$ $x_d = (4 - \alpha) x_a$ $x_{\ell} = -3 x_{\alpha}$ $x_{\nu} = (\alpha - 6) x_{\alpha}$ $z_{\mu} = (3 - \alpha) x_{\alpha}$ $z_d = (\alpha - 3) x_a$ $z_e = (\alpha - 3) x_a$ $z_{\nu} = (3 - \alpha) x_{\alpha}$ $z_{\phi} = 2(6-\alpha)x_{\alpha}$ $z_{\Lambda} = 6 x_a$

 $z_{\delta} = -3 x_{\alpha}$

 $\alpha \equiv -x_e/x_a$

Type-III/II $x_{\mu} = \frac{1}{5} (2 + 3\alpha) x_{\alpha}$ $x_d = \frac{1}{5} (8 - 3\alpha) x_d$ $x_{\ell} = \frac{1}{5} (9 - 4\alpha) x_{\sigma}$ $x_T = \frac{1}{5} (6 - \alpha) x_a$ $z_u = \frac{3}{5} (1 - \alpha) x_a$ $z_d = \frac{3}{5} (\alpha - 1) x_d$ $z_{e} = \frac{1}{5} (9 + \alpha) x_{a}$ $z_T = \frac{3}{5} (1 - \alpha) x_a$ $z_{\phi} = \frac{2}{5} (\alpha - 6) x_q$ $z_{\Lambda} = \frac{2}{5} (4 \alpha - 9) x_{\alpha}$ $z_{\delta} = \frac{1}{5} (9 - 4\alpha) x_{\alpha}$

Type-I/II $x_u = (\alpha - 2) x_q$ $x_d = (4 - \alpha) x_q$ $x_\ell = -3 x_q$ $x_\nu = (\alpha - 6) x_q$

$$z_u = (3 - \alpha) x_q$$

$$z_d = (\alpha - 3) x_q$$

$$z_e = (\alpha - 3) x_q$$

$$z_\nu = (3 - \alpha) x_q$$

$$z_\phi = 2 (6 - \alpha) x_q$$

$$z_\Delta = 6 x_q$$

$$z_\delta = -3 x_q$$

 $\alpha \equiv -x_{e}/x_{q}$

Type-III/II $x_{\mu} = \frac{1}{5} (2 + 3\alpha) x_{\sigma}$ $x_d = \frac{1}{5} (8 - 3\alpha) x_d$ $x_{\ell} = \frac{1}{5} (9 - 4\alpha) x_{\alpha}$ $x_T = \frac{1}{5} (6 - \alpha) x_a$ $z_{\mu} = \frac{3}{5} (1 - \alpha) x_{\alpha}$ $z_d = \frac{3}{5} (\alpha - 1) x_q$ $z_{e} = \frac{1}{5} (9 + \alpha) x_{a}$ $z_T = \frac{3}{5} (1 - \alpha) x_a$ $z_{\phi} = \frac{2}{5} \left(\alpha - 6 \right) x_q$ $z_{\Lambda} = \frac{2}{5} (4 \alpha - 9) x_{\alpha}$ $z_{\delta} = \frac{1}{5} (9 - 4\alpha) x_{\alpha}$ Type-I/II with $N_R = 2, N_S = 1$ $x_{\mu} = (\alpha - 2) x_{\alpha}$ $x_d = (4 - \alpha) x_a$ $x_{\ell} = -3 x_{\alpha}$ $x_{\nu} = 4(\alpha - 6) x_{\alpha}$ $x_{S} = 5(6-\alpha)x_{\alpha}$ $z_{\mu} = (3 - \alpha) x_{\alpha}$ $z_d = (\alpha - 3) x_a$ $z_e = (\alpha - 3) x_a$ $z_{\nu} = (21 - 4 \alpha) x_{\alpha}$ $z_{\phi} = 8(6-\alpha)x_{\alpha}$ $z_{\Lambda} = 6 x_a$ $z_{\delta} = -3 x_{\alpha}$ $z_{\rm S}=10\,(\alpha-6)\,x_q$

Phenomenological Constraints

- Theories with extra U(1) gauge symmetries are phenomenological richer
- Spontaneous breaking of the symmetry leads to new massive neutral gauge bosons X or Z'
- Kinematically accessible and could be detectable at LHC
- ▶ $pp \rightarrow X \rightarrow b \bar{b}$ and $pp \rightarrow X \rightarrow t \bar{t}$ could be used to discriminate between different models
- > branching ratios of quark to $\mu^+\mu^-$ production (reducing the theoretical uncertainties)

$$R_{b\mu} = \frac{\sigma(pp \to X \to b\,\overline{b})}{\sigma(pp \to X \to \mu^+\mu^-)} \simeq 3K_q \frac{x_q^2 + x_d^2}{x_\ell^2 + x_e^2}$$
$$R_{t\mu} = \frac{\sigma(pp \to X \to t\,\overline{t})}{\sigma(pp \to X \to \mu^+\mu^-)} \simeq 3K_q \frac{x_q^2 + x_d^2}{x_\ell^2 + x_e^2}$$

➤ K_q ~ O(1) is a constant which depends on QCD and electroweak correction factors.

Analysis of the $R_{t\mu} - R_{b\mu}$ parameter space would allow to distinguish the models (with different charges assignments)

Type-I seesaw cases

$$egin{split} R_{b\mu} \simeq rac{3(17-8lpha+lpha^2)}{9+lpha^2} \ R_{t\mu} \simeq rac{5-4lpha+lpha^2}{9+lpha^2} \end{split}$$

Type-III seesaw case

$$R_{b\mu} \simeq \frac{3(89 - 48\alpha + 9\alpha^2)}{81 - 72\alpha + 41\alpha^2}$$
$$R_{t\mu} \simeq \frac{29 + 12\alpha + 9\alpha^2}{81 - 72\alpha + 41\alpha^2}$$



Branching ratios of the X decays into quarks and muons as a function of the charge ratio α



> $R_{t\mu} - R_{b\mu}$ plane for type-I and type-III seesaw realizations

- EW precision data also severely constrains any mixing with Z boson to the sub-percent level
- $Z X \text{ mixing} \propto g_Z g_X \sum_i y_i x_i v_i^2 \text{ with } \sum_i v_i^2 = v^2$

[review see: Langacker 2008]

> Vanishing Z - X mixing implies $(r_u = v_u/v)$



Heavy leptonic fields effects at LHC [Kersten, Smirnov; Abada et al. Del Águila, Aguilar-Saavedra]

- TeV-scale seesaw mechanism could appear if some flavour symmetries: light neutrino masses and sizeable Yukawa's
- More phenomelogy $pp \rightarrow X \rightarrow N N$, Multi-lepton Signals



U(1)_X charge ratio α as a function of the Higgs VEV ratio
 r_u = v_u/v for vanishing Z - X mixing
 These different models are clearly distinguishable

CORFU 2009

Anomaly-Free Constraints in Neutrino Seesaw Models

SUSY Type-III Seesaw with an Extra $U(1)_X$

[Mohapatra]

MSSM: sources of rapid proton decay

$$W = W_{MSSM} + \frac{\lambda_L}{M_{pl}} QQQL + \frac{\lambda_R}{M_{pl}} U^c U^c D^c E^c + (\not\!\!R \text{ terms})$$
$$\lambda_I \sim \lambda_R \sim 10^{-7} \qquad \lambda' \lambda'' < 10^{-24}$$

Type-III		
	Baryon and Lepton	Lepton Sector
$x_{U^e} = -\frac{1}{5} \left(2 + 3\alpha\right) x_q$	Violating Operators	$M = \frac{h_e}{H} H E^c \overline{S}$
$x_{D^c} = -\frac{1}{5} \left(8 - 3 \alpha \right) x_q$	$x_{OOOL} = -4x_{T^c}$	$V_e = \frac{1}{M_{pl}} L I d L J$
$x_{E^c} = \alpha x_q$	$X_{U \in U \in D \in F^c} = 2 X_{T^c}$	$\langle S angle \sim 3 imes 10^{15} { m GeV}$
$x_L = \frac{1}{5} \left(9 - 4 \alpha\right) x_q$		
$x_{T^{\epsilon}} = -\frac{1}{5} (6 - \alpha) x_{q}$	$\chi_{QLD^c} = -\chi_{I^c}$	$\frac{\lambda_L}{M^2} QQQLS^2 \rightarrow \lambda_L \sim 10^{-2}$
3 • • •	$x_{LLE^c} = -3x_{T^c}$	λ_{R} μ
$x_{H_{u}} = -x_{H_{u}} = \frac{3}{5} (\alpha - 1) x_{a}$	XUeDeDe = SXTe	$\frac{1}{M_{pl}} O O D E J \rightarrow \chi_R \sim 10$
$x_{S} = -x_{\bar{S}} = -2x_{T^{c}}$	$x_{LH_u} = -x_{T^c}$	

Conclusions

- Implementation of seesaw mechanisms to give mass to neutrinos in the presence of an anomaly-free U(1)_X gauge symmetry by expanding the lepton sector
- Both type-I and type-III seesaw mechanisms cannot be simultaneously implemented with an anomaly-free local U(1)_X, unless the symmetry is a replica of the well-known hypercharge or extra matter is added
- Combined type-I/II or type-III/II seesaw models it is always possible to find non-trivial anomaly-free X charge assignments
- The phenomenological consequences on X neutral gauge boson and, its decays into third-generation quarks and its mixing with the ordinary Z boson, tightly constrain the seesaw models presented - hopefully detectable at LHC
- SUSY type-III can ameliorate the proton decay problem due to Baryon and Lepton violating terms (in particular the Planck scale induced higher dimensional operators)



CORFU 2009

adate

Anomaly-Free Constraints in Neutrino Seesaw Models